A Model for Planning, Organizing, and Evaluating Transition Education, Services, and Programs



Paula D. Kohler, June E. Gothberg, Catherine Fowler, and Jennifer Coyle

Cite this work as follows:

Kohler, P. D., Gothberg, J. E., Fowler, C., and Coyle, J. (2016). *Taxonomy for transition programming 2.0: A model for planning, organizing, and evaluating transition education, services, and programs.* Western Michigan University. Available at www.transitionta.org.

Over the past three decades, transition practices research has demonstrated that post-school outcomes of students with disabilities improve when educators, families, students, and community members and organizations work together to implement a broad perspective of transition planning, more appropriately referred to as transition-focused education. In general, this concept represents the perspective that "transition planning" is the fundamental basis of education that guides development of students' educational programs – including strategies that keep them in school – rather than an "add-on" activity for students with disabilities when they turn age 14 or 16. The impact of transition-focused education is greatly enhanced when service systems and programs connect and support the implementation and application of such learning. The *Taxonomy for Transition Programming 2.0* (Kohler, Gothberg, Fowler, and Coyle, 2016) builds upon the earlier *Taxonomy for Transition Programming* (Kohler, 1996) and provides concrete practices—identified from effective programs and the research literature—for implementing transition-focused education.

As indicated in the references at the end of this document, the *Taxonomy 2.0* brings in the latest literature regarding predictors of post-school success, strategies to increase graduation and reduce dropout, school climate, and vocational rehabilitation services focused on fostering successful transition of youth with disabilities in college and careers. The model continues with five primary practice categories: Student-Focused Planning, Student Development, Interagency Collaboration, Family Engagement, and Program Structure. It includes additional practices in the areas of student supports and the instructional context within Student Development, as well as school climate in Program Structure. Within Family Engagement, a focus on cultural relevancy, empowerment, and family preparation are emphasized. Across categories, collaboration with service agencies, especially vocational rehabilitation, emphasize the importance of such connections prior to and during school and post-school transitions.

Finally, we acknowledge Drs. Matthew Klare and David Test at the University of North Carolina Charlotte and Karen Devries at Western Michigan University for their contributions to this work.

Student-Focused Planning

- → IEP Development
- → Planning Strategies
- → Student Participation

Family Engagement

- → Family Involvement
- → Family Empowerment
- → Family Preparation

Student Development

- → Assessment
- → Academic Skills
- → Life, Social, and Emotional Skills
- → Employment and Occupational Skills
- → Student Supports
- → Instructional Context

Program Structures

- → Program Characteristics
- → Program Evaluation
- → Strategic Planning
- → Policies and Procedures
- → Resource Development and Allocation
- → School Climate

Interagency Collaboration

- Collaborative Framework
- → Collaborative Service Delivery

Student-Focused Planning

Student Development Interagency Collaboration

Family Engagement

IEP Development	Planning Strategies	Student Participation
→ Student interests and preferences are documented	→ Transition-focused planning begins no later than age 14	 Planning team includes student and family members
→ Progress or attainment of goals is reviewed annually	 Cultural and linguistic considerations embedded throughout the planning 	 Students are prepared to actively participate in the IEP development
→ Options identified for each outcome area	process → Planning team leader identified	 → Students evaluate their progress on previous IEP goals and objectives
Postsecondary education or training goals and objectives specified	 IEP meeting time and preparation are adequate to conduct planning that engages relevant stakeholders 	 → Self-determination is facilitated within the planning process
 Occupational goals and objectives specified Community-related and residential 	 Planning and meeting time and place support student and family 	→ Students express their interests, preferences, and limits
goals and objectives specified (e.g., voting, driving)	engagement → Planning process is student-centered	 Planning decisions are driven by students and their families
→ Recreation and leisure goals and objectives specified	planning (e.g., applies person-center planning; MAPS, PATH, PFP)	 Accommodations are made for communication needs (e.g.,
→ Educational program corresponds to specific goals, including elective courses	 Comprehensive age-appropriate transition assessments are used for transition planning (e.g., achievement, 	interpreters) → Students evaluate their participation in
 Pathway to diploma or other exit document identified and aligned with postsecondary goals 	intelligence, behavior, career, aptitude, skills, interests, preferences, readiness)	the planning process and meeting

IEP Development	Planning Strategies	Student Participation
→ Goals are measurable	→ Referral to adult service provider(s)	
 Personal needs are addressed in planning (e.g., financial, medical, guardianship) 	occurs prior to student's exit from school	
→ Specific goals and objectives result from student choices		
 Planning process considers integrated developmental and service settings with appropriate supports 		
→ Responsibility of participants or agencies specified		
 Evaluation of participant fulfillment of responsibilities 		

Student-Focused Planning

Student Development

Interagency Collaboration

Family Engagement

Assessment	Academic Skills	Life, Social, and Emotional Skills
→ Formative assessment data drive academic instruction	 Courses and curricula prepare students for college and careers 	→ Self-determination skills development (e.g., goal setting, decision making, problem solving, self-advocacy, etc.)
 Career interest and aptitude assessments are used to inform curricular and instructional decisions 	 Students understand by 9th grade what constitutes college-ready curriculum Academic skills development 	→ Independent living skills development (e.g., financial, first aid, safety, cooking,
→ Accommodations on assessment or alternate assessments are provided as needed	(e.g., decoding, comprehension, computation, interpretation, etc.)	etc.) → Interpersonal skills development
 → Assessment results are shared regularly with students and used to assist in 	→ Academic strategies development (e.g., learning strategies, study skills, and test- taking skills, etc.)	→ Leisure skills development→ Transportation skills development
overcoming deficiencies as they are identified	→ Academic behaviors development (e.g., going to class, participation,	→ Classroom behavior development→ Social skills development
 Remediation and multiple testing opportunities offered for high stakes testing 	organization, doing homework, studying, etc.)	→ Youth autonomy fostered and supported

Employment and Occupational Skills	Student Supports	Instructional Context
 → Career planning is embedded in or aligned with core academic instruction → Career and technical education is provided including entry level and 	 → Related services are provided (e.g., OT, PT, speech therapy, transportation, assistive technology) → Functional communication systems 	→ Co-curricular activities are used to support student development (e.g., band, forensics, poetry slams, quiz bowl, writing competitions, yearbook, etc.)
advanced skill completion options → Employment seeking skills	are provided as needed, including augmentative communication or assistive technology	→ Extracurricular activities are used to support student development (e.g., clubs, Junior Achievement, Junior ROTC,
development → Occupation-specific skills development	→ Identification and development of environmental adaptations, natural	school or intermural sports, student council, theater, etc.)
 → Soft skills development → Employment skill development is provided in authentic settings including: 	supports, and accommodations needed for success in school and community settings → Academic support and enrichment	 Community activities are used to support student development (e.g., 4H, church groups, community festivals, community government, scouts, social
school-based enterpriseson-site structured work experiencescareer academies	provided to improve academic performance (e.g., test-taking skills, study skills, targeted subject area skills, etc.)	 activism, volunteering, etc.) → Service learning used to engage students in their school and community by applying skills to solve real-world problems
→ Career awareness opportunities provided (e.g., industry tours, guest speakers, career fairs, etc.)	 Opportunities given for credit recovery and acceleration (e.g., after school, Saturday school, summer enrichment, 	 → Education and services are provided in integrated and least restrictive
→ Local businesses provide opportunities for work-related experiences (e.g., simulated job interviews,	etc.) → Counseling services provided for college and career readiness	environments with preference given to placement in the general education setting 80% or more of the time, as appropriate
job shadowing, internships, apprenticeships, work-study, long-term employment, etc.)	→ Adult advocates help students establish attainable academic and behavioral goals with specific benchmarks	 → Education and instruction are provided in community-based settings
→ Graduation credit provided for work experiences	→ Adults and peers build and support student's college and career aspirations	→ Instruction is rigorous and relevant

Employment and Occupational Skills	Student Supports	Instructional Context
→ Paid work experience provided prior to school exit	→ Information given on postsecondary education supports	→ Instruction embeds Universal Design for Learning
→ Job placement services provided prior to school exit	→ Students supported to complete critical steps for college entry	→ Student accomplishments are recognized and celebrated
	→ Students supported through partnerships established with community-based program providers (e.g., social services, welfare, mental health, law enforcement, etc.)	

Student-Focused Planning

Student Development Interagency Collaboration

Family Engagement

Collaborative Framework	Collaborative Service Delivery
→ Interagency coordinating body that includes students, parents, educators, service providers, community agencies,	→ School staff, VR counselors, and community service providers engage in planning meetings with students and families
postsecondary institutions, employers, and other relevant stakeholders	 Coordinated requests for information (e.g., to parents, employers, agencies, etc.)
→ Lead agency identified	→ Coordinated collection and use of assessment data for EDP,
→ Designated transition contact person for each agency	IEP, and IPE
→ Formal interagency agreement(s)	→ Collaborative funding and staffing of transition services (e.g.,
→ Roles and responsibilities clearly articulated	braided funding, blended staff, etc.)
→ Shared understanding of educational and agency policy and procedures	 Collaborative consultation between special, general, career technical, and vocational educators
→ Systems barriers to collaboration are minimized	 Collaborative program planning and development, including employer involvement
 Established methods of communication among all service providers 	→ Collaborative delivery of transition-related services by school, VR, and other relevant stakeholders
 Data shared among agencies via established procedures (with appropriate release of information and confidentiality) 	→ Student and family linked with appropriate provider to assist with financial planning, health care system navigating, adult
→ Cross-agency professional development provided	disability or mental health services, and transportation
→ Interdisciplinary and interagency policy and procedures are evaluated annually	

Student-Focused Planning

Student Development Interagency Collaboration

Family Engagement

Family Involvement	Family Empowerment	Family Preparation
Families' cultural background and intimate knowledge of and experience	→ Transition information provided prior to student's age 14	→ Family learning and preparation provided for:
 with their child informs the IEP → Families provide information about their child either orally or in writing 	→ Information provided in their ordinary language and shared in culturally responsive and respectful manner	 transition-related planning process (e.g., IEP, ITP, IPE)
→ Families participate in the entire transition planning process including:	→ Structured method to identify family needs	empowerment strategiessetting high expectation
student assessmentevaluation of student's program	→ Pre-IEP planning activities specific to families	 promoting child's self- determination, with respect for cultural views and values
 IEP and other individual program planning meeting 	 Child care and respite care provided for transition-related meetings 	advocacynatural supports
 decision making Families participate in service delivery 	 Supports provided for families to engage youth in community experiences 	agencies and services
→ Families participate in natural support network as trainers, mentors, peer advocates, or community liaisons	→ Students and families linked with adult service providers during transition planning	 facilitating community experiences for youth with disabilities (e.g., safety, transportation, social skills, mobility)
→ Families participate in program policy development	→ Students and families are assisted to apply for college	· legal issues

Family Involvement	Family Empowerment	Family Preparation
→ Families concerns and needs are represented in school governance		
 Non-family member interpreters provided 		

Student-Focused Planning

Student Development

Interagency Collaboration

Family Engagement

Program Structure

Program Characteristics Program Evaluation Strategic Planning Evaluation is part of an ongoing Strategic planning is conducted on Transitions are addressed across educational levels (preschool - high cycle of program development and a regular basis includes multiple school) and relevant systems (e.g., improvement stakeholders from relevant education, mental health, vocational rehabilitation) agency, and community partners Clear vision is established for systemis driven by evidenced-based and Program options are flexible to meet wide evaluation and data use promising practices for transition individual student needs Data-driven culture supported at all education and services uses needs Programs are outcome-oriented and levels assessments to provide the basis for reflect high expectations for all students secondary-level education and post-Data systems are used to monitor and school community programs and Programs rely on a tiered philosophy. to assess progress toward graduation services includes evaluation planning viewing general and special education including: as a unified system offering increasingly Strategic planning document is dropout risk intensive support, as needed evaluated for technical soundness attendance (measureable goals, sufficient tasks, Programs reflect the community's tangible outputs, anticipated outcomes, behavior cultural, linguistic, and ethnic diversity sound evaluation, etc.) course completion Students with diverse needs have access to all educational opportunities course performance (academic, college-prep, work-based, social performance extracurricular, etc.)

Program Characteristics	Program Evaluation	Strategic Planning
→ Graduation requirements are clearly defined	→ CTE enrollment and completion patterns	
 → Parents are given graduation requirements and exit options with future implications prior to 9th grade → Multiple pathways provided for satisfying standard graduation requirements → Every opportunity provided to students to receive a standard diploma until age 21 	 office referrals, suspension, expulsion truancy retentions support needs Student-level data are reviewed to identify students at risk of dropping out before key grade-level transitions → Student withdrawal data are collected and reviewed → Post-school data are collected and used for program planning → Students and families participate in program evaluation 	
Policies and Procedures	Resource Development and Allocation	School Climate
 → Policies and procedures support the implementation of evidence-based and promising practices (EBPP) for transition → Policies and procedures foster a positive school climate 	 → High quality staff in all instructional, supervisory, and support roles are recruited, hired, and retained → Implementation of evidence-based and promising practices (EBPP) is supported and facilitated through professional development, coaching, and feedback systems 	 → School climate supports a sense of trust and fairness → School has a clearly defined set of expectations, procedures for teaching expectations, and procedures for encouraging expected and school-appropriate behavior

Policies and Procedures	Resource Development and Allocation	School Climate
 → Academic and social performance are monitored → Policies and procedures provide the structure and process for systemic and ongoing program improvement regarding transition education and services → Policies and procedures are aligned with those of other providers as much as possible to address gaps and reduce duplication of transition services 	 → Employee relations services are provided to ensure continuing quality of the workforce and the workplace (e.g., appointment status, benefits, and other transactions for all employees) → Personnel development is provided for: → knowledge and skill development → culturally responsive planning with families → creating a welcoming school climate → Multiple measures are used when building and implementing administrator and teacher evaluation performance index formulas 	 → Programs implemented to improve students' classroom behavior and social skills → Students are provided a personalized learning environment and instructional process → School climate is welcoming to students, families, staff, and other stakeholders → School climate provides a safe and nurturing environment for students and adults to feel connected to the school (safe from physical or emotional harm, respect for diversity, fair and supportive practices) → Environment is culturally responsive to students, families, staff, and other stakeholders → Students' sense of engagement and belonging in school is monitored → Staff and students interact outside the classroom → Adult advocates are assigned to students identified as at risk of dropping out

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- **Note** the references included here are those from which we drew information to build the *Taxonomy for Transition Programming 2.0.*The sources used to develop the original *Taxonomy* (Kohler, 1996) are available at http://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED399722
- Balfanz, R. (2007, August 16). Locating and transforming the low performing high schools which produce the nation's dropouts. Center for Social Organization of Schools. Johns Hopkins University. Retrieved from www.all4ed.org/files/Balfanz.pdf
- Cobb, B., Sample, P., Alwell, M., & Johns, N. (2005). The effects of cognitive-behavorial intervention on dropout for youth with disabilities. *Effective interventions in dropout prevention: A research synthesis.* Clemson, SC: National Dropout Prevention Center for Students with Disabilities.
- Dary, T. & Pickeral, T. (ed) (2013). School climate: Practices for implementation and sustainability. *A School Climate Practice Brief, Number 1*, New York, NY: National School Climate Center.
- Faircloth, S. C., & Tippeconnic, III, J. W. (2010). The dropout/graduation rate crisis among American Indian and Alaska Native students: Failure to respond places the future of Native peoples at risk. Los Angeles, CA: The Civil Rights Project/Proyecto Derechos Civiles at UCLA. Retrieved from www.civilrightsproject.ucla.edu
- Fleming, A. R., Del Valle, R., Kim, M., & Leahy, M. J. (2013). Best practice models of effective vocational rehabilitation service delivery in the public rehabilitation program: A review and synthesis of the empirical literature.
- Hamilton, L., Halverson, R., Jackson, S., Mandinach, E., Supovitz, J., & Wayman, J. (2009). *Using student achievement data to support instructional decision making (NCEE 2009-4067)*. Washington, DC: National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance, Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education. Retrieved from http://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/publications/practiceguides/.
- Kemple, J. J., & Snipes, J. C. (2000). Career Academies: Impacts on students' engagement and performance in high school. New York, NY: MDRC.
- Kohler, P. D. (1996). Taxonomy for transition programming. Champaign: University of Illinois.
- Kohler, P. D., & Field, S. (2003). Transition-focused education: Foundation for the future. Journal of Special Education, 37, 174-183.
- Leahy, M. J., Chan, F., Lui, J., Rosenthal, D., Tansey, T., Wehman, P., et al. (2014). An analysis of evidence-based best practices in the public vocational rehabilitation program: Gaps, future directions, and recommended steps to move forward. *Journal of Vocational Rehabilitation*, 41, 147-163.
- Morningstar, M., & Mazzotti, V. (2014). Teacher preparation to deliver evidence-based transition planning and services to youth with disabilities (Document No. IC-1). Retrieved from University of Florida, Collaboration for Effective Educator, Development, Accountability, and Reform Center website: http://ceedar.education.ufl.edu/tools/innovation-configurations/
- Rowe, D. A., Alverson, C. Y., Unruh, D., Fowler, C., Kellems, R., & Test, D. W. (2014). A Delphi study to Operationalize Evidence-based Predictors in Secondary Transition. *Career Development and Transition for Exceptional Individuals*.

- Sinclair, M. F., Christenson, S. L., Lehr, C. A., & Anderson, A. R. (2003). Facilitating student engagement: Lessons learned from Check & Connect longitudinal studies. *The California School Psychologist*, 8, 29–41.
- Sinclair, M. F., Christenson, S. L., & Thurlow, M. L. (2005). Promoting school completion of urban secondary youth with emotional or behavioral disabilities. *Exceptional Children*, 71(4), 465–482.
- Tierney, W. G., Bailey, T., Constantine, J., Finkelstein, N., & Hurd, N. F. (2009). *Helping students navigate the path to college: What high schools can do: A practice guide (NCEE #2009-4066)*. Washington, DC: National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance, Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education. Retrieved from http://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/publications/practiceguides/.
- Test, D. W., Mazzotti, V. L., Mustian, A. L., Fowler, C. H., Kortering, L. J., & Kohler, P. H. (2009). Evidence-based secondary transition predictors for improving post-school outcomes for students with disabilities. *Career Development for Exceptional Individuals*, 32, 160-181.
- Toldson, I. A. (2011). Breaking barriers 2: Plotting the path away from juvenile detention and toward academic success for school-age African American males. Washington, DC: Congressional Black Caucus Foundation, Inc.
- Whaley, A. L., & Davis, K. E. (2007). Cultural competence and evidence-based practice in mental health services: A complementary perspective. *American Psychologist*, 62(6), 563–574.
- Wilkins, J., & Huckabee, S. (2014). *A literature map of dropout prevention interventions for students with disabilities*. Clemson, SC: National Dropout Prevention Center for Students with Disabilities, Clemson University.



Support for development of this document was provided in part by the National Technical Assistance Center on Transition (NTACT), funded through Cooperative Agreement H3261E40004 from the U.S. Department of Education. It was cosmetically updated by NTACT: The Collaborative, funded through grant # H326E200003 from the Department of Education. However, the contents do not necessarily represent the policy of the Department of Education, and you should not assume endorsement by the Federal Government.