

# Class-Wide Function-Related Intervention Teams (CW-FIT)

Intervention Brief | Social, Emotional, and Behavioral Interventions

A Publication of the National Center for Education Evaluation at IES

WHAT WORKS CLEARINGHOUSE<sup>TM</sup> May 2023

WWC 2023-005 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Educators can employ a variety of classroom management strategies in their efforts to foster a classroom environment in which all students can learn. *Class-Wide Function-Related Intervention Teams (CW-FIT)* is one such strategy. As part of *CW-FIT*, teachers establish classroom rules, provide instruction on target skills, place students into teams, and then reward teams for demonstrating target skills, all with the aim of improving student behavior and creating a positive learning environment. *CW-FIT* seeks to reinforce appropriate behaviors, minimize social attention to inappropriate behaviors, and provide self-management tools to individual students who need extra support.

**Goal:** *CW-FIT* aims to help teachers create a positive learning environment by decreasing disruptive behavior and improving social skills and prosocial behaviors among students.

**Target population:** *CW-FIT* can be used with students in prekindergarten through grade 12 and is often used with students or classrooms that are demonstrating high levels of disruptive behaviors.

The What Works Clearinghouse (WWC) reviews existing research on educational interventions to identify evidence-based programs and practices. This WWC intervention report summarizes the available evidence on the effects of *CW-FIT* on student and teacher outcomes.

## Did CW-FIT improve student and teacher outcomes?

Eight studies of *CW-FIT* meet WWC standards and contribute to the effectiveness ratings in this report. Findings from the eight studies are summarized in Table 1. The table includes rows for each outcome domain—a group of related outcome measures—that was studied in the research. The effects of *CW-FIT* on other student and teacher outcomes are unknown.

Table 1 indicates whether the evidence satisfies the WWC's requirements for strong, moderate, or promising tiers of evidence. Based on the eight studies, there is strong evidence that *CW-FIT* positively impacted student behavior and promising evidence that *CW-FIT* positively impacted teacher practice.

The WWC effectiveness rating also indicates whether *CW-FIT* resulted in improved outcomes by (1) comparing students and teachers who participated in the program to students and teachers who did not participate in the program and (2) comparing student and teacher outcomes during periods of program participation to periods when they were not participating in the program. More information about these ratings is provided on the next page. Findings and conclusions could change as new research becomes available.

Table 1. Summary of findings on CW-FIT from eight studies that meet WWC standards

Outcome domain	Effectiveness rating	Improvement index	Evidence tier	Summary
Student behavior	Positive effects	669 students	TIER  1 STRONG	The research provides strong evidence that CW-FIT improved student behavior. This assessment is based on six studies that meet WWC standards and include students in kindergarten through grade 10.
Teacher practice	Positive effects	321 teachers	TIER 3 PROMISING	The research provides promising evidence that CW-FIT improved teacher practice related to improving student behavior. This assessment is based on five studies that meet WWC standards and include teachers in prekindergarten through grade 7.

## **FINDINGS FROM 8 STUDIES**

**759 students** in Missouri, Tennessee, Utah, and other unknown states

STUDENT	S IN GRADES	PreK-10	
Race: Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander 1% Other/unknown		waiian or ander 1% Other/unknown	Free & Reduced-Price Lunch: 67%
37	% 18%	45%	Special Education: 22%
Wh Hispanic/L			Female: 26%

#### HOW THE WWC REVIEWS AND DESCRIBES EVIDENCE

The WWC conducted a systematic review of interventions designed to improve teacher practice and selected and prioritized studies for review using the version 4.1 <u>Systematic Review Protocol for Social, Emotional, and Behavioral Interventions</u>. The WWC evaluated the quality and results of the selected studies using the criteria outlined in the version 4.1 <u>Procedures and Standards Handbooks</u> and the accompanying <u>Study Review Protocol</u>.

The WWC considers each study's research design, whether findings were statistically significant and positive, and the number of studies contributing to this report. The WWC synthesizes evidence across studies—using a weighted average—to determine the effectiveness rating for each outcome domain. The WWC defines outcome domains in the <a href="Study Review Protocol">Study Review Protocol</a> to group related outcome measures.

Effectiveness rating	Description of the evidence
Positive (or negative) effects	The evidence base primarily includes the strongest research designs, and the average effect across all high-quality research is statistically significant and positive (or negative).
Potentially positive (or negative) effects	The evidence base primarily includes research with some limitations, and the average effect across all high-quality research is statistically significant and positive (or negative).
Uncertain effects	The average effect across all high-quality research is not statistically significant, so the WWC does not classify it as a positive or a negative effect.

The WWC considers the effectiveness rating, the sample size, and the number of educational sites (states, districts, local education agencies, schools, postsecondary campuses) across studies to determine the evidence tier for each outcome domain. When the effectiveness rating is *uncertain*, *potentially negative*, or *negative* effects, there is no evidence tier.

#### Evidence tier Criteria based on evidence synthesis Strong evidence Receives an effectiveness rating of positive effects, and TIER of effectiveness 0 · Includes at least 350 students in at least two educational sites STRONG Moderate evidence · Receives an effectiveness rating of potentially positive effects, and TIER of effectiveness 2 · Includes at least 350 students in at least two educational sites MODERATE Promising evidence · Receives an effectiveness rating of potentially positive effects or positive effects **TIER** of effectiveness 3 · Includes fewer than 350 students or two educational sites PROMISING

## How was CW-FIT implemented?

This section provides details of how school districts and schools implemented *CW-FIT* in the eight studies that contribute to this intervention report. This information can help educators identify the requirements for implementing *CW-FIT* and determine whether implementing this program would be feasible in their districts or schools.

*CW-FIT* was implemented in general education and self-contained classrooms to encourage students to demonstrate appropriate behavioral skills and create a positive learning environment. Teachers identified target skills to focus on during *CW-FIT* sessions, including following directions, ignoring inappropriate peer behavior, showing respect, and getting the teacher's attention appropriately. Using short, scripted lessons, teachers defined each skill, explained why the skills are important, and allowed students to practice the skills. Teachers then divided their students into teams and reviewed the skills. Teachers monitored student behavior and scored the teams based on how well they displayed the target skills. At the end of the session, winning teams received a reward.

**Comparison condition:** In the two group design studies that contribute to this intervention report, students in the comparison group did not participate in *CW-FIT* and received business-as-usual instruction.

There is no comparison group in single-case design studies. In the six single-case design studies that contribute to this report, teachers instructed class as they normally would and enforced existing classroom rules during the baseline and reversal-withdrawal phases.

Teachers can implement *CW-FIT* during whole-class instruction or while students are working independently or in small groups. In all eight studies, *CW-FIT* was implemented with the whole class. The developer recommends that teachers implement 30-to 60-minute *CW-FIT* sessions three to four times per week during periods when students typically demonstrate disruptive behaviors. This frequency of sessions should be maintained over time, although teachers can decrease their monitoring of target behaviors and provide fewer opportunities for rewards as student behavior improves. In six studies, teachers implemented *CW-FIT* during varied class periods, and in two studies, teachers implemented *CW-FIT* during the period where students tended to be most disruptive. Teachers implemented the intervention once per day in six studies and three to five times a week in the other two studies. Table 2 summarizes the components and implementation of *CW-FIT* in more detail, and the appendix provides additional information about study-specific implementation in the single-case design studies.

WWC standards assess the quality of the research, not the quality of the implementation. Studies that meet WWC standards vary in quality of implementation. However, a study must describe the relevant components of the program and how each was implemented with adequate detail to be included in an intervention report.

Table 2. Implementation of components of CW-FIT

Component	Description of the component	How it was implemented
Teaching behavioral skills	Teachers decide which behavioral skills to teach, typically selecting skills from a list suggested by the <i>CW-FIT</i> developer, including getting the teacher's attention appropriately, following directions, ignoring inappropriate peer behavior, and showing respect. Teachers may choose to solicit student input on the selection of skills and decide whether the target skills will remain consistent or vary based on student behavior concerns.	In seven studies, teachers taught two to four of the behavioral skills suggested by the developer. In one study, teachers taught skills from a list they developed using student input.
		In five studies, the target skills were consistent across all <i>CW-FIT</i> sessions. In three studies, teachers added skills based on student behavior challenges during sessions.
	During the first 3 to 5 days of <i>CW-FIT</i> implementation, teachers introduce students to the behavioral skills that will be monitored during the implementation period using a 10- to 15-minute semi-scripted lesson for each skill. When introducing students to the target skills, teachers define the skill, discuss the rationale for learning the skill, and ask students to practice the skill. The skills for <i>CW-FIT</i> are also displayed on posters that include pictures as visual reminders for the students. Teachers review each target skill before beginning each <i>CW-FIT</i> session.	
CW-FIT game sessions	Teachers divide their class into teams, usually based on seating arrangements. Teachers then explain that teams work together to earn points and rewards for demonstrating the <i>CW-FIT</i> target skills.	In seven studies, teachers formed teams of two to six students. In one study, teachers did not divide classes into teams and instead assessed individual students' demonstration of target skills.
	Before each session, teachers decide how frequently to monitor behavior and award points, based on factors such as grade level, level of familiarity with the rules, and current levels of engagement. Teachers also decide how many points are needed for teams to earn rewards and announce the point goal before	In five studies, teachers set a point goal that was constant throughout <i>CW-FIT</i> sessions. In two studies, the point goal was determined as a proportion of time intervals during the game session. In one study, teachers did not award points or establis point goals.
	starting the session.  During each session, teachers monitor student behavior at regular time intervals and award points if all members of a team are displaying the target skills. Teachers may also award bonus points at their discretion. Teachers record team point totals on a chart that is visible to all students. At the end of the session, teachers announce which team or teams won and provide rewards. Teachers use student input to create a list of rewards available to winning teams, often including a combination of small prizes, such as snacks, school supplies, free time, extra recess time, and activities such as short in-class games. Students choose their reward from the list.	In seven studies, all teams that met the established point goal received a reward of their choosing, and in one study, teachers did not provide rewards. In four studies, students provided inpu on the list of rewards.
Teacher praise	In addition to awarding points, teachers praise students for displaying the target skills. When giving praise, teachers describe how the students demonstrated the skill to reinforce appropriate behaviors. Teachers may also give behavior-specific, constructive feedback to students and teams who are not displaying the target skills.	In three studies, teachers were instructed to use skill-specific praise statements when teams displayed the target skills. The other five studies do not describe whether teachers were instructed to use skill-specific praise statements.

Component	Description of the component	How it was implemented
Additional targeted supports for students	Teachers can provide additional supports for students who are having significant challenges demonstrating the target skills. Teachers select students who need additional support based on their behavior in initial CW-FIT game sessions and choose from two types of supports based on student behavior. For students who demonstrate attention-seeking behaviors, teachers show students how to monitor and track their own behavior using an individual version of the class point chart on their desks. For students who demonstrate avoidant behaviors (for example, putting their head down, leaving the room, or creating confrontations to avoid tasks), teachers show students how to ask for extra assistance from the teacher by holding up a help card. When introducing these supports, teachers select a peer to join a small-group lesson with the focal student or students to review appropriate behaviors, describe the supports, and practice using them. While implementing sessions, teachers praise students for appropriate use of the supports.	In two studies, after implementing initial <i>CW-FIT</i> sessions, teachers provided additional, targeted supports for students who continued to demonstrate significant disruptive behaviors. In six studies, teachers did not provide additional, targeted supports.
Training and support for teachers	Teachers can receive training from another staff member or from a <i>CW-FIT</i> researcher or developer. Training content covers how to teach behavioral skills using lesson scripts from the <i>CW-FIT</i> developer, monitor student behavior and award points, provide skill-specific praise, and provide rewards. Training may also include reviewing resources from the <i>CW-FIT</i> developer website, which include instructional videos of teachers implementing <i>CW-FIT</i> and written guides that describe the components of the intervention and how to implement them. Teachers can access additional materials from the developer website, including posters depicting the target skills, lesson scripts, class point charts, and individual point charts and help cards for providing targeted supports.  Teachers often receive ongoing coaching support from staff members in their school or district when they start implementing <i>CW-FIT</i> , including coaching and modeling during the introductory sessions and classroom observations. Teachers might also need additional training when introducing additional, targeted supports to individual students.	In all eight studies, teachers received training from the study authors. In four studies, the study authors were also <i>CW-FIT</i> developers. In five studies, teachers received 90 minutes to 2 hours of training, and in two studies teachers received 45 to 60 minutes of training. In one study, teachers received 10 minutes of training, which involved reviewing lesson scripts for teaching the target skills. In five studies, teachers received ongoing coaching support, sometimes in more than one way. In two of these studies, teachers received additional coaching and modeling from the study authors during the sessions in which the teachers taught the target skills. In four of these studies, the study authors or <i>CW-FIT</i> coaches conducted classroom observations and provided feedback to teachers while teachers led <i>CW-FIT</i> sessions. Three studies do not describe coaching support for teachers.  In the two studies in which teachers provided additional, targeted supports to students, teachers received additional training from the study authors or a <i>CW-FIT</i> coach on implementing these supports.

Notes: The descriptive information for this intervention comes from the developer website, <a href="https://cwfit.ku.edu/">https://cwfit.ku.edu/</a>; the eight studies that meet WWC standards and have an effect size or design-comparable effect size; and from correspondence with the developers. Information about implementation in the additional six single-case design studies for which the WWC was unable to calculate a design-comparable effect size is provided in <a href="https://cwfit.ku.edu/">Appendix Table 2</a>.

## How much does CW-FIT cost?

This section provides educators with an overview of the resources needed to implement *CW-FIT*. Table 3 describes the major resources needed for implementation and approximate costs, based on information available as of December 2022.

Table 3. Resources needed to implement CW-FIT

Resource	Description	Funding source
Teacher training	CW-FIT training materials for teachers are available for free on the developer's website.	In all eight studies, teachers were trained using materials available for free on the CW-FIT developer's website or provided by the study authors.
Coach training	Districts can purchase training packages for coaches from the developer, starting at \$6,000 to train two district coaches who, together, can support up to 20 teachers.	In four studies, the study authors provided additional coaching to teachers. Two studies do not describe how training for coaches was funded. In two studies, no additional coaching for teachers was provided.
Facilities and technology	CW-FIT is implemented in a classroom setting. CW-FIT training may be delivered in school facilities or online. Internet access and computers are required for online trainings.	School districts or schools provided the necessary facilities and technology.
Other materials	Implementation materials, such as posters depicting the target skills, class point charts, and individual point charts and help cards, are available for free on the developer's website.	The studies do not describe how timers for teachers or rewards for students were funded.
	Teachers need a timer to facilitate <i>CW-FIT</i> sessions. Teachers or schools also provide rewards to winning teams, which often include a combination of small prizes such as snacks, school supplies, free time, extra recess time, and activities such as short in-class games.	

## For more information about CW-FIT

The University of Kansas Juniper Gardens Children's Project 444 Minnesota Ave #300 Kansas City, KS 66101

Email: cwfitku@ku.edu Web: https://cwfit.ku.edu/

Phone: (913) 897-8508

To request access to free training and implementation materials: <a href="https://cwfit.ku.edu/request-access/">https://cwfit.ku.edu/request-access/</a>

## **LEARN MORE**



Read the full <u>intervention report</u> to learn more about *CW-FIT*, how it was implemented in the studies that meet standards, and what the studies found. Visit the <u>WWC website</u> for summaries of evidence on other interventions and to learn more about the research the WWC has reviewed.