

# Academic Writing in Educational Research: Some Useful Guidelines

By

*Dr Mahmoud M. S. Abdallah*

Associate Professor of Curriculum & English Language Instruction (TESOL/TEFL)

Faculty of Education, Assiut University

Email: [mahmoud.abdallah@aun.edu.eg](mailto:mahmoud.abdallah@aun.edu.eg)

Mobile: (+2) 01011953743

26 October 2024

## Introduction

The landscape of educational research demands increasingly sophisticated approaches to scholarly communication. As the field expands and diversifies, researchers face complex challenges in articulating their findings across various methodological traditions, from quantitative analyses to qualitative inquiries and mixed-methods approaches. The ability to navigate these diverse research paradigms while maintaining rigorous academic standards has become essential for meaningful contributions to educational scholarship. This article addresses these challenges by providing systematic guidelines for academic writing that transcend methodological boundaries, offering researchers practical strategies for crafting clear, ethical, and impactful scholarly work. Through careful attention to structure, style, and scholarly conventions, researchers can more effectively advance educational knowledge while maintaining the highest standards of academic integrity.

Academic writing in educational research is both complex and dynamic, demanding a deep understanding of various methodological approaches, ethical considerations, and stylistic conventions. Hence, this article provides a comprehensive overview of key guidelines and best practices that researchers and students should consider when engaging in scholarly writing within the field of education.

The diversity of research paradigms in education, spanning quantitative, qualitative, and mixed methods approaches, necessitates a flexible yet rigorous approach to academic writing. Each methodology carries its own set of reporting standards and stylistic expectations, as outlined by authoritative bodies such as the American Psychological Association (APA, 2020). Researchers must be adept at navigating these varied requirements while maintaining the integrity and clarity of their work.

Central to effective academic writing is the adherence to ethical, legal, and professional standards. This includes ensuring the accuracy of scientific findings, protecting the rights and welfare of research participants, and respecting intellectual property rights (American Psychological Association, 2017a). The importance of these ethical considerations cannot be overstated, as they form the foundation of credible and impactful research.

The article emphasises the critical role of clarity and conciseness in scholarly communication. Effective academic writing strikes a balance between formal language and accessibility, employing appropriate vocabulary, sentence structures, and transitional phrases to convey complex ideas with precision. The judicious use of active voice, hedging language, and cautious generalizations contributes to a more nuanced and persuasive presentation of research findings.

Proper citation practices are fundamental to academic integrity and the advancement of knowledge. Therefore, this article stresses the importance of appropriate citation levels, avoiding both under-citation and over-citation, and understanding the nuances of self-citation. These practices not only prevent plagiarism but also situate one's work within the broader scholarly discourse.

Besides, for graduate students and early-career researchers, the process of adapting dissertations or theses into journal articles presents unique challenges and opportunities. The strategies outlined for reformatting and focusing these longer works for journal publication provide a valuable roadmap for transitioning from student to professional scholar.

As the field of educational research continues to evolve, so too must the approaches to academic writing. The increasing emphasis on open science practices, interdisciplinary collaboration, and the rapid dissemination of findings through digital platforms necessitates an ongoing reflection on and refinement of writing practices. Researchers must remain adaptable, embracing new technologies and communication methods while upholding the core principles of academic rigour and integrity.

Sharing research results with the academic and scientific community is an essential part of the research process. While researchers may share their work informally, the most widely accepted way of formal scholarly communication is through publication in a peer-reviewed scientific journal (American Psychological Association, 2020). These journals serve as a store of accumulated knowledge in a field. Students, as members of the scholarly community, also produce written work deserving of the same care and attention to detail as professional writing. Hence, this article presents some useful guidelines for academic writing in educational research (American Psychological Association, 2020).

## **Understanding Different Types of Articles**

### **Quantitative Articles**

Quantitative articles report on original, empirical, and quantitative research. In quantitative research, observed outcomes are numerically represented and analysed using statistical and modelling techniques. Quantitative articles may present new hypotheses and data analyses. Authors should describe their

research using the first person and follow quantitative journal article reporting standards, such as those outlined by the APA Publications and Communications Board Task Force Report (Appelbaum et al., 2018). Quantitative articles may report on several conceptually linked studies in one manuscript. In such cases, the rationale, logic, order, and method of each study should be clear. Authors can use headings such as "Experiment 1" and "Experiment 2" to label and organise each study. A comprehensive general discussion of all studies should appear at the end of the article.

### **Qualitative Articles**

Qualitative articles report on original, empirical, and qualitative research. Qualitative research generates knowledge about human experience and action, including social processes. Qualitative approaches tend to have the following characteristics:

- Researchers analyse data consisting of natural language (i.e. words), researcher observations, and/or participants' expressions, rather than using numerical data.
- Reports tend to present qualitative findings using natural language, although numbers may be used.
- Researchers often use an iterative process of analysis in which they re-examine and refine initial findings in light of continued data analysis.
- Researchers combine inquiry with methods that require reflexivity about how their perspectives may influence the research process.
- Researchers study experiences and actions whose meaning may shift and evolve and view findings as situated within a particular time and place, rather than seeking to develop universal laws (Levitt et al., 2018).

### **Mixed Methods Articles**

Mixed methods articles report on original, empirical research that uses both quantitative and qualitative approaches. Mixed methods research leads to a deeper understanding of data and provides enhanced insights not available

from using just one approach (Creswell, 2015; Greene, 2007). Authors may publish multiple papers from a single mixed methods study.

### **Methodological Articles**

Methodological articles do not present research but describe advancements in theories or methods. They should provide enough detail to allow readers to assess the applicability and feasibility of the methodology, as well as to compare the proposed methods with those currently in use (Tashakkori & Teddlie, 2010). Highly technical materials should be placed in appendices or supplemental materials.

### **Student Papers, Dissertations, and Theses**

While the *Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association* was originally intended for authors seeking publication in scholarly journals, it is also widely used by academic institutions (American Psychological Association, 2020). Students may write similar types of articles to those professionally published, as well as assignments, including dissertations and theses, not intended for publication.

Dissertations and theses, typically required of graduate students, vary in length and format across institutions and disciplines. Some dissertations and theses consist of a single long document containing a thorough literature review and an extensive reference list, while others follow a multiple-article format.

## **Ethical, Legal, and Professional Standards in Publishing**

### ***Ensuring the Accuracy of Scientific Findings***

Authors of scholarly research should follow ethical, legal, and professional standards when conducting a research study. These principles ensure the accuracy of scientific findings, protect the rights and welfare of research participants, and protect intellectual property rights (American Psychological Association, 2017a). A key aspect of meeting academic writing standards is

ensuring the accuracy and ethical presentation of scientific findings. This involves meticulously planning for ethical compliance throughout the research process. Authors must accurately report research results and address any errors, corrections, or retractions after publication. They must also adhere to guidelines for data retention and sharing, avoiding duplicate or piecemeal publication. Additionally, upholding intellectual property rights and attributing the work of others through proper citations are crucial ethical considerations

### ***Protecting the Rights and Welfare of Research Participants and Subjects***

Research conducted with human participants or non-human animal subjects must be approved by an institutional review board (IRB), institutional animal care and use committee (IACUC), or another ethical committee. Authors writing about human participants must protect their confidentiality (American Psychological Association, 2017a).

### ***Protecting Intellectual Property Rights***

All written work should represent original contributions and include appropriate citations to the work of others (American Psychological Association, 2020).

### ***Duplicate and Piecemeal Publication***

Authors should present their work as completely and concisely as possible. Data that can be combined within a single article should be presented together. Piecemeal, or fragmented, publication of research findings from a single study can be misleading and is generally undesirable. In cases where data from a study has been presented in other published or submitted works, authors should inform the journal editor. When creating multiple reports from a large study, authors should cite prior reports and clarify the degree of sample overlap.

### ***Editing and Proofreading***

Many academic sources underscore the importance of thorough revision and editing. This involves scrutinising the content for clarity, relevance, and accuracy, as well as ensuring that tables and figures are used judiciously and do not merely duplicate information presented in the text. Seeking feedback

from colleagues or utilising writing centres can also be valuable during the revision process

## **Writing Style and Grammar**

the ultimate goal of academic writing is to present ideas in a manner that is clear, concise, and easily understood by the target audience. This involves making informed decisions about sentence structure, word choice, and tone, while adhering to the conventions of scholarly discourse. While stylistic choices can be influenced by disciplinary norms and personal preferences, the overarching objective remains the same: to communicate complex ideas effectively, fostering understanding and engagement with the reader.

### **Clarity and Conciseness**

The goal of scholarly writing is clear communication. This can be achieved by presenting ideas in a well-organised and concise manner. Unnecessary words should be omitted, as should redundant language. For example, instead of writing "at the present time", use "now".

### **Formality**

Scholarly writing should use formal language. For example, avoid casual phrasing such as "Gerard (2019) completely overlooked". Academic writing should adopt a professional and formal tone, avoiding ambiguity and stylistic embellishments that detract from the clarity of the message. Differences of opinion between researchers should be presented professionally and respectfully.

### **Active Voice**

Use the active voice where possible and appropriate.

### **Transition Words and Phrases**

Transition words and phrases such as 'however' help connect ideas.

### **Vocabulary**

When there is a choice between using a verb + preposition and a single verb, academic writers tend to prefer the single verb.

### **Personal Pronouns**

The use of the personal pronoun "I" varies across disciplines. It is used less frequently in Computer Science and more frequently in Philosophy.

### **Contractions**

The use of contractions is also subject to disciplinary variation. For instance, contractions may be used in Philosophy, but not in many other fields.

### **Hedging**

Authors often use hedging language such as 'may', 'appear to', or 'seem' to soften a point.

### **Cautious Generalisations**

Academic writers should be cautious about making broad generalisations.

## **References**

APA (2020) underscores the importance of adhering to established formatting guidelines, such as those outlined in the APA Manual, to ensure consistency and clarity in presenting references. This includes elements like alphabetical ordering, proper indentation (hanging indent), and accurate presentation of author names, publication dates, titles, and source information. Precise and consistent documentation not only enhances the credibility of the research but also facilitates the seamless integration of sources into the broader academic discourse. This includes:

### **Appropriate Level of Citation**

Cite the work of those whose ideas, theories, or research have directly influenced your work. Cite only works that you have read and ideas that you have incorporated into your writing. Use primary sources where possible and secondary sources sparingly. Provide documentation for all facts and figures that are not common knowledge (American Psychological Association, 2020).

### **Under-citation and Over-citation**

Avoid both under-citation and over-citation. Under-citation can lead to plagiarism. Over-citation is unnecessary and can be distracting. For instance, it is not necessary to repeat the same citation in every sentence if the source and topic have not changed.

### **Self-Plagiarism**

Avoid self-plagiarism by following these guidelines:

- Ensure that the new document makes an original contribution to knowledge and that only the amount of previously published material needed to understand that contribution is included.
- Place all duplicated material in a single paragraph or a few paragraphs and include a citation at the beginning or end of each paragraph.
- Introduce duplicated material with a phrase such as "as I have previously discussed" and do not use quotation marks or block quotation formatting.
- When re-analysing previously published data, provide enough information about the project for readers to evaluate the current report, but do not repeat all of the details of the design and method (American Psychological Association, 2020).

### **Quotations**

When quoting, use quotation marks around short quotations and block formatting for longer quotations. Ensure that the quoted material is grammatically correct within the context of your paper. Omit citations that appear at the end of a quoted passage. For example, if you are quoting a passage in which another author has summarised the results of many studies, cite only the author of the summary and omit the citations at the end of the original sentence (American Psychological Association, 2020).

### **Reference List**

A reference list provides the information needed to identify and retrieve each work cited in the text. Choose references carefully and include only works used in the research and writing of your paper. Unlike a bibliography, a reference list cites only works that specifically support the ideas, claims, and concepts in a paper (American Psychological Association, 2020).

## **Adapting a Dissertation or Thesis Into a Journal Article**

A dissertation or thesis may serve as the basis for a new researcher's first published work. Two main strategies can be used to reformat a dissertation or thesis into a journal article:

- **Multiple-paper format:** This strategy involves structuring the dissertation or thesis as a series of papers formatted for journal submission. This approach has the advantage of having your work already formatted and at a length appropriate for journal guidelines.
- **Reformatting:** This strategy involves reformatting the dissertation or thesis after the defence to fit the scope and style of a journal article. This often means shortening the paper and focusing on a specific topic.

When reformatting a dissertation or thesis into a journal article, consider making the following adjustments:

- Shorten the paper by removing unnecessary text and sections.
- Include only the most pertinent references.
- Remove extraneous content from the introduction and end with a clear description of the research questions.
- Provide enough detail in the Method section to allow readers to understand how the data were collected and evaluated.
- Report only the most relevant results in the Results and Discussion sections.
- Use tables and figures only when they are essential.

### **Promoting Your Article**

Authors are encouraged to promote their articles after publication. They can do this by sharing short summaries on social media.

## **Conclusion**

Following the guidelines presented in this article will help researchers and students produce clear, concise, and well-argued academic writing. It is essential to adhere to ethical, legal, and professional standards in publishing, including protecting intellectual property rights, ensuring the accuracy of

scientific findings, and protecting the rights and welfare of research participants.

The importance of these writing skills extends beyond individual career advancement; it impacts the very nature of knowledge dissemination and the potential for research to influence educational policy and practice. As such, investing time and effort in developing strong academic writing skills is not just beneficial for individual researchers, but crucial for the advancement of the field as a whole.

Moving forward, it is imperative that educational institutions, professional organizations, and individual researchers continue to prioritize the development of academic writing skills. This may involve more targeted training programs, mentorship opportunities, and ongoing professional development focused on scholarly communication. By fostering a culture of excellence in academic writing, the educational research community can ensure that valuable insights and discoveries are effectively shared, critiqued, and built upon, ultimately leading to more informed and effective educational practices worldwide.

In conclusion, mastering the art of academic writing in educational research is an ongoing process that requires continuous learning and adaptation. By adhering to the guidelines presented in this article – from understanding different article types and methodological approaches to maintaining ethical standards and honing writing style – researchers can more effectively contribute to the collective knowledge base in education. As the field progresses, the ability to communicate research findings clearly, ethically, and persuasively will remain paramount in advancing educational theory and practice.

## References

- Appelbaum, M., Cooper, H., Kline, R. B., Mayo-Wilson, E., Nezu, A. M., & Rao, S. M. (2018). Journal article reporting standards for quantitative research in psychology: The APA publications and communications board task force report. *American Psychologist*, *73*(1), 3–25. <https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000191>
- American Psychological Association. (2017a). Ethical principles of psychologists and code of conduct. <https://www.apa.org/ethics/code>
- American Psychological Association. (2017b). Multicultural guidelines: An ecological approach to context, identity, and intersectionality. <https://www.apa.org/pi/oema/resources/multicultural-guidelines.pdf>
- American Psychological Association. (2020). Publication manual of the American Psychological Association (7th ed.). <https://doi.org/10.1037/0000165-000>
- Creswell, J. W. (2015). A concise introduction to mixed methods research. SAGE Publications.
- Greene, J. C. (2007). Mixed methods in social inquiry. Jossey-Bass.
- Hallock, J. L., & Dillner, M. S. (2016). The impact of article title length on citation frequency in the social sciences. *Scientometrics*, *107*(3), 1497–1508. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-016-1917-5>
- Jamali, H. R., & Nikzad, M. (2011). Article title type and its relation with the number of downloads and citations. *Scientometrics*, *88*(2), 653–661. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-011-0419-5>
- Levitt, H. M., Bamberg, M., Creswell, J. W., Frost, D. M., Josselson, R., & Suárez-Orozco, C. (2018). Journal article reporting standards for qualitative primary, qualitative meta-analytic, and mixed methods research in psychology: The APA publications and communications board task force report. *American Psychologist*, *73*(1), 26–46. <https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000151>

- Levitt, H. M., Creswell, J. W., & Josselson, R. (2017). Quality and rigor in qualitative research in psychology. *American Psychologist*, 72(1), 21–30. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0040726>
- Lillis, T. (1999). Whose 'common sense'? Essayist literacy and the problem of voice. *TESOL Quarterly*, 33(2), 333–355. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3587715>
- Smith, F. (2008). [Review of the book *Academic writing for graduate students: Essential tasks and skills*, 2nd ed., by J. M. Swales & C. B. Feak]. *ESP News*, 13(2).
- Tashakkori, A., & Teddlie, C. (2010). *Handbook of mixed methods in social & behavioral research*. SAGE Publications.