Cinda Christian, Ph.D. Publication 16.34 RB 4 March 2018



Department of Research and Evaluation

# **2016-2017 COORDINATED SCHOOL HEALTH** DISTRICT SUMMARY REPORT

### Results

Coordinated School Health (CSH) Program implementation data were collected from each Austin Independent School District (AISD) campus in Spring 2017. Campus results are reflected in the District's strategic scorecard and the House Bill 5 ratings, i.e., the School District Evaluation of Performance in Community and Student Engagement for wellness and physical education (TEC §46 (C) 39.0545).

Schools were rated on their Coordinated School Health Implementation on a 4 point scale (Unacceptable, Acceptable, Recognized, or Exemplary) based on identified activities that support coordinated school health efforts. The rating scale was different at each level<sup>1</sup>, based on the overall number of required and supplemental activities available at each level.

#### Table 1

Summary of Coordinated School Health Opportunities and Campus Ratings by Level

	Elementary	/ Middle	High	Total*
CSH Activity Opportunities Available				
# of <b>Required</b> activities possible	19	18	12	NA
# of <b>Supplemental</b> activities possible	80	60	55	NA
Summary of campus ratings achieved				
# of campuses at <b>Exemplary</b> status	62	14	10	89
# of campuses at <b>Recognized</b> status	5	3	0	8
# of campuses at Acceptable status	0	0	0	0
# of campuses at Unacceptable status	14	2	4	22
% of campuses at Exemplary or Recognized	83%	89%	71%	82%

Source. 2016-2017 AISD CSH Program Reports. Schools achieving Recognized or Exemplary met the scorecard standard.

\* Alternative Learning Center, Dobie, Read, Rosedale, and Uphaus are included in the totals, but each had unique numbers of opportunities and scoring rubrics

AISD campuses are encouraged to use their results to set goals for their Campus Improvement Plan. Detailed campus-level reports are available on the AISD website here: <u>https://www.austinisd.org/dre/surveys/2016-2017/2016-2017-</u> <u>coordinated-school-health-report</u>.

<sup>1</sup> High School rating scale: unacceptable – achieved less than the 12 required items; acceptable – achieved all 12 required and 17 or fewer supplemental items; recognized – achieved all 12 required and 18-32 supplemental items; exemplary – achieved all 12 required and 33 or more supplemental items.

Middle school rating scale: unacceptable – achieved less than the 18 required items; acceptable – achieved all 18 required and 19 or fewer supplemental items; recognized – achieved all 18 required and 20-37 supplemental items; exemplary – achieved all 18 required and 38 or more supplemental items.

Elementary school rating scale: unacceptable – achieved less than the 19 required items; acceptable – achieved all 19 required and 25 or fewer supplemental items; recognized – achieved all 19 required and 26-48 supplemental items; exemplary – achieved all 19 required and 49 or more supplemental items.

### **Campus Level Summary**

### Table 2

Secondary School Implementation and Rating Summary

	Required Achieved	Supplemental Achieved	Rating
High Schools			
Akins High School	12	40	Exemplary
Anderson High School	12	44	Exemplary
Austin High School	11	47	Unacceptable
Bowie High School	12	48	Exemplary
Crockett High School	10	26	Unacceptable
Eastside Memorial High School	12	38	Exemplary
Garza High School	10	35	Unacceptable
International High School	12	43	Exemplary
Lanier High School	11	36	Unacceptable
LASA High School	12	36	Exemplary
LBJ High School	12	37	Exemplary
McCallum High School	12	45	Exemplary
Reagan High School	12	37	Exemplary
Travis High School	12	45	Exemplary
Middle Schools			
Ann Richards School for Young Women Leaders	16	46	Unacceptable
Bailey Middle School	18	34	Recognized
Bedichek Middle School	18	50	Exemplary
Burnet Middle School	18	37	Recognized
Covington Middle School	18	44	Exemplary
Dobie Middle School	18	55	Exemplary
Fulmore Middle School	18	50	Exemplary
Garcia Young Men's Leadership Academy	18	46	Exemplary
Gorzycki Middle School	18	33	Recognized
Kealing Middle School	18	49	Exemplary
Lamar Middle School	18	47	Exemplary
Martin Middle School	18	41	Exemplary
Mendez Middle School	18	41	Exemplary
Murchison Middle School	17	41	Unacceptable
O Henry Middle School	18	45	Exemplary
Paredes Middle School	18	49	Exemplary
Sadler Means Young Women Leadership Academy	18	50	Exemplary
Small Middle School	18	48	Exemplary
Webb Middle School	18	52	Exemplary

Source. 2016-2017 Coordinated School Health data collection.

2016-2017 Coordinated School Health: District Summary Report

#### Table 3

Elementary School Implementation and Rating Summary

Elementary Schools	Required Achieved	Supplemental Achieved	Rating	Elementary Schools	Required Achieved	Supplemental Achieved	Rating
Allison	18	58	Unacceptable	Lee	19	68	Exemplary
Andrews	19	50	Exemplary	Linder	19	54	Exemplary
Baldwin	18	40	Unacceptable	Maplewood	19	63	Exemplary
Baranoff	19	69	Exemplary	Mathews	19	53	Exemplary
Barrington	17	74	Unacceptable	McBee	19	73	Exemplary
Barton Hills	19	70	Exemplary	Menchaca	19	58	Exemplary
Becker	17	58	Unacceptable	Metz	19	56	Exemplary
Blackshear	19	70	Exemplary	Mills	19	63	Exemplary
Blanton	19	45	Recognized	Norman	19	60	Exemplary
Blazier	19	69	Exemplary	Oak Hill	19	56	Exemplary
Boone	19	60	Exemplary	Oak Springs	19	65	Exemplary
Brentwood	19	67	Exemplary	Odom	19	53	Exemplary
Brooke	19	56	Exemplary	Ortega	19	70	Exemplary
Brown	19	58	Exemplary	Overton	19	65	Exemplary
Bryker Woods	19	60	Exemplary	Padron	19	61	Exemplary
Campbell	19	67	Exemplary	Palm	18	76	Unacceptable
Casey	19	57	Exemplary	Patton	15	44	Unacceptable
Casis	19	49	Exemplary	Pease	17	53	Unacceptable
Clayton	19	57	Exemplary	Pecan Springs	19	63	Exemplary
Cook	19	56	Exemplary	Perez	19	63	Exemplary
Cowan	19	66	Exemplary	Pickle	19	49	Exemplary
Cunningham	19	56	Exemplary	Pillow	19	59	Exemplary
Davis	19	56	Exemplary	Pleasant Hill	19	52	Exemplary
Dawson	19	57	Exemplary	Reilly	14	49	Unacceptable
Doss	18	51	Unacceptable	Ridgetop	16	38	Unacceptable
Galindo	19	52	Exemplary	Rodriguez	19	50	Exemplary
Govalle	19	44	Recognized	Sanchez	19	52	Exemplary
Graham	19	57	Exemplary	Sims	19	67	Exemplary
Guerrero-Thompson	17	63	Unacceptable	St. Elmo	19	65	Exemplary
Gullett	19	76	Exemplary	Summitt	19	75	Exemplary
Harris	19	64	Exemplary	Sunset Valley	19	72	Exemplary
Hart	19	62	Exemplary	Travis Heights	18	54	Unacceptable
Highland Park	19	59	Exemplary	Walnut Creek	19	46	Recognized
Hill	16	38	Unacceptable	Webb Primary Ctr	19	53	Exemplary
Houston	19	59	Exemplary	Widen	19	47	Recognized
Jordan	19	60	Exemplary	Williams	19	64	Exemplary
Joslin	19	73	Exemplary	Winn	19	61	Exemplary
Kiker	19	58	Exemplary	Wooldridge	18	55	Unacceptable
Kocurek	19	58	Exemplary	Wooten	19	45	Recognized
Langford	19	66	Exemplary	Zavala	19	63	Exemplary

Source. 2016-2017 Coordinated School Health data collection.

#### Table 4 Other School Implementation and Rating Summary

	Required Achieved	Supplemental Achieved	Rating
Alternative Learning Center	10	34	Unacceptable
Rosedale	11	39	Exemplary
Dobie	10	44	Unacceptable
Read Pre-Kindergarten Elementary	11	43	Exemplary
Uphaus Early Childhood Center	17	39	Exemplary

## **AUSTIN INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Author Cinda Christian, Ph.D.

### **Department of Research and Evaluation**



1111 West 6th Street, Suite D-350 | Austin, TX 78703-5338 512.414.1724 | fax: 512.414.1707 www.austinisd.org/dre | Twitter: @AISD\_DRE

March 2018 Publication 16.34 RB 4