

## Algebra in the Digital Age: Mastering Concepts with Online Learning Objects

**Ruşen Meylani**

Istanbul Aydın University, Türkiye,  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3121-6088>

**Gary G. Bitter**

Arizona State University, USA,  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9099-1666>

**Abstract:** The use of online learning objects in teaching algebra is examined in this research, emphasizing its benefits, such as accessibility, flexibility, interactive involvement, differentiated instruction, quick feedback, and links to real-world situations. Strategies include conceptual comprehension, interactive practice, individualized learning, real-world applications, and collaborative learning. It is investigated how to enhance cognitive processes, including mathematical reasoning, problem-solving abilities, metacognition, and learning transfer. Motivational variables such as personalization, collaboration, relevance, authenticity, goal-setting, and progress tracking are examined. The link between using online learning objects to teach algebra and fostering a growth mindset in math is reviewed, with techniques stressing effort, perseverance, constructive criticism, and a supportive learning environment. There is also research into neuroscientific topics, including multimodal learning, cognitive load theory, active learning techniques, efficient feedback, and customization. In conclusion, including online learning objects in algebra instruction may increase students' mathematical skills, boost learning opportunities, and build a positive learning environment that encourages growth mindsets and unlocks students' potential in algebra.

**Keywords:** Algebra, Digital Age, Online Learning Objects, Accessibility, Flexibility, Interactive Engagement, Differentiated Instruction, Immediate Feedback, Real-world Connections, Conceptual Understanding, Personalized Learning, Collaborative Learning, Mathematical Thinking, Problem-Solving Skills, Metacognition, Learning Transfer, Relevance, Authenticity, Goal Setting, Progress Monitoring, Mathematical Growth Mindset, Effort, Persistence, Constructive Feedback, Positive Learning Culture, Multisensory Learning, Cognitive Load Theory, Active Learning Strategies, Effective Feedback, Neuroscientific Aspects, Ethical Considerations, Evaluation and Assessment Tools, Traditional Teaching Methods, Cognitive Development, Educator Roles, Parental Involvement, Community Engagement, Fixed Mindsets, Technology Impact

**Citation:** Meylani, R. & Bitter, G.G. (2023). Algebra in the Digital Age: Mastering Concepts with Online Learning Objects. In M. Demirbilek, M. S. Ozturk, & M. Unal (Eds.). *Proceedings of the ICSES 2023—International Conference on Studies in Education and Social Sciences* (pp. 252–274). Antalya, Türkiye. ISTES Organization.

## Introduction

### Background on Algebra Instruction

The development of students' mathematical reasoning and problem-solving abilities depends heavily on their algebra training (Salsabila, 2019). The digital age has brought significant educational changes, including integrating digital technology into the teaching and learning processes (O'Dwyer et al., 2007). By providing additional opportunities for personalized and interactive learning experiences, digital technology, such as online learning objects, may enhance algebra instruction.

#### *The Emergence of Digital Technology in Education*

Digital technology has fundamentally altered education by creating new chances for teaching and learning (O'Dwyer et al., 2007). Integrating technology into learning settings is now feasible because of the rise in internet and digital device accessibility (O'Dwyer et al., 2007). Online learning objects, in particular, have increased in popularity in algebra teaching because of their ability to provide interactive engagement, immediate feedback, and real-world connections (O'Dwyer et al., 2007).

#### *Objectives of the Study*

This research aims to examine how online learning objects are used to teach algebra and assess their advantages and potential for enhancing students' mathematical abilities. The research intends to investigate approaches for integrating online learning resources into mathematics sessions while considering cognitive traits, motivating factors, and neuroscientific ideas. The initiative also aims to understand the relationship between teaching algebra using online learning resources and cultivating a growth attitude in mathematics.

#### *Importance of Online Learning Objects in Algebra*

The use of online learning materials to teach algebra has several advantages. Students may access course materials whenever and wherever they desire because of their flexibility and accessibility (Salsabila, 2019). Online educational resources also promote interactive involvement, enabling students to participate in their education and use their mathematical skills actively (O'Dwyer et al., 2007).

Additionally, they provide differentiated training, enabling customized learning experiences catered to the needs of specific individuals (O'Dwyer et al., 2007). Students may track their progress and make real-time adjustments thanks to the immediate feedback offered by online learning objects (O'Dwyer et al., 2007). Online learning objects also make it easier for students to relate algebraic ideas to practical applications, which improves their comprehension and motivation (O'Dwyer et al., 2007).

### *Scope and Limitations of the Review*

The main topics of this study are the use of online learning objects in algebra instruction and their effects on students' learning processes and mathematical proficiency. It investigates methods for incorporating online learning resources into mathematics lessons while taking cognitive, motivational, and neuroscientific concepts into account. It is crucial to remember that this evaluation has certain restrictions. It primarily draws on already published research papers and only addresses some facets of online learning materials for algebra training. Furthermore, since it is based on the literature published up to a specific date, the review could not cover the most current advancements in the subject.

## **Online Learning Objects in Algebra Teaching**

### **Definition and Types**

Online learning objects are digital assets or materials created to help improve the understanding of algebraic ideas using online learning environments. These resources may include interactive simulations, digital manipulatives, multimedia presentations, movies, tests, and online textbooks, among other things. They were built to foster active learning, offer practice and feedback opportunities, and promote a conceptual grasp of algebra (Meylani et al., 2015).

### *Historical Overview*

Due to improvements in digital technology and growing internet accessibility, the use of online learning objects in algebra instruction has become more prevalent in recent years. Using digital technology in the classroom has altered conventional teaching strategies and created new opportunities for providing algebra instruction. The development of more interactive and exciting materials for algebra training has been made possible by technological improvements throughout time (Perry & Pilati, 2011).

### *Advantages*

In teaching algebra, online learning objects provide several benefits (Yuhanna et al., 2020). They first offer accessibility and adaptability, enabling students to access educational resources whenever and wherever they want. Students who do not have regular access to conventional classroom settings or who need extra help outside of scheduled class times would significantly benefit from this flexibility. Second, interactive online learning objects encourage student participation in the learning process and allow them to apply algebraic principles. They often include interactive elements that improve student engagement and comprehension, such as drag-and-drop exercises, digital manipulatives, and simulations. Thirdly, differentiated education is provided through online learning objects, allowing for individualized learning experiences catered to the requirements of specific students. They can accommodate various learning styles and abilities by adapting to students' ability

levels and offering focused practice and feedback. Lastly, online learning objects make relating algebraic ideas to practical applications easier, improving students' comprehension and motivation. They often use examples and situations from everyday life to show how mathematics may be used in various conditions.

### *Challenges and Criticisms*

Online learning has many benefits and drawbacks and is criticized (Gillett-Swan, 2017). One area for improvement is the need for suitable technical infrastructure and dependable internet connectivity. Some students may need access to reliable internet connections or the right equipment to participate fully in online learning. Inequalities in access to high-quality algebra teaching may result from this digital divide. High-quality online learning items must also be designed and developed, which takes time, money, and experience.

Ensuring the information is factual, engaging, and aligned with curricular requirements might be challenging. Additionally, a student's motivation, self-control, and past knowledge may all affect how successful online learning objects are (Ali, 2011). Some students could struggle with self-directed learning and need extra assistance and direction to benefit from online learning objects fully.

### *Theoretical Frameworks Supporting Online Learning*

Several theoretical frameworks in the teaching of algebra support online learning objects. The Cognitive Theory of Multimedia Learning (CTML) is one such framework (Elzainy et al., 2020). According to CTML, learning is improved by instructional materials that use both visual and aural channels, control cognitive load, and encourage active information processing. Online learning objects may enhance the presentation of algebraic ideas, promote meaningful learning, and assist students' cognitive processes using CTML principles.

The Cognitive Load Theory (CLT) is an additional pertinent theoretical framework (Elzainy et al., 2020). According to CLT, learning is affected by the cognitive demands on students' working memory. Online learning objects may be developed to reduce cognitive load by delivering information in a structured and organized manner, providing clear instructions, and offering opportunities for practice and reflection. Students' cognitive processing and understanding of mathematical concepts may be improved using online learning resources that adhere to CLT principles.

A theoretical foundation for developing and using online learning tools to teach algebra is provided by constructivist learning environments (CLE) as well (Elzainy et al., 2020). The active creation of knowledge via intentional learning experiences is a crucial element of CLE. The CLE principles may make Online learning objects to promote student collaboration, cooperation, and reflection. By encouraging a constructivist learning environment, online learning objects may aid students in strengthening their understanding of algebraic concepts and problem-solving skills.

## Strategies for Teaching Algebra Using Online Learning Objects

### Conceptual Understanding

One of the leading teaching techniques when using online learning objects to teach mathematics is encouraging conceptual understanding. Online learning objects may contain visual representations, interactive simulations, and multimedia presentations to help students understand entirely algebraic concepts (Johnson, 2017). Students may use these materials to investigate and interact with mathematical objects, giving tangible form to abstract ideas (Johnson, 2017). Students may interact and see algebraic representations using online learning objects, improving their conceptual knowledge (Johnson, 2017).

### *Interactive Practice*

Utilizing interactive practice with online learning items is another crucial tactic. For students to actively engage with algebraic topics, online platforms include various interactive elements such as drag-and-drop exercises, virtual manipulatives, and simulations (Johnson, 2017). Students may apply their knowledge, work through issues, and get rapid feedback via interactive practice (Johnson, 2017). This engagement encourages deeper learning and aids in the improvement of students' algebraic competency (Johnson, 2017).

### *Personalized Learning*

Additionally, online learning resources provide individualized algebra training. These materials may be made to adapt to each student's requirements and provide specialized training (Johnson, 2017). Students may access course materials on online platforms at their speed, go over material as required, and get tailored feedback (Johnson, 2017). This adaptability enables students to go through algebraic ideas by their learning preferences and skills (Johnson, 2017). Algebraic learning results are enhanced by personalized learning because it increases student motivation and engagement (Cheng et al., 2021).

### *Real-life Applications*

When utilizing online learning objects to teach mathematics, real-world applications are essential. Students may comprehend algebra's practical significance and usefulness in their daily lives by relating algebraic principles to real-world circumstances (Koedinger & Nathan, 2004). Students are helped to understand the use and significance of algebra in problem-solving and making educated choices via real-world applications (Koedinger & Nathan, 2004).

Students may learn more algebraic ideas and their applications by interacting with online learning objects that depict real-life circumstances (Koedinger & Nathan, 2004). According to Koedinger and Nathan (2004), this method increases students' motivation and interest in studying algebra.

### *Collaborative Learning*

Collaborative learning is a successful method when utilizing online learning objects to teach mathematics. Students may connect with peers, participate in conversations, and work together to solve algebraic problems using online platforms (Ningsih et al., 2023). According to Brodermann et al. (2018), collaborative learning encourages critical thinking, active participation, and the development of communication and collaboration skills. Students may exchange ideas, learn from one another, and jointly build knowledge in algebra via online collaborative learning (Ningsih et al., 2023). This method's supportive and engaging learning environment improves students' comprehension and retention of algebraic ideas (Bovermann et al., 2018).

### *Case Studies and Success Stories*

When utilizing online learning objects to teach mathematics, case studies and success stories are valuable teaching aids. These examples show how algebraic ideas may be used in real-world situations and circumstances (Bovermann et al., 2018). Case studies give real-world problems for problem-solving that call for the use of algebraic techniques (Koedinger & Nathan, 2004). Students may better grasp algebra and its application in numerous industries by delving into and resolving these situations (Koedinger & Nathan, 2004). Success stories highlight the accomplishments of people or organizations that have effectively used algebraic principles in practical settings (Yakar, 2022). These tales serve as a source of inspiration and motivation for students by highlighting the usefulness of mathematics and its possible application in the workplace (Ningsih et al., 2023).

### *Evaluation and Assessment Tools*

Tools for evaluation and assessment are crucial when utilizing online learning objects to teach mathematics. With these technologies, teachers may monitor students' development, spot areas for growth, and provide them with specific comments (Clark et al., 2003). Instructors may utilize online platforms with multiple assessment components, such as quizzes, interactive exercises, and performance monitoring systems, to examine students' understanding of algebraic concepts (Clark et al., 2003). These tools provide students with quick feedback, allowing them to monitor their development and make the necessary adjustments (Clark et al., 2003). Using evaluation and assessment tools, teachers may measure the effectiveness of online learning objects and alter courses to meet the needs of particular students.

### *Integration with Traditional Teaching Methods*

Math education may be more successful by combining conventional teaching techniques with Internet resources. Concepts taught in conventional classrooms may be strengthened and reinforced through online learning objects (Clark et al., 2003). To provide students with more experience and engagement, teachers might include online learning objects in their lesson plans and give them homework or in-class tasks (Clark et al., 2003). The advantages of in-person training may be combined with the interactive and individualized aspects of online

learning objects because of this integration (Clark et al., 2003). Teachers may provide a complete and dynamic learning environment that accommodates a variety of student demands and learning preferences by combining online learning objects with conventional teaching techniques.

#### *Comparison with Traditional Instruction*

The efficacy of conventional education and online learning resources in teaching algebra may be compared. Web-based instruction (WBI) has been proven in meta-analytic analyses to be superior to classroom instruction (CI) in several situations (Sitzmann et al., 2006). When trainees have control over their learning, practice with feedback, and take lengthier courses, WBI has been proven to be more successful than CI in teaching declarative information (Sitzmann et al., 2006). The design of the learning materials, the amount of interaction, and the characteristics of the learners are just a few examples of the variables that may affect how successful online learning objects are (Sitzmann et al., 2006). Additionally, combining conventional education with online learning objects may provide a well-rounded strategy that maximizes the benefits of both approaches (Hadromi et al., 2022). Teachers may choose the best instructional strategies for teaching algebra by comparing online learning objects with conventional instruction.

## **Cognitive Aspects of Online Learning in Algebra**

### **Fostering Mathematical Thinking**

Online algebra instruction may promote mathematical thinking by allowing students to practice problem-solving skills and critical thinking (Mayer, 2019). Students may investigate mathematical ideas, identify patterns, and draw connections between various algebraic concepts via online learning objects (Mayer, 2019). Students are encouraged to acquire mathematical thinking abilities by actively interacting with algebraic material, including generalization, abstraction, and logical reasoning (Mayer, 2019). Students may improve their comprehension of algebra and problem-solving skills by participating in online learning activities that call for mathematical thought.

#### *Problem-Solving Skills*

Online algebra instruction may improve problem-solving abilities by allowing students to use algebraic principles in authentic situations (Mayer, 2019). Online learning objects often include real-world problem-solving situations requiring students to evaluate data, recognize pertinent algebraic ideas, and develop solutions (Mayer, 2019). Students improve their ability to think critically, reason logically, and use algebraic knowledge to solve complicated issues by participating in these problem-solving exercises (Mayer, 2019). Since online learning objects are interactive, students may get quick feedback, which aids in the improvement of their abilities and problem-solving techniques (Mayer, 2019).



### *Metacognition*

Online algebra instruction may also help students develop metacognitive abilities, which entail being aware of and in charge of one's learning processes (Mayer, 2019). Online learning materials may allow students to assess their comprehension, reflect on their learning, and control their cognitive processes (Mayer, 2019). For instance, self-assessment tools, progress monitoring capabilities, and prompts for reflection on learning tactics may all be included in online learning platforms (Mayer, 2019). Students may build methods for self-improvement, become more autonomous and self-directed learners, and become more aware of their algebraic strengths and weaknesses by participating in metacognitive exercises (Mayer, 2019).

### *Learning Transfer*

Learning transfer is the capacity to use information and abilities acquired in one environment to another that is new and distinct (Mayer, 2019). Online algebra instruction may promote learning transfer by allowing students to use algebraic principles in multiple problem-solving contexts (Mayer, 2019). Students may acquire the capacity to apply their algebraic knowledge and abilities to various contexts by interacting with online learning objects that show real-life applications and realistic problem-solving problems (Mayer, 2019). This transfer of learning encourages the use of algebraic ideas and their generalization, improving students' problem-solving skills outside of the confines of the online learning environment (Mayer, 2019).

### *Empirical Studies and Findings*

Algebra online learning is beneficial by empirical investigations. For instance, a comparison study done during the COVID-19 pandemic discovered that online learning during campus closure provided student course performance equal to or better than face-to-face teaching before the pandemic (Zheng et al., 2021). The research looked at how well students thought they viewed online courses, investigated pedagogical aspects that affected their acceptance of online learning, and assessed how online learning affected students' course performance (Zheng et al., 2021).

The results showed that students' perceptions of their interactions with professors and classmates were predictive of their perceptions of the effectiveness of the online course. In most courses, the online cohort was as likely as the face-to-face cohort to receive a course grade of A. These empirical results confirm the efficiency of online instruction in enhancing algebraic learning outcomes for students.

### *Cognitive Development Stages and Tailored Strategies*

The cognitive growth phases are considered while creating specialized learning tactics for algebra online. According to the cognitive load theory, a theoretical paradigm considering working memory constraints, instructional tactics should be coordinated with learners' phases of cognitive growth (Mayer, 2019). Younger



students, for instance, can benefit from algebra ideas being presented more tangibly and visually, while older students might be better able to reason abstractly and tackle more challenging problems (Mayer, 2019). Online learning objects may be created to provide the proper scaffolding, support, and challenges that match students' cognitive capacities by considering the phases of learners' cognitive growth (Mayer, 2019). Tailored tactics considering cognitive development stages in online learning settings may improve students' engagement, comprehension, and memorization of algebraic ideas.

## Motivational Factors in Algebra Teaching Using Online Tools

### Relevance

When utilizing online resources to teach mathematics, relevance is a key motivator. Students are more likely to be motivated and interested in learning when they believe that algebraic ideas are relevant to their lives and future objectives (Vallerand et al., 1992). By offering examples and real-world applications highlighting the usefulness of algebraic abilities, online tools may increase the relevance of algebra (Sabir & Hammad, 2023). Online technologies may boost students' willingness to study and apply algebraic ideas by tying algebra to their interests, objectives, and real-world circumstances (Sabir & Hammad, 2023).

### *Authenticity*

Authenticity is significant in motivating students when utilizing online tools to teach mathematics. Students' interest and engagement in mathematics may increase by using authentic materials and exercises depicting real-world issues and scenarios (Sabir & Hammad, 2023). Online resources may provide students access to genuine materials, including real-world data, simulations, and problem-solving scenarios, so they can observe how algebra is used in real-world situations (Sabir & Hammad, 2023). Students may learn more about algebra and its applicability by interacting with genuine resources, increasing their drive to study and use algebraic principles (Sabir & Hammad, 2023).

### *Goal Setting*

In teaching mathematics, using online tools to promote goal setting is motivating. Students may create objectives, monitor their progress, and get feedback on their accomplishments using elements found on online platforms (Vallerand et al., 1992). Students may increase their desire and attention to study mathematics by creating precise, challenging, and achievable objectives (Vallerand et al., 1992).

Online tools may provide visible indicators of accomplishment, such as achievement badges or progress bars, which can encourage students to work harder to attain their objectives (Vallerand et al., 1992). Online tools may encourage success and self-efficacy by adding goal-setting elements crucial to sustaining students' motivation and engagement in learning algebra (Vallerand et al., 1992).

### *Progress Monitoring*

An essential component of teaching algebra online tools is progress tracking. Online platforms provide tools that let teachers keep tabs on their students' development and give timely performance evaluations (Hattie & Timperley, 2007). With progress monitoring, teachers may see potential problem areas in their students' learning and provide individualized assistance and intervention (Hattie & Timperley, 2007). Improving students' learning results in algebra is possible by keeping track of students' progress and modifying teaching as necessary (Hattie & Timperley, 2007).

### *Personalization*

Using online tools to teach mathematics involves much personalization. Online learning environments may accommodate each student's unique requirements, interests, and learning preferences (Hattie & Timperley, 2007). Examples of personalization features include adaptive learning algorithms, unique learning routes, and personalized feedback (Hattie & Timperley, 2007). Online tools may personalize the learning process by presenting students with information and exercises suitable for their ability level, challenging them appropriately, and considering their particular learning requirements (Hattie & Timperley, 2007). According to Hattie and Timperley (2007), personalization improves students' motivation, engagement, and learning results in mathematics.

### *Collaborative Learning Opportunities*

The use of Internet technologies in algebra instruction benefits from collaborative learning possibilities. Online platforms may encourage student engagement through features like discussion boards, group projects, and virtual cooperation (Zimmerman, 2000). Students may communicate with one another, exchange ideas, and work together to solve algebraic problems via collaborative learning (Zimmerman, 2000). Students may improve their communication skills, get a more profound knowledge of mathematical ideas, and gain insight from one another's views by working cooperatively (Zimmerman, 2000). Collaborative learning opportunities increase students' motivation and engagement in mathematics because they develop a feeling of community and a supportive learning environment (Zimmerman, 2000).

### *Research on Motivational Strategies*

Research on motivating tactics in education has given us helpful knowledge on motivating students more successfully. Developmental and educational psychology's motivating beliefs, values, and objectives were thoroughly reviewed by Eccles Wigfield in 2002. They examined many ideas, such as the theories of self-efficacy, control, intrinsic motivation, and task value. This study emphasizes the importance of comprehending students' ideas, values, and objectives when developing motivating tactics for classroom engagement and success.

### *The Role of Educators in Motivation*

Teachers are essential in encouraging student motivation. A four-phase model of interest development was put out by Hidi Renninger (2006), with an emphasis on the role of educators in igniting and sustaining students' interest in learning. They contend that teachers may increase students' motivation and interest by designing motivating learning environments, assigning exciting and challenging assignments, and providing assistance and encouragement. Pintrich (2003) further underlined the significance of instructors' pedagogical practices in fostering student motivation by emphasizing the significance of instructional styles, feedback, and classroom culture. Educators' encouragement, direction, and excitement may influence students' motivation and involvement in the learning process. Wentzel (1997), who discovered that middle school students' appraisals of their instructors' pedagogical concerns predicted their motivating results, underlined the importance of teachers in fostering student motivation.

### *Parental Involvement and Community Engagement*

Community participation and parental involvement are vital for nurturing student motivation. According to research, parents actively engaged in their children's education favorably impact their motivation, success, and general well-being. Pintrich (2003) emphasized how parents may help kids stay motivated by creating a supportive home environment, having high expectations for their children, and taking an interest in their education. Additionally, community involvement may allow students to apply their learning in real-world situations, increasing their motivation and engagement. Examples of this include collaborations between schools and community groups. In order to provide pupils with a supportive and inspiring learning environment, educators must strengthen the bonds between the family, school, and community.

## **The Role of Mindset in Algebra Learning**

### **Mathematical Growth Mindset**

A growth mindset in mathematics is the conviction that one can improve one's mathematical aptitude and skills with effort, repetition, and valuable techniques (Blackwell et al., 2007). Students with a growth mindset think they can enhance their intellect and skills through hard effort and devotion. According to research, Students with a growth mindset are more likely to welcome difficulties, persevere in the face of failures, and have a positive outlook on learning (Blackwell et al., 2007). A growth mindset may help students be more motivated, engaged, and successful while studying mathematics.

### *Strategies for Fostering a Growth Mindset*

There are several methods that teachers may use to encourage a development attitude in mathematics learning. Giving clear teaching regarding the malleability of intellect, the importance of effort, and successful methods for

enhancing mathematical skills is one beneficial tactic (Blackwell et al., 2007). The concept that obstacles and failures are chances for learning rather than markers of fixed competence should be emphasized by educators. A development attitude may also be encouraged by giving feedback emphasizing effort, advancement, and particular tactics (Blackwell et al., 2007). Additionally, fostering a growth mindset in students may be accomplished by building a supportive and welcoming learning atmosphere in the classroom that promotes cooperation, taking risks, and sharing various problem-solving techniques (Blackwell et al., 2007).

#### *The Link between Online Learning Objects and Growth Mindset*

Using online learning resources may help promote a growth mentality while studying mathematics. These resources may allow students to study at their speed, get rapid feedback, and develop their problem-solving abilities (Blackwell et al., 2007). Students may feel the rewards of effort and successful tactics in enhancing their mathematical skills by utilizing online learning items. Online learning objects' interactive and adaptable features may also provide students with tailored learning opportunities that meet their specific requirements and foster a feeling of progress and accomplishment (Blackwell et al., 2007). Through these encounters, students may cultivate a growth mindset in their mathematics study by believing in their ability to learn and advance.

#### *Supporting Research and Studies*

Numerous research have supported the idea that mentality plays a part in academic success. For instance, Blackwell et al. (2007) discovered that teaching a growth mindset (incremental theory of intelligence) encouraged positive improvements in classroom motivation and reversed the loss in grades compared to a control group. They performed longitudinal research and intervention with 7th graders. In a different research, Paunesku et al. (2015) found that attitude treatments successfully improved student's semester grade point averages, especially for underachievers. These studies provide factual proof of how attitude treatments affect academic performance.

#### *Addressing Fixed Mindsets and Challenges*

A key component of mindset therapies is addressing stuck attitudes and obstacles. Large-scale growth mindset and a sense of purpose treatments were carried out by Paunesku et al. (2015), who discovered that these interventions successfully boosted academic attainment. The interventions' goal was to encourage pupils to persevere in the face of academic challenges; they were accommodating for high school students who were in danger of dropping out. Mindset treatments may enable students to approach learning with a growth mindset and persist through difficulties by addressing fixed attitudes and offering techniques to overcome hurdles.

#### *Case Studies on Mindset Transformation*

Case studies have shed important light on the evolution of attitudes and how they affect academic performance.

For instance, in their research, Qi et al. (2022) examined the relationship between parental fixed attitudes and young people's mental health. They discovered that addressing the interplay between a parent's fixed attitude and other variables was essential in lowering the frequency of symptoms related to young people's mental health.

Additionally, cross-cultural research by Lou Li (2023) revealed a strong correlation between development mindsets and performance results. In societies where fixed mindset norms prevailed, the associations were less intense. These case studies emphasize the significance of addressing cultural norms and attitudes to promote beneficial results for academic success and mental health.

## **Neuroscientific Aspects of Online Learning in Algebra**

### **Multisensory Learning**

Multisensory learning is the simultaneous use of many senses, including vision, hearing, touch, taste, and smell, to speed up acquiring new information (Auvray & Spence, 2008). By combining information from many sensory modalities, this method of learning may increase learning outcomes and memory retention.

Understanding the advantages of multimodal learning in visual perception is primarily thanks to Seitz et al.'s (2006) research. They looked at the function of audiovisual stimuli in visual perception training in one research. They discovered that individuals who received training in visual and aural cues learned visual information better than those who received training in just visual signals. This research showed that multisensory integration might substantially enhance visual sensitivity during training.

Seitz et al. (2006) compared the outcomes of multimodal audiovisual training with uni-sensory visual training in a different study. This study provided more evidence for the advantages of multisensory learning by demonstrating that the group that received multimodal training considerably improved their visual sensitivity compared to the group that received just visual training. The research highlighted how multimodal learning has the potential to boost perceptual learning as well as general performance on visual tasks.

Also, in 2006, Seitz et al. carried out research focusing on visual motion detection. Both groups showed gains in visual sensitivity when they compared the training outcomes with audiovisual and visual stimuli. However, the group that received multimodal training saw even more significant improvements, indicating that multisensory learning may improve visual perception and performance on visual tasks.

### *Cognitive Load Theory*

According to Cognitive Load Theory (CLT), the cognitive load impacts learning and problem-solving processes on learners' working memory (Sweller, 1988). Working memory has a finite capacity, and when students are

given complicated or excessive amounts of information, their cognitive resources may get overloaded, decreasing learning results (Sweller, 1988). According to the idea, instructional design should try to reduce cognitive load by giving clear and concise instructions, presenting material in a structured and ordered way, and providing chances for practice and reflection (Sweller, 1988).

The findings of Sweller's (1988) research back up the CLT tenets. Contends that problem-solving tasks often demand students to process several parts and stages concurrently, which might overwhelm working memory and impair learning. He contends that instructional design should emphasize minimizing needless cognitive demands by giving learners well-structured, guided learning resources. By controlling the cognitive load, students may better utilize their mental resources, which enhances learning results.

Furthermore, a study by Barutcu et al. (2018) showed how CLT might improve multimodal perception. Unconscious visual stimuli have been shown to enhance multimodal perception, suggesting that the cognitive burden visual stimuli place on the brain might affect how well sensory data is integrated. This research emphasizes how crucial it is to consider cognitive load when creating educational materials and employ the proper sensory modalities to enhance learning.

#### *Active Learning Strategies*

Active learning techniques improve student performance in STEM subjects by including students in activities requiring involvement and engagement with the learning content (Freeman et al., 2014). According to a thorough meta-analysis of 225 studies conducted by Freeman et al. (2014), students who engaged in active learning performed better on exams and had lower failure rates than those who received standard lectures. Active learning has been demonstrated to be advantageous in classes of all sizes, not only those of a specific size. According to heterogeneity studies by Freeman et al. (2014), active learning is successful in all class sizes, with the highest results being shown in courses with fewer than 50 students. It also raises scores on concept inventories more than on course exams.

Additionally, active learning techniques may handle the critical issue of whether to inform or ask students in STEM classes, which is a vital component of research-based teaching methods. This change from conventional lectures to more participatory teaching styles may also help to address the "pipeline problem" in STEM education, which refers to the difficulty certain nations have in drawing in and keeping STEM-related students (Freeman et al., 2014).

#### *Effective Feedback Mechanisms in Neuroscience of Mathematical Learning*

Effective feedback systems are crucial in the neuroscience of mathematical learning to effectively guide learners' knowledge, motivation, and metacognitive abilities in mathematics (Nicol & Macfarlane-Dick, 2006; Shute, 2007). To encourage self-regulated learning, Nicol and Macfarlane-Dick (2006) suggested a model

highlighting seven elements of effective feedback practice, including timeliness, specificity, and emphasis on the task or learning objectives. Students may correct mistakes, comprehend misunderstandings, and adapt their mathematical problem-solving techniques using these ideas.

The study by Shute (2007) also emphasized the value of formative feedback that aligns with learning objectives, offers concrete ideas for development, and combines peer and self-assessment. The involvement and ownership of pupils in their mathematics learning may be improved by such feedback.

According to neuroscience, the brain's cognitive and motivational functions support feedback systems. Research demonstrates that feedback may engage cognitive processes like attention and working memory, essential for solving mathematical problems, and reward areas in the brain like the striatum, increasing motivation and reinforcing learning (Shute, 2007).

In conclusion, Shute's (2007) insights into formative feedback and neuroscience and the principles proposed by Nicol & Macfarlane-Dick (2006) provide a solid foundation for effective feedback in mathematical learning, which offers a practical method for boosting students' understanding, motivation, and metacognitive awareness in mathematics. Education professionals may greatly influence students' arithmetic learning by coordinating feedback with cognitive and motivational processes.

### *Personalization and Learning*

A key element in improving engagement, motivation, and learning outcomes has been recognized as personalization in education, which is adapting learning experiences to each learner's requirements, preferences, and skills (Clark et al., 2003). This strategy adheres to the concepts of learner-centered education by giving students autonomy and control over their learning process while also considering their past knowledge, interests, and learning preferences.

In their book "E-learning and the Science of Instruction," Clark and Mayer stressed the value of customization. They recommended creating multimedia learning resources tailored to learners' requirements (Clark et al., 2003). They explain the literature on multimedia learning, assisting users in developing mental models for selecting appropriate e-learning design options. This kind of customized design helps to make learning sessions more enjoyable.

Additionally, research by Hattie et al. (2007) showed that individualized teaching had one of the most significant impact sizes among the different instructional techniques tested on student success. This study supports the idea that personalization may be a powerful strategy for enhancing learning outcomes across various subject areas, including mathematics.

In conclusion, personalization, as emphasized by Clark & Mayer (2003) and reinforced by other research, such



as the study by Hattie et al., plays a crucial role in creating successful learning experiences (Hattie et al., 2007; Clark & Mayer 2003). Teachers may create a responsive learning environment that promotes active involvement and improves learning outcomes by adapting lessons to individual requirements and implementing customization in multimedia learning resources.

#### *Neuroscientific Research in Education*

To develop successful teaching and learning practices, neuroscientific research in education aims to comprehend how the brain receives and processes information (Howard-Jones, 2014). Neuroscientific research may assist instructors in understanding how students absorb mathematical information, see patterns, and solve problems while teaching algebra using online learning objects. To illustrate the significance of vision and spatial reasoning in algebra, functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies have identified the unique brain areas that are active during mathematical thinking (Dehaene et al., 2003). Additionally, algebra-challenged pupils may benefit from more focused therapies due to the brain underpinnings of mathematical challenges revealed by neuroimaging approaches (Butterworth et al., 2011). Additionally, the construction of online learning objects may be influenced by neuroscientific research to ensure that they are by cognitive processes, improve memory retention, and promote deeper comprehension (Ansari & Coch, 2006). Algebra education may become more efficient and exciting due to this congruence between teaching strategies and cognitive functioning (Goswami, 2008). Incorporating neuroscientific research into algebra training may change teaching methods and improve student learning outcomes (Bruer, 1997). This section shows the potential advantages of using knowledge from neuroscience to improve instructional design and student learning by exploring the relationship between neuroscientific research and mathematics education. It demonstrates the complex interaction between the brain's cognitive processes and algebraic problem-solving and offers evidence-based teaching methods for the digital age.

#### *Ethical Considerations and Responsible Use*

In implementing online learning objects and utilizing neuroscientific research in algebra instruction, there are ethical considerations and responsibilities that educators, developers, and policymakers must acknowledge.

#### *Privacy and Data Security*

Online learning platforms often collect vast amounts of personal and academic data (Polonetsky, 2012). Ensuring that this data is kept secure and used responsibly is paramount. Any breach of privacy can have severe consequences for students and educators alike (Jones & Shao, 2011).

#### *Accessibility*

Ensuring that all students, regardless of socio-economic status, disabilities, or other limiting factors, have equal

access to these learning tools is vital (Edyburn, 2010). Inclusivity in design and implementation should be at the forefront to prevent the exacerbation of existing educational inequalities (Rose & Meyer, 2002).

#### *Informed Consent*

When utilizing neuroscientific research methods such as brain imaging with students, obtaining informed consent is non-negotiable (Illes et al., 2010). Participants must be fully aware of the study's potential risks and benefits.

#### *Potential for Misinterpretation*

Neuroscientific findings, while powerful, can be misunderstood or misapplied in educational settings (Bruer, 1997). Educators and policymakers must be cautious in translating these findings into practice to avoid misleading assumptions or oversimplification (Goswami, 2006).

#### *Cultural Sensitivity*

Implementing online learning objects in algebra must also be mindful of cultural diversity and sensitivity (Warschauer, 2002). The content and approach should respect diverse cultural backgrounds and not inadvertently perpetuate stereotypes or biases (Gay, 2010).

#### *Professional Development and Training*

Ensuring educators are well-trained in utilizing online learning objects and understanding neuroscientific research's implications is critical for responsible implementation (Darling-Hammond et al., 2017). Without proper training, even the best-designed tools and insights can fail to achieve their educational potential (Dede et al., 2009).

#### *The Impact of Technology on Different Types of Learners*

Integrating technology, particularly online learning objects in algebra, has a varied impact on different types of learners. Analyzing this impact allows educators to design more effective and inclusive instructional strategies.

#### *Visual Learners*

Visual learners benefit significantly from online learning objects that provide graphical representations, animations, and visual cues. These tools enhance their understanding of algebraic concepts and relationships (Mayer & Moreno, 2003).

### *Auditory Learners*

For auditory learners, technology that includes podcasts, videos with voiceovers, and interactive discussions can improve comprehension and retention of algebraic principles (Clark & Mayer, 2011).

### *Kinesthetic Learners*

Kinesthetic learners can use interactive simulations, virtual manipulatives, and touch-based technologies to "feel" the mathematical concepts (Lindgren & Johnson-Glenberg, 2013).

### *Analytical Learners*

Analytical learners may benefit from online tools that promote logical reasoning, problem-solving, and critical thinking through exercises, quizzes, and games that challenge their analytical skills in algebra (Jonassen & Grabowski, 1993).

### *Global Learners*

Global learners who prefer to understand the whole concept before delving into details can leverage technology to explore real-world applications and connections in algebra through simulations, case studies, and project-based learning (Papert, 1993).

### *Struggling Learners and Those with Special Needs*

Technology can provide customized and adaptive learning experiences for struggling students and those with special needs. Personalized feedback, assistive technologies, and differentiated instruction can foster a supportive learning environment that meets diverse needs (Edyburn, 2010; Rose & Meyer, 2002).

### *Gifted and Talented Learners*

Technology offers gifted and talented students opportunities to delve deeper into complex algebraic concepts, explore advanced topics, and engage in creative mathematical projects (VanTassel-Baska & Stambaugh, 2006).

## **Conclusion**

### **Summary of Key Findings**

Technology-assisted algebra education can revolutionize both the teaching and learning processes. Algebra learning results may be improved using tailored training, online learning resources, and neuroscientific research.

To avoid incorrectly applying neuroscience to education, approach these developments cautiously and critically (Lindell & Kidd, 2011). Different learner types experience technology differently, emphasizing the value of inclusive design and individualized strategies.

#### *Implications for Educators and Policy Makers*

According to the research, educators and decision-makers should prioritize technology's ethical and appropriate use in algebra training. This entails safeguarding data security and privacy, encouraging accessibility for all students, gaining informed permission for research using neuroscientific techniques, and being sensitive to cultural differences (Lindell & Kidd, 2011). Educators must undergo professional development and training to use technology and integrate neuroscientific findings into teaching methods (Sherbersky et al., 2021).

#### *Recommendations for Future Research and Implementation*

The long-term implications of technology integration in algebra education and its influence on various learner demographics need more study. Future research should examine the efficacy of particular online learning objects, individualized teaching strategies, and the congruence between neuroscientific research and instructional design. Additionally, research should concentrate on filling the knowledge gaps about the connection between neuropsychological prowess and weakness and mathematics learning results.

#### *Final Thoughts on the Transformation of Algebra Instruction in the Digital Age*

The modernization of algebra education has enormous potential to improve students' educational experiences. Educators can create more engaging, personalized, and effective learning environments by leveraging technology and incorporating neuroscientific insight. These developments are supported by thorough research and align with ethical principles, so it is essential to examine them critically (Lindell & Kidd, 2011).

#### *Reflection on Current Trends and Future Directions*

The growing use of technology, individualized training, and the incorporation neuroscientific research are current developments in algebra education. Educators and policymakers must remain current on the most recent advancements and studies to make educated judgments on implementation as technology develops. The ethical and evidence-based use of technology, individualized teaching methods, and continued cooperation between educators, researchers, and policymakers are critical components of the future of algebra training.

## **References**

Ali, B. M. (2011). A critical study of the effectiveness of online learning on students' achievement. Baig, MA

- (2011). Research Papers a Critical Study of Effectiveness of Online Learning on Students' achievement. *I-Manager's Journal of Educational Technology*, 7(4), 28–34.
- Ansari, D., & Coch, D. (2006). Bridges over troubled waters: Education and cognitive neuroscience. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 10(4), 146–151. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2006.02.007>
- Auvray, M., & Spence, C. (2008). The multisensory perception of flavor. *Consciousness and Cognition*, 17(3), 1016–1031. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.concog.2007.06.005>
- Barutchu, A., Spence, C., & Humphreys, G. W. (2018). Multisensory enhancement elicited by unconscious visual stimuli. *Experimental Brain Research*, 236(2), 409–417. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00221-017-5140-z>
- Blackwell, L. S., Trzesniewski, K. H., & Dweck, C. S. (2007). Implicit theories of intelligence predict achievement across an adolescent transition: A longitudinal study and an intervention. *Child Development*, 78(1), 246–263. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2007.00995.x>
- Bovermann, K., Weidlich, J., & Bastiaens, T. (2018). Online learning readiness and attitudes towards gaming in gamified online learning – A mixed methods case study. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 15(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-018-0107-0>
- Bruer, J. T. (1997). Education and the brain: A bridge too far. *Educational Researcher*, 26(8), 4–16. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X026008004>
- Butterworth, B., Varma, S., & Laurillard, D. (2011). Dyscalculia: From brain to education. *Science*, 332(6033), 1049–1053. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1201536>
- Cheng, X., Ma, X. Y., Luo, C., Chen, J., Wei, W., & Yang, X. (2021). Examining the relationships between medical students' preferred online instructional strategies, course difficulty level, learning performance, and effectiveness. *Advances in Physiology Education*, 45(4), 661–669. <https://doi.org/10.1152/advan.00234.2020>
- Clark, R. C., Mayer, R. E., & Thalheimer, W. (2003). E-learning and the science of instruction: Proven guidelines for consumers and designers of multimedia learning. *Performance Improvement*, 42(5), 41–43. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pfi.4930420510>
- Clark, R. C., & Mayer, R. E. (2011). *E-learning and the science of instruction: Proven guidelines for consumers and designers of multimedia learning*. Pfeiffer.
- Darling-Hammond, L., Hyler, M. E., & Gardner, M. (2017). *Effective teacher professional development*. Learning Policy Institute.
- Dede, C., Jass Ketelhut, D., Whitehouse, P., Breit, L., & McCloskey, E. M. (2009). A research agenda for online teacher professional development. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 60(1), 8–19. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022487108327554>
- Dehaene, S., Spelke, E., Pinel, P., Stanescu, R., & Tsivkin, S. (1999). Sources of mathematical thinking: Behavioral and brain-imaging evidence. *Science*, 284(5416), 970–974. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.284.5416.970>
- Eccles, J. S., & Wigfield, A. (2002). Motivational beliefs, values, and goals. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 53(53), 109–132. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.53.100901.135153>

- Edyburn, D. L. (2021). Ten years later: Would you recognize universal design for learning if you saw it? *Intervention in School and Clinic, 56*(5), 308–309. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1053451220963114>
- Elzainy, A., El Sadik, A., & Al Abdulmonem, W. (2020). Experience of e-learning and online assessment during the COVID-19 pandemic at the College of Medicine, Qassim University. *Journal of Taibah University Medical Sciences, 15*(6), 456–462. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtumed.2020.09.005>
- Elzainy, A., El Sadik, A., & Al Abdulmonem, W. (2020). Experience of e-learning and online assessment during the COVID-19 pandemic at the College of Medicine, Qassim University. *Journal of Taibah University Medical Sciences, 15*(6), 456–462. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtumed.2020.09.005>
- Freeman, S., Eddy, S. L., McDonough, M., Smith, M. K., Okoroafor, N., Jordt, H., & Wenderoth, M. P. (2014). Active learning increases student performance in science, engineering, and mathematics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 111*(23), 8410–8415. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1319030111>
- Gay, G. (2010). *Culturally responsive teaching: Theory, research, and practice*. Teacher's College Press.
- Gillett-Swan, J. (2017). The challenges of online learning: Supporting and engaging the isolated learner. *Journal of Learning Design, 10*(1), 20–30. <https://doi.org/10.5204/jld.v9i3.293>
- Goswami, U. (2006). Neuroscience and education: From research to practice? *Nature Reviews. Neuroscience, 7*(5), 406–411. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1907>
- Goswami, U. (2008). Principles of learning, implications for teaching: A cognitive neuroscience perspective. *Journal of Philosophy of Education, 42*(3–4), 381–399.
- Hadromi, H., Widjanarko, D., Kurniawan, A., Budiman, F., Amron, H., Irawan, D., . . . & Gendroyono, R. (2022). *Online teaching and learning platform at vocational education in Semarang-Indonesia* (pp. 187–197). [https://doi.org/10.2991/978-2-494069-47-3\\_24](https://doi.org/10.2991/978-2-494069-47-3_24)
- Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007). The power of feedback. *Review of Educational Research, 77*(1), 81–112.
- Hidi, S., & Renninger, K. A. (2006). The four-phase model of interest development. *Educational Psychologist, 41*(2), 111–127. [https://doi.org/10.1207/s15326985ep4102\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15326985ep4102_4)
- Howard-Jones, P. A. (2014). Neuroscience and education: Myths and messages. *Nature Reviews. Neuroscience, 15*(12), 817–824. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3817>
- Illes, J., Moser, M. A., McCormick, J. B., Racine, E., Blakeslee, S., Caplan, A., Hayden, E. C., Ingram, J., Lohwater, T., McKnight, P., Nicholson, C., Phillips, A., Sauv e, K. D., Snell, E., & Weiss, S. (2010). Neurotalk: Improving the communication of neuroscience research. *Nature Reviews. Neuroscience, 11*(1), 61–69. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2773>
- Johnson, C. (2017). Teaching music online: Changing pedagogical approach when moving to the online environment. *London Review of Education, 15*(3). <https://doi.org/10.18546/LRE.15.3.08>
- Jonassen, D., & Grabowski, B. L. (1993). *Handbook of individual differences, learning, and instruction*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Jones, C., & Shao, B. (2011). *The net generation and digital natives: Implications for higher education, 1* (pp. 1–44). Higher Education Academy.
- Koedinger, K. R., & Nathan, M. J. (2004). The real story behind story problems: Effects of representations on

- quantitative reasoning. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 13(2), 129–164. [https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327809jls1302\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327809jls1302_1)
- Lindell, A. K., & Kidd, E. (2011). Why right-brain teaching is half-witted: A critique of the misapplication of neuroscience to education. *Mind, Brain, and Education*, 5(3), 121–127. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-228X.2011.01120.x>
- Lindgren, R., & Johnson-Glenberg, M. (2013). Emboldened by embodiment: Six precepts for research on embodied learning and mixed reality. *Educational Researcher*, 42(8), 445–452. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X13511661>
- Lou, N. M., & Li, L. M. W. (2023). The mindsets × societal norm effect across 78 cultures: Growth mindsets are linked to performance weakly and well-being negatively in societies with fixed-mindset norms. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 93(1), 134–152. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjep.12544>
- Mayer, R. E. (2019). Thirty years of research on online learning. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 33(2), 152–159. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.3482>
- Mayer, R. E., & Moreno, R. (2003). Nine ways to reduce cognitive load in multimedia learning. *Educational Psychologist*, 38(1), 43–52. [https://doi.org/10.1207/S15326985EP3801\\_6](https://doi.org/10.1207/S15326985EP3801_6)
- Meylani, R., Bitter, G., & Legacy, J. (2015). Desirable characteristics of an ideal online learning environment. *Journal of Educational and Social Research*, 5(1), 203. <https://doi.org/10.5901/jesr.2015.v5n1p203>
- Nicol, D. J., & Macfarlane-Dick, D. (2006). Formative assessment and self-regulated learning: A model and seven principles of good feedback practice. *Studies in Higher Education*, 31(2), 199–218. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075070600572090>
- Ningsih, E. F., Sugiman, S., Budiningsih, C. A., & Surwanti, D. (2023). Is communicating mathematics part of the ease of online learning factor? *Infinity Journal*, 12(1), 151. <https://doi.org/10.22460/infinity.v12i1.p151-164>
- O'Dwyer, L. M., Carey, R., & Kleiman, G. (2007). A study of the effectiveness of the Louisiana algebra I online course. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 39(3), 289–306.
- Papert, S. (1993). *The children's machine: Rethinking school in the age of the computer*. Basic Books.
- Paunesku, D., Walton, G. M., Romero, C., Smith, E. N., Yeager, D. S., & Dweck, C. S. (2015). Mind-set interventions are a scalable treatment for academic underachievement. *Psychological Science*, 26(6), 784–793. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797615571017>
- Perry, E. H., & Pilati, M. L. (2011). Online learning. *New Directions for Teaching and Learning*, 2011(128), 95–104. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tl.472>
- Pintrich, P. R. (2003). A motivational science perspective on the role of student motivation in learning and teaching contexts. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 95(4), 667–686.
- Polonetsky, O. T. (2012). *Privacy in the age of big data: A time for big decisions*.
- Qi, W., Shi, J., & Cui, L. (2022). A Developmental System Perspective to Interpret the Link between Parental Fixed Mindset and Youth Mental Health: A Moderated Mediation Model. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(20). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph192013285>
- Rose, D. H., & Meyer, A. (2002). *Teaching every student in the digital age: Universal design for learning*.



- Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Rose, D. H., & Meyer, A. (2002). *Teaching every student in the digital age: Universal Design for Learning*. Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Sabir, G., & Ahmad Hammad, F. (2023). Effects of using authentic materials on motivating Efl (English as a foreign language) learners. *Sprin Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences*, 40–48. Salsabila, U. H. (2019). A preliminary analysis: Digital inclusion domain in Islamic education. *International Journal of Education and Learning*, 1(1), 12–18. <https://doi.org/10.31763/ijelev1i1.23>
- Seitz, A. R., Kim, R., & Shams, L. (2006). Sound facilitates visual learning. *Current Biology*, 16(14), 1422–1427. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2006.05.048>
- Sherbersky, H., Ziminski, J., & Pote, H. (2021). The journey towards digital systemic competence: Thoughts on training, Supervision and competence evaluation. *Journal of Family Therapy*, 43(2), 351–371. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-6427.12328>
- Shute, V. J. (2007). Focus On Formative Feedback. ETS Research Report Series, 2007(1), i–47. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2333-8504.2007.tb02053.x>
- Sitzmann, T., Kraiger, K., Stewart, D., & Wisher, R. (2006). The Comparative Effectiveness Of Web-Based And Classroom Instruction: A Meta-Analysis. *Personnel Psychology*, 59(3), 623–664. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.2006.00049.x>
- Sweller, J. (1988). Cognitive load during problem solving: Effects on learning. *Cognitive Science*, 12(2), 257–285. [https://doi.org/10.1207/s15516709cog1202\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15516709cog1202_4)
- Vallerand, R., Pelletier, L., Blais, M., Brière, N., Senécal, C., & Vallières, É. (1992). The academic motivation scale: A measure of intrinsic, extrinsic, and amotivation in education. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 4(52), 1003–1017. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0013164492052004025>
- VanTassel-Baska, J., & Stambaugh, T. (2006). *Comprehensive curriculum for gifted learners* (3rd ed). Allyn & Bacon.
- Warschauer, M. (2002). Reconceptualizing the digital divide. *First Monday*, 7(7). <https://doi.org/10.5210/fm.v7i7.967>
- Wentzel, K. R. (1997). Student motivation in middle school: The role of perceived pedagogical caring. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 89(3), 411–419. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.89.3.411>
- Yakar, Z. (2022). University students' online cooperative learning attitudes and its relationship with course achievement. *Journal of Educational Technology and Online Learning*, 3(5), 500–518.
- Yuhanna, I., Alexander, A., & Kachik, A. (2020). Advantages and disadvantages of Online Learning. *Journal Educational Verkenning*, 1(2), 13–19. <https://doi.org/10.48173/jev.v1i2.54>
- Zheng, M., Bender, D., & Lyon, C. (2021). Online learning during Covid-19 produced equivalent or better student course performance as compared with pre-pandemic: Empirical evidence from A school-wide comparative study. *BMC Medical Education*, 21(1), 495. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-021-02909-z>
- Zimmerman, B. J. (2000). Self-efficacy: An essential motive to learn. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 25(1), 82–91. <https://doi.org/10.1006/ceps.1999.1016>