Understanding the Educational Implications of Autism Spectrum Traits: Insights from the Deenz Autism Spectrum Profile Assessment Scale (DASPAS-42)

Deen Mohd Dar
Indira Gandhi National Open University
Date of completion: **15/12/2024**
https://orcid.org/0009-0002-5203-8054

Abstract

This study explores the educational implications of autism spectrum traits as assessed by the Deenz Autism Spectrum Profile Assessment Scale (DASPAS-42). The scale evaluates 14 dimensions: socialization, communication, sensory processing, flexibility, routine adherence, repetition, emotional sensitivity, anxiety, empathy, motor skills, attention, transitions, expression, and special interests. These traits encompass the challenges and strengths that influence students' educational experiences and outcomes.

A case study of 45 students aged 10 to 18 highlights how autism spectrum traits manifest in educational settings, shaping classroom engagement, peer relationships, learning strategies, and transitions. Socialization and communication difficulties were found to impact group work and teacher-student interactions, while challenges in sensory processing and flexibility interfered with adapting to new tasks or environments. Conversely, traits like special interests and hyperfocus often contributed to deep engagement and specialized skill development when supported effectively.

Findings underline the importance of understanding autism spectrum traits for designing inclusive educational practices. The study provides actionable recommendations, such as implementing differentiated instruction, developing individualized support plans, and creating sensory-friendly classroom environments. These strategies aim to foster inclusive learning spaces that not only address challenges but also leverage the unique strengths of students with autism.

Introduction

Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) is a neurodevelopmental condition that affects social communication, sensory processing, and behavioral flexibility (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). With one in 36 children now diagnosed with ASD in the United States (Maenner et al., 2021), there is a growing need to understand how autism traits influence educational outcomes. Despite the increased inclusion of students with autism in mainstream classrooms, many educators face challenges in meeting their diverse needs (Fleury et al., 2014).

The Deenz Autism Spectrum Profile Assessment Scale (DASPAS-42) was developed to evaluate key dimensions of autism spectrum traits in individuals. This study examines how

the 14 dimensions measured by DASPAS-42 manifest in educational settings and offers insights into their implications for teaching and learning. By understanding these traits, educators can better tailor their strategies to support students with autism, enhancing their learning experiences and overall educational outcomes.

Objectives

The primary objectives of this study were:

1. To analyze the impact of autism spectrum traits on students' academic performance, classroom engagement, and peer relationships.
2. To identify effective educational strategies that address the challenges while leveraging the strengths of students with autism.
3. To bridge the gap between diagnostic tools like the DASPAS-42 and practical interventions in educational settings.

Methodology

Participants

The study involved 45 students with autism spectrum traits, aged 10 to 18, from mainstream and special education schools. Participants were selected based on caregiver-reported and teacher-identified challenges using the DASPAS-42. The sample represented diverse socioeconomic and cultural backgrounds to ensure a comprehensive understanding of the educational implications of autism traits.

Data Collection

Quantitative data were collected using the DASPAS-42, which evaluates 14 dimensions on a Likert scale. Scores were analyzed to identify patterns and correlations among different traits. Qualitative data were gathered through semi-structured interviews with educators, caregivers, and students (where possible) to understand the contextual factors affecting learning experiences. Classroom observations were also conducted to document how autism traits manifested in real-time educational settings.

Data Analysis

Quantitative data were analyzed using descriptive statistics and correlation analysis to identify trends and relationships between the 14 dimensions. Qualitative data were subjected to thematic analysis, focusing on recurring challenges, effective strategies, and unique insights reported by participants. This mixed-methods approach provided a holistic understanding of the educational implications of autism traits.

Findings and Discussion

Socialization and Communication

Difficulties in socialization and communication were reported for 87% of participants, consistent with findings from Jacklin and Farr (2005). These challenges often resulted in social isolation and limited participation in group activities. For example, one teacher noted:

> "Group work can be particularly challenging. Many students with autism struggle to initiate or sustain conversations, which can lead to misunderstandings with peers."

To address these challenges, structured social skills training programs, such as peer-mediated interventions, have proven effective (Ostmeyer & Scarpa, 2012). Teachers also reported success with role-playing activities and visual aids that help students interpret social cues and navigate interactions.

Sensory Processing and Classroom Environment

Sensory sensitivities, particularly to noise and light, were noted in 78% of students, aligning with the findings of Ashburner et al. (2008). These sensitivities often led to disengagement, anxiety, and behavioral disruptions in the classroom. For example, one student described feeling overwhelmed during school assemblies due to the loud noise and crowded environment.

Creating sensory-friendly classrooms was identified as a critical intervention. Strategies included providing noise-canceling headphones, dimmable lights, and designated "calm zones" where students could retreat when overwhelmed. Sensory breaks, tailored to individual needs, significantly improved focus and participation.

Flexibility and Routine Adherence

Challenges in transitioning between tasks and adapting to schedule changes were observed in 72% of participants. These findings support previous research by Perfitt (2013), which highlighted the impact of inflexibility on students' ability to manage changes in routine.

Visual schedules, clear routines, and advanced notice of changes were effective in reducing anxiety and promoting smoother transitions. One educator shared:

> "We use visual timers and picture schedules to help students prepare for transitions. Knowing what to expect reduces their stress and improves compliance."

Repetition and Special Interests

Repetitive behaviors and intense focus on special interests were identified as common traits, with 65% of students displaying a high level of engagement in specific topics. When educators incorporated these interests into the curriculum, students demonstrated exceptional engagement and creativity. For instance, a student with a passion for robotics excelled in STEM subjects when allowed to integrate their interest into classroom projects.

This aligns with Koenig and Williams' (2017) assertion that leveraging special interests can enhance learning outcomes.

Emotional Sensitivity and Anxiety

Emotional sensitivity and anxiety were prevalent in 82% of participants. These traits often manifested as heightened reactions to criticism, fear of failure, or difficulty coping with stressful situations. Educators emphasized the importance of creating a supportive classroom climate where mistakes are viewed as learning opportunities. Mindfulness activities and cognitive-behavioral strategies were also effective in helping students manage anxiety.

Motor Skills and Attention

Fine and gross motor skill challenges were noted in 58% of students, affecting activities such as writing, cutting, or participating in physical education. Occupational therapy and adaptive tools, such as pencil grips and modified scissors, were instrumental in addressing these challenges. Attention-related differences, including difficulties with sustained focus, required individualized instructional strategies, such as breaking tasks into smaller, manageable steps and using visual aids.

Case Study Analysis

Student Profiles

Student A: A 12-year-old with high sensory sensitivity who excelled in math but struggled in noisy environments. Providing a quiet workspace and integrating math-related puzzles significantly improved performance.

Student B: A 16-year-old with a strong interest in history who struggled with transitions. A personalized learning plan incorporating their interest in historical timelines increased engagement and reduced frustration during class changes.

Student C: A 10-year-old with severe anxiety who often avoided group activities. Incorporating mindfulness exercises and assigning a peer buddy helped build confidence and improve participation.

Cross-Case Analysis

The case studies highlight the variability in how autism spectrum traits manifest and the need for individualized approaches. While some students required environmental modifications, others benefited more from social and emotional support. The common thread was the importance of understanding each student's unique profile and tailoring interventions accordingly.

Recommendations for Educators and Policymakers

1. **Differentiated Instruction**: Tailor teaching strategies to accommodate diverse learning styles and needs. For example, use visual aids, hands-on activities, and technology to enhance engagement.
2. **Individualized Support Plans**: Develop IEPs (Individualized Education Programs) that address specific challenges and leverage strengths. Include input from students, caregivers, and educators.
3. **Sensory-Friendly Environments**: Equip classrooms with tools and spaces that support sensory regulation, such as noise-canceling headphones, fidget tools, and calm zones.
4. **Social Skills Training**: Implement programs that teach students how to navigate social interactions and build peer relationships.
5. **Professional Development**: Provide training for educators on autism spectrum traits, inclusive practices, and evidence-based interventions.
6. **Parent-Teacher Collaboration**: Foster strong partnerships between caregivers and educators to ensure consistency in strategies across home and school environments.
7. **Policy Advocacy**: Advocate for policies that support funding for inclusive education, professional development, and resources for students with autism.

Conclusion

This study underscores the importance of understanding autism spectrum traits for creating inclusive and supportive educational environments. The DASPAS-42 serves as a valuable tool for identifying students' strengths and challenges, guiding interventions that address their unique needs. By fostering collaboration among educators, caregivers, and policymakers, we can ensure that students with autism have the opportunity to thrive academically and socially.

Acknowledgement of Funding

No funding was received for this research. We extend our gratitude to the participating schools, educators, and families for their invaluable contributions to this study.

Approval from Institutional Review Board (IRB): The study protocol was reviewed and approved by an independent ethics committee of IGNOU to ensure compliance with ethical guidelines.

Data Availability: No restrictions apply to the availability of the data supporting this study's findings. The dataset, which includes anonymized participant scores and qualitative responses, can be made available upon reasonable request from the corresponding author. Researchers seeking access to the dataset should provide a clear purpose aligned with ethical guidelines and ensure the data will be used exclusively for scholarly purposes.

References

1. American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (5th ed.).* Washington, DC: APA Publishing.
2. Dar, D. M. (2025). Development and Validity of the Deenz Autism Spectrum Profile Assessment Scale (DASPAS-42). *Cambridge Open Engage*. doi:10.33774/coe-2025-6cmcz [preprint] [Computerized Version]
3. Ashburner, J., Ziviani, J., & Rodger, S. (2008). Sensory processing and classroom emotional, behavioral, and educational outcomes in children with autism spectrum disorder. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy, 62*(5), 564-573.
4. Fleury, V. P., Hedges, S., Hume, K., et al. (2014). Addressing the academic needs of students with autism spectrum disorder in inclusive settings: A systematic review. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 44*(10), 2486-2500.
5. Jacklin, A., & Farr, W. (2005). The inclusion of pupils with autistic spectrum disorders in mainstream schools. *Educational Psychology in Practice, 21*(4), 307-321.
6. Koenig, K. P., & Williams, L. H. (2017). Characterizing the impact of special interests on learning in children with autism spectrum disorder. *Autism Research and Treatment, 2017*, 1-9.
7. Maenner, M. J., Shaw, K. A., Baio, J., et al. (2021). Prevalence of autism spectrum disorder among children aged 8 years — Autism and Developmental Disabilities Monitoring Network, 11 Sites, United States, 2018. *MMWR Surveillance Summaries, 70*(11), 1-16.
8. Ostmeyer, K., & Scarpa, A. (2012). Examining the effectiveness of a peer-mediated intervention for social skills training in children with autism spectrum disorders. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 42*(10), 2047-2056.
9. Perfitt, R. (2013). Transitioning between activities: Implications for children with autism spectrum disorder. *International Journal of Special Education, 28*(3), 45-52.