

CONSISTENCY IN THE REPORTING OF SENSITIVE BEHAVIORS BY ADOLESCENT AMERICAN INDIAN WOMEN: A COMPARISON OF INTERVIEWING METHODS

Britta Mullany, PhD, MHS, Allison Barlow, MPH, MA, Nicole Neault, MPH, Trudy Billy, BS, Ranelda Hastings, BS, Valerie Coho-Mescal, Sherilyn Lorenzo, and John T. Walkup, MD

Abstract: Computer-assisted interviewing techniques have increasingly been used in program and research settings to improve data collection quality and efficiency. Little is known, however, regarding the use of such techniques with American Indian (AI) adolescents in collecting sensitive information. This brief compares the consistency of AI adolescent mothers' reporting of sensitive sexual and drug use behaviors gathered through three distinct interviewing techniques: computer-assisted (ACASI), self-administered questionnaire (SAQ), and face-to-face interview (FTFI). Endorsement of drug use and reporting of sexual activity was highest for ACASI, followed by SAQ, and was significantly lower for FTFI. Relatively strong agreement was measured between ACASI and SAQ, and relatively poor agreement was measured between the ACASI and FTFI. Findings support the use of computer-assisted interviewing techniques with AI adolescents, and implications for future research are discussed.

INTRODUCTION

Computer-assisted interviewing techniques have been increasingly explored in the last decade to improve reporting of sensitive behaviors. Compared to self-report or interview data collected on paper, audio computer-assisted interviewing (ACASI) can overcome literacy constraints by allowing participants to listen to questions over headphones, improve data quality and efficiency as data are uploaded directly as participants answer questions, and improve data validity by affording respondents greater privacy in answering questions. Available evidence suggests ACASI techniques yield higher reporting of both sexual behaviors (Langhaug, Sherr, & Cowan, 2010; Phillips, Gomez, Boily, &

Garnett, 2010) and drug use (Turner et al., 1998) compared to paper-and-pen self-administered questionnaires (SAQ) and in-person face-to-face interviews (FTFI)—although some cross-cultural differences have been found (Jaya, Hindin, & Ahmed, 2008).

Computer-assisted interviewing has rarely been used in research with American Indian (AI) communities. Low use is commonly attributed to resource constraints and concerns regarding computer literacy (Edwards et al., 2007). However, when ACASI was used to gather dietary and lifestyle information among Southwestern AIs, authors reported high usability and acceptance, particularly among younger participants (ages 18-49 years; Edwards et al., 2007; Murtaugh et al., 2010). Similarly, Redwood et al. (2010) successfully utilized ACASI techniques to examine tobacco use among AIs ages ≥ 18 years. To our knowledge, there are no previous studies comparing ACASI to other interviewing techniques among AI adolescents. The availability of accurate and valid data on sexual and drug use behaviors is critical for AI reservation communities due to urgent behavioral health disparities (Whitesell et al., 2007; Eaton et al., 2012) and the need for evidence-based intervention.

This brief compares consistency of data on sensitive sexual and drug use behaviors gathered through three interviewing techniques—ACASI, SAQ, and FTFI—as part of a randomized controlled trial in four Southwestern reservations among adolescent AI mothers.

METHODS

A total of 322 AI expectant adolescent mothers were enrolled during pregnancy in the Family Spirit Trial. The research goal was to evaluate the impact of a paraprofessional-delivered home- visiting intervention to reduce health and behavioral risks for AI teen mothers and children. The trial was conducted from 2006-2011, and its methods and participant characteristics have been previously published (Mullany et al., 2012). All study methods were approved by a total of 11 tribal research review and advisory boards and tribal councils, the Phoenix Area Indian Health Service Institutional Review Board, and the Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health Institutional Review Board. The intervention and its evaluation spanned a period of 39 months, from participants' third trimesters through their children's third birthdays. At the children's second birthdays, a methodological substudy was embedded in the trial to compare data quality on sensitive behaviors as gathered through ACASI, SAQ, and FTFI.

All three interviewing techniques (ACASI, SAQ, and FTFI) were administered to participants in a private location (home, vehicle, or private study office). Responses to drug use questions were compared across ACASI, SAQ, and FTFI formats. Sexual behavior responses were compared only between ACASI and FTFI because these data were not gathered via SAQ.

Drug use and sexual behavior questions were adapted from instruments previously used with AI populations, including the Voices of Indian Teens Project (Novins & Mitchell, 1998) and the Montana Meth Survey (Montana Meth Project, 2008). Drug use questions were asked nearly identically across all three formats (ACASI, SAQ, and FTFI). For example, lifetime use of marijuana was ascertained by asking “Have you ever tried marijuana?” on both the SAQ and ACASI. Past month use of marijuana was assessed by asking “On how many days did you use marijuana in the past month?” (ACASI), “How many times did you use marijuana in the last month?” (SAQ), and “How many times did you use marijuana in the past 30 days?” (FTFI). Sexual behavior questions aimed to gather the same data but used slightly different wording across ACASI and FTFI formats. Specifically, current sexual activity was asked as “Are you currently having sex?” on ACASI and as “Are you currently sexually active?” on FTFI. Contraceptive use was assessed by asking “Are you currently doing anything to prevent getting pregnant?” on ACASI and by asking “Are you currently using any method of protection?” on FTFI.

Analyses were limited to participants who completed different interview formats within a one-week period ($n = 92$ for women with ACASI, SAQ, and FTFI, $n = 129$ for women with SAQ and ACASI, and $n = 110$ for women with SAQ and FTFI). Since these analytic sample sizes well exceed the minimum number of approximately 66 individuals needed in interrater agreement studies to detect statistically significant kappa values at the $p < 0.05$ level for dichotomous variables (Sim & Wright, 2005), we had at least 90% power for each of our statistical tests.

We present risk behaviors by mode of interview using percentages. We calculated ratios of affirmative responses and their confidence intervals, and tested significance using McNemar’s chi-squared statistic for matched case-control data. We calculated Cohen’s kappa coefficients to measure agreement in responses to the same questions across different interview formats. Kappa values are interpreted as follows: 0.0-0.2 = poor agreement, 0.2-0.4 = fair agreement, 0.4-0.6 = moderate agreement, 0.6-0.8 = good agreement, and 0.8-1.0 = very good agreement. Mean differences in quantitative data were calculated using paired t -tests.

RESULTS

Respondents were ages 15-23 years (mean = 20.2). Unfortunately, our sample was not large enough to stratify by age groups with statistical significance, so we did not examine age differences between younger and older adolescents. Reported drug use and sexual behaviors are compared in Tables 1 and 2.

Table 1
Reported Endorsement of Past Month and Lifetime use of Drugs and Current Sexual Behaviors Across Interview Formats^a

Endorsement of Behavior	Interview Format		
	ACASI (% yes)	SAQ (% yes)	FTFI (% yes)
For women with ACASI, SAQ, and FTFI completed within one week of each other (<i>n</i> = 92)			
Past month alcohol use	16.3%	13.0%	8.7%
Past month marijuana use	14.1%	13.0%	2.2%
Past month crack/cocaine use	2.2%	2.2%	0.0%
Past month methamphetamine use	2.2%	3.3%	1.1%
For women with ACASI and SAQ completed within one week of each other (<i>n</i> = 129)			
Ever used alcohol	69.8%	73.4%	N/A
Ever used marijuana	65.9%	61.2%	N/A
Ever used crack/cocaine	15.5%	12.4%	N/A
Ever used methamphetamine	24.0%	14.7%	N/A
For women with ACASI and FTFI completed within one week of each other (<i>n</i> = 110)			
Currently sexually active	51.8%	N/A	35.5%
Currently using any contraception	30.0%	N/A	22.7%
Method of contraception:			
Pill	0.9%	N/A	2.7%
Condom	15.5%	N/A	16.4%
Depo-Provera	10.0%	N/A	2.7%
Withdrawal	0.9%	N/A	0.0%
Rhythm	5.5%	N/A	0.0%

^a ACASI = audio computer-assisted interview, SAQ = self-administered questionnaire, FTFI = face-to-face interview

Table 2
Comparison and Agreement Test Results of Reported Past Month and Lifetime Use of Drugs and Current Sexual Behaviors Across Interview Formats^a

ACASI versus SAQ					
Endorsement of Behavior	Ratio of Affirmative Responses on ACASI vs. SAQ	Ratio Confidence Interval	Kappa Score	% Said Yes on SAQ but No on ACASI	% Said Yes on ACASI but No on SAQ
For women with ACASI, SAQ, and FTFI completed within one week of each other (<i>n</i> = 92)					
Past month alcohol use	1.25	0.85-1.84	0.70	2.2%	5.4%
Past month marijuana use	1.08	0.72-1.64	0.68	3.3%	4.3%
Past month crack/cocaine use	1.00	1.00-1.00	1.00	0.0%	0.0%
Past month methamphetamine use	0.67	0.17-2.67	0.38	2.2%	1.1%
For women with ACASI and SAQ completed within one week of each other (<i>n</i> = 129)					
Ever used alcohol	0.96	0.88-1.04	0.69	7.8%	4.6%
Ever used marijuana	1.06	0.97-1.16	0.78	3.1%	7.0%
Ever used crack/cocaine	1.19	0.92-1.53	0.83	0.8%	3.1%
Ever used methamphetamine	1.63**	1.23-2.16	0.71	0.0%	9.3%
ACASI versus FTFI					
Endorsement of Behavior	Ratio of Affirmative Responses on ACASI vs. FTFI	Ratio Confidence Interval	Kappa Score	% Said Yes on FTFI but No on ACASI	% Said Yes on ACASI but No on FTFI
For women with ACASI, SAQ, and FTFI completed within one week of each other (<i>n</i> = 92)					
Past month alcohol use	1.88*	1.10-3.21	0.56	1.1%	8.7%
Past month marijuana use	6.50**	1.82-23.26	0.24	0.0%	12.0%
Past month crack/cocaine use	-	-	0.00	0.0%	2.2%
Past month methamphetamine use	2.00	0.50-7.99	0.66	0.0%	1.1%
For women with FTFI and ACASI completed within one week of each other (<i>n</i> = 110)					
Currently sexually active	1.46**	1.18-1.81	0.53	3.6%	20.0%
Currently using any contraception	1.32	0.97-1.79	0.53	5.4%	12.7%
Method of contraception:					
Pill	0.33	0.03-3.20	-0.01	2.7%	0.9%
Condom	0.94	0.65-1.37	0.63	5.4%	4.5%
Depo-Provera	3.67**	1.40-9.62	0.40	0.0%	7.3%
Withdrawal	-	-	0.00	0.0%	0.9%
Rhythm	-	-	0.00	0.0%	5.4%

^a ACASI = audio computer-assisted interview, SAQ = self-administered questionnaire, FTFI = face-to-face
 p* < .05, *p* < .01 for McNemar's significance probabilities from matched case-control analyses

Proportions reporting past month drug use were similar, and in some cases slightly higher, for ACASI versus SAQ (e.g., 16% vs. 13% for alcohol, 14% vs. 13% for marijuana). Past month alcohol (ratio = 1.88, $p < 0.05$) and marijuana (ratio = 6.50, $p < 0.01$) use were significantly higher on ACASI versus FTFI. Kappa values comparing ACASI and SAQ formats indicated good agreement for past month use of alcohol (0.70), marijuana (0.68), and cocaine (1.00), and fair agreement for methamphetamine use (0.38). Lower overall agreement was found between ACASI and FTFI (kappas ranging from 0-0.66).

With the exception of alcohol, reported lifetime drug use was higher on ACASI versus SAQ, including: marijuana (66% vs. 61%), cocaine (16% vs. 12%) and methamphetamine (24% vs. 15%). For methamphetamine, there was significant difference in ACASI versus SAQ formats (ratio = 1.63, $p < 0.01$). Agreement levels for lifetime use between ACASI and SAQ were in the good to very good range: methamphetamine (kappa = 0.71), marijuana (0.78), cocaine (0.83), and alcohol (0.69).

Regarding age at initiation, participants on average first used marijuana (mean age = 14.3 years, $SD = 2.1$), followed by alcohol (mean age = 14.6 years, $SD = 2.2$ years), crack/cocaine (mean age = 15.4 years, $SD = 1.8$), and methamphetamine (mean age = 16.6 years, $SD = 1.8$). No significant differences were found for mean age of first drug use for ACASI versus SAQ (unadjusted mean differences ranged from -0.22 to 0.53 and p values for t-test results ranged from 0.31-0.94; data not shown).

For sexual behaviors, a significantly larger proportion of participants reported being sexually active (52% vs. 36%) on ACASI versus FTFI (ratio = 1.46, $p < 0.01$). Though not statistically significant, more women reported using contraception on ACASI versus FTFI (30% vs. 23%). Agreement levels were in the moderate range (kappa = 0.53 for both). Agreement for reported contraception was highest for condom use (kappa = 0.63) and lowest for birth control pills, withdrawal, rhythm, and Depo-Provera (kappa values ranging from -.01 to 0). The only birth control with significant differences in reporting was Depo-Provera, with 3.67 times more women endorsing it on ACASI ($p < 0.01$).

DISCUSSION

Endorsement of drug use behaviors among this sample of AI adolescent mothers was highest for ACASI, followed by SAQ, and was significantly lower for FTFI. These patterns were seen consistently across different drug use questions, despite the similar contexts of question administration (e.g., question wording, setting and timing of data collection,). Kappa values indicated overall good to very good agreement between ACASI and SAQ, and poor to moderate agreement between the ACASI and FTFI. Quantitative questions (i.e., age of first use) were less affected by interview format.

The largest differentials in drug use reporting across data collection formats were seen for lifetime use of methamphetamine and past month use of alcohol and marijuana, with ACASI eliciting higher rates of reported use than SAQ or FTFI. While we were unable to determine what the “truth” actually was for these participants, we believe ACASI is likely to yield the most valid data, because participant response bias is more likely to lead youth to under-report, rather than over-report, illicit behavior. For example, in reporting of lifetime methamphetamine use, all cases of disagreement were attributed to respondents saying yes on ACASI and no on SAQ.

We feel it is easier to identify and understand possible motivations for youth to answer incorrectly and report that they had not used drugs when they really had (particularly when a study team member is conducting the interview or sitting nearby) than to answer incorrectly on a computer-administered questionnaire and say they had used drugs when they really had not. It is likely that participants perceived ACASI to be more confidential.

Our data on reporting of sexual behaviors were limited to comparisons between ACASI and FTFI. Respondents were significantly more likely to report sexual behaviors on ACASI versus FTFI, even though FTFI data were gathered by a trusted community member and eligibility criteria included being pregnant (thus revealing previous sexual activity). Regarding reported methods of contraception, agreement levels were highest for condoms and lowest for birth control pills, withdrawal, rhythm methods, and Depo-Provera. It is unclear why disagreement was so high for Depo-Provera, given it is not self-administered; this finding deserves further exploration.

Several other factors may have influenced the findings reported here. Agreement levels for drug use and sexual behavior reporting may have been influenced by the slightly different question wording across assessment formats. In most cases, however, the wording differences were so minor (e.g., “in the past month” vs. “in the last month,” “past month” vs. “past 30 days”), that they were unlikely to have significantly influenced disagreement levels. The exception is contraceptive use, where the differences in question wording were more substantial; it is possible that participants’ differing interpretations of the varied wording contributed to the measured disagreement levels in contraceptive use reporting.

Additionally, kappa coefficients are, in part, a function of the prevalence of an attribute (in this case, an affirmative response of the sensitive behavior). In situations of very low prevalence, chance agreement is higher, thereby reducing the value of the corresponding kappa statistic (Sim & Wright, 2005). The relatively low prevalence of past month use of methamphetamine and of crack/cocaine may have contributed to the lower kappa values for these questions.

To our knowledge, this is the first paper providing results from a methodological examination of three interviewing techniques among AI adolescents. This research supports the use of ACASI with

AI adolescents, given the urgent need for understanding high-risk behaviors, the utility of ACASI to overcome literacy issues, and ACASI's contribution to efficient data management.

Future research should examine whether or how age, education levels, or computer literacy influence agreement levels; perhaps individuals that are older, have more formal education, or have greater computer exposure would be more comfortable with the different interviewing techniques and less likely to modify their responses across assessment formats. In addition, the behavioral health field would be strengthened by further in-depth examination of the reporting differences found here. Conducting follow-up qualitative interviews with youth that have contributed discordant responses across data collection formats would help to determine underlying factors that influence reporting of sensitive behaviors, which could, in turn, strengthen the ability of behavioral and mental health program planners and researchers to implement the most appropriate and valid data collection methodologies in their local contexts.

REFERENCES

- Eaton, D. K., Kann, L., Kinchen, S., Shanklin, S., Flint, K. H., Hawkins, J....Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2012). Youth risk behavior surveillance – United States, 2011. *MMWR Surveillance Summary*, 61(4), 1-162. Retrieved from http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/ss6104a1.htm?s_cid=ss6104a1_w
- Edwards, S.L., Slattery, M.L., Murtaugh, M.A., Edwards, R.L., Bryner, J., Pearson, M.,...Tom-Orme, L. (2007). Development and use of touch-screen audio computer-assisted self-interviewing in a study of American Indians. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 165(11), 1336-1342. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwm019
- Jaya, Hindin, M.J., & Ahmed, S. (2008). Differences in young people's reports of sexual behaviors according to interview methodology: A randomized trial in India. *American Journal of Public Health*, 98(1), 169-174. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2006.099937
- Langhaug, L.F., Sherr, L., & Cowan, F.M. (2010). How to improve the validity of sexual behaviour reporting: Systematic review of questionnaire delivery modes in developing countries. *Tropical Medicine and International Health*, 15(3), 362-381. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3156.2009.02464.x
- Lessler, J. T., & O'Reilly, J. M. (1997). Mode of interview and reporting of sensitive issues: Design and implementation of audio computer-assisted self-interviewing. *NIDA Research Monograph*, 167, 366-82. Retrieved from http://archives.drugabuse.gov/pdf/monographs/monograph167/366-382_Lessler.pdf
- Montana Meth Project. (2008). Montana Meth: Use & Attitudes Survey 2008 – Statewide survey measuring attitudes & behaviors towards methamphetamine in Montana. New York: GfK Roper Public Affairs. Retrieved from http://www.foundation.methproject.org/documents/MMP_2008_Report_final.pdf

- Mullany, B.C., Barlow, A., Neault, N., Billy, T., Jones, T., Tortice, I., . . . Walkup, J.T. (2012). The Family Spirit Trial for American Indian teen mothers and their children: CBPR rationale, design, methods and baseline characteristics. *Prevention Science, 13*(5), 504-518. doi: 10.1007/s11121-012-0277-2
- Murtaugh, M.A., Ma, K.N., Greene, T., Redwood, D., Edwards, S., Johnson, J., . . . Slattery, M.L. (2010). Validation of a dietary history questionnaire for American Indian and Alaska Native people. *Ethnicity & Disease, 20*(4), 429-436. Retrieved from <http://www.ishib.org/ED/>
- Novins, D.K. & Mitchell, C. M. (1998). Factors associated with marijuana use among American Indian adolescents. *Addiction, 93*, 1693-1702. doi: 10.1046/j.1360-0443.1998.931116937.x
- Phillips, A.E., Gomez, G.B., Boily, M.C., & Garnett, G.P. (2010). A systematic review and meta-analysis of quantitative interviewing tools to investigate self-reported HIV and STI associated behaviours in low- and middle-income countries. *International Journal of Epidemiology, 39*(6), 1541-1555. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyq114
- Redwood, D., Lanier, A.P., Renner, C., Smith, J., Tom-Orme, L., & Slattery, M.L. (2010). Differences in cigarette and smokeless tobacco use among American Indian and Alaska Native people living in Alaska and the southwest United States. *Nicotine Tobacco Research, 12*(7), 791-796. doi: 10.1093/ntr/ntq087
- Sim, J. & Wright, C.C. (2005). The kappa statistic in reliability studies: Use, interpretation, and sample size requirements. *Physical Therapy, 85*(3), 257-268. Retrieved from <http://www.ptjournal.apta.org>
- Turner, C.F., Ku, L., Rogers, S.M., Lindberg, L.D., Pleck, J.H., & Sonenstein, F.L. (1998). Adolescent sexual behavior, drug use, and violence: Increased reporting with computer survey technology. *Science, 280*(5365), 867-873. doi: 10.1126/science.280.5365.867
- Whitesell, N.R., Beals, J., Mitchell, C.M., Novins, D.K., Spicer, P., O'Connell, J., & Manson, S.M. (2007). Marijuana initiation in 2 American Indian reservation communities: Comparison with a national sample. *American Journal of Public Health, 97*(7), 1311-1318. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2005.071266

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We respectfully acknowledge the mothers and children who participated in this study, and all study team members. We give thanks to tribal leaders and community stakeholders who generously contributed time and wisdom to shaping the study. We are grateful to Indian Health Service for their long-standing collaboration in health promotion and for their review of the research. *Disclaimer:* The opinions expressed are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of Indian Health Service.

Financial support for this work was provided by National Institute on Drug Abuse (R01 DA019042).

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Dr. Mullany, Ms. Barlow, Ms., Neault, Ms. Billy, Ms. Hastings, Ms. Coho-Mescal, and Ms. Lorenzo are with Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Center for American Indian Health. Dr. Mullany is the corresponding author. She can be reached at Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Center for American Indian Health, 621 North Washington Street, Baltimore, MD, 21205, (410) 955-6931, or bmullany@jhsph.edu. Dr. Walkup is with Weill Cornell Medical College and Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Center for American Indian Health.