

Deconstructing 'good practice' teaching videos: an analysis of pre-service teachers' reflections

Gwen Ineson
Brunel University London

Chronoula Voutsina
University of Southampton

Helen Fielding
Nottingham Trent University

Patti Barber
University College London, Institute of Education

Tim Rowland
University of Cambridge

Received: 27th February, 2015/ Accepted: 8th July 2015

© Mathematics Education Research Group of Australasia, Inc.

Video clips of mathematics lessons are used extensively in pre-service teacher education and continuing professional development activities. Given course time constraints, an opportunity to critique these videos is not always possible. Because of this, and because pre-service teachers make extensive use of material found during internet searches, much of it purporting to exemplify 'good' practice, we were interested to know what sense they make of such material. By encouraging pre-service teachers to reflect and comment on the practices being promoted in this way, we wanted to hear what they focused on, their initial views of the teaching and learning shown in the video, and how their views were formed and affected by engaging in discussion. Findings indicate that pre-service teachers' responses to the material were dominated by their beliefs about mathematics, and that engaging in discussion enabled them to appreciate the interpretations of others.

Keywords pre-service teachers · teacher beliefs · video analysis · mathematical knowledge for teaching

Introduction

In England¹, a new National Curriculum for mathematics was implemented in September 2014. Prior to this, a curriculum influenced by the National Numeracy Strategy (Department for Education, 1998) gave significant emphasis to mental calculation, and teachers were advised not to teach any formal written method of calculation until pupils could add and subtract any pair of two digit numbers mentally (Department for Education and Employment, 1998). Although not without its critics (for example, Brown, Askew, Baker, Denvir, & Millet, 1998) the aim of this strategy was to ensure pupils had a "range of computational skills and an inclination and ability to solve number problems in a variety of contexts" (Department for Education and Employment, 1998, p. 4). When a new UK coalition government came to power in 2010 it became apparent that the Secretary of State for Education had 'opinions' about mathematics education, and that a revised curriculum was likely to favour procedural fluency and the re-imposition of 'traditional' written algorithms, notwithstanding advice from the mathematics community about the benefits of flexible approaches to calculation (Advisory Committee on Mathematics Education, 2012). Children as young as eight and nine are required to be fluent in using long multiplication and division algorithms using up to four digit numbers (Department for Education, 2013). There is now concern among mathematics educators that procedural



approaches to calculation may be taught at the expense of conceptual understanding (Askew, 2011; Thompson, 2012).

Teacher training courses have had to respond to this change, and to ensure that pre-service teachers are equipped to deliver this curriculum. One year postgraduate teacher training courses in the UK usually run between September and June, and universities are required to ensure that pre-service teachers spend two-thirds - 120 days - on practicum placements in schools. This leaves little time spent in university, which is divided between 10 primary national curriculum subjects and general professional studies.

At the university where this study took place, the pre-service teachers spend two weeks in a primary school at the beginning of the course, during which they review the mathematics and calculation policy of the school, observe a series of mathematics lessons with specific foci, and write a reflective response to prescribed reading. They then spend nine weeks at the University, with thirty six hours of mathematics in which both content knowledge and mathematics-specific pedagogy are addressed. These sessions include some two-hour lectures with the whole cohort of about 150 pre-service teachers, and also 90-minute workshops with groups of about 30 pre-service teachers. The majority of the remainder of the year is spent in schools.

The Study

Our theoretical position is grounded in the conviction that a key goal of teacher education is to nurture critical and reflective attitudes towards teaching and learning, and that programs “should emphasise questions, investigations, analysis and criticism.” (Ball & Cohen, 1999, p. 13). Rowland, Turner, and Thwaites (2014) proposed that novice teachers find it difficult to identify the specific ingredients of more successful lessons, and that they learn more about the work of teaching by critical evaluation of ‘regular’ instruction. This study arose after discussion about the use of video with a wider group of researchers in the UK involved in mathematics pre-service teacher education, leading to a decision to investigate this aspect of our practice. Each of us brought examples of clips that we had used or were considering using with our pre-service teachers as candidates for use in the study.

We selected a clip which was freely available on the internet and promoted by the government’s sponsored mathematics agency for England. It had been specifically prepared to support the delivery of the new National Curriculum and although relevant for pre-service teachers, this was not its main purpose. The clip focused on supporting pupils to make the transition from informal multiplication methods, specifically the grid method (see Figure 1) to the ‘traditional’ formal written column method for multiplication (see Figure 2).

x	20	3	Totals
40	800	120	920
5	100	15	115
			1035

		2	3
	x ₁	4 ₁	5
	1	1	5
+	9	2	0
	1	0	3
			5

Figure 1. Grid method of calculation.

Figure 2. Formal written method of calculation.

Our rationale for this selection was that this was being widely promoted to support teachers implementing the new National Curriculum (Hanson, 2013) and we felt it was important that



pre-service teachers had some experience of ‘critiquing’ material which is promoted in this way. Many of us make use of video material to support and extend our teaching so a further potential benefit of this study was to ensure that our pre-service teachers were routinely prepared to critique this sort of material. The rationale for this was that to support their professional development, pre-service teachers were likely to review video material available on the internet and elsewhere during their training year and beyond, and because of this we wanted to ensure that they were able to make reasoned judgements about its quality.

The research questions underpinning the study were therefore:

- What sense do pre-service elementary mathematics teachers make of video material of ‘good’ mathematics lessons?
- What role does discussion have on reflections relating to the use of video material of mathematics lessons in university teaching sessions?

The use of video in pre-service teacher education

In the last two to three decades, an extensive body of literature on the use of video to support teachers’ professional development has emerged. The paper by Blomberg, Renkl, Sherin, Borke, and Seidel (2013) includes a useful list of relevant research studies in pre-service teacher education, and Herbst and Kosko (2014) discuss the benefits and limitations of video use in supporting mathematics teaching specifically. For the purpose of this study, we are particularly interested in the literature on supporting pre-service mathematics teachers.

Video material has been used in a range of ways and for a variety of purposes in pre-service education. For example it can be used for interaction analysis, to model expert teaching, for micro-teaching, and to critique teachers’ own practice (Sherin, 2007). It can be used to support professional development by teachers reflecting on videos of their own teaching, individually or in groups (Coles, 2013). Others use video material to identify components of effective mathematics teaching (Clarke, Hollingsworth, & Gorur, 2013). We give an overview of these approaches before considering the purpose of the use of video in our study.

Star and Strickland (2008) suggest that the use of video is helpful for pre-service teachers because the same teaching episodes can be shared and discussed. This can be helpful because initially, pre-service teachers have limited experience and they are “quite weak in the critical skill of noticing classroom events” (Star & Strickland, 2008, p. 124). Sherin and van Es (2009) identify the difference between *noticing* and *interpreting* elements of a lesson, and stress the importance of delaying the interpretation of these events until after they have been identified, or noticed.

While teaching certainly involves making judgments about what went well or poorly in a lesson, we believe it is critical for teachers to first notice what is significant in a classroom interaction, then interpret that event, and then use those interpretations to inform pedagogical decisions (Sherin & van Es, 2009, p. 247).

Coles (2013) argues that the role of the facilitator should be to respond to the discussion about what(ever) is noticed, and to avoid imposing pre-conceived ideas about what is significant. Videos of teaching are regarded as a means of deepening learning by pre-service teachers (Llinares & Valls, 2010) and using video with pre-service teachers may therefore be more effective if they first give an account of what they have seen before going on to account for it (Jaworski, 1990; Coles, 2013).

Pre-service teachers have been found to change opinions about mathematics teaching following a discussion about a clip of teaching (Lampert & Ball, 1998). Several studies have

found that watching videos of mathematics teaching helped to bridge the gap between theory and practice (Lin, 2005; Taylor, 2002) giving pre-service teachers an opportunity to observe classroom situations which they would not have otherwise had. Video material has the potential to provide access to pupils' mathematical thinking within the realistic context of a classroom (Sherin & van Es, 2009). However, teachers may not engage with video material if they feel that it is not relevant to the context of their own classrooms (Blomberg et al., 2013) or, as Santagata and Guarino (2011) suggest, because the teaching competence of the teacher portrayed in the video is too distant from their own perceived competence:

Although many choose video exactly because of its closeness to the complex reality of the classroom, teachers' past experiences and beliefs about what is possible and not possible in teaching may turn even video into an artificial representation of teaching that teachers can easily dismiss (Santagata & Guarino, 2011, p. 143).

Several studies focus on using video with in-service teachers. Coles (2013) used video for professional development and found that video watching in a group with follow-up discussion was more useful than individual lesson observations. Videos can allow participants to construct their own interpretations of the classroom depicted and to attend to those aspects they consider important. The role of facilitator is therefore crucial to encourage discussion (Clarke, Hollingsworth, & Gorur, 2013) which can assist teachers to appreciate alternative viewpoints and to think more critically (Lin, 2005).

The purpose of research by Santagata and Guarino (2011) was to enable pre-service teachers to learn from teaching videos, with approaches to the analysis of such material that would "generate knowledge for improvement" (Santagata & Guarino, 2011, p. 133). Their premise was to provide opportunities for the teachers to reflect in disciplined and structured ways. They found that these video-based activities supported pre-service teachers' learning to reason about teaching in an integrated way by considering the impact of the teacher's decisions on pupils' learning. In half of the cases studied, video-based activities also promoted the pre-service teacher's ability to spontaneously propose alternatives to what they had observed in the video. In contrast to Coles (2013), these researchers scaffolded pre-service teachers' viewing and analysis by alerting them to specific aspects displayed in the videos.

Observers draw on previous experiences in making their response to video material. This may include their own experiences of learning and teaching; their knowledge of the subject, of pedagogy and of students and their own beliefs, all of which influence their experience of the subject and how it is taught and learned (Beswick & Muir, 2013). In an experimental study, Philipp et al. (2007) found that pre-service teachers who studied children's mathematical thinking by watching children in video clips developed more sophisticated beliefs about mathematics, teaching and learning and improved their mathematical content knowledge more than those who visited 'real' elementary school classrooms.

There seems to be little evidence from the literature about how pre-service and in-service teachers use video material widely available on the internet. There is discussion about whether training should draw on 'best-practice' or 'typical' practice (Blomberg et al., 2013) but when teachers are at home researching how to approach teaching a mathematical topic, little is known about how they consider whether the clips they find are 'good' examples. The study reported here aims to address this gap.

Methodology

This study was located in a university in suburban West London, UK. The pre-service teachers on the programme were typical of many postgraduate teacher training courses in the London region. Of 141 pre-service teachers in the cohort, just over three quarters were female; half were white British, the next biggest ethnic group being Asian-Indian. Two thirds of the group were aged under twenty five when they enrolled.

The study took place approximately a third of the way through the nine-week university-based element of the course described earlier, and before any extended periods of school experience. The mathematics component included a session entitled 'Mathematical understanding' based on Skemp's (1976) ideas of relational and instrumental understanding, and a session on 'Progression through calculation' which had focused predominantly on informal calculation strategies. Video clips had been shown in these sessions but no significant opportunities were provided to critique the material.

Data collection took place during one subsequent workshop session entitled 'Conceptual Understanding versus algorithms'. (This workshop was repeated five times by the same instructor, one of the authors, with groups of approximately 30 pre-service teachers). The broad aims of the session were:

- to consider progression in multiplication;
- to consider appropriate resources to support progression;
- to discuss the purpose of algorithms in mathematics;
- to discuss how to balance conceptual understanding with procedural fluency.

The session aims and a broad overview of the research study were shared with the pre-service teachers. The British Educational Research Association (BERA) ethical guidelines were followed (BERA, 2011) and ethical approval had been granted by the university's ethics committee. It is recognised that there were potential issues of power relations in the study, given that the regular mathematics instructor was carrying out the research, but great effort was made to ensure that participants did not feel pressured to be included. Only data from those giving their consent to participate in the research (N=83) were included in the study.

The video clip was shown to the whole group, and the following prompts were then provided to facilitate smaller group discussions (each with 4 - 6 pre-service teachers):

1. What do you think is good about the teaching in this session?
2. What do you think isn't so good about the session?
3. How has the video helped you to think about your preparation for teaching?

These prompts were deliberately non-directive to avoid channelling the pre-service teachers' discussions, and they were used to interrogate the pre-service teachers' immediate perceptions of the quality of the teaching in the video clip. The pre-service teachers had approximately twenty minutes to discuss the video clip and respond to the prompts, before coming back for a general discussion with the whole group where pre-service teachers shared feedback from their small group discussion. The instructor-facilitator did not insist on noticing as a preliminary to interpretation (Sherin & van Es, 2009) but we would endeavour to make time for such agreement about 'what they saw' in future related studies.

Towards the end of the session a further prompt was provided, namely:

4. How has engaging in this discussion and critique of the video helped you in preparing for teaching?

We were aware that with the first three prompts some pre-service teachers might be discouraged from critical engagement because of the teacher expertise apparently demonstrated

in the video (Jaworski, 1990; Santagata & Guarino, 2011). However, the final prompt was intended to evoke reflection on what it was possible to learn from engaging in the activity.

At the university where this study was carried out, pre-service teachers routinely wrote a short reflective comment about their learning in connection with mathematics, science, and English (the UK 'core' school subjects). Of the 83 pre-service teachers who gave consent to participate in the study, 54 submitted a written reflection on the activity, and these records constitute our data set.

In analysing these data we adopted elements of grounded theory analysis in which coding is conducted as an emergent and comparative process that helps the researcher to construct "an interpretive portrayal of the studied world" by defining what is happening in the data and beginning to understand what it means (Charmaz, 2007, p. 10). In line with this central premise, we conducted emergent coding with the view to shaping an analytic frame and direction.

In an initial phase, the same five randomly selected pre-service teacher reflections were coded independently by each member of the research team. In applying sentence-by-sentence coding and comparing the five reflections, each researcher identified key issues that appeared to capture what was happening in that part of the data, and proposed initial suggestions for coding. At a subsequent meeting, negotiation following discussion of our individual coding resulted in an agreed set of codes. We then applied this agreed code-set to another three randomly selected reflections with the view to seeing whether new additional codes would emerge. At this initial phase we aimed to stay close to the data and to be open to exploring and discerning different possibilities (Charmaz, 2011).

A subsequent meeting resulted in an agreed set of codes representing the range of ideas, concepts, and issues expressed in the pre-service teachers' written reflections. We then selected the most significant and frequently-occurring codes to shape our focus of analysis and grouped them into categories. The categories captured recurring themes in the pre-service teachers' reflections and encompassed comments related to: the teacher's pedagogy in the video clip; the pre-service teachers' beliefs about learning and teaching mathematics; what the pre-service teachers felt that they had learnt; and the perceived value of watching and discussing the video as a group. Having established a direction and an analytical framework for analysis, we proceeded to sentence-by-sentence coding of the remaining data using the agreed codes. Following this, the process of analysis was a comparative, interpretive process whereby we explored commonalities and differences within each category with the aim of identifying what sense the pre-service teachers made of watching the government-sponsored video, what they predominantly focused on, and how watching a video as part of a process of group discussion influenced their reflections.

Findings

The table below summarises the categories and constituent codes that emerged from the analysis of the data, with illustrative examples of each code from the data.

Table 1
Emerging codes and examples of corresponding extracts

Code	Description	Examples of comments.
T	Reflection on the actions of the teacher in the video clip	
TMP	Mathematics Pedagogy Comments about pedagogy relating to mathematics.	I like how the teacher in the clip built on the existing knowledge of the children and referred to the grid method for multiplication (A3) I also felt that the teacher jumped into a more complex example straight away and did not ever fully explain the step-by-step process (F5)
TGP	General pedagogy Comments about pedagogy in general.	The teaching style, key words, breakdowns and open questions showed how good teaching should be. (E13) It was not very engaging, particularly for those who need a lot more stimulation. (E9)
B	Pre-service Teacher Beliefs	
BLM	View of learning mathematics Comments about the pre-service teacher's views about how mathematics should be/is learnt.	Tried and tested methods are likely far more accurate and efficient than constantly considering which method to use. I'm not sure I agree therefore with her premise. (E8)
BTM	View of teaching mathematics Comments that the pre-service teachers make about how they believe mathematics should be taught.	I feel children should also be explicitly taught facts in order to provide a secure base for exploration of different strategies within counting and problem solving. (C8)
L	Pre-service Teacher Learning	
LSMK	Subject matter knowledge Specific comments about mathematics that the pre-service teacher learnt from the video process.	The video also helped me extend my subject knowledge. More specifically, develop a better understanding of the gridding method and its connection with algorithms. (B8)
LPCK	Pedagogical content knowledge Comments about mathematics pedagogy that the pre-service teacher has learnt.	One positive aspect of the video that I learnt was that using open ended questions helps children with their conceptual understanding. (E9)



V	Reflection on video stimulated task	
VVD	Valuing discussion Reference to the benefits (or otherwise) to sharing opinion/feedback on the video.	Although the video itself was useful, the discussion that entailed afterwards was more beneficial. (B2)
VAL	Authenticity of the lesson Comments about the content of the video and the nature of the lesson viewed.	The whole thing seems to be staged, the children appear to pick up the algorithm method quite quickly which makes me think that they may have had some instruction before ... as a trainee teacher I would like to see how the teacher would deal with a student who didn't understand the method as well. (E2)
VEP	Evaluation of the process Comments about how useful the process of critiquing a video clip as a group was.	I feel that it is beneficial to watch a video showing a classroom environment during a week of lectures and workshops. Ignoring the actual content of the video, a video showing a class based environment can contextualise the academic theories that are being discussed. (C1)
VCE	Critical evaluation Critical judgement about what is seen in the video, as opposed to noting it.	It would have been beneficial if all the children had not got the new method straight away and had not been able to immediately spot the differences and the connections so that those watching could see how the teacher would help that child, if they would break down or explain it differently. (E5)

The following sections present our findings in relation to each of the identified categories and the nature of comments that pre-service teachers included in their individually written reflections following the group discussion.

Reflection on the Teacher

Comments related to general as well as mathematics-specific pedagogy were the most prominent across the pre-service teachers' written reflections. These comments mainly focused on two elements: the ways in which the teacher supported progression and the teacher's use of questioning.

Reflection upon the teacher's approach to teaching mathematics (TMP) focused on the way in which she supported children's progression from a method of calculation that was familiar to them (i.e. the grid method) to the standard algorithm for long multiplication which involved a compressed way of recording calculation steps. Extracts such as the following are indicative of positive comments on the way in which the teacher made connections between the already 'known' and the 'new'.

I think that the video was a great example of a constructivist approach of learning, as the teacher was using children's previous knowledge and skills e.g. gridding method to build on the new concepts presented e.g. algorithms. This consistency in learning helped the students to make

better connections between the two methods and consequently develop a better and quicker understanding of the new one presented (B8).

Comments such as the above seemed to point particularly to the teacher's efforts to make children see and understand the conceptual connection between the two methods. Her use of questioning was seen by some as being fundamental in supporting this aim. The extracts below highlight positive comments about the way in which the teacher encouraged children to compare the two methods, and to think about and explain similarities and differences.

I also thought the language that she used in her questioning of the pupils was highly appropriate, "What was the same?" and "What was different" for example (C3).

I really liked the fact that after the teacher showed them how to do the standard algorithm, the children were asked to compare the strategies and noticed it was a quicker approach to multiplications and involved less working out. (C4)

In introducing the standard algorithm the teacher referred to this method, new for the children, as 'her' method ("my way") and to the grid method as 'the children's' method ("your way"). The language of 'my' and 'your way' triggered both positive and negative comments.

I liked the way the teacher explained the algorithm as 'her' way of doing long multiplication, each child learns differently & likes a different method so calling it 'her' method doesn't make the children feel like they have to use it (E2).

In this instance the use of the word 'my' was powerful in that it implied that this was not the correct method but simply another way in which you may tackle the multiplication. She demonstrated that even though they had used two different methods they had both gained the correct answer. (E5).

In the two extracts above the use of the words 'your' and 'my' is considered as a choice of language that precluded assumptions about 'wrong' or 'right' and allowed children to reflect upon the procedural differences between the two methods as well as the conceptual links between the two different ways of recording multiplication steps. This was also seen and interpreted as part of a pedagogy that respects children's preferences in learning. Some pre-service teachers saw the teacher's approach as one that allowed children to be flexible, reflect on the affordances of the two methods, and choose the one that they preferred.

However, there were also comments that noted how the teacher was working towards a specific teaching aim. Her questioning aimed at supporting children's reflection on the similarities and differences between the two methods and the effectiveness of each of the two methods but ultimately directed children to recognise and accept the standard algorithm as the most effective way of written calculation; the method that they should adopt from then on.

It highlighted the new method was perceived as 'quicker' and only involved 'two steps instead of four', again adding to the column method's positive view in the children's eyes. (E12)

The main issue I had with this was her use of 'my way' (being the column algorithm), seemingly over writing the pupil's way. The lesson seemed heavily weighted towards proving her way to be the best way.... The teacher clearly had a strong understanding of what she was trying to achieve and how she felt it would be best to go about it (B5)

The above extracts take a different position from the previous ones in seeing the teacher's approach and use of language as specifically serving the aim of introducing and establishing the standard algorithm as the most effective method. The second extract makes a critical point in seeing the use of the words 'your' and 'my' as the teacher's conscious choice of language that

aimed to support the specific teaching objective rather than encourage flexibility in learning and empower children's preferences.

Apart from the above critical point, comments on the teacher's use of language and questioning were predominantly positive and seen as a way through which the teacher encouraged children to think. Comments on the use of open-ended questions such as those shown below often seemed to stem from the pre-service teachers' reflection on issues of general rather than mathematics-specific pedagogy.

It showed how the teacher effectively communicated the task with her pupils (e.g. clear instructions and guidance). (A4)

I also really liked how much she allowed the children to try out for themselves rather than telling them how to do it. (E12)

Reflections that clearly referred to mathematics-specific pedagogy also included critical comments upon the teacher's demonstration and explanations of the mathematics concepts and procedural steps involved in the newly introduced algorithm.

I also felt that the teacher jumped into a more complex example straight away and did not ever fully explain the step-by-step process. (F5)

I personally think she did explain the method but it could have been more thorough for example to explain how we carry the numbers or why the zero is added in the units' column when looking at two digit numbers. (C10)

In comments such as the above, the critical stance towards the teacher's mathematics teaching approach focused on identified points of weakness in how the teacher demonstrated the algorithm and in the thoroughness and clarity of her explanations.

Pre-service teacher Beliefs

Positive or negative comments of what was shown in the video were often presented alongside statements that appeared to reflect individual views and beliefs about how mathematics should be learnt and taught. Such statements often referred to the pre-service teachers' previous experiences in learning and teaching mathematics. Beswick and Muir (2013) point out that interpretations of classroom and video observations are dependent upon previous experiences and beliefs.

Extracts such as the following appeared to be geared more towards beliefs about what mathematics learning (BLM) should be about and the benefits of flexibility in learning mathematics.

Children are less likely to make mistakes while using algorithms if they understand it- if they are simply following steps they are more likely to miss one out and make errors in their work. (A1)

If a child is given many options and tactics in how to approach a problem, they will not give up so easily, but try and try again. (C7)

Other comments reflected particular beliefs about the teaching of mathematics (BTM); what should be taught and how. The following two extracts provide examples of positive comments about teaching that introduces learners to more than one way of calculation and allows the development of conceptual understanding.

I believe it is a positive approach to teaching if a child has more than one strategy to use when answering an equation. (C4)



I have encountered many children and peers that have learnt the algorithm but have very little understanding of why it works. This has highlighted to me how important it is to ensure that the algorithms are not taught rote, but rather they are taught alongside other methods. In this way I believe the algorithm can be taught with a conceptual understanding (D2).

In contrast to the above the extract below presents a critical comment of the teaching approach that is depicted in the video and which is seen in this case as an approach that is 'dictating' children to adopt the standard algorithm rather than supporting flexibility and choice. This is based upon an expressed strong belief about how mathematics should be taught.

I felt that the lady in the clip, was almost forcing the children to see the written algorithms as being the most convenient method to use. However, this method may confuse some individuals, who grasp multiplication using partitioning. I am a strong believer, of giving the tools to help children find a method that works for them. Rather than dictating a 'convenient' method that they have to use. (D7)

In many cases beliefs about learning and teaching seemed to interweave as the pre-service teachers formulated their reflections on different aspects of the video. Many extracts were coded and analysed as reflecting both. For example, the extract below, similar to the above, is very critical of the teaching style and approach shown in the video but the criticism seems to be associated with particular beliefs about the importance of freedom in learning and the value of exploration. The video is criticised for depicting an approach that does not really support personal preference.

Is this a teaching style that could be differentiated and work for children of a lower ability or a SEND [*special needs*] or EAL [*additional language*] child? I don't think that it would be. I understand the pressure on a teacher to be 'efficient' in their teaching with the new expectations from the government, but it concerns me that we are removing the freedom for children to explore and understand maths. I believe that as long as children can understand all methods and are happy with the way that the algorithms work, it should not matter which they prefer to use. Maybe that is a wrong view on my part, but I certainly don't think that they should be told that their way is wrong and have a method that they have spent time learning be dismissed so quickly. (D3)

In another example, critical comments on the video are formulated on the basis of very different beliefs on mathematics learning and teaching than those expressed in the above extract.

I would question this latter point on flexibility: if a child is able to get the correct answer does it matter what method they use to do this. Tried and tested methods are likely far more accurate and efficient than constantly considering which method to use. I'm not sure I agree therefore with her premise.... I know when we learnt how to do this at school we were not given a variety of options as to how to work this out, we were just taught the column method from the outset. I think in some instances having too many variations and ways to calculate results in confusion for the child. I think so long as you know a way that works it does not matter what this way is. (E8)

The views expressed here point out the pre-service teacher's perceived disadvantages of "too many variations" when it comes to learning methods of calculation. The criticism in this case does not focus on the teaching approach as much as on *what* the teaching of mathematics should include. Analogously though, it is strongly based on a particular view about what mathematics is about and how it should be taught. This seems to be influenced by previous, personal experience of learning mathematics at school.

Extracts such as the above and statements such as:



Having never learnt the grid method at school I much prefer the formal algorithm and am glad that it is being reintroduced (E6)

indicated how, on many occasions the pre-service teachers' own learning experiences seemed to shape particular beliefs on learning and teaching mathematics which in turn informed and perhaps influenced their viewing and interpretation of the teaching and learning situation that what was shown in the video (Philipp et al., 2007).

Pre-service teacher learning

Written reflections included references to what the pre-service teachers perceived that they had learnt from watching the video as well as from the process of discussing it with their peers. Explicit comments on learning referred to gains associated with content knowledge (LSMK) as well as pedagogical content knowledge (LPCK).

Reflections associated with subject knowledge included comments such as the one below that referred to a direct effect that the video seemed to have on improving it.

The video also helped me extend my subject knowledge. More specifically, I develop a better understanding of the gridding method and its connection with algorithms. (B8)

Most comments referring to subject knowledge indicated how watching the video increased the pre-service teachers' awareness of the areas of their subject knowledge that needed to be strengthened rather than a direct effect on subject knowledge.

This was the first time I have seen a grid used to complete an equation. For me this shows that I need to increase my subject knowledge, because there will be children who use this method. (B6)

Although I think the column method is a really good technique, I personally feel worried about the prospect of teaching it due to a worry of my own understanding of the concept. I feel I need to go away and revise the column method on the website 'maths is fun', which explains methods clearly and has questions to check my understanding. (E12)

Reflections on learning associated with pedagogical content knowledge included comments such as:

It has reinforced for me the importance of explaining to the children 'why' they are doing something and to ensure that each step is fully understood before moving onto worksheets/assessment. (F5)

I realised that using resources is important and teaching children in a way that they understand and using the correct terminology is really important. (B9)

Such comments mainly indicated the emergence or reinforcement of realisations related to the importance of teaching for understanding and the actions that a teacher can take to best support children's conceptual understanding in mathematics.

Reflection on the Video-stimulated task

The process of using video as a vehicle for discussion and reflection provoked a wide range of comments (VVD). The importance of peer discussion associated with the video was regularly noted and the collaborative approach it had enabled was considered useful.

The workshop also worked well because it gave us the opportunity to discuss how we felt about the video, allowing us to listen and see new points of view from different people that I know myself I would not have thought about without the discussion. (B1)

In comments such as the above reference to the value of listening to each other's observations and reflections suggested that the opportunity to discuss and critique had enabled the pre-service teachers to access the content of the video in a way that they would not have been able to if watching it alone. Additionally, joint viewing and discussion drew elements to their attention that they may not otherwise have considered. The opposing opinions within groups were frequently mentioned and the opportunity to review the video from a range of perspectives was considered useful.

I found that I noticed negative and positive points that others may not have and others also found other negative and positive opinions that I had not thought of. Through the discussion it allowed me time to understand the video and its whole picture. (B3)

Through the group I had the chance to reflect on the video and develop my thinking through talking. (B8)

The authenticity of the video (VAD) was questioned and whilst the pre-service teachers valued the opportunity to observe at close hand the teaching of a targeted group; many felt it was "staged" (B4) or "not a true reflection" (E11). There was also the understanding of the purpose behind the video as shown by the following comment.

I think we should be able to appreciate the fact that most of these situations are set up for recording therefore it has to be direct, concise and pacy. (D1)

A common perception was that the children seemed to have understood the algorithm very quickly, perhaps suggesting some rehearsal of the process. These types of observations prompted suggestions that the pre-service teachers felt would be useful additions. These comments (VCE) predominantly fell into two main categories: first, the inclusion of children who did not understand so readily in order to show how difficulties may be overcome and how small steps may be explained, as typified by the comment below.

As a trainee it would have been beneficial to show a lower ability group being taught the same concept and learning from the mistakes they made whilst figuring out the links between the two methods. (E6)

Secondly, the view that including the whole class would have been beneficial to show how this would be undertaken in a normal primary setting so it could be seen how it may be differentiated.

I think that the video would have been even more valuable to us if we had seen the planning for the whole class. For instance, what activities were the other children doing? (E7)

Some comments indicated a view that the video had been provided as a model of good practice. This was a fair assumption given that it is available in the support materials of the 2014 National Curriculum on the government-sponsored website and that video is often used this way in Initial Teacher Training. One such example is below,

All in all, I think that through the workshop we were exposed to good teaching practices that are not only useful for Maths but can be used and transferred to other lessons as well. (B2)

This assumption may have affected the tone of some commentaries, if they perceived that this was being provided as a model, which they might be expected to emulate in their own teaching. The comment below considers the provenance of the approach advocated within the video and appears to accept it on the premise that it depicts evidence-based practice.

I think that if pupils are taught well by a good teacher and they are actually convinced that the algorithm really is easier and faster, then they will use it. I know I will and I hope I am right by thinking that the government came to this decision after a well-conducted research. (D1)

Within their reflections the pre-service teachers considered the value of using video as part of a process, as a means of providing shared experience enabling individual critique and group discussion (VEP). The provision of such materials, which could give an insight into different aspects of teaching and learning in a quick, accessible way was considered as a useful part of their professional development. The opportunity to,

...objectively critique or reflect on various issues without offending anyone. (E6)

was considered valuable and some felt that working with their group had provided a model for considering other similar materials. For example,

This exercise has made me realise that I shouldn't merely accept and adopt strategies that are said/shown to be best practice but to always critically appraise and think for oneself. (C6)

Although there were some reservations about the authenticity of the video, the comments of the pre-service teachers generally indicated that they valued the process of using video to promote group discussion and the opportunity to reflect about its implications for their own practice.

Summary and Conclusions

The research reported in this paper was motivated in part by shared professional concerns in our role as mathematics teacher educators working with pre-service elementary teachers. Primary mathematics policy in England has swung between reform and reaction over the last three to four decades (Brown, 2010) and it is fair to say that we had (and have) some misgivings about the direction of travel in the latest iteration of the English National Curriculum for primary mathematics. At the same time, our professional responsibilities (not to mention national teacher education inspection regimes) require us to prepare our pre-service teachers to 'deliver' the curriculum in force at the time, irrespective of our own opinion of some of the detail. In this case, we were faced with the online government-sponsored video resources, designed to 'support' teachers' implementation of the new curriculum. We could ignore them, but it would be irresponsible to do so; in any case, our web-aware students would locate these materials themselves if we did not bring them to their attention.

Irrespective of our views of the relative merits of alternative written multiplication methods, we had two further concerns. First, even though we respect the integrity and commitment of the teacher (unknown to us) in the video, we did not view the instruction portrayed as 'exemplary' in some respects, and we were worried that our students might commit to what was portrayed without reservation – the video was, after all, officially sanctioned. Secondly, as we remarked earlier, we had come to the view that novice teachers can sometimes learn more from reflecting on 'flawed' teaching than from attempting to imitate 'exemplary' practice (Blomberg et al., 2013). Ironically, in fact, we had available video of a novice teacher working on exactly the same transition from grid multiplication to formal column algorithm (Rowland, 2014). So our enquiry starting point was to investigate whether our fears (uncritical alignment with questionable policy) would be realised, and to set up conditions (group reflection and whole-class discussion) likely to activate a more questioning climate. We should make it clear that it was neither our wish nor our purpose for our students to think in the same way that we do; rather, we wanted them to come to a considered view, and



one that they could defend having considered alternatives. This is in keeping with our stance, stated earlier, that a key goal of teacher education is to nurture critical and reflective attitudes towards teaching and learning.

These considerations form the backdrop to our evaluation of the research in terms of our stated questions:

- What sense do pre-service elementary mathematics teachers make of video records of 'good' mathematics lessons?
- What role does discussion have on reflections relating to the use of video material of mathematics lessons in university teaching sessions?

Regarding the first question, our findings are mixed: our grounded theory analysis organised the complexity of the student teachers' responses, but also brought to light the variety in responses. In particular, the evaluation of the teaching and the prioritising of the traditional column algorithm ('my way') elicited a spectrum from enthusiastic acceptance to questioning and evident distaste. It presented us with more positive perspectives on the 'my way' approach to mathematics didactics, in terms of possible alternatives rather than imposed strategies. Unsurprisingly, the pre-service teachers' beliefs (coded BLM, BTM), most likely formed by their own experiences as learners, frequently underpinned their evaluation of the teaching portrayed in the video. This serves to remind us that such beliefs mediate everything that we (and others) offer to our students, and it would be naïve to imagine that it were otherwise. This, in turn, causes us to reflect on the importance of identifying such beliefs at strategic points in our pre-service programs (Beswick & Muir, 2013).

Many of our participants identified aspects of the teacher's pedagogy, both subject-specific and general, that they found instructive; not only for the young students in her classroom, but also for themselves as novice teachers. This included aspects of the management of the lesson, as well as building on and linking to existing knowledge ("a great example of a constructivist approach of learning"). But in addition to this appreciative appraisal of what they saw, at times they demonstrated their capacity for critical evaluation. One participant, for example, questioned the choice of example used to demonstrate the column method – an aspect of instruction analysis which has attracted renewed attention in recent years (e.g., Zaslavsky, 2014). As we have remarked, evaluation of the 'my way' approach to motivating the shift from grid to column method received mixed reviews, but opinion in both directions was backed by thoughtful rationales.

With regard to our second research question, it was evident that the opportunity for pre-service teachers to engage in discussion about the video had, for many, resulted in either a changed opinion about the content, or an appreciation of others' interpretations. Perhaps this is particularly relevant to pre-service teachers, with limited experience of the classroom (Coles, 2013), but seeing "new points of view" that they would "not have thought about" was a typical comment, even following discussion with their peers. However, despite the activity being set up in such a way to encourage critical reflection, some comments indicated that pre-service teachers assumed that the video clip portrayed exemplary teaching. Although we were initially surprised by the apparently naïve comment that governments draw on 'well-conducted research' for curriculum design (there is extensive evidence that this not being the case e.g. Brown, Askew, Baker, Denvir, & Millet (1998) and Thompson (2009)), it is perhaps not unreasonable that this is what our pre-service teachers would assume.

The categories of codes that emerged from applying a grounded theory approach to the analysis of data highlighted and extended certain key themes that previous research on the use of video has discussed. These are: the value of interaction around a video that allows pre-service teachers to consider alternative viewpoints in a social context (Coles, 2012; Sherin & van

Es, 2009), the contribution of video to development of holistic teacher knowledge; i.e knowledge that addresses issues of general as well as subject specific pedagogy (Ball & Cohen 1999), and the influence of individuals' beliefs on how observed practice is interpreted and evaluated (Beswick & Muir, 2013). Through the use of grounded theory the current paper reveals highly varied nuances in the acts of reflection, interpretation, and evaluation of practice that a video stimulates and extends current understanding about the aforementioned themes by using pre-service teachers' voice as a lens of analysis.

Our research contributes to knowledge about the use of video to bring 'real classrooms' into university seminar rooms in pre-service teacher education, as a stimulus for reflection and professional learning. It proposes and tests a format for the observation and collaborative consideration of teaching. In the English context, and in other jurisdictions characterised by strong political control of curriculum and pre-service teacher education, we have identified the possibility of blind acceptance of pedagogical 'orthodoxy' by trainee teachers, and confirmed our belief that collaborative consideration of material promoting such orthodoxy can promote a more critical stance. We have explored the ways in which video material has significant potential for providing professional learning opportunities and it is evident that pre-service teachers (and indeed in-service teachers) would benefit from similar opportunities. The shared simultaneous observation combined with the structured opportunity to discuss and question, provides a valuable and enabling vehicle for reflection for pre-service teachers to review their own practice and examine their own beliefs about the learning and teaching of mathematics. The careful choice of appropriate video is of vital importance, ideally designed to develop an area of specific substantive or pedagogical knowledge, yet sufficiently flexible and credible to promote discussion and reflection. In a climate in England where teacher education is being progressively relocated from university-provided to in-school training, this may prove difficult to implement.

Acknowledgement

The authors acknowledge with thanks the contributions of Ray Huntley and Patrick Barmby in the initial stages of the project.

Note

1. Legislation on education policy in the United Kingdom, including curriculum and teacher education, is largely devolved to local assemblies in the provinces of Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland. The Department of Education of the UK government, under the leadership of the Secretary of State for Education, is responsible for education and children's services in England (only).

References

- Advisory Committee on Mathematics Education (ACME), (2012). *ACME Response to Draft Primary Curriculum*. Retrieved February 23, 2013, from <http://www.acme-uk.org/news/news-items-repository/2012/8/acme-response-to-draft-primary-curriculum>.
- Askew, M. (2011). *Transforming Primary Mathematics*, London: Routledge.
- Ball, D., and Cohen, D. (1999). Developing practice, developing practitioners. In L. Darling-Hammond and G. Sykes (Eds.), *Teaching as the learning profession. Handbook of Policy and Practice*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Beswick, K. and Muir, T. (2013). Making connections: Lessons on the use of video in pre-service teacher education. *Mathematics Teacher Education and Development*, 14 (2), 70-90.



- Blomberg, G., Renkl, A., Sherin, M., Borke, H. and Seidel, T. (2013). Five research-based heuristics for using video in pre-service teacher education. *Journal for Educational Research Online*, 5(1), 90-114.
- British Educational Research Association. (2011). *Ethical Guidelines for Educational Research*. Retrieved May 3, 2012, from <https://www.bera.ac.uk/wp-content/uploads/2014/02/BERA-Ethical-Guidelines-2011.pdf>.
- Brown, M., Askew, M., Baker, D., Denvir, H. and Millet, A. (1998). Is the National Numeracy Strategy research based? *British Journal of Educational Studies*, 46 (4), 362-385
- Brown, M. (2010). Swings and roundabouts. In I. Thompson (Ed.) *Issues in Teaching Numeracy*. 2nd edition. Buckingham: OUP.
- Charmaz, K. (2011). A Constructivist Grounded Theory Analysis of Losing and Regaining a Valued Self. In F. J. Wertz, K. Charmaz, L.M. McMullen, Josselson, R, Anderson, R., and E., McSpadden (Eds.) *Five Ways of doing Qualitative Analysis: Phenomenological Psychology, Grounded Theory, Discourse Analysis, Narrative Research and Intuitive Inquiry* (pp. 165-204). New York: The Guildford Press.
- Charmaz, K. (2007). *Constructing grounded theory*. London: Sage.
- Clarke, D., Hollingsworth, H. and Gorur, R. (2013). Facilitating reflection and action: the possible contribution of video to Mathematics Teacher Education. *Journal of Education*, 1(3), 94-121.
- Coles, A. (2013). Using video for professional development: the role of the discussion facilitator. *Journal of Mathematics Teacher Education*, 16, 165-184.
- Department for Education and Employment. (DfEE). (1998). *The NNS framework for teaching mathematics from reception to year 6*. London. DfEE.
- Department for Education. (2013). *Mathematics programmes of study: key stages 1 and 2 National Curriculum in England*. London: Crown publishing.
- Hanson, R. (2013). *The Challenges of Implementing the New Primary National Curriculum*. Retrieved February 23, 2014, from <http://authenticmaths.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/2013/12/The-Challenges-of-Implementing-the-New-Primary-National-Curriculum.pdf>
- Herbst, P. and Kosko, K. (2014). Using representations of practice to elicit mathematics teachers' tacit knowledge of practice: a comparison of responses to animations and videos. *Journal of Mathematics Teacher Education*, 17(6), 515-537.
- Jaworski, B. (1990). Video as a tool for teachers' professional development. *Professional Development in Education*, 16(1), 60-65.
- Lampert, M, and Ball, D. (1998). *Teaching, multimedia and Mathematics: Investigations of real practice*. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Lin, P., J. (2005). Using research-based video-cases to help pre-service teachers conceptualise a contemporary view of mathematics teaching. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 3, 351-377.
- Llinares, S. and Valls, J. (2010). Prospective primary mathematics teachers' learning from online discussions in a virtual video-based environment. *Journal of Mathematics Teacher Education*, 13(2) , 177-196.
- Philipp, R.A., Ambrose, R., Lamb, L.L.C., Sowder, I.T., Schappelle, B.P., Sowder, L., Thanheiser, E. and Chauvot, J. (2007). Effects of early field experiences on mathematical content knowledge and beliefs of prospective elementary school teachers: An experimental study. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 38 (5), 438-476.
- Rowland, T. (2014) The Knowledge Quartet: the genesis and application of a framework for analysing mathematics teaching and deepening teachers' mathematics knowledge. *SISYPHUS Journal of Education*, 1(3), 15-43.
- Rowland, T., Turner, F. and Thwaites, A. (2014). Research into teacher knowledge: a stimulus for development in teacher education practice. *ZDM: The International Journal on Mathematics Education*, 46(2), 317-328.
- Santagata, R. and Guarino, J. (2011). Using video to teach future teachers to learn from teaching. *ZDM Mathematics Education*, 43, 133-145.
- Sherin, M. (2007). New perspectives on the role of video in teacher education. In J. Brophy (Ed.), *Using video in teacher education* (pp. 1-28). Bingley, UK: Emerald Group Publishing Limited.
- Sherin, M. and van Es, E. (2009). Effects of video club participation on teachers' professional vision. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 60(1), 20-37.



- Skemp, R. R. (1976). Relational understanding and instrumental understanding. *Mathematics Teaching*, 77, 20-26.
- Star, J. R. and Strickland, S.K. (2008). Learning to observe: using video to improve pre-service mathematics teachers' ability to notice. *Journal of Mathematics Teacher Education*, 11, 107-125.
- Taylor, P. M. (2002). Implementing the standards: Keys to establishing positive professional inertia in preservice mathematics teachers. *School Science and Mathematics*, 102(3), 137-142.
- Thompson, I. (2009). Mental calculation. *Mathematics Teaching*, (213), 40-42.
- Thompson, I. (2012). The Draft National Curriculum for Primary Mathematics. *Mathematics Teaching*, (230), 15-16.
- Zaslavsky, O. (2014). Thinking with and through examples. In S. Oesterle, C. Nicol, P. Liljedah and D. Allan (Eds.) *Proceedings of the 38th Conference of the International Group for the Psychology of Mathematics Education*, (1), 21-34. Vancouver, Canada: PME.



Authors

Gwen Ineson
Brunel University London, UK
email: gwen.ineson@brunel.ac.uk

Chronoula Voutsina
University of Southampton, UK
email: cv@soton.ac.uk

Helen Fielding
Nottingham Trent University, UK
email: helen.fielding@ntu.ac.uk

Patti Barber
University College London, Institute of Education, UK
email: p.barber@ioe.ac.uk

Tim Rowland
University of Cambridge, UK
email: tr202@cam.ac.uk

