

Social Capital: A Key Ingredient in the Development of Physical Activity Leadership

As accepted for publication in *Journal of Teaching in Physical Education*

July 2019

©Human Kinetics

Doi: 10.1123/jtpe.2018-0057

Julianne A. Wenner

Kimberly M. B. Tucker

Hannah G. Calvert

Tyler G. Johnson

Lindsey Turner

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

Abstract

Purpose: This research investigated how social capital relates to physical education (PE) teachers' abilities to facilitate physical activity (PA) outside of PE class in their schools.

Method: Twenty-seven elementary PE teachers were interviewed. Data were analyzed using a multi-step qualitative coding process ending in a cross-case analysis.

Results: Among the three components of social capital (trustworthiness, norms, and information networks; Coleman, 1988), positive norms around PE, and more broadly, PA, were most important for creating a physically active culture in schools. Trustworthiness was important, but less so than positive norms, and information networks were relatively unimportant for creating a culture of PA. Time was a limiting factor, because without it, PE teachers could not develop the social capital needed to promote PA.

Conclusions: Becoming a PA leader is not just a function of will and motivation; rather, PE teachers must be supported with time and positive norms around PE and PA, which requires engagement of district and school leaders.

25 **Introduction**

26 With growing acknowledgement of the importance of regular physical activity (PA) for
27 enhanced health and academic outcomes (Basch, 2010; Centers for Disease Control and
28 Prevention [CDC], 2010), more attention is being devoted to the types of opportunities that exist
29 in school settings for students to accrue PA, as well as opportunities to learn the knowledge,
30 skills, and dispositions for PA. These opportunities are regularly provided during physical
31 education (PE) classes, but there is a growing recognition that cultivating a positive culture
32 around PA cannot *solely* be accomplished within PE classes (Johnson & Turner, 2016). To
33 promote a schoolwide culture that provides and values PA, national authorities such as the CDC
34 (2013) and the Society of Health and Physical Educators (SHAPE America, 2013) have
35 advocated that all schools develop a Comprehensive School Physical Activity Program
36 (CSPAP). A CSPAP is a multi-faceted, comprehensive strategy to ensure school-aged children
37 and youth receive quality PE and opportunities for PA before, during, and after school. The
38 inclusion of school personnel and family and community members in these efforts is a key aspect
39 of implementation (CDC, 2013; Centeio, Erwin, & Castelli, 2014). Each school's CSPAP should
40 provide coordination and synergy across various components and stakeholders (CDC, 2015); yet,
41 a common question pertains to who should lead these efforts. The CDC (2013) recommends that
42 the first step in creating a CSPAP is to designate a PA leader (PAL), and to select a person who
43 is invested in PA and student health. It has been argued that the person best-positioned and most
44 qualified to be a PAL is the school's PE teacher (Castelli & Beighle, 2007).

45 Given the vital role that a PAL can play within a school for developing, promoting, and
46 leading the implementation of a CSPAP, this research attended to characteristics of PE teachers
47 and school cultures as they relate to PA opportunities. Specifically, the research investigated how

48 social capital (Coleman, 1988) relates to PE teachers' abilities to facilitate PA outside of PE class
49 in their schools. As others have noted, it is crucial to consider how a CSPAP champion (or PAL)
50 can engage human capital in efforts to accelerate school-wide changes (Webster, Beets, Weaver,
51 Vazou, and Russ, 2015). Encouraging others to support new initiatives often requires a great deal
52 of social capital; therefore we framed our research in terms of Coleman's (1988) components of
53 social capital (trust, information networks, and norms). Further, while issues of social capital and
54 CSPAP implementation are relevant to all schools, this work focused solely on elementary
55 settings due to several substantial differences between elementary and secondary settings. For
56 example, elementary schools often have fewer PE teachers, PE classes scheduled on just a few
57 days per week instead of being an elective scheduled every day for a semester, and they often
58 provide PA opportunities outside of PE such as recess and classroom PA, while this is often
59 uncommon at secondary levels (CDC, 2015). Our research was guided by the following research
60 questions:

- 61 1. In what ways were components of social capital related to PE teachers' abilities to
62 facilitate PA outside of PE class within their schools?
- 63 2. What enabled or constrained the development of social capital for PE teachers to become
64 a PAL?

65 **PE Teachers as PALs: An Uphill Battle?**

66 As noted previously, the task of leading the development and implementation of a
67 CSPAP is often given to the school's PE teacher. However, there is some evidence that not all
68 PE teachers see being a PAL as desirable and/or feasible (Webster et al., 2015). In many schools,
69 resources are limited and PE teachers' workloads are substantial (Turner, Johnson, Calvert, &
70 Chaloupka, 2017), making it nearly impossible for a PE teacher to take on additional

71 responsibilities, whether they desire a leadership role or not (Jones et al., 2014). Additionally, PE
72 teachers may not have been adequately prepared to be a PAL (Goc Karp, Scruggs, Brown, &
73 Kelder, 2014). SHAPE America (n.d.) notes that effective PALs must possess:

- 74 • In-depth content knowledge about national guidelines and standards for PE, as well as
75 knowledge about how PA benefits students;
- 76 • Leadership skills to inspire others to facilitate sustainable change that promotes a culture
77 of PA and wellness;
- 78 • Communication and promotional skills; and
- 79 • Collaboration skills to build strong relationships with diverse stakeholders.

80 Several university-level PE teacher education programs have restructured teacher preparation
81 experiences to be more aligned with the CSPAP framework, and to better prepare new PE
82 teachers for the role of a PAL (e.g. Carson, Castelli, & Kulinna, 2017). Yet, for many PE
83 teachers, both in-service and pre-service, the requisite training for skills in leadership,
84 organization, adult training, and coordination has not been provided (Goc Karp et al., 2014).
85 Thus, while many PE teachers are naturally passionate about PA, they may need to be
86 empowered to learn the skills necessary to assume PAL responsibilities (Webster et al., 2015).

87 **School Contexts for PE Teachers**

88 PE has historically been marginalized due to a number of issues, including low collegial
89 support, isolation, and a lack of opportunities for targeted professional development (Beddoes,
90 Prusak, & Hall, 2014). These issues must be taken into account when exploring the concept of
91 PE teachers as PALs, because marginalization can negatively impact the success of PE teachers
92 (Gaudreault, Richards, & Woods, 2017). Many PE teachers report feeling lower support in their

93 content area compared to other teachers, and perceive that administrators do not support the PE
94 programs (Barroso, McCullum-Gomez, Hoelscher, Kelder, & Murray, 2005).

95 In addition, structural issues may predispose PE teachers to feel isolated. The most
96 common staffing arrangement for US elementary schools is to have only one PE teacher per
97 school, or even fewer in circumstances where one teacher is assigned to more than one school
98 (Turner et al., 2017). This can lead to isolation, and a lack of a sense of professional community
99 (Curtner-Smith, 2001; Gaudreault et al., 2017; Parker, Patton, Madden, & Sinclair, 2010; Stroot
100 & Ko, 2006). When teachers do not have a community in which they can learn from peers, they
101 can feel professionally stagnant. When they are not valued by other peers and school leadership,
102 this can lead to burnout and departure from the profession (Gaudreault et al., 2017).

103 **Theoretical Framework**

104 Consistent with much of the educational research related to social capital (Dika & Singh,
105 2002), Coleman's (1988) conceptualization of social capital frames this research. Coleman notes
106 that social capital is a resource that can facilitate actions of people within social structures; that
107 is, possessing stronger social capital can help an individual mobilize resources and spark action
108 because it can be accumulated over time and leveraged to accomplish difficult tasks (Smylie &
109 Evans, 2006). In Coleman's conceptualization of social capital, three main components are
110 developed through social interactions: trustworthiness, information channels, and norms.
111 Trustworthiness is described in terms of 'credit slips' and obligations, in that one must be able to
112 trust that when providing a resource or service to someone else, they will somehow be repaid or
113 the action will be reciprocated. Information networks are the sources through which one can
114 access important and relevant information related to the social structure, with minimal effort.
115 These networks can influence behavior by introducing new or limiting information for taking

116 particular actions in the organization (Smylie & Evans, 2006). For the purposes of this research,
117 we use the ease and frequency of communication with others within the school (e.g., other
118 teachers, principal, staff) as a proxy for information networks. And finally, norms, or rules
119 within a social structure, help to promote certain actions and restrain others; the norms
120 themselves—as well as knowledge of these norms—allows one to behave in particular ways and
121 can motivate organizational change (Coleman, 1988).

122 We find this conceptualization of social capital to have value when considering how a PE
123 teacher might move into the role of a PAL within the social structure of a school. For example, a
124 PE teacher may not have an adequate budget for new PE equipment for the upcoming school
125 year. If the PE teacher has sufficient social capital, he or she might easily share word of this need
126 to the rest of the school (information channels). Administrators or colleagues see the importance
127 of the PE teacher's requests because PA is highly valued in the school (norms) and rally behind
128 the teacher's request. Then, because the PE teacher frequently collaborates with classroom
129 teachers, those classroom teachers donate to the cause (trustworthiness). Conversely, if a PE
130 teacher possesses weak social capital, requests for equipment may be ignored or perceived as
131 unimportant, and thus, the requests go unfilled or are denied.

132 On the surface, all three components of social capital may appear to be equally important,
133 but as Ekinici (2012) notes in summarizing research about social capital, trust is the “key element
134 of social capital and its most important determinant” (p. 2517). Others (e.g. Bryk, 2010; Bryk &
135 Schneider, 2003) concur that trust is *the most important* component in social capital when
136 considering organizational improvement and change. Researchers have, however, also
137 documented that norms may be the foundation upon which relationships and trust are built

138 (Penuel, Riel, Krause, & Frank, 2009), causing a lack of clarity as to which component of social
139 capital must develop first.

140 Social capital is a key factor in the diffusion of innovation (Frank, Zhao, & Borman,
141 2004), which is important when considering how PE teachers may implement a ‘new’ CSPAP
142 model within their schools. With respect to the implementation of new policies and ideas, the
143 stronger the components of social capital, the more likely the implementation is to be successful
144 (Smylie & Evans, 2006). Given how powerful social capital can be in terms of organizational
145 change and accepting new initiatives within schools, there is increasing interest in how teachers
146 create the relationships that support the development of social capital (Bridwell-Mitchell &
147 Cooc, 2016). This study takes an initial step in this line of inquiry, specifically considering the
148 unique context and culture surrounding elementary school PA and the roles of PE teachers.

149 **Methods**

150 The intent of this study was to investigate how social capital relates to PE teachers’
151 abilities to facilitate PA outside of PE class in their schools, and thus learn more about the
152 process and feasibility of a PE teacher becoming a PAL. Based on the literature, we believe that
153 a PE teacher would need to possess components of social capital conducive to this type of
154 leadership. Additionally, given the realities of teaching, we wanted to explore what might enable
155 or constrain the development of social capital for a PE teacher to become a PAL.

156 **Participants and Setting**

157 Participants for this project were 27 elementary school PE teachers employed in six
158 school districts in the Intermountain West. Data collection occurred between January and April
159 2017. To recruit participants, we first began by sending emails to district administrators at seven
160 school districts within 60 miles of the research institution. We then emailed all of the districts’

161 elementary school (grades K-6; 78 total schools) PE teachers, inviting them to participate in an
162 in-person interview. Recruitment used an open sampling approach (Patton, 2015), whereby all
163 responding teachers were interviewed until saturation was reached. A total of 27 interviews were
164 completed, representing 33 of the 78 schools. Teachers were offered a \$50 gift card as an
165 honorarium. Characteristics of the teachers, schools, and school districts are provided in Table 1.
166 These participants teach at schools where students come from a variety of racial/ethnic
167 and socioeconomic backgrounds.

168 **Data Collection**

169 After IRB approval was obtained, interviews were conducted by four of the authors. Each
170 participant met in-person with an interviewer outside of instructional time. Interviews were
171 conducted at a location of each teacher's choosing, such as his or her school office, or a quiet
172 offsite location. All participants consented prior to beginning the interview, with written
173 documentation of informed consent. The 27 interviews were digitally recorded, and transcribed
174 verbatim. Interviews lasted 18 to 58 minutes ($M = 34.2$ minutes, $SD = 9.8$ minutes).

175 The semi-structured interviews (Roulston, 2010) utilized an interview guide to ensure
176 coverage of topics relevant to our research questions. The questions were developed
177 collaboratively by the interviewers, with the goal of gathering relevant contextual information
178 about the school and specifics of each teacher's work arrangement and instructional
179 responsibilities (e.g. *How often do you teach students in PE class and for how long?*); to assess
180 collaboration opportunities and perceived norms regarding PE and/or PA (e.g. *Are you able to*
181 *participate in professional learning community time with other teachers at this school?*); to
182 explore perceived needs and barriers to PE and/or PA (e.g. *What potential barriers would inhibit*
183 *you from supporting other teachers in using PA breaks in their classrooms?*); to assess

184 individual attitudinal factors (motivation, confidence) that may be associated with PA leadership
185 (e.g. *To what extent do you feel that you are able to make sustainable changes in the culture of*
186 *your school for keeping students physically active?*); and to allow spontaneous sharing of
187 relevant information. Given that questions broadly covered themes related to school PA, but PE
188 practices were also discussed, many of the analyses present the term “PE and/or PA.” This can
189 be taken to refer to themes surrounding all school PA practices, including PE.

190 **Data Analysis**

191 Data analysis employed a multi-step process, to allow the researchers to examine the
192 nuances between participants and schools. Each step is further explained below.

193 **Step one: Component coding.** All transcripts were entered into Dedoose (SocioCultural
194 Research Consultants, 2017) to organize and manage the data. Prior to the first round of data
195 analysis, the first two authors developed a code book with descriptive codes (Saldaña, 2016) for
196 PA, facilitators and barriers to developing social capital, and codes that were consistent with the
197 three components of social capital as they would be applied in a school setting. These two
198 authors coded four transcripts independently (eight total) using these codes, then met to refine
199 coding definitions based on emergent ideas in the transcripts and resolve any discrepancies. After
200 this meeting, the first author coded the remaining 19 transcripts independently using the co-
201 authored codebook (See Appendix A).

202 **Step two: Holistic magnitude coding.** After all transcripts were coded with these
203 descriptive codes, the first two authors applied magnitude coding (Saldaña, 2016) of high,
204 medium, or low for each component of social capital, level of PA, and supports/barriers
205 holistically for each participant to indicate the extent to which these concepts were present and/or
206 supportive of PE and/or PA in their experiences (See Appendix A). Magnitude coding has been

207 used by other educational researchers (e.g. Vincent & Kirby, 2015) to ‘quantitize’ qualitative
208 data by intensity or presence (Tashakkori & Teddlie, 1998). In this research, magnitude coding
209 allowed for the building of individual cases for each participant in terms of social capital and
210 levels of PA. Additionally, as Saldaña (2016) notes, although some researchers are
211 uncomfortable with quantizing qualitative data, magnitude coding is a way to “add texture to
212 codes” (p. 86). This additional step of magnitude coding added depth to the current analysis.

213 **Step three: Cross-case pattern coding analysis.** Finally, each teacher’s case was
214 entered into Excel and sorted by levels of PA to more deeply explore the relationships between
215 levels of PA and levels of social capital components. Table 2 provides a visual representation of
216 each teacher’s case that was placed alongside the participants’ demographic and school data to
217 look for patterns (Saldaña, 2016), as the spreadsheet was sorted by different characteristics. For
218 example, the data were sorted by gender then by levels of norms, to observe any relationships
219 that emerged from the data. This was done for each permutation of characteristics documented in
220 Table 1 to inductively analyze the data. Note that due to the sensitive nature of the data,
221 participants are not labeled with their demographic and school data.

222 **Analytic memos throughout analysis.** During each data analysis phase described above,
223 we jotted down noticings and questions about the data. For example, one participant mentioned
224 that she believed some classroom teachers did not integrate PA into their classrooms because
225 they had personal issues with physical fitness. As this is an intriguing statement, we created an
226 analytic memo (Saldaña, 2016) on this topic and re-examined the data to see if this was a one-off
227 statement or if other participants made similar comments. This procedure was repeated
228 throughout the entire data analysis process.

229 **Subjectivity Statement and Measure to Ensure Trustworthiness**

230 Four of the five authors have conducted research about PA and PE in local schools for at
231 least three years each, and two of the authors train preservice PE teachers and provide ongoing
232 professional development to inservice PE teachers. In this way, these four authors could be
233 considered ‘insiders’ (Dwyer & Buckle, 2009) in that they are a part of the local PE and/or PA
234 efforts and are familiar with relevant policies, programs, and stakeholders. The first author has
235 not been part of these efforts and did not participate in the data collection or other PA outreach
236 and/or programming with the other authors. The first author joined the project at the data
237 analysis and writing phase, and is certainly an outsider (Dwyer & Buckle, 2009). Given the
238 ongoing debate as to whether it is preferable to be an insider or outsider in qualitative research,
239 we believe that having both in the research team is an asset. The insiders were able to use their
240 knowledge to ask relevant questions and understand contextual issues particular to PE and/or PA
241 while the outsider was able to look at the data with fresh eyes and consider what the data
242 communicated without preconceived notions of the meaning.

243 Keeping in mind Tracy’s (2010) eight “big tent” criteria for high quality qualitative
244 research (worthy topic, rich rigor, sincerity, credibility, resonance, significant contribution,
245 ethical, meaningful coherence [p. 840]), we have taken steps as a research team that address each
246 of these to ensure that this research is useful to the larger community. First, we see the topic of
247 PE and/or PA leadership to be timely and we sought to craft a study that would help us better
248 understand ‘what is’ in terms of PALs so we can better theorize about ‘what can be.’ We
249 endeavored to be transparent and detailed in our purposes, methods, and findings so readers may
250 feel confident about the rigor of the study. We also sought 27 different viewpoints for this
251 research in order to triangulate data; this allowed for broader, more consistent patterns to emerge
252 from the data, which in turn lets readers better trust our conclusions. Finally, it is of the utmost

253 importance to us that teachers (PE or otherwise) be portrayed respectfully and that researchers
254 assume the best intentions; as a result, we have been thoughtful in how the findings were
255 reported.

256 **Results**

257 This section begins by sharing themes related to social capital that were found in relation
258 to different levels of PA, then discusses factors that may contribute to or hinder the creation of
259 social capital.

260 Of the 27 participants, nine indicated high levels of PA in their schools (three female and
261 six male teachers; 18% of all female participants and 60% of all male participants). These nine
262 participants listed many PA strategies that either they or the school facilitated, such as
263 running/walking programs during lunch and/or recess, obtaining grants for events or equipment,
264 having a high number of teachers in the school using classroom PA breaks, and holding PA
265 events during parent/family nights. For those working at high-PA schools, they often listed at
266 least four or more PA events/programs which they were personally responsible for facilitating.
267 On the other end of the continuum, eight participants reported fairly low levels of PA in their
268 schools outside of PE class. These participants often indicated that some teachers in the school
269 were using classroom PA breaks, and then stated that although they wanted to start some sort of
270 PA activity (e.g., running club, intramurals), they had not yet done so or did not feel it was
271 possible given their circumstances. The remaining ten participants indicated medium levels of
272 PA in their schools, perhaps facilitating a running club or parent event, but not multiple PA
273 activities as was seen with the nine participants in the high-PA schools (see Table 2 for more
274 details). We believe that much can be learned by deeply examining the 17 participants who fell

275 at the extreme ends (high/low) of our PA continuum, in light of the components of social capital.
276 We also discuss anomalies of themes at two medium-PA schools.

277 **High PA Schools and Social Capital**

278 These data indicate that norms are key components for PE teachers to have the ability to
279 facilitate PA. Of the nine participants in high-PA schools, we coded six participants as high-level
280 in the area of norms, as they indicated that norms in their school were very supportive of PA, and
281 they had a school culture that encouraged collaboration to promote student learning and
282 wellbeing. These schools seemed to recognize the importance of PA for students and had support
283 from teachers, students, and parents. This played out in several ways, such as school-supported
284 rules for games at recess so students could self-monitor; allowing older students to ‘apprentice’
285 with the PE teacher to mentor younger students in PE and/or PA; encouraging classroom
286 teachers to take students to different school spaces for PA; and providing more time for PE class.
287 Perhaps more importantly, several of these participants indicated that they were viewed as an
288 integral part of the school rather than as a ‘baby-sitter’ or otherwise unimportant. Charlie stated:

289 I think sometimes you get in a sticky situation where we’re [PE teachers] viewed
290 differently. And, it was important to us...[the school PE teachers] that from the get-go,
291 we were all viewed the same [as classroom teachers]. And, one thing you will notice, if
292 you hang out for a little while is that kids don’t get pulled from specials, they don’t get
293 pulled from PE, they don’t get pulled from music. We are just as valued as they
294 [classroom teachers] are and teachers understand that.

295 Similarly, Barbara shared, “I feel they [classroom teachers] view me as a teacher and not just a
296 prep period.” In terms of school culture, participants in these high-PA/high-norms schools spoke
297 of their colleagues working together for the common goal of student success. Aaron asserted,

298 “It’s like the old ‘It takes a village to raise a child.’ But it’s really true, and however you can help
299 out with that, you just pitch in and do it... We’re a school, we’re a family.”

300 Trustworthiness can be viewed as ‘credit slips’ or reciprocity, and in the nine high PA
301 schools, levels of trustworthiness between PE teachers and colleagues were rated as high in three
302 schools, and at a medium level in five schools. For PE teachers with high levels of
303 trustworthiness between themselves and their colleagues, they often spoke of ‘pitching in,’ as
304 referenced earlier, and that it was fun to do more than only their PE-related activities:

305 I’m more than willing to go as teachers...need help. I’ll pull kids if they need help with
306 resource pieces of that. It’s fun for me, every once in a while, to go back and get into a
307 lesson. And it’s good for them to see me in another light other than the PE teacher. I can
308 do other things to work with them and it opens up a lot of different avenues with kids and
309 that’s a really cool thing. When they see you in more than just the PE role and how
310 you’re willing to come out and help with reading or math and get involved in a different
311 dimension. (Aaron)

312 While some participants went beyond the gymnasium walls to support student learning, other
313 participants brought classroom content into the gym, such as Cindy, who shared, “I love doing
314 cross-curricular activities so I will ask teachers, ‘What is your theme this week? Are you
315 working on solar systems? What is the science emphasis?’ And if I can I [will] incorporate that
316 into my PE activities.” In return, these participants found that classroom teachers were then very
317 supportive of PE and/or PA activities: “If I open up, they’re more willing to work with me in
318 situations. So it’s a win-win for everybody” (Aaron). For those in high PA schools with medium
319 levels of trustworthiness, participants indicated that some classroom teachers were willing to
320 help with running clubs or PA events and in return, they would attempt to integrate classroom

321 content into PE class when it fits; Charlie noted, “We try to make that [content integration] work
322 as much as we can without taking away what they come to have when they come to PE.”

323 Interestingly, the information network/communication component of social capital did
324 not seem to be as tightly related to high PA as the other two components of social capital. Out of
325 the nine high PA schools, four participants indicated low to nonexistent information networks
326 within their schools (i.e., with general education teachers), two indicated medium levels of
327 communication, and three indicated high levels of communication. For those who had low levels
328 of communication with others in their school, they often shrugged this off as teachers having “so
329 much on their plate, they have so much to do” (Dan), and they felt that any communication in
330 terms of sharing strategies or asking them to collaborate would be difficult or ill-received. Many
331 of these participants only had time to speak with classroom teachers as they were picking up or
332 dropping off their class. The two participants who indicated slightly more open communication
333 with others in their schools stated that they had attended classroom teacher collaboration (or
334 professional learning community) time in the past and felt welcomed to do so now; however,
335 similar to those who felt they had low levels of communication with teachers, they stated that
336 classroom teachers have so much to do, they did not want to interfere with collaboration time if
337 they did not need to. Finally, the three participants who felt they had high levels of
338 communication with their colleagues either sent out emails containing strategies and helpful
339 websites on a regular basis, or often dropped in on collaboration times and other grade-level
340 conversations just to check in with classroom teachers.

341 **Low PA Schools and Social Capital**

342 In low-PA schools, once again norms were seen to be the most important component of
343 social capital in terms of PA practices. Five of the eight low-PA school participants had low

344 levels of all three components of social capital, which seemed to stem from detrimental norms
345 around PE and/or PA. Unfortunately, these participants felt unsupported, that PE and/or PA was
346 not valued, and that they were isolated as professionals. Jarrod attributed the root cause of this
347 disenfranchisement to state-level policies, whereby teachers do not need to be certified to teach
348 PE. He voiced the belief that this leads classroom teachers to treat *all* PE teachers (even those
349 who are certified) as non-certified employees, and PE as an unimportant subject. He noted:

350 I think PE takes a backseat. I have teachers who come to me and say, “Well, I have this
351 kid who does not want to run because he does not like running” and I think, “But it is PE,
352 and would you go tell their reading teacher, ‘This student does not like to read. Should
353 they not read in their reading class?’ You are not going to tell a reading teacher that so
354 why are you telling me that? Why?” And right there is probably the problem... Those
355 teachers would never want to incorporate physical activities in the classroom because in
356 their life they don’t see it as a valued thing and so it they would never want to put it into
357 their classroom time either because they would say it is a waste of time.

358 Certainly, it is evident how the norms/values in a school such as this could make it difficult for a
359 PE teacher to develop a culture of PA in the school.

360 There were two participants (Maria and Henry) in low-PA schools who had medium
361 levels of both trustworthiness and communication/information networks in their schools, but still
362 had poor norms around PE and/or PA, which illustrates the importance of creating productive
363 norms. In these cases, the participants described positive, reciprocal relationships with their
364 colleagues, and healthy communication patterns about school issues, but indicated that classroom
365 content often took precedence. One participant in a low-PA school (Quiana) indicated more
366 healthy norms (‘medium’ level) around PE and/or PA; many teachers have flexible seating and

367 they feel that the newer teachers (rather than veterans) seem open to incorporating more PA into
368 the school day. So, although Quiana indicated low levels of communication and trustworthiness
369 (in terms of reciprocation from classroom teachers) due to being new to the school, this teacher
370 may have opportunities to enhance the PA culture at that school.

371 **Medium PA Schools and the ‘Norms Anomalies’**

372 Although the findings regarding high-PA and low-PA schools are illuminating, we
373 believe it is important to discuss two of the participants, Eva and Francesca, who were in
374 medium-PA schools and also reported poor norms around PE and/or PA. These two teachers
375 reported low levels of trustworthiness (in terms of reciprocity) and communication. Given the
376 relationships observed earlier between levels of PA and the norms in the school around PE
377 and/or PA, we dug deeper into these interviews to explore how these PE teachers seem to be
378 working around poor norms. Eva reported that classroom teachers treat ‘specials’ teachers (PE,
379 art, music and library) as “babysitters for collaboration time” and as if their “time is disposable.”
380 She is seeking to change that culture, but for now, reported having some support from her
381 principal to allow her to obtain professional development workshops specific to PA and/or PE, as
382 well as possibly provide PA workshops for teachers. Thus, although the norms surrounding PA
383 and/or PE overall in the school are not optimal, Eva has a supportive principal. Francesca, on the
384 other hand, appeared to be able to overcome these poor norms out of sheer passion for the work.
385 She stated, “I’ve chosen this as my mission...these are my kids. They’re very needy kids, so I’m
386 doing it for them.” This theme was revisited time and again during the interview, and she shared
387 that she often spends up to \$500 of her own money each year to support her ‘mission’ to provide
388 PA opportunities for their students. Consequently, both of these teachers illustrate that although
389 productive norms around PE and/or PA are key factors in promoting schoolwide PA, having a

390 passion for the work, plus support from the building principal, may allow PE teachers to
391 overcome unhealthy norms.

392 **Time and the Development of Social Capital**

393 Only one factor consistently arose as both a facilitator and barrier for developing social
394 capital and, in turn, school PA: time. Of the eight low-PA schools, three participants (Nancy, Ian,
395 and Paige) teach at multiple schools, and two participants (Olive and Jarrod) are part-time. Each
396 of these five participants indicated that they did not have time to build relationships with
397 teachers, attend meetings, or cultivate productive norms around PA. For example, Ian stated,

398 I would like to do recess duty, go over playground rules, but being at two schools, it's
399 hard to make sure that they are following the rules when I am not here...[And] it would
400 be nice to go in and teach them [students and teachers] how to do something in their
401 classroom, but schedule-wise, we would have to take up their PE day to be in their
402 classroom, and then they would not have PE for the week...It's a scheduling thing.

403 Nancy lamented:

404 I used to have more time [when working at only one school] to help plan our staff
405 wellness and doing things outside of school time as a staff. But I don't have as much time
406 for that anymore. I wish we just had more time so we could do our job a little bit better.

407 Similarly, Olive noted she had previously facilitated a walking club, but being part-time, she can
408 no longer accomplish that. Two of the remaining three participants (Henry and Quiana) at low-
409 PA schools work at very large schools (700-800 students), which means more classes and more
410 grading, and less time and energy to plan additional PA.

411 Of the participants in high-PA schools, only two (Bill and Cindy) teach at multiple
412 schools; however, these participants only discussed PA at one of their schools, as they are both in

413 their first year of teaching at an additional school, due to increasing workloads and fewer PE
414 teachers in those districts. It is important to note that none of the participants in high-PA schools
415 were responsible for instruction for more than 690 students, and some participants are in much
416 smaller schools (300-400 students). As one might expect, this allows more time to collaborate
417 and communicate with colleagues, and to create a culture supportive of PA and/or PE. For
418 example, Aaron noted that because he has a bit more time in his schedule, “You know, I’ll stop
419 in and see if there’s any need, can I help out at all, is there anything that can use me for. From
420 time to time I’ll let [the principal] know that I have a block of time here or there if they can use
421 me.” Throughout the interviews of participants in high PA schools, these teachers acknowledged
422 that although their schedules were tightly packed, they had/made time for PA in their schools.

423 **Additional Insights and Needs**

424 The inclusion of open-ended questions allowed these participants to freely provide
425 information that they considered relevant to our research question of how to create a culture of
426 PA in a school. In many cases, they seemed appreciative of the chance to be heard, and to voice
427 their needs and desires for structural changes that would help them to be more successful as
428 teachers. One of the key elements of this open-ended feedback was that teachers desired support
429 mechanisms for increasing their success, not only through structural and scheduling changes that
430 would allow them more time to do their work (as noted above), but also through mechanisms to
431 build additional skills. As Cindy noted:

432 This is important that we take on this role... But without guidance, and for those of us
433 who have graduated a while back and not used to that kind of thing, it would take
434 training. How do we do that? We may have the passion for wanting to do that, but how
435 do we implement that in our already busy day—and physically exhausting day—in order

436 to spread the message to everyone else in the building? Just because it is a passion for us,
437 doesn't mean that it's a passion for everybody else.

438 Importantly, the interviews all seemed to confirm the desire among PE teachers to provide
439 comprehensive PA programming in schools, and thus the lack of CSPAP implementation does
440 not appear to be largely driven by apathy or lack of motivation among these PE teachers, but is
441 due to structural factors such as scheduling, instructional loads, and leadership support, which
442 can facilitate or diminish social capital and, consequently, PA practices.

443 **Discussion**

444 With many organizations recommending an increase in school PA through frameworks
445 such as CSPAP, the question remains, which stakeholders are responsible and poised to best
446 implement this change? As PA is seen as most tightly connected to PE content, PE teachers seem
447 like a natural choice to be a PAL for a CSPAP. As our results show, however, many PE teachers
448 often do not have the time to take on additional responsibilities, nor the social capital to ask for
449 help, despite having the passion and knowledge for the task of being a PAL.

450 In a previous study examining the effectiveness of PE teachers as PALs, PE teachers
451 were given additional supports, including CSPAP-specific professional development and
452 ongoing technical assistance, to increase PA in their schools (Carson et al., 2014). Although PE
453 teachers successfully added PA opportunities in line with CSPAP recommendations, it did not
454 coincide with an increase in student PA. In the current study, however, PE teachers had not
455 received CSPAP-specific training. The PE teachers at schools that did not have a PA-promoting
456 culture were already facing greater barriers (e.g., larger student-to-teacher ratios, teaching across
457 multiple schools) than were the teachers at schools with more extensive PA programming. PE
458 teachers at low-PA schools reported barely being able to fulfill the basic requirements of their

459 contract, let alone putting in extra time to communicate with other staff about the benefits of PA.
460 In other words, considering each school's staffing arrangements, supports such as professional
461 development opportunities, and local context is crucial. We found that a dedicated PE teacher
462 with strong social capital and adequate time can facilitate a very comprehensive range of PA
463 programming (i.e., "high PA" schools). At schools where the PE teacher works part-time,
464 however, that individual may not have the time to build trust and positive norms, nor the time to
465 allow for the planning of PA events (i.e., activity nights, sports clubs, field day). In such
466 situations, a classroom teacher who is full-time at the school and who regularly uses classroom
467 PA may be able to champion changes in school PA norms. For example, such teachers would
468 have regular contact with their peers and could credibly articulate that time spent in PA during
469 class is not time "wasted," but rather time spent re-energizing and re-focusing their students to
470 learn (Len Goh, Hannon, Webster & Podlog, 2017). Paradoxically, although the specialized
471 training of a PE teacher provides authoritative content knowledge, specialists may not be valued
472 by classroom teachers as having the same credibility as do other classroom teachers, with regard
473 to classroom-based PA; in other words, classroom teachers may be perceived by their peers as
474 having more valid experiences as to the challenges and logistics of incorporating PA in the
475 classroom. Although that aspect of PA leadership was not explored in the current work, future
476 work should consider the perspective of classroom teachers as to who they consider to be
477 trustworthy resources for support in using classroom-based PA.

478 In a CSPAP, as with other school programs, how each school's principal facilitates and
479 supports program elements is important (Lau, Wandersman, & Pate, 2016; Moore et al., 2018). If
480 a principal demonstrates the PE teacher's expertise as valuable, that could influence teachers and
481 increase the PE teacher's social capital (Egan & Webster, 2018). For example, a principal could

482 establish that PA is valued by asking the PE teacher to lead a PA break during staff meetings.
483 Allocating resources such as professional development hours to sessions about classroom-based
484 PA not only provides teachers with content knowledge and skill-building opportunities, but also
485 conveys a message of support that builds a norm that supports PA. Finally, administrators can
486 change norms through explicit encouragement and messages of support for PA in their daily
487 interactions with teachers. In this way, while administrators are not PA content experts, they are
488 nevertheless a crucial element of shifting norms toward valuing PA.

489 The current work illustrates the crucial importance of norms in creating change in
490 schools. As Minckler (2014, p. 672) noted:

491 The transformational leader performs a crucial role in developing the structures, both
492 physical (for example, shared scheduling time) and cultural (for example, norms of
493 collegiality) that create the opportunities for groups of teachers to work together to create
494 and use teacher social capital.

495 Much of this leadership rests with the principal, who can arrange schedules in ways that allow
496 for shared time, and building the connections and trust that allows teachers to accrue the social
497 capital that changes school culture. Principals often communicate their expectations for
498 behaviors that would be consistent with district objectives (Smylie & Evans, 2006). Thus, a
499 crucial next step is to explore the role of principals in developing the physical and cultural
500 structures that allow PA to be implemented, which values the role of PE teachers, and which
501 promotes a culture that explicitly values PA.

502 **Limitations**

503 Although we sought a variety of participants (e.g., variation in gender, location, school
504 size), only one interview was conducted with each PE teacher. The perspective of a PE teacher is

505 not necessarily representative of the opinions of other individuals at a school. Future work could
506 triangulate interview findings with additional interviews and observations. This study provides a
507 snapshot of elementary school PE teachers' perspectives regarding their current responsibilities,
508 their potential role as a PAL, and variations according to school PA characteristics. Further,
509 while our magnitude coding of PA (low/medium/high) helped to measure whether and to what
510 extent PE teachers had implemented some elements of a CSPAP, our interviews did not directly
511 address the five components. Therefore, it is possible that we did not allow our participants to
512 fully describe their CSPAP efforts. Future research should consider explicitly addressing each
513 CSPAP components to provide more nuance into what CSPAP 'levels' might look like.

514 **Conclusions/Future Research Directions**

515 There is no doubt that PE teachers' skills and content knowledge are well-suited for the
516 role of a PAL; however, it does not matter how knowledgeable, enthusiastic, and committed a PE
517 teacher may be. If they do not have the time and resources to establish social capital, nor the
518 explicit support of their principal, then changing the PA culture of a school is likely to be
519 extremely challenging, if not impossible. Currently, PA leadership programs (e.g., SHAPE
520 America) emphasize shifting the culture in the school and engaging leadership. The current
521 research corroborates this as a sound method for improving school PA opportunities, showing
522 that PALs need resources and tips about how to build social capital and how to meaningfully use
523 data and evidence about the academic benefits of PA. We suggest that national efforts to support
524 CSPAP implementation focus on district- and school-level leadership, emphasizing the value of
525 PA and the crucial role of PE teachers in achieving the goal of keeping students active. If such
526 efforts can effectively be used to recruit district and school leaders as allies who can provide the

527 structural conditions for PE teachers to build social capital, then we will be one step closer to

528 empowering PE teachers in their efforts to promote transformational cultural change.

529

530 Acknowledgement

531 This research was supported by the Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of
532 Education, through Grant R305A150277 to the Boise State University. The opinions expressed
533 are those of the authors and do not represent views of the Institute or the U.S. Department of
534 Education.

535

536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558

References

- Barroso, C. S., McCullum-Gomez, C., Hoelscher, D. M., Kelder, S. H., & Murray, N. G. (2005). Self-reported barriers to quality physical education by physical education specialists in Texas. *Journal of School Health, 75*(8), 313–319.
- Basch, C. E. (2010). Healthier students are better learners: A missing link in efforts to close the achievement gap. *Journal of School Health, 81*(10), 593-598.
- Beddoes, Z., Prusak, K. A., & Hall, A. (2014). Overcoming marginalization of physical education in America’s schools with professional learning communities. *Journal of Physical Education, Recreation & Dance, 85*(4), 21–27.
- Bridwell-Mitchell, E. N., & Cooc, N. (2016). The ties that bind: How social capital is forged and forfeited in teacher communities. *Educational Researcher, 45*(1), 7-17.
- Bryk, A. S. (2010). Organizing schools for improvement. *The Phi Delta Kappan, 91*(7), 23–30.
- Bryk, A. S., & Schneider, B. (2003). Trust in schools: A core resource for school reform. *Educational Leadership, 60*(6), 40–44.
- Carson, R. (2012). Certification and duties of a director of physical activity. *Journal of Physical Education, Recreation & Dance, 83*(6), 16-29.
- Carson, R. L., Castelli, D. M., Kuhn, A. C. P., Moore, J. B., Beets, M. W., Beighle, A., ... & Glowacki, E. M. (2014). Impact of trained champions of comprehensive school physical activity programs on school physical activity offerings, youth physical activity and sedentary behaviors. *Preventive Medicine, 69*, S12-S19.
- Carson, R. L., Castelli, D. M., & Kulinna, P. H. (2017). CSPAP professional preparation: Takeaways from pioneering physical education teacher education programs. *Journal of Physical Education, Recreation & Dance, 88*(2), 43–51.

- 559 Castelli, D. M., & Beighle, A. (2007). The physical education teacher as school activity director.
560 *Journal of Physical Education, Recreation & Dance*, 78(5), 25–28.
561 <http://doi.org/10.1080/07303084.2007.10598020>
- 562 Centeio, E. E., Erwin, H., & Castelli, D. M. (2014). Comprehensive school physical activity
563 programs: Characteristics of trained teachers. *Journal of Teaching in Physical Education*,
564 33(4), 492–510.
- 565 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2010). *The association between school-based*
566 *physical activity, including physical education, and academic performance*. Retrieved
567 from http://www.cdc.gov/healthyyouth/health_and_academics/pdf/pa-pe_paper.pdf
- 568 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2013). *Comprehensive school physical activity*
569 *programs: A guide for schools*. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human
570 Services.
- 571 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2015). *Results from the School Health Policies and*
572 *Practices Study 2014*. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.
- 573 Coleman, J. S. (1988). Social capital in the creation of human capital. *American Journal of*
574 *Sociology*, 94(Suppl), S95–S120.
- 575 Curtner-Smith, M. (2001). The occupational socialization of a first-year physical education
576 teacher with a teaching orientation. *Sport, Education and Society*, 6, 81–105.
- 577 Dika, S. L., & Singh, K. (2002). Applications of social capital in educational literature: A critical
578 synthesis. *Review of Educational Research*, 72(1), 31–60.
- 579 Dwyer, S. C., & Buckle, J. L. (2009). The space between: On being an insider-outsider in
580 qualitative research. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 8(1), 54–63.

- 581 Egan, C., & Webster, C. A. (2018). Using theory to support classroom teachers as physical
582 activity promoters. *Journal of Physical Education, Recreation & Dance*, 89(1), 23-29.
- 583 Ekinci, A. (2012). The effects of social capital levels in elementary schools on organizational
584 information sharing. *Educational Sciences: Theory & Practice*, 12(4), 2513–2521.
- 585 Frank, K. A., Zhao, Y., & Borman, K. (2004). Social capital and the diffusion of innovations
586 within organizations: The case of computer technology in schools. *Sociology of Education*,
587 77(2), 148–171.
- 588 Gaudreault, K. L., Richards, K. A. R., & Woods, A. M. (2017). Initial validation of the physical
589 education marginalization and isolation survey (PE-MAIS). *Measurement in Physical*
590 *Education and Exercise Science*, 21(2), 69–82.
- 591 Goc Karp, G., Scruggs, P. W., Brown, H., & Kelder, S. H. (2014). Chapter 10: Implications for
592 comprehensive school physical activity program implementation. *Journal of Teaching in*
593 *Physical Education*, 33(4), 611–623.
- 594 Johnson, T. G., & Turner, L. (2016). The physical activity movement and the definition of
595 physical education. *Journal of Physical Education, Recreation & Dance*, 87(4), 8–10.
- 596 Jones, E. M., Taliaferro, A. R., Elliott, E. M., Bulger, S. M., Kristjansson, A. L., Neal, W., &
597 Allar, I. (2014). Feasibility study of comprehensive school physical activity programs in
598 Appalachian communities: The McDowell CHOICES project. *Journal of Teaching in*
599 *Physical Education*, 33, 467–491.
- 600 Lau, E. Y., Wandersman, A. H., & Pate, R. R. (2016). Factors influencing implementation of
601 youth physical activity interventions: An expert perspective. *Translational Journal of the*
602 *American College of Sports Medicine*, 1(7), 60-70.

- 603 Len Goh, T., Hannon, J. C., Webster, C. A., & Podlog, L. (2017). Classroom teachers'
604 experiences implementing a movement integration program: Barriers, facilitators, and
605 continuance. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, *66*, 88-95.
- 606 Minckler, C. H. (2014). School leadership that builds teacher social capital. *Educational*
607 *Management Administration & Leadership*, *42*(5), 657–679.
- 608 Moore, J. B., Carson, R. L., Webster, C. A., Singletary, C. R., Castelli, D. M., Pate, R. R., ...
609 Beighle, A. (2018). The application of an implementation science framework to
610 Comprehensive School Physical Activity Programs: Be a champion! *Frontiers in Public*
611 *Health*, *5*, 354.
- 612 Parker, M., Patton, K., Madden, M., & Sinclair, C. (2010). From committee to community: The
613 development and maintenance of a community of practice. *Journal of Teaching in Physical*
614 *Education*, *29*(4), 337-357.
- 615 Patton, M. Q. (2015). *Qualitative research and evaluation methods* (4th ed.). Thousand Oaks,
616 CA: Sage.
- 617 Penuel, W., Riel, M., Krause, A., & Frank, K. (2009). Analyzing teachers' professional
618 interactions in a school as social capital: A social network approach. *Teachers College*
619 *Record*, *111*(1), 124–163.
- 620 Roulston, K. (2010). *Reflective interviewing: A guide to theory and practice*. London: Sage.
- 621 Saldaña, J. (2016). *The coding manual for qualitative researchers*. (3rd ed.). Los Angeles, CA:
622 Sage.
- 623 Smylie, M. A., & Evans, A. E. (2006). Social capital and the problem of implementation. In M.
624 Honig (Ed.), *New directions in education policy implementation: Confronting complexity*
625 (pp. 187–208). Albany, NY: State University of New York Press.

- 626 Society of Health and Physical Educators (SHAPE) America. (2013). *Comprehensive school*
627 *physical activity programs: Helping all students achieve 60 minutes of physical activity*
628 *each day*. Reston, VA: Author.
- 629 Society of Health and Physical Educators (SHAPE America). (n.d.). *Physical activity leader*
630 *learning system*. Retrieved February 13, 2018
631 <https://www.shapeamerica.org/prodev/workshops/lmas/>
- 632 SocioCultural Research Consultants, LLC. (2017). *Dedoose*, Version 7.5.16: Web application for
633 managing, analyzing, and presenting qualitative and mixed method research data.
634 www.dedoose.com
- 635 Stroot, S. A., & Ko, B. (2006). Induction of beginning physical education teachers into the
636 school setting. In D. Kirk, D. Macdonald, & M. O’Sullivan (Eds.), *The handbook of*
637 *physical education* (pp. 425–448). London, England: Sage.
- 638 Tashakkori, A., & Teddlie, C. (1998). *Mixed methodology: Combining qualitative and*
639 *quantitative approaches*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- 640 Tracy, S. J. (2010). Qualitative quality: Eight “big-tent” criteria for excellent qualitative
641 research. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 16(10), 837–851.
- 642 Turner, L., Johnson, T. G., Calvert, H. G., & Chaloupka, F. J. (2017). Stretched too thin? The
643 relationship between insufficient resource allocation and physical education instructional
644 time and assessment practices. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 68, 210–219.
- 645 Vincent, S.K., & Kirby, A.T. (2015). Words speak louder than action?: A mixed-methods case
646 study. *Journal of Agricultural Education*, 56(1), 32-42.

- 647 Webster, C. A., Beets, M., Weaver, R. G., Vazou, S., & Russ, L. (2015). Rethinking
648 recommendations for implementing comprehensive school physical activity programs: A
649 partnership model. *Quest*, 67(2), 185-202.

| Table 1 | |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| <i>Participating PE Teachers' School Characteristics</i> | |
| Characteristic | No. of teachers (<i>n</i> = 27) |
| <u>Location of School^a</u> | |
| City-Midsize | 11 |
| Suburb-Large | 8 |
| Suburb-Midsize | 1 |
| Town-Distant | 3 |
| Town-Remote | 1 |
| Rural-Fringe | 3 |
| <u>District Per Pupil Spending^b</u> | |
| Under \$5500 | 12 |
| \$5501-\$7000 | 7 |
| \$7001-\$8100 | 8 |
| <u>Size of School</u> | |
| Smaller (235-425 students) | 6 |
| Medium (426-615 students) | 13 |
| Larger (616-810 students) | 8 |
| <u>Percentage of Students Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Lunch</u> | |
| 0-25% | 11 |
| 26-50% | 3 |
| 51-75% | 9 |
| 76-100% | 4 |
| <u>Teacher Gender</u> | |
| Female | 17 |
| Male | 10 |
| <u>Teacher Career Stage</u> | |
| Early (0-5 years) | 1 |
| Middle (6-15 years) | 12 |
| Later (16+ years) | 14 |
| ^a These categories are defined by the National Center for Education Statistics, using urban-centric locale codes. | |
| ^b Data are from 2015-2016 state school data source. | |

| Table 2 | | | | |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|--------|--------|
| <i>Data Analysis Representing Final Code Assignments</i> | | | | |
| Teacher Identifier* | Trustworthiness | Information Networks | Norms | PA |
| Aaron | High | High | High | High |
| Alice | Medium | High | High | High |
| Barbara | Medium | Medium | High | High |
| Bill | High | Low | High | High |
| Charlie | Medium | High | High | High |
| Cindy | High | Medium | High | High |
| Dan | Medium | Low | Medium | High |
| Elliott | Medium | Low | Medium | High |
| Frank | Low | Low | Medium | High |
| Daesha | Medium | Medium | High | Medium |
| Gabriela | Medium | Low | High | Medium |
| Eva | Medium | Low | Low | Medium |
| Francesca | Medium | Low | Low | Medium |
| Gail | Low | Low | Medium | Medium |
| Hayley | Low | Low | Medium | Medium |
| Iris | Medium | Medium | Medium | Medium |
| Joslyn | High | Medium | Medium | Medium |
| Kayla | Medium | Medium | Medium | Medium |
| Laura | High | High | Medium | Medium |
| Maria | Medium | Medium | Low | Low |
| Nancy | Low | Low | Low | Low |
| Olive | Low | Low | Low | Low |
| Henry | Medium | Medium | Low | Low |
| Ian | Low | Low | Low | Low |
| Jarrold | Low | Low | Low | Low |
| Paige | Low | Low | Low | Low |
| Quiana | Low | Low | Medium | Low |

Appendix A: Codebook

| Code | Definition | Sample Quotes | Holistic Levels for Individual Cases |
|--|---|---|---|
| Physical activity (PA) | Programs/ activities that get students moving outside of PE -- current or future | <p>“We got a walking program. We offer a jump rope program. If there’s anything out there that the district has. We’re going to put on a fun run this May for the first time and I’m going to organize that. We participate in the National walk to school thing.”</p> | <p>Low – Maybe some teachers doing brain breaks; perhaps one PA activity</p> |
| | | <p>“I’m just doing my best to encourage teachers to teach their students games that they can play outside of PE, that they can play out on the playground.”</p> | <p>Medium – Many/all teachers using brain breaks; 2-3 PA activities throughout the year</p> |
| | | <p>“We just do field day or activity day and that’s it.”</p> | <p>High – Many/all teachers using brain breaks’ 4-5 PA activities throughout the year</p> |
| Facilitator of development of social capital | Someone or something that directly supports the development of trust, information networks, or norms supportive of PA | <p>“Thank you [to] our principal, because he’s been really intentional about using our time wisely...we have to give teachers time and so one thing that he’s done...he has designed for us actually take away one of those staff meetings and use that for an extra PLC time in addition to the Wednesday ones. So because my Wednesday ones are full with specific PE teachers, then on the staff meeting days then I can join my other groups at their grade level...I also can use that time to go and approach classroom teachers.”</p> | |
| Barrier to development of social capital | Someone or something that prevents/ precludes the development of trust, information networks, or norms supportive of PA | <p>“My schedule is pretty packed with less flexibility this year as I’ve had in years past because of the amount of kids we have. So I got pretty full afternoons and things and going through my mornings...It hasn’t been as much this year but in years past, I’ve reached out.”</p> <p>“But I don’t have the extra time. When I say no, it’s because I can’t do it all.”</p> <p>“So that’s kind of the challenge of it all, in terms of us even getting to the point where we’re asking, ‘What do you need? In 5th grade, what do you need or is there anything I can do for you?’ We don’t really have time for that. We’re busy doing our thing.”</p> <p>“Sometimes when I’m changing schools I don’t actually get a chance to know the teachers well.”</p> <p>“I’m pretty busy. I have the second busiest PE schedule in the school district and so I don’t get to get into other classrooms as frequently anymore.”</p> | |
| Trust-worthiness | A two-way relationship, specific actions of give/take | <p>“We have a great relationship in this school where they respect me and I respect them and what they do.”</p> | <p>Low – Poor relationships with classroom teachers; no reciprocation; much separation between</p> |

| | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|---|--|
| | <p>between PE teachers and others in the school</p> | <p>“As a PE teacher, I feel like I bend over backwards. My gym gets taken from me, I’m doing stuff in the classroom or I am trying to do stuff outside the classroom and I feel like it’s not reciprocated.”</p> <p>“But no, there’s not a lot of sharing between the PE teacher and the other teachers as far as the group goes.”</p> | <p>classroom teachers and PE teacher</p> <p>Medium – Some reciprocated relationships with classroom teachers, but infrequent</p> <p>High – Strong relationships with classroom teachers; several instances of reciprocation in the relationships</p> |
| <p>Information networks</p> | <p>Evidenced by acts of easy communication, either the PE teacher sharing information with others or the school having easily accessible channels for information sharing</p> | <p>“If I come up with an idea I’ll send out an email to them with a link. ‘Check it out... This looks like a good thing that might benefit you in the classroom.’... People have sent me links and stuff and I looked over them and if I thought they were beneficial, we’ve shared it that way.”</p> <p>“I’ve never gone in to a classroom teacher and sat down and had a discussion of here’s what we do.”</p> | <p>Low – No/very little communication with classroom teachers</p> <p>Medium – Some communication with select classroom teachers or groups of teachers, but infrequent</p> <p>High - Regular communication with classroom teachers about PE/PA and evidence of regular two-way communication</p> |
| <p>Norms (related to PE/PA)</p> | <p>How the school values/behaves related to PE/PA – the importance place on this as well as the culture related to PE/PA in the school</p> | <p>“One time my principal, at a staff meeting...the majority of the time he was standing and moving while he was delivering all of the whatever the staff meeting was. It had nothing to do with activity or the reason why he was just [taking the stance that] at that meeting we are going to move during the meeting. It was fun. Everybody was smiling I’m not sure how much was retained from whatever was being presented but the message was delivered: Movement can be fun.”</p> <p>“PE is undervalued... We get a lot of, ‘Just run them. Just make them run around a whole bunch.’ And that’s PE: Go run around. That kind of irks me... It drives me nuts when I hear kids saying, ‘I am going to gym class.’ It’s not gym class. This is PE. There’s a difference.”</p> <p>“[The principal will] just send out an email that says, ‘Remember that specials are as important as your class. Don’t keep your kids or if there’s a specific reason... then just come talk to me but don’t just not have them come to my class.’ So she’s really supportive. I have two or three new people [who think] that PE is just fluff, but they will learn. They’ll learn from our building and I think some of them have come around to why it’s so important.”</p> | <p>Low – PE/PA not valued and/or PE teacher not respected as a colleague</p> <p>Medium – PE teacher respected as a colleague, but PE/PA still somewhat viewed as ‘less important’ than classroom content</p> <p>High – PE/PA valued and movement recognized as an integral component of students’ education</p> |

