A Survey of Paraprofessionals' Experiences of Roles, Training, and Communication when Working with Students with Autism

Kristi L. Morin[1], Sallie Nowell[2], Jessica Steinbrenner[2], Ann Sam[2], Victoria Waters[2], and

Samuel L. Odom[2]

Lehigh University[1]

University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill[2]

Author Note

Kristi L. Morin, Ph.D., BCBA-D., klmorin@lehigh.edu, Lehigh University, College of

Education, 111 Research Drive, Bethlehem, PA 18015; Sallie W. Nowell, Ph.D., CCC-SLP,

nowell@unc.edu, Jessica R. Steinbrenner, Ph.D., CCC-SLP, Jessica.Dykstra@unc.edu, Ann M.

Sam, Ph.D., ann.sam@unc.edu, Victoria Waters, M.Ed., victoria.waters@unc.edu, and Samuel

L. Odom, Ph.D., slodom@unc.edu, Frank Porter Graham Child Development Institute,

University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, 517 S. Greensboro Street, Chapel Hill, NC 17599-

8040.

Suggested Citation:

Morin, K., Nowell, S., Dykstra-Steinbrenner, J., Sam, A., Waters, V., & Odom, S. (2022). A survey of paraprofessionals' experiences of roles, training, and communication when working with students with autism. *Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities*. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1177/10883576211066897

Abstract

Paraprofessionals are critical members of instructional teams for students with autism; however, little is known about their job preparation and professional development needs. This study addressed the gap in the literature by surveying 325 paraprofessionals in public school settings in the United States and Guam who reported working with students with autism. Data were gathered on paraprofessionals' (a) roles and responsibilities, (b) applied knowledge from different types of professional development, (c) perceived barriers to professional development, and (d) types and timing of communication with their supervising teachers. The results of this study have direct implications for individuals interested in developing a model of professional development that will meet the unique needs of paraprofessionals who work with students with autism spectrum disorder.

Keywords: paraprofessional, special education, autism spectrum disorder, professional development, survey

A Survey of Paraprofessionals' Experiences with Roles, Training, and Communication

when Working with Students with Autism

Paraprofessionals play a vital role in the instruction of students with disabilities, with more special education paraprofessionals employed in preschool through high school settings than special education teachers (U.S. Department of Education, 2019). Paraprofessionals, also termed paraeducators or teaching assistants, are defined as "employees who provide instructional support, assist with classroom management, participate in parental involvement activities, and instruct students under the supervision of a teacher" (U.S. Department of Education, 2018, p. 37). Given the importance of paraprofessionals in the education of students with disabilities, adequate preparation and training are critical for students to achieve the best outcomes (Brock & Carter, 2013). Although the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA; 2004) mandates that paraprofessionals be appropriately trained and supervised, the requirements for "appropriate training" vary by state and are often unclear (Hall & Odom, 2019).

The 41st Annual Report to Congress on the Implementation of the IDEA (U.S. Department of Education, 2019) illustrates the lack of consensus on appropriate training for paraprofessionals with the term "qualified" including both paraprofessionals meeting their states' standards for qualification, as well as *all* paraprofessionals in states where there was no state certification or licensure requirement for employment. A small number of states have taken steps to ensure their paraprofessionals are adequately prepared for their roles (e.g., Colorado, Virginia); however, there are lingering concerns over the preparation that paraprofessionals receive. For example, a large-scale, multi-site research study conducted in 26 schools across six states found that a common concern among teachers, parents, and administrators was

paraprofessionals' lack of training to work with students with disabilities (Giangreco et al., 2011).

The lack of agreement across state policies regarding how paraprofessionals should be prepared and what ongoing training is required is concerning given that special education paraprofessionals now outnumber certified special education teachers (435,817 vs. 341,695; U.S. Department of Education, 2019; most recent data available). Research indicates that paraprofessionals often provide a significant amount of instruction to students with disabilities (Fisher & Pleasants, 2012; Jones et al., 2012), many times without the oversight of special education teachers (Giangreco & Broer, 2005). Having paraprofessionals deliver instruction to students without adequate training is problematic and has led to paraprofessionals sometimes giving incorrect feedback, spending a large proportion of time on low level skills, failing to keep students engaged, and implementing curricula with a low level of fidelity (Jones et al., 2012). Large caseloads of students, insufficient preparation of special education teachers themselves, and a lack of training for teachers on how to adequately supervise paraprofessionals can lead to paraprofessionals performing roles and responsibilities that are outside of their scope of duties and not aligned with IDEA (2004).

When paraprofessionals work with students with autism, these issues are often compounded. Working effectively with students with autism requires knowledge of evidence-based practices specific to this population (Hume et al., 2021); knowledge that most paraprofessionals do not possess (Morin et al., 2020). In addition, students with autism often exhibit high levels of challenging behavior (Busby et al., 2012), making it difficult for some educators to effectively meet their instructional needs (White et al., 2012). Given that the most recent prevalence rate for autism in children is 1 in 54 (Maenner et al., 2020) and data suggest

that more than 50 million children and youth attend public schools (McFarland et al., 2017), it is highly likely that many paraprofessionals are working directly with these students, making it even more important for paraprofessionals to receive effective professional development (PD) related to working with this population.

Given the widespread lack of preparation and the complexities of working with students with autism, PD is needed for paraprofessionals serving students with autism to be more successful in their roles. Fortunately, research has demonstrated that paraprofessionals are able to improve their knowledge and skills when they receive PD (e.g., Layden et al., 2018), and this improvement has a subsequent positive impact on student outcomes (Brock & Carter, 2013). However, most of the research to date on training for paraprofessionals involves PD that is delivered by researchers (Walker & Smith, 2015). This model of PD is neither sustainable nor scalable. Instead, PD should be ongoing, delivered in authentic settings, and implemented by classroom teachers (Walker & Smith, 2015). When designing such a model of PD, it is important to know the current state of practice for paraprofessionals related to roles and responsibilities, current PD opportunities, barriers to PD, and availability for PD.

First, when designing PD, it is important to know what responsibilities paraprofessionals currently have in order to teach content that is relevant to their role. Although a small number of studies provide information on the roles and responsibilities of paraprofessionals, research on this topic is limited and questions still remain. For example, three studies conducted surveys of paraprofessionals or other school personnel to determine what roles and responsibilities paraprofessionals have in the classroom (Fisher & Pleasants, 2012; Giangreco & Broer, 2005; Jones et al., 2012). The results of these studies are consistent in the types of roles in which paraprofessionals engage (e.g., instructional support, managing behavior, supervising students,

etc.). There are, however, discrepancies across studies in the percentage of time that paraprofessionals are reported to engage in these activities. Because the samples in the prior studies were drawn either from a single district or state, it is still unknown how frequently paraprofessionals across the United States engage in different roles and responsibilities.

It is also important to consider which types of PD result in applied knowledge for paraprofessionals. There are many different approaches to engaging in PD in education, including workshops, conferences, degree programs, peer observation, professional networks, research, coaching, online learning modules, and professional literature (Broad & Evans, 2006; Snell et al., 2019). Research on professional development aligned with adult learning principles suggest that learner-centered models of professional development that are sustained over longer periods of time, include practice opportunities in authentic contexts, and incorporate peer coaching are most effective for effecting change (McLeskey, 2011). Similarly, the use of video analysis, or the viewing of one's own video for the purpose of improvement, also has demonstrated efficacy for improving a variety of instructional and behavioral skills (Morin, et al., 2019); however, most of the research on effective PD focuses on improvement of teachers' skills (e.g., McLeskey, 2011), and less is known about which approaches result in paraprofessionals applying knowledge about effective instructional techniques with their students with disabilities. Further, although there is support for sustained models of PD (Bertuccio et al., 2019), these models are often not implemented with paraprofessionals (Sobeck & Robertson, 2019). As such, additional information on the specific approaches that paraprofessionals find useful would help when designing a model of PD that is relevant to this population.

Knowing the barriers that prevent paraprofessionals from engaging in training is also essential to PD developers. Having information on whether paraprofessionals perceive a lack of prerequisites, funding, employer support, time, resources, availability of training, and interest as reasons for not engaging in training will help PD providers design a model of PD that is feasible and likely to be used by paraprofessionals. Although there has been some speculation about possible barriers (Barrio & Hollingshead, 2017; Chopra et al., 2013), no study to date has directly investigated the barriers to PD as reported by paraprofessionals. Failing to consider and plan for these barriers prior to delivering PD is likely to lead to low participation (Barrio & Hollingshead, 2017; Chopra et al., 2013).

Finally, information on timing, or when teachers and paraprofessionals communicate is important to determine the most feasible time to deliver the PD. Although prior research has established that communication between teachers and paraprofessionals is crucial for effective practice (Docherty, 2014), information on when that communication occurs has not been previously explored. Knowing when communication occurs (e.g., during school, before or after school, on workdays) is valuable for developers of PD. With this information, developers can plan the model around times that are already established as preferred, particularly when designing a model of PD that involves the teacher as the facilitator (Walker & Smith, 2015).

The purpose of this study was to gather information that could serve as the foundation for the development of a PD program aligned with adult learning principles for paraprofessionals working with students with autism. Specific research questions addressed include: (1) What are the roles and responsibilities of paraprofessionals working with students with autism in school settings? (2) What types of PD result in applied knowledge for paraprofessionals who work with students with autism? (3) What are the barriers to PD? and (4) When do paraprofessionals and

teachers of students with autism communicate? Secondary research questions explored whether

paraprofessionals' responses to these questions differed by the setting (i.e., general education or

special education) or grade level (i.e., preschool/elementary or middle/secondary).

Method

The research team designed a survey to answer the research questions and gather

contextual information to inform the development of a feasible and accessible PD model for

paraprofessionals serving students with autism. The survey questions were developed based on

professional experience and a review of paraprofessional literature. Three questions (Q5, Q8, and

Q9) were adapted from a previous survey, the *Teacher and Learning International Survey*

(TALIS; Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, 2013); other questions were

created to gather specific data related to online learning or information unique to the

paraprofessional role. The questions were reviewed by multiple team members with experience

working with paraprofessionals, special education teachers, and students with autism in public

school settings to ensure the content validity of the questions. In addition, the research team

conducted an internal consistency analysis on the survey items (items 5-9, see survey questions

in Appendix A) to ensure the reliability of the measure. Cronbach's alphas ranged from .64-.97

(mean α= .84)

Survey Content

The survey, administered through Qualtrics, consisted of 24 questions organized into four

sections (see Appendix A). The first section consisted of four survey eligibility questions related

to whether the respondent was currently working as a paraprofessional, teacher's assistant/aide,

or an instructional assistant (Q1), whether the respondent was currently working with learners

with autism (Q2), the age range of learners with autism with which the respondent was working (Q3), and the setting in which the respondent was working (Q4).

The second section consisted of questions about the frequency with which respondents engaged in various roles and responsibilities within the classroom (e.g., grading work, providing academic instruction, data collection; Q5), the amount of time respondents spend in various settings (Q6), and the frequency and mode of communication between the paraprofessional and supervising teacher (Q7). The third section included questions related to the types of PD opportunities that respondents engaged in (Q8), the barriers to PD (Q9), and respondents' demographics (Q10-16). When feasible, text-based responses for the "other" categories were recoded. For example, when asked what setting they worked in, multiple respondents chose "other" and then typed some form of "both general education and special education." As such, the research team created a new category based on these responses (i.e., "both"). The fourth section consisted of an additional eight questions that were related to specific features of a larger PD study and did not inform the research questions; thus, they are not reported in the results section. One sub-question was also specific to the PD study and excluded from analyses (see Appendix A, Question 8; application of information from online learning modules); however, the descriptive results are reported in the participant section to provide information about the respondents.

Participants

Potential participants were individuals who had registred as users of the Autism Focused Intervention Resources and Modules (AFIRM Team, 2015) and elected for AFIRM staff to contact them in the future. The research team sent surveys to 1,988 individuals with unique, valid email addresses who identified in their AFIRM user profile as working (a) as paraprofessionals,

teacher's assistants/aides, or instructional assistants, (b) in public school settings, (c) in the United States or U. S. Territories, and (d) with students with autism. Surveys were not included if they had missing data about the background questions. Recipients received up to three email reminders over a 12-day period resulting in 572 respondents (29%). After applying our inclusion criteria (see below), the final data set included 325 respondents (16% of the survey recipients).

Of the respondents, 92% (n=299) were female, 6% (n=21) were male, and 2% (n=5) preferred not to disclose their gender. Regarding race and ethnicity, 62% (n=199) of respondents were White/Caucasian, 15% (n=48) were Hispanic/Latin American, 7% (n=21) were Black/African-American, 3% (n=9) were Asian, 2% (n=5) were American Indian/Alaskan Native, and 1% (n=3) were Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander. A total of 8% (n=27) preferred not to disclose their race/ethnicity and 3% (n=11) selected "other" for race/ethnicity. Respondents reported the following levels of education: 19% (n=60) had a high school diploma or GED, 45% (n=144) had an Assoicate's degree, technical training, or partial college, 31% (n=99) had a Bachelor's degree, and 6% (n=19) had a Master's, Doctorate, or other professional degree. Regarding number of years working as a paraprofessional, 15% (n=50) had 0-2 years of experience, 26% (n=84) had 3-5 years of experience, 21% (n=68) had 6-10 years of experience, and 37% (n=121) had 11 or more years of experience. Most respondents reported working with students with autism in a special education classroom (59%; n=193), but they also worked in general education classrooms (34%; n=109), or a combination of the two (7%; n=23). Respondents worked with students with autism from a variety of age categories: 15% (n=48) worked in preschool (ages 3-5); 45% (n=145) worked in elementary (ages 6-11); 17% (n=56) worked in middle school (ages 12-14); and 23% (n=76) worked in high school (ages 15-22). Regarding urbanicity, respondents worked in suburban areas (45%; n=145), rural areas (30%;

n=95), and urban areas (25%; n=80). Respondents reported working in the following U.S.

Territories and U.S. Census Bureau Regions: 45% (n=145) worked in the West, 20% (n=66) in

the Midwest, 20% (n=64) in the South, 15% (n=48) in the Northeast, and <1% (n=2) in Guam.

Finally, 88% of respondents (n=204) reported applying knowledge from online learning modules

in their role as a paraprofessional.

No significant difference was found between responders and non-responders to the

survey by region of the U.S. (χ^2(4, N = 1854) = 8.70, p > .07) or age range taught (χ^2(4, N =

1854) = 5.31, p > .26); therefore, we were confident that our results represented the larger

recipient pool, despite the modest response rate.

Data Analysis

We conducted descriptive analyses on paraprofessionals' responses to each survey

question. Responses to yes/no questions are reported using frequency counts and percentages of

total responses, and responses to multiple-choice questions are reported using frequency counts,

percentages of total response, means, and standard deviations. We used t-tests for multiple

choice items and chi-squared tests for yes/no answer choices to determine if there were

differences in paraprofessionals' survey responses by grade level and setting. The team made a

priori decisions to create two categorical variables for both grade level and setting data for these

analyses. We combined responses for respondents who indicated they worked in a preschool or

elementary setting and for those who indicated they worked in a middle or high school setting

based on similarities in structure for preschool/elementary classrooms (e.g., single teacher, core

class of peers) and middle/high school classrooms (e.g., multiple teachers, rotating classes).

Additionally, we combined responses for respondents who indicated they worked in a self-

contained or separate setting with respondents who indicated they worked in a resource or cross-

categorical setting to create a new variable (i.e., special education), which we compared to respondents who indicated they worked in general education. For the setting variable, the decision to combine resource and cross-categorical settings was based on the types of students in the settings (e.g., all students with IEPs versus inclusive) and the roles and responsibilities of the paraprofessionals working in those settings. For example, paraprofessionals in resource/cross-categorical settings are generally in the same room as their supervising teacher; whereas, in general education classrooms paraprofessionals are more likely to be working with general education teachers rather than their supervising teacher. Any missing data were excluded from the analyses, and the Bonferroni-Holm correction was used to address the increased potential for Type 1 errors from multiple statistical comparisons (Holm, 1979).

Results

Roles and Responsibilities

Respondents first indicated the frequency in which they engaged in various tasks (i.e., never, one time per week, two times per week, three times per week, four times per week, or every day). See Appendix C for a list of the tasks and the number of respondents reporting participation in these tasks. During a typical work week and on a daily basis, most paraprofessionals indicated that they provided the following to students with autism: (a) support or supervision related to behavior (89%), (b) support in instructional settings (80%), (c) supervision for non-instructional times (80%), (d) academic instruction (74%), and (e) self-help instruction (68%). In addition, most paraprofessionals indicated that they communicated with their supervising teacher (89%) and collected data (64%) on a daily basis. There was more variability in the amount of time spent on tasks such as planning and preparing lessons (i.e., 33% reported never planning/preparing lessons, 27% reported doing it on a daily basis), grading

student work (53% never, 24% daily), performing administrative tasks (22% never, 44% daily),

and communicating with students' family members (45% never, 29% daily). Finally, some of the

paraprofessionals surveyed indicated that they had "other" duties, such as collaborating with

other members of students' support teams, participating in staff meetings, and working on social

skills with students. See Appendix B for a full list of their responses.

When examining differences by setting and grade, paraprofessionals working in special

education settings reported spending significantly more time planning and preparing for student

lessons ($t(300) = 3.19$, $p = <.01$, $d = 0.39$) and providing self-help instruction ($t(298) = 6.19$, $p =$

$<.01$, $d = 0.72$) than paraprofessionals working in general education (see Table 1). In addition,

paraprofessionals working with younger students (i.e., preschool or elementary school) provided

significantly more self-help instruction to students with autism ($t(321) = -3.88$, $p = <.01$, $d = .43$)

compared to paraprofessionals working with older students (i.e., middle or high school). Finally,

paraprofessionals working with younger students reported significantly more time spent on data

collection ($t(320) = -2.88$, $p = <.01$, $d = 0.32$) and communicating with students' family members

($t(320) = -2.58$, $p = <.01$, $d = 0.30$) than paraprofessionals working with older students.

Paraprofessionals also indicated how many hours per day (i.e., never, <1 hour, 1-2 hours,

3-4 hours, or 5+ hours) they spent working in the following settings: (a) individual students, (b)

small groups of students, (c) large groups of students. Most paraprofessionals spent at least some

time working with individual students (96%; n=308) or small groups (92%; n=293), although a

large percentage also reported working with large groups (66%; n=208). The amount of small

group instruction was significantly higher for paraprofessionals providing instruction in special

education than general education settings ($t(294) = 2.05$, $p = <.05$, $d = 0.25$), and in middle and

high school as compared to preschool or elementary settings ($t(316) = 2.44$, $p = <.05$, $d = 0.28$).

Applied Knowledge from Professional Development

To gain a better understanding of the types of PD opportunities paraprofessionals engage in, paraprofessionals indicated if they applied knowledge from a variety of formats of PD (see Table 2). The majority of paraprofessionals surveyed indicated they applied knowledge from PD received during (a) courses and workshops (89%), (b) independently reading professional literature (74%), (c) education conferences (68%), and (d) mentorship, coaching and/or observation from peers (58%). Less frequently, paraprofessionals reported that they engaged in individual or collaborative research on a topic of interest, applied knowledge from a degree program, participated in a professional network, and observed at other schools.

When analyzing differences in participants' responses by setting and grade level for questions related to how paraprofessionals apply knowledge from training, the only significant difference found was with independently reading professional literature. Paraprofessionals working in general education settings were more likely to engage in this activity than paraprofessionals working in special education settings X^2 (1, $N = 163$) = 6.62, $p < .01$, $d = 0.35$).

Barriers to Professional Development

Paraprofessionals selected barriers to PD that applied to them (see Table 2). According to the paraprofessionals surveyed, the largest barriers to completing PD were lack of time (55%) and lack of appropriate training offered (44%). Additional barriers to PD, as reported by paraprofessionals, were lack of (a) money (34%), (b) employer support (24%), and (c) resources (23%). Only 2% of paraprofessionals reported they did not seek PD due to lack of interest. Several paraprofessionals elaborated on these barriers in an open-ended question (see Appendix B). When examining participant responses by work setting, there were negligible differences for most barriers to PD reported (see Table 2).

Communication with Supervising Teacher

Paraprofessionals responded to questions about which times during the day they communicated with their supervising teacher and which forms of communication they typically used (see Table 3). Paraprofessionals frequently reported that they communicated with their supervising teachers about students with autism during downtime in the classroom (87%), before or after school (84%), during teacher workdays (75%), and during planning periods (71%). It was less common for paraprofessionals to communicate with supervising teachers during lunch (60%) or school breaks (57%); however, the majority of paraprofessionals still reported some communication during these times. Supervising teachers and paraprofessionals communicate by a variety of means throughout a typical workweek, with email (75%) and text (74%) being the most common forms of communication. Classroom notes (67%) and phone calls (56%), although slightly less common, were still reported by more than half of the paraprofessionals surveyed.

Regarding communication with their supervising teacher, pre-K and elementary paraprofessionals reported that they were significantly more likely to communicate on teacher workdays (X^2 (1, $N = 195$) $= 9.07$, $p < .01$, $d = 0.38$) and before or after school (X^2 (1, $N = 202$) $= 4.13$, $p < .05$, $d = 0.26$) than middle and high school level paraprofessionals. Paraprofessionals in general education were significantly more likely to use email to communicate with their supervising teacher than those in special education (X^2 (1, $N = 169$) $= 4.46$, $p < .05$, $d = 0.28$).

Discussion

This study captures the immense variability of paraprofessionals' roles and responsibilities and illustrates their need for quality PD. The results corroborate related research that indicate paraprofessionals provide academic instruction to students with autism (Fisher & Pleasants, 2012; Jones et al., 2012) and add to the body of literature on this topic by reporting on

the frequency in which paraprofessionals engage in this activity. Given that prior research has reported academic instruction provided by paraprofessionals is often not supervised by a certified teacher (Giangreco & Broer, 2005), the finding that paraprofessionals provide academic instruction an average of 4-5 days per week is concerning as it is likely that they are not receiving adequate supervision. The myriad of other roles paraprofessionals reported adds to the literature demonstrating how critically involved paraprofessionals are in the daily instruction of students with autism. Considering the variety of roles reported, as well as the unique learning needs of students with autism, quality PD specific to students with autism is crucial for paraprofessionals' success in fulfilling their roles and positively impacting student outcomes.

Despite the importance of PD in enhancing paraprofessionals' skills, many paraprofessionals reported a lack of time and appropriate training opportunities as barriers to receiving additional knowledge and skills. This finding is aligned with prior research that found time was a barrier to engaging in PD by paraprofessionals (Sobeck & Robertson, 2019). A lack of appropriate training opportunities offered to paraprofessionals is also problematic as it indicates that content delivered in workshops and seminars may not be relevant to their specific roles with students. This finding is further supported by paraprofessionals' comments to open-ended questions (see Appendix B). For instance, students with the most intensive behavioral and self-care needs are the ones most likely to need paraprofessional support; however, content on how to best meet these needs are generally not covered in seminars focused on supporting students in inclusive settings. Despite these challenges, it is encouraging that less than 2% of paraprofessionals surveyed indicated a lack of interest as a barrier to receiving additional training. These results support similar findings in a related study that indicate paraprofessionals do have a desire to improve their skills (Morin et al., 2020). Given the pivotal role of

paraprofessionals in the lives of students with autism, there is a need to overcome these barriers if paraprofessionals are to engage in meaningful PD that improves their work with their students.

Survey responses surrounding communication with supervising teachers revealed that paraprofessional-teacher teams engaged in a communication during various points in the school day using a variety of methods. However, teacher workdays, before or after school, downtime during the school day, and planning periods were the most frequently cited times among all respondents. This information is important to consider when developing a PD model and indicates that training delivered by supervising teachers may be most effective if planned during these times. The different forms of communication used by paraprofessional-teacher teams reveal the creative methods that teams use when competing responsibilities preclude more formal, in-person meetings and is an important consideration when exploring alternative modes of delivering information to paraprofessionals.

Implications for Practice

Taken together, these results have important implications for developing a model of PD that is broadly relevant for paraprofessionals who work with students with autism. First, given the finding that a large percentage of paraprofessionals who work with students with autism indicated a lack of appropriate training offered as a barrier to engaging in PD, PD content should be tailored to the unique needs of paraprofessionals working with this population. In addition, the finding of statistically significant differences between roles of paraprofessionals working in different grade levels and settings indicate that PD content should also consider these variables.

Targeting areas that are relevant to paraprofessionals and aligned with guidance from professional organizations ensures that they will receive the most benefit from the training. In our sample, paraprofessionals supported students with autism in multiple learning environments,

including in general and special education settings, as well as non-instructional periods; thus, content must cover the broad scope of learning needs and behavioral characteristics of students with autism across these settings to be broadly relevant to paraprofessionals rather than focusing on only one setting or characteristic. The examples used in training for paraprofessionals should be in real-world instructional contexts, with students who exhibit a wide range of abilities that reflect the diversity of students with autism that paraprofessionals may experience. Further, the content of PD programs should be aligned with guidance by professional organizations on the preparation of paraprofessionals (see Council for Exceptional Children, 2015). By including relatable examples based on best practices, PD providers increase the likelihood that paraprofessionals will apply what they learn when working with their students.

Second, PD needs to be flexibly scheduled during times paraprofessionals indicated as feasible, and be considerate of the time constraints experienced by many paraprofessionals. More than half of the paraprofessionals surveyed in this study indicated time was a barrier to receiving PD. These findings corroborate previous research that also found time to be a significant barrier to receiving PD for paraprofessionals (Frantz et al., 2020; Sobeck & Robertson, 2019). Given these findings, asynchronous online learning modules may be especially desirable as they can be completed at a time that is convenient for the paraprofessional. Additionally, prior research suggests that both paraprofessionals and their supervising teachers value online modules as a method of delivery for PD (Frantz et al., 2020). Ideally, content would be delivered in short, concise modules that can easily be paused and re-started given that paraprofessionals may only have short amounts of time to dedicate to PD in the work day.

Finally, prior research has indicated that the most sustainable model of PD involves supervising teachers delivering training to paraprofessionals (Walker & Smith, 2015). The

results of this study support this model. For example, 89% of surveyed paraprofessionals reported communicating with their supervising teacher daily, although communication was not operationally defined in this study and could be interpreted differently by paraprofessionals given their unique experiences and the contexts in which they work. The frequency with which paraprofessionals communicate with their supervising teachers indicates that there are many opportunities throughout the day for supervising teachers to provide constructive feedback and on-the-job coaching, a model of PD supported by research aligned with adult learning principles (McLeskey, 2011) and preferred by both paraprofessionals and supervising teachers (Frantz et al., 2020). That said, teachers and paraprofessionals are already over-burdened; thus, it will be important to work closely with school districts to consider options that would provide more time for this necessary training and collaboration (e.g., paraprofessionals working beyond hours of instruction, coordinating release of before/after school duties for teachers and paraprofessionals).

Limitations and Future Directions

Several limitations exist for this study. First, the sample was drawn from an existing registry of online AFIRM module users. Because these paraprofessionals had already accessed evidence-based practice modules for students with autism and voluntarily responded to this survey, it is likely that (1) they are highly motivated to receive PD and/or (2) have strong opinions about PD that prompted them to respond to the survey. Due to this sampling, survey responses may or may not reflect the PD experiences of all paraprofessionals. Second, less than a third of the paraprofessionals who initially received the survey link completed the survey. Although it is possible that the low response rate introduced bias into the results, analyses between responders and non-responders revealed no significant differences for region of the U.S. or age range of students taught. Third, no operational definitions or additional explanations of the

terms used in the survey (e.g., clinical/community based, etc.) were provided beyond what is delineated in Appendix A, so it is possible that some terms were not well understood.

Fourth, there was no way to account for instances where paraprofessionals worked in multiple settings. Although we did have an open-ended response option where respondents could add additional settings in which they worked, we acknowledge that not having a response option specific to multiple settings is a limitation. Fifth, the paraprofessionals in this study had a wide range of experience, with most paraprofessionals having six or more years of experience. As such, it is possible that paraprofessionals' experience level impacted their response. To better understand how years of experience impacts roles and responsibilities and training needs, future research should explore the correlation between these variables.

Conclusions

Based on this survey, creation of quality PD materials for paraprofessionals is essential to fulfilling their wide variety of roles and responsibilities, as well as for meeting the unique learning needs of students with autism. Given the findings that time is a major barrier to engaging in PD for a majority of paraprofessionals and that supervising teachers and paraprofessionals engage in frequent communication throughout the day, flexibly scheduled online learning platforms with on-going supervision from a supervising teacher may be the most sustainable model for training paraprofessionals who work with students with autism. Incorporating information learned from this study increases the likelihood that the PD model is feasible and acceptable to paraprofessionals to meet the needs of students with autism in school-based settings.

References

AFIRM Team. (2015). *Autism Focused Intervention Resources and Modules (AFIRM).* Chapel

    Hill, NC: National Professional Development Center on Autism Spectrum Disorder, FPG

    Child Development Center, University of North Carolina. http://afirm.fpg.unc.edu

Barrio, B. L., & Hollingshead, A. (2017). Reaching out to paraprofessionals: Engaging

    professional development aligned with universal design for learning framework in rural

    communities. *Rural Special Education Quarterly, 36*(3)*,* 136-145.

    https://doi.org/10.1177/8756870517721693

Bertuccio, R. F., Runion, M. C., Culler, E. D., Moeller, J. D., & Hall, C. M. (2019). A

    comparison of autism-specific training outcomes for teachers and paraeducators.

    Teacher Education and Special Education, 42(4)*,* 338-354.

    https://doi.org/10.1177/0888406419839771

Broad, K., & Evans, M. (2006). *A review of literature on professional development content and

    delivery modes for experienced teachers*. University of Toronto, Ontario Institute for

    Studies in Education.

Brock, M. E., & Carter, E. W. (2013). A systematic review of paraprofessional-delivered

    educational practices to improve outcomes for students with intellectual and

    developmental disabilities. *Research and Practice for Persons with Severe Disabilities,*

    38(4)*,* 211-221. https://doi.org/10.1177/154079691303800401Busby, R., Ingram, R.,

    Bowron, R., Oliver, J., & Lyons, B. (2012). Teaching elementary children with autism:

    Addressing teacher challenges and preparation needs. *Rural Educator, 33*(2), 27-35.

Chopra, R., Banerjee, R., DiPalma, G., Merrill, L., & Ferguson, A. (2013). Colorado's model for

    preparing paraprofessionals for rural early intervention programs. *Rural Special*

    Education Quarterly, 32(1)*,* 20-28. https://doi.org/10.1177/875687051303200104

Council for Exceptional Children. (2015). Paraeducator preparation guidelines. In *What every*

    special educator must know: Ethics, standards, & guidelines (7th ed.; pp. 144-148).

    Arlington, VA: CEC.Docherty, R. (2014). A complete circuit: The role of communication

    between class teachers and support staff and the planning of effective learning

    opportunities. *Educational Psychology in Practice, 30*(2), 181-191.

    https://doi.org/10.1080/02667363.2014.899997

Douglas, S. N., Uitto, D. J., Reinfelds, C. L., & D'Agostino, S. (2019). A systematic review of

    paraprofessional training materials. *The Journal of Special Education, 52*(4)*,* 195-207.

    https://doi.org/10.1177/0022466918771707

Fisher, M., & Pleasants, S. L. (2012). Roles, responsibilities, and concerns of paraeducators:

    Findings from a statewide survey. *Remedial and Special Education, 33*(5)*,* 287-297.

    https://doi.org/10.1177/0741932510397762

Frantz, R., Douglas, S., Meadan, H., Sands, M., Bhana, N., & D'Agostino, S. (2020). Exploring

    the professional development needs of early childhood paraeducators and supervising

    teachers. *Topics in Early Childhood Special Education*. Advance online publication.

    https://doi.org/10.1177/0271121420921237

Giangreco, M. F., & Broer, S. M. (2005). Questionable utilization of paraprofessionals in

    inclusive schools: Are we addressing symptoms or causes? *Focus on Autism and Other*

    Developmental Disabilities, 20(1)*,* 10-26.

    https://doi.org/10.1177/10883576050200010201

Giangreco, M. F., Broer, S. M., & Suter, J. C. (2011). Guidelines for selecting alternatives to

overreliance on paraprofessionals: Field-testing in inclusion-oriented schools. *Remedial*

and Special Education, 32(1)*,* 22-38. https://doi.org/10.1177/0741932509355951

Hall, L. J. & Odom, S. L. (2019). Deepening supports for teens with autism. *Educational*

Leadership, *76*(8)r.

Holm, S. (1979). A simple sequential rejective multiple test procedure. *Scandinavian Journal of*

Statistics, 6(2), 65-70.

Hume, K., Steinbrenner, J.R., Odom, S.L., Morin, K., Nowell, S. W., Tomaszewski, B.,

Szendrey, S., McIntyre, Yücesoy-Özkane, S., & Savage, M. (2021). Evidence-based

practices for children, youth, and young adults with autism: Third generation review.

Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders. Advance online publication.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-020-04844-2

Individuals with Disabilities Education Act of 2004, 20 U.S.C. §§ 1412(a)(14).

Jones, C. R., Ratcliff, N. J., Sheehan, H., & Hunt, G. H. (2012). An analysis of teachers' and

paraeducators' roles and responsibilities with implications for professional development.

Early Childhood Education, 40(1)*,* 19-24. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10643-011-0487-4

Layden, S., Hendricks, D., Inge, K., Sima, A., Erickson, D., Avellone, L., & Wehman, P. (2018).

Providing online professional development for paraprofessionals serving those with

ASD: Evaluating a statewide initiative. *Journal of Vocational Rehabilitation, 48*(2)*,* 285-

294. https://doi.org/10.3233/JVR-180932Maenner, M. J., Shaw, K. A., Baio, J.,

Washington, A., Patrick, M., DiRenzo, M., Christensen, D. L., Wiggins, L. D.,

Pettygrove, S., Andrews, J. G., Lopez, M., Hudson, A., Baroud, T., Schwenk, Y., White,

T., Rosenberg, C. R., Lee, L. C., Harrington, R. A., Huston, M., …Dietz, P. M. (2020).

Prevalence of autism spectrum among aged-8 years-Autism and Developmental

Disabilities Monitoring Network, 11 sties, United States, 2016. *MMWR Surveillance*

Summary, 69(4), 1-12. https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.ss6904a1

McFarland, J., Hussar, B., de Brey, C., Snyder, T., Wang, X., Wilkinson-Flicker, S.,

Gebrekristos, S., Zhang, J., Rathbun, A., Barmer, A., Bullock Mann, F., and Hinz, S.

(2017). *The Condition of Education 2017* (NCES 2017-144). U.S. Department of

Education. Washington, DC: National Center for Educational Statistics.

McLeskey, J. (2011). Supporting improved practice for special education teachers: The

importance of learner-centered professional development. *Journal of Special Education

Leadership, 24,* 26-35.

Morin, K., Ganz, J. B., Vannest, K. J., Haas, A., Nagro, S., Peltier, C., Fuller, M., & Ura, S.

(2019). A systematic review of single-case research on video analysis as professional

development for special educators. *Journal of Special Education, 53,* 3-14.

https://doi.org/10.1177/0022466918798361

Morin, K., Sam, A., Tomaszewski, B., Waters, V., & Odom, S. (2020). Knowledge of evidence-

based practices and frequency of selection among school-based professionals of students

with autism. *Journal of Special Education.* Advance online publication.

https://doi.org/10.1177/0022466920958688

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2013). *Teacher and

learning international survey (TALIS)*. OCED. http://www.oecd.org

Qualtrics (2017). Provo, Utah. https://www.qualtrics.com

Snell, E. K., Hindman, A. H., & Wasik, B. A. (2019). A review of research on technology-

mediated language and literacy professional development models. *Journal of Early*

Childhood Teacher Education, *40*(3), 205-220.

https://doi.org/10.1080/10901027.2018.1539794

Sobeck, E. E., & Robertson, R. (2019). Perspectives on current practices and barriers to training for paraeducators of students with autism in inclusive settings. *Journal of the American Academy of Special Education Professionals,* 131-159.

U.S. Department of Education. (2019). *41st Annual Report to Congress on the Implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.* Exhibit 43-44: Special Educators and Paraprofessionals employed (FTE) to provide special education and related services to children ages 6 through 21 under IDEA, Part B: Fall 2016.

https://www2.ed.gov/about/reports/annual/osep/index.html

Walker, V. L., & Smith, C. G. (2015). Training paraprofessionals to support students with disabilities: A literature review. *Exceptionality, 23*(3)*,* 170-191.

https://doi.org/10.1080/09362835.2014.986606

White, M. L., Smith, J. D., Smith, T. E. C., & Stodden, R. (2012). Autism spectrum disorders. In D. Zager, M. L. Wehmeyer & R. L. Simpson (Eds.), *Educating students with autism spectrum disorders* (pp. 3–12). New York, NY: Routledge.

Table 1

Results of Unpaired T-Test for Differences Between Grade Levels and Settings for Roles and Responsibilities

Survey Questions and Response Options[a]	PreK - Elementary		Middle - High School		Differences Between Grade Levels			Special Education		General Education		Differences Between Settings		
	M	SD	*M*	SD	df	*t* test	*d*	*M*	SD	*M*	SD	df	*t* test	*d*
In a typical work week, how often do you participate in the following tasks in your role as a paraprofessional?[b]														
Planning/preparation of lessons	2.17	2.05	1.78	1.99	322	-1.69	.19	**2.30**	**2.08**	**1.53**	**1.89**	**300**	****3.19**	**.39**
Grading work	1.68	2.14	1.60	2.04	321	-0.34	.04	2.84	2.15	1.37	2.00	298	1.88	.11
Academic instruction	4.15	1.67	4.00	1.79	317	-0.75	.08	2.23	1.56	3.83	1.96	295	1.80	.22
Self-help instruction	**4.05**	**1.80**	**3.18**	**2.24**	**321**	****-3.88**	**.43**	**4.16**	**1.73**	**2.70**	**2.30**	**298**	****6.19**	**.72**
Support in instructional settings	4.27	1.55	4.42	1.42	323	0.88	.10	4.21	1.58	4.51	1.35	298	-1.70	.21
Support/supervision related to behavior	4.73	.99	4.66	1.00	320	-.059	.07	4.67	1.05	4.69	.98	297	-0.20	.02
Administrative tasks	3.14	2.07	2.55	2.14	322	-2.44	.28	3.06	2.04	2.65	2.21	298	1.63	.19

Supervision for non-instructional times	4.38	1.50	2.78	.16	320	-1.42	.16	4.41	1.46	3.96	1.91	297	2.27	.27
Data collection	**4.01**	**1.72**	**3.40**	**2.01**	**320**	****-2.88**	**.32**	3.93	1.77	3.55	2.00	295	1.72	.20
Communications with family members	**2.14**	**2.22**	**1.51**	**2.01**	**320**	****-2.58**	**.30**	1.95	2.13	1.85	2.20	297	.37	.04
Communications with supervising teacher	4.73	.88	4.76	.81	322	0.34	.04	4.76	.86	4.72	.83	297	.35	.05

On a typical workday, how often do you instruct students in the following settings?[c]

Individual student	2.49	1.21	2.66	1.24	318	1.20	.14	2.64	1.21	2.45	1.28	295	1.24	.15
Small group	**2.05**	**1.17**	**2.38**	**1.17**	**316**	***2.44**	**.28**	**2.28**	**1.17**	**1.99**	**1.17**	**294**	***2.05**	**.25**
Large group	1.28	1.29	1.57	1.41	311	1.83	.21	1.42	1.37	1.41	1.30	289	.09	.01

Note. [a]Response options are abbreviated for space considerations; for exact wording of the question and response options, please see Appendix A. [b]0=never, 1=one time per week, 2=two times per week, 3=three times per week, 4=four times per week, 5=daily; [c]0=never, 1=less than one hour, 2=one to two hours, 3=three to four hours, 4=more than five hours; *significant at the .05 level; **significant at the .01 level

Table 2

Descriptive and Statistical Analyses for the Types of Professional Development that Paraprofessionals Engage in and the Perceived

Barriers to Engaging in Professional Development

Survey Questions and Response Options[a]	Overall		PreK - Elementary		Middle - High		Grade Differences		Special Education		General Education		Setting Differences	
	%	n	%	n	%	n	χ^2	d	%	n	%	n	χ^2	d
Types of Professional Development Paraprofessionals Engage In														
Courses/workshops	89%	217	89%	130	88%	87	.08	.04	90%	125	88%	79	.12	.06
Education conferences	68%	166	69%	99	67%	67	.14	.05	66%	93	70%	62	.41	.08
Degree program	32%	81	34%	50	30%	31	.19	.06	34%	51	34%	29	.00	.00
Observation visits to other schools	20%	52	23%	35	16%	17	1.87	.17	18%	27	25%	22	1.55	.16
Participation in a network	26%	63	26%	37	25%	26	.01	.01	26%	38	26%	23	.01	.01
Individual or collaborative research	48%	118	51%	73	45%	45	.86	.12	44%	64	57%	48	3.42	.25
Being mentored by peers	58%	140	58%	81	57%	59	.06	.03	53%	77	65%	54	2.90	.23

Independently reading professional literature	74%	172	73%	101	76%	71	.39	.08	**69%**	**95**	**85%**	**68**	****6.62**	**.35**

Barriers to Professional Development

Prerequisites	13%	43	15%	29	11%	14	1.36	.13	11%	21	17%	19	2.60	.19
Money	34%	112	38%	74	29%	38	3.17	.20	31%	60	38%	41	1.32	.13
Employer support	24%	78	25%	48	23%	30	.19	.05	25%	48	22%	24	.31	.06
Time	55%	180	52%	101	60%	79	1.79	.15	58%	111	53%	58	.52	.08
Resources	23%	74	26%	50	18%	24	2.66	.18	21%	40	28%	30	1.81	.16
Appropriate training	44%	143	47%	91	39%	52	1.91	.15	45%	86	46%	50	.05	.03
Interest	2%	8	3%	5	2%	3	.03	.02	3%	5	2%	2	.18	.05

Note. Degrees of freedom for all analyses = 1; [a]Response options are abbreviated for space considerations; for exact wording of the question and response options, please see Appendix A. [b]Number and percentage of "Yes" responses for sub-sample, for question 2 n=325 for grade level, n=302 for setting; *d*=Cohen's *d*; *significant at the .05 level; **significant at the .01 level

Table 3

Descriptive and Statistical Analyses for How and When Paraprofessionals and Supervising Teachers Communicate

Survey Questions and Response Options[a]	Overall		PreK - Elementary		Middle - High		Grade Differences		Special Education		General Education		Setting Differences	
	%	n	%	n	%	n	χ^2	d	%	n	%	n	χ^2	d
When Paraprofessionals and Supervising Teachers Communicate														
Teacher workdays	75%	195	**82%**	**124**	**66%**	**71**	**9.07**	**.38**	77%	116	73%	66	.37	.08
Before/after school	84%	202	**88%**	**126**	**78%**	**76**	*4.13	**.26**	83%	117	87%	72	.57	.10
During school: Planning	71%	173	73%	105	69%	68	.65	.10	75%	106	67%	56	1.55	.17
During school: Break	57%	140	58%	85	56%	55	.12	.04	58%	81	59%	51	.01	.01
During school: Lunch	60%	146	62%	91	57%	55	.66	.07	61%	86	64%	54	.31	.07
During school: Downtime	87%	206	87%	124	86%	82	.05	.03	88%	120	86%	73	.14	.05
How Paraprofessionals and Supervising Teachers Communicate														
Text	74%	181	75%	111	71%	70	.39	.08	75%	110	70%	59	.71	.11
Email	75%	183	72%	105	80%	78	2.26	.19	**70%**	**99**	**82%**	**70**	*4.46	**.28**
Phone	56%	136	59%	87	51%	49	1.78	.17	57%	80	56%	49	.02	.02

| Notes | 67% | 153 | 70% | 93 | 63% | 60 | 1.15 | .14 | 65% | 86 | 68% | 56 | .22 | .06 |

Note. Degrees of freedom for all analyses = 1; Data displayed indicate the number and percentage of "yes" responses for sub-sample; *d*=Cohen's *d*; *significant at the .05 level; **significant at the .01 level. [a]Response options are abbreviated for space considerations; for exact wording of the question and response options, please see Appendix A.

Appendix A

Survey Questions and Response Choices

Survey Eligibility

1. **Are you currently working as a paraprofessional, teacher's assistant/aide, or an instructional assistant?**
   - ❑ Yes
   - ❑ No *(If No is selected, route to end of survey)*

2. **As a paraprofessional, teacher's assistant/aide, or an instructional assistant, are you currently working with learners with autism?**
   - ❑ Yes
   - ❑ Unknown
   - ❑ No *(If No is selected, route to end of survey)*

3. **What is the age range of the learners with autism you are currently working with?**
   - ❑ Early Intervention (0-2)
   - ❑ Preschool (3-5)
   - ❑ Elementary (6-11)
   - ❑ Middle (12-14)
   - ❑ High (15-22)
   - ❑ Other

4. **What is the setting of the learners with autism you are currently working with?**
   - ❑ General Education (Inclusive)
   - ❑ Special Education (Self-contained/Separate)
   - ❑ Resource/Cross-Categorical
   - ❑ Clinical-based *(If selected, route to end of survey)*
   - ❑ Community-based *(If selected, route to end of survey)*
   - ❑ Other (please specify):

Roles and Responsibilities

We are interested in learning more about your roles and responsibilities as a paraprofessional, teacher's assistant/aide, or an instructional assistant in the classroom.

5. **In a typical work week, how often do you participate in the following tasks in your role as a paraprofessional, teacher's assistant/aide, or an instructional assistant, spend on the following tasks?** (adapted from TALIS [2013], Question 19)

Tasks	*Never*	*Once a week*	*Twice a week*	*3 times a week*	*4 times a week*	*Every day*
Planning or preparation of lessons						

Grading work of learners with autism						
Providing academic instruction for students with autism						
Providing self-help instruction (handwashing, toileting, lunch)						
Providing support in instructional settings (music, PE, general education classroom)						
Providing support or supervision related to behavior						
Administrative (including school duties, paperwork, and/or other clerical duties)						
Providing supervision for non-instruction times (waiting for transportation home, transition between classes/activities)						
Data collection						
Communications with students' family members						
Communications with supervising teacher						
Other (please specify):						

6. **On a typical *workday*, how often do you instruct students in the following settings?**

Teaching learners with autism	*Never*	*<1 hour*	*1-2 hours*	*3-4 hours*	*5 or more hours*
Individual student					
Small group (2-5 students)					
Large group (6 or more students)					
Special education					
General education					
Resource/Cross categorical					
Other (please specify):					

7. **How and when are your supervising teacher and you able to communicate?**

	No	*Yes*
Teacher workdays		
Before/After School		
During school: Planning		
During school: Break		
During school: Lunch		

During school: Downtime in the classroom		
Text		
Email		
Phone		
Notes		
Other (please specify):		

Background Information

These questions are about you, your education, and the time you spend as a paraprofessional, teacher's assistant/aide, or an instructional assistant.

8. **Do you apply information from the following types of training in your work as a paraprofessional, teacher's assistant/aide, or an instructional assistant with learners with ASD?** (adapted from TALIS [2013], Question 23)

Training	*No*	*Yes*
Courses/workshops		
Education conferences and/or seminars (including webinars)		
Degree program		
Observation visits to other schools		
Participation in a network		
Individual and/or collaborative research on a topic of interest		
Being mentored/coached and/or observed by peers		
Online learning modules		
Independently reading professional literature		

9. **Which of the following reasons, if any, have prevented you from participating in more training?** (adapted from TALIS [2013] Question 29)
   - ❑ Lack of pre-requisites (e.g., qualifications, experience, seniority)
   - ❑ Lack of money
   - ❑ Lack of employer support
   - ❑ Lack of time/Scheduling conflicts
   - ❑ Lack of resources
   - ❑ Lack of appropriate training offered
   - ❑ Lack of interest
   - ❑ Other (please specify):

10. **How long have you been working as a paraprofessional, teacher's assistant/aide, or an instructional assistant?**
    - ❑ 0-1 year
    - ❑ 1-2 years
    - ❑ 3-5 years
    - ❑ 6-10 years
    - ❑ 11-15 years
    - ❑ 20 or more years

11. What is the location of the school where you currently work?
- ❑ Urban
- ❑ Suburban
- ❑ Rural

12. What type of school do you currently work for?
- ❑ Public
- ❑ Private
- ❑ Charter
- ❑ Magnet
- ❑ Child care
- ❑ Other (please specify):

13. What is your gender?
- ❑ Female
- ❑ Male
- ❑ Other
- ❑ Prefer not to disclose

14. How do you identify yourself?
- ❑ American Indian/Alaskan Native
- ❑ Asian
- ❑ African-American
- ❑ Caucasian
- ❑ Hispanic/Latin American
- ❑ Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander
- ❑ Other (please specify):
- ❑ Prefer not to disclose

15. What is the highest level of formal education that you have completed?
- ❑ High School Graduate or GED
- ❑ Associate degree or Technical Training or Partial College (please specify):
- ❑ Bachelor's degree (please specify):
- ❑ Master's or Doctorate or other professional degree (please specify):

16. Please select the US state you currently work in.
- ❑ *List 50 states*
- ❑ Do not work in the US (please specify country):

Appendix B

Responses to Open-Ended Questions

In a typical work week, how often do you participate in the following tasks in your role as a paraprofessional, teacher's assistant/aide, or an instructional assistant, spend on the following tasks? Other (please specify)

Other duties assigned

Toileting

Play games with students (board games, building blocks, sports, etc.),

Collaboration with team members

Communication with subcontracting company

Observation/administer test

Collaboration with other teachers (GE) on strategies to help my students in their GE
        classrooms

Lots of support with behavior issues

Preparing teaching materials

Lunch

Based on IEP - if student behavior needs to be observed I don't collect data based on evidence
        and prompts

ABA work with tasks

1 on 1 assignment

Social skills

Staff meeting Mondays

Tutoring

Work base learning

Supported work experience

Support District BCBA with plan

ISS - I have the students to do the work sent by their teachers for the days they are in ISS.
        Return all work back to their teachers every day at the end of the day

---

How and when are your supervising teacher and you able to communicate? Other (please specify)

Person to person

Weekly team meetings

My supervising teacher has a communication log for our team to stay up to date on things

We share a room so communication is made easy.

Written lesson plans

Data and scatterplots

Verbally

Which of the following reasons, if any, have prevented you from participating in more training? Other (please specify)

Mostly trainings I have attended are more related to working as Inclusive ASD. Instead I am
        working with a majority of students- like a class of 10 or more ASD students - and each
        of them have different highly intensive behaviors (like kicking, running, nail scratching,
        etc.), which is challenging everyday.

Financial

Our district has a shortage of substitutes and all trainings are during school hours. I won't leave my students.

Not told of what trainings

I have a BS in interdisciplinary education

Times we get to do it

This is only my third year of working in Special Education. The Director at this school district has been very supportive of training. All I did was ask him and I've been able to attend and or acess training modules online…All of my training had been in working with English learners…I have only a small amount of training working with students with disabilities. AFIRM online modules are very good. They're easy to understand, well organized and informative. I have ued some of the EBPs with students. I do suggest not watering down any trainings just because we are paraprofessionals. The classes and workshops that I have been to thus far, that are geared to assistants, have not been very helpful. I won't attend another workshop/class that has paraprofessional in the title.

Not all Aides are able to leave at the same time for off-site training

I go to what is offered

We are an adult 20-22 year old program. Most modules do not pertain to our population

None of these, I participate often

Hard to take a day off for training....lack of substitutes

Didn't know there were any

Note. With the exception of correcting spelling and grammatical errors and deleting any

personally identifiable information, all responses are recorded verbatim; GE = general education;

ISS = in-school suspension; BCBA = Board Certified Behavior Analyst

Appendix C

Descriptive Results for Roles and Responsibilities

In a typical work week, how often do you participate in the following tasks in your role as a paraprofessional?

	Never		1x/ week		2x/ week		3x/ week		4x/ week		Daily	
	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Planning/preparation of lessons	33%	108	23%	73	10%	31	6%	20	1%	4	27%	88
Grading work	53%	171	11%	34	6%	18	5%	16	2%	7	24%	77
Academic instruction	10%	33	3%	11	5%	16	4%	12	3%	10	74%	237
Self-help instruction	19%	60	4%	14	4%	12	3%	10	2%	8	68%	219
Support in instructional settings	7%	21	4%	13	3%	11	2%	6	4%	14	80%	258
Support/supervision related to behavior	2%	5	2%	8	2%	7	1%	4	3%	11	89%	280
Administrative tasks	22%	72	14%	45	8%	25	7%	23	5%	15	44%	142
Supervision for non-instructional times	9%	30	3%	10	3%	9	1%	4	3%	10	80%	259
Data collection	12%	37	8%	26	6%	21	4%	13	6%	18	64%	205
Communications with family members	45%	146	14%	44	7%	24	3%	11	1%	4	29%	93
Communications with supervising teacher	<1%	1	2%	6	4%	12	1%	3	4%	12	89%	288

On a typical workday, how often do you instruct students in the following settings?

	Never		<1 hour		1-2 hours		3-4 hours		>5 hours	
	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Individual student	4%	13	18%	57	29%	92	17%	54	33%	105
Small group	8%	25	21%	68	33%	102	22%	69	17%	54
Large group	34%	105	27%	83	18%	55	11%	35	11%	35

Note. Response options are abbreviated for space considerations; for exact wording of the question and response options, please see

Appendix A. %=percent of total responses for that response option; n= total number of respondents who selected that response option.