

Jacobs, G. M., & Kline Liu, K. (1996). Integrating language functions and collaborative skills in the second language classroom. *TESL Reporter*, 29, 21-33. Retrieved from <https://ojs.lib.byu.edu/spc/index.php/TESL/article/view/3586/3360>

## **Integrating Language Functions and Collaborative Skills in the Second Language Classroom**

George M Jacobs and Kristin Kline Liu

### **INTRODUCTION**

Language learning materials frequently include group activities, and many books and journals for language teachers recommend that groups be an important part of teachers' instructional repertoire. Unfortunately, group activities sometimes fail because students lack the skills necessary to function effectively in groups. For instance, teachers often find that students do not participate equally in group activities, that they do not help one another, that disagreements lead to bad feelings, and that groups get off task.

But is spending time helping students learn to function together the language teacher's job? Wouldn't that just be a distraction from our main job of teaching the language? We've already got enough to do. Wouldn't it be better just to skip using groups and avoid the headache of trying to get students to work together?

In this article we maintain that helping students learn and practice **collaborative skills** is not a distraction from language teaching. Instead, the language necessary to use

these skills involves basic **language functions** (such as greetings, information requests, apologies) which students will find useful in the many ways they interact with other people.

This article has four parts. In the first part, we describe the teaching of language functions. In the second part, we discuss the teaching of collaborative skills. Next, we give examples of how, in using cooperative learning activities, we integrate the teaching functions with instruction in collaborative skills. Finally, we relate the story of one student who benefited from such instruction.

### **LANGUAGE FUNCTIONS**

The teaching of language functions forms part of the general movement toward communicative language teaching (Richards & Rodgers, 1986). The idea is that the **function** of language is to communicate. Thus, language is taught as a means of communication, not as a system of grammatical structures. In other words, the emphasis is on language use, rather than language usage, and a key aspect of language use are the functions to which language is put by its users.

The functional approach to second language instruction began in the 1970s. Finocchiaro and Brumfit (1983) describe the perspective that led to the development of the approach, "Language was much more appropriately classified in terms of what people wanted to *do* with the language (functions) ... than in terms of the grammatical items as in traditional language teaching models" (p. 12).

In a functional syllabus, rather than using grammatical

structures as the basis for sequencing instruction, functions become the unit of language upon which sequencing is based.

### **Key language functions**

Many lists and categorical systems exist for language functions. Here is an abbreviated version of Finocchiaro's categorical system (Finocchiaro & Brumfit, 1983: 65-66):

#### Personal (Clarifying or arranging one's ideas)

1. Expressing one's thoughts or feelings: love, pleasure, surprise, likes, dislikes, distress, anger, fear, sorrow
2. Communicating moral, intellectual, and social concerns
3. Expressing everyday feelings of hunger, fatigue, cold, or warmth

#### Interpersonal (Enabling us to establish and maintain desirable social and working relationships)

4. Greetings and leavetakings
5. Introducing people to others
6. Extending invitations - Accepting invitations
7. Refusing invitations politely or making alternative arrangements
8. Apologizing
9. Indicating agreement - Indicating disagreement
10. Interrupting another speaker politely
11. Complimenting someone
12. Expressing gratitude - Acknowledging gratitude

#### Directive (Attempting to influence the actions of others; accepting or refusing direction)

13. Making requests
  14. Making suggestions
  15. Refusing to accept a suggestion or a request but offering an alternative
  16. Persuading someone to change their point of view
  17. Asking for help - Responding to a plea for help
  18. Giving instructions - Responding to instructions
- Referential (Talking or reporting about things, actions, events, or people in the environment in the past or in the future; talking about language. This is often termed the metalinguistic function.)
19. Asking for a description of someone or something
  20. Defining something or a language item - Asking for a definition
  21. Requesting facts about events or actions - Reporting facts
  22. Evaluating the results of an action or an event
- Imaginative (expanding ideas offered by others or by a listening or reading passage)
23. Creating rhymes, poetry, stories, or plays
  24. Solving problems or mysteries

### **Teaching language functions**

Most approaches to teaching language functions use methods which fall under the general umbrella of communicative language teaching (Richards & Rodgers, 1986). Important characteristics of such approaches include (Finocchiaro & Brumfit, 1983):

- 1) Meaning as the focus
- 2) Language taught in context
- 3) Fluency the main aim, although accuracy also important
- 4) Cultural appropriacy as a component of accuracy
- 5) Group activities used to provide opportunities for real communication
- 6) Rote learning infrequently or never used
- 7) Students encouraged to communicate about their backgrounds and interests.

### **COLLABORATIVE SKILLS**

A pervasive demand of the information age in which we live is that everyone be able to do complex thinking, such as problem-solving. Complex thinking often takes place best in groups, which means that people need to be able to share ideas and to collaborate with one another (Dumaine, 1990). We see this trend in schools in the form of cooperative learning (Slavin, 1990) and other methodologies. In order to prepare students for successful learning and achievement in groups, at school and at work, educators - including those of us in language education - should help them learn the collaborative skills they will need to work and to learn with others.

Many advocates of cooperative learning, (e.g., Johnson, Johnson, & Holubec, 1993) consider the teaching of collaborative skills to be an essential component of instruction in which group activities are used. What are the collaborative skills that students need to learn? As with functions, many lists and categorical systems exist. Below is

one attempt at listing and categorizing some of the important collaborative skills (Jacobs, Gan, & Ball, 1995: 82-83).

### **Key collaborative skills**

#### Group Forming Skills

1. Getting into groups efficiently
2. Greeting others
3. Introducing oneself - Introducing others
4. Using people's names when speaking to them
5. Ending a group activity
6. Saying goodbye

#### Basic Group Functioning Skills

7. Saying thanks - Responding to thanks
8. Attentive listening
9. Giving praise - Responding to praise
10. Waiting patiently - Trying not to keep others waiting
11. Asking for help
12. Giving help
13. Apologizing - Accepting apologies
14. Encouraging others to participate - Responding to encouragement to participate
15. Asking questions - Responding to questions
16. Saying "No" - Accepting "No"
17. Giving instructions - Following instructions
18. Interrupting appropriately - Accepting appropriate interruptions
19. Using humour to help group functioning
20. Getting the group back on task

21. Paraphrasing
22. Observing and commenting on group functioning

#### Idea Exchange Skills

23. Making a plan
24. Making suggestions - Responding to suggestions
25. Asking for reasons - Giving reasons
26. Asking for feedback - Giving feedback
27. Giving negative feedback - Responding to negative feedback
28. Disagreeing politely - Responding to disagreement
29. Checking accuracy
30. Checking for understanding
31. Persuading others
32. Compromising
33. Summarizing

#### **Teaching collaborative skills**

Johnson, Johnson, and Holubec (1993) propose a six-part procedure for teaching collaborative skills. (See Dishon & O'Leary, 1993 for other ideas.) The six parts are:

- 1) Explain the need for the skill
- 2) Help students see what the skill looks and sounds like
- 3) Provide opportunities for students to practice the skill in isolation from other course content
- 4) Encourage students to use the skill as they work together on other course objectives
- 5) Provide time for students to think about and discuss their use of the skill and plan have their future use

- 6) Help students persevere in using the skill on a long-term basis

### **INTEGRATING LANGUAGE FUNCTIONS AND COLLABORATIVE SKILLS**

There is much overlapping between the language functions list and the collaborative skills lists presented here, and it is not coincidental that such overlapping exists. After all, the key function of language is to communicate, and much of that communication takes one form or another of collaboration.

The more skilled students become at using language, the better they become at collaborating. Language educators can help students learn language functions and collaborative skills by integrating these two key areas.

The following section presents some examples of how the authors of this article have integrated language functions and collaborative skills.

#### **Jigsaw**

One of the best known cooperative learning techniques is Jigsaw (Coelho, 1992b). Here's an example of how we use Jigsaw. A reading passage on the causes and solutions to the problem of air pollution is divided into parts, just like a jigsaw puzzle is divided into pieces. Students form groups of four called Home Teams. Each home team member gets one piece of the passage. They then leave their home team and form an Expert Team with three people from other home teams who have the same piece of the passage. The job of the expert team is to learn their piece well and prepare to teach it to their home team.

Next, the expert teams disband, and students return to their home teams where they take turns teaching their pieces of the passage. Finally, the group does a task requiring information from all the passage pieces: they are to decide which of the proposed solutions for air pollution is possible and what they can do to make it happen. The drawing below illustrates one way of doing Jigsaw (Jacobs, Gan, & Ball, 1995: 16).

Jigsaw facilitates learning of both language and content by providing a highly interactive way to structure instruction. Each student must learn the content and the relevant language to teach to their home team members, and then the home team must listen carefully and put together the information to complete the task.

Jigsaw could involve the majority of the language functions on Finocchiaro and Brumfit's (1983) list as well as the majority of the collaborative skills on Jacobs, et al.'s (1995) list. A few of these are listed in Table 1.

[INSERT TABLE 1]

### **Numbered Heads Together**

Another popular cooperative learning technique is Numbered Heads Together. Here's an example of how we use it.

Students form groups of four. Each group member gets a number: 1, 2, 3, or 4. That's where the "Numbered" part of the name comes from. Then, the class reads a passage. Next, the teacher or a student asks a question about the passage. (We try to include thinking questions, in addition to

questions where the answer can be taken directly from the passage.) Group members collaborate to develop an answer to the question and an explanation for their answer. This is where the "Heads Together" part of the name comes from. Finally, the teacher calls a number, and the student in each group with that number presents their group's answer and the reasoning behind it.

Numbered Heads Together encourages peer tutoring, because students need to explain their answers. It does not help students learn if their groupmates just give them the answer, but learning is promoted when students see how the answer was obtained. Numbered Heads Together also encourages all members to participate and to learn in the group, because they do not know which number the teacher will call; so, they all need to understand their group's answer and be able to explain it. In contrast, in typical group activities, the top student in the group is the one who almost always acts as the spokesperson.

Numbered Heads Together, like Jigsaw, could involve most of the language functions on Finocchiaro and Brumfit's (1983) list as well as most of the collaborative skills on Jacobs, et al.'s (1995) list.

### **ONE STUDENT'S STORY**

Teachers who try cooperative learning often mention the problem of dealing with student who are behind others in terms of acquisition of academic language and skills. Every term, we have a few such students with significantly lower

proficiency than their classmates. Often, due to cultural reasons, the less proficient students don't want to publicly acknowledge their need for additional help by coming to us. One effective way to give such students the help that they need is to involve them in cooperative groups of mixed proficiency because they often feel freer to ask for help from their peers.

A case in point is Alexander, a professional from a highly oral Middle-Eastern culture who came to the United States for special computer training. Alexander needed to pass a series of English courses before applying to a technical college. His ESL teachers observed that his literacy skills in English were extremely low, that he suffered from a number of physical symptoms related to stress (severe headaches, eye strain, etc.), and that he didn't mix well socially with other students because of his low self-confidence.

Attempts to talk to him about his difficulties with English were unsuccessful due to his personal and cultural beliefs about what it means to be a slower learner. Work in cooperative learning groups proved to be the most successful method of helping him. Cooperatively structured work in small groups also helped him to improve his collaborative skills and his conversational ability in English. Furthermore, his self-esteem greatly improved from his interaction with other students.

Alexander remained in the U.S. and became a functioning

member of the culture, capable of conversing with Americans and others in fairly fluent English. It is questionable whether or not he would have achieved any of these things if he had not had the exposure to cooperative learning and opportunities to practice the various functions of American English in a supportive setting.

### **CLOSING COMMENTS**

The purpose of this article has been to argue for the teaching of collaborative skills as part of the use of group activities. We believe that such teaching, far from detracting from language learning, actually aids it. As Coelho (1992a: 39) states:

The many parallels between linguistic functions and cooperative group skills suggest that cooperative learning can provide the foundation for a communicative curriculum design. In providing opportunities for students to develop specific group skills, we can focus on the corresponding language functions.

Group activities form an important part of modern communicative language teaching. By spending time helping students learn the collaborative skills necessary for successful group functioning, we language teachers also help students learn language which will be useful in and out of the classroom. The six-step procedure, described above, for teaching collaborative skills provides good ideas for doing this. However, in the rush to get through the syllabus or the textbook, there is a great temptation to skip some or all of these steps. Please consider the wisdom of omitting this

potentially important element of instruction.

According to McDonnell (1992), learning through groups provides students with many benefits, but teaching students to function in cooperative groups takes time and is a gradual process that requires patience and a guiding hand from the teacher while students learn to listen to and to trust each other. Given time, cooperative learning can be a supportive and successful method of learning for many different types of students.

### **References**

- Coelho, E. (1992a). Cooperative learning: Foundation for a communicative curriculum. In C. Kessler (ed.), Cooperative Language Learning (pp. 31-50). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall Regents.
- Coelho, E. (1992b). Jigsaw: Integrating language and content. In C. Kessler (ed.), Cooperative language learning (pp. 129-152). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall Regents.
- Dishon, D., and O'Leary, P.W. (1993). A guidebook for cooperative learning: A technique for creating more effective schools (revised ed.). Holmes Beach, FL: Learning Publications.
- Dumaine, B. (1990, May 7). Who needs a boss? Fortune, 52-58.
- Finocchiaro, M., & Brumfit, C. (1983). The functional-notional approach. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Jacobs, G.M., Gan, S.L., & Ball, J. (1995). Learning cooperative learning via cooperative learning: A sourcebook of

lesson plans for teacher education on cooperative learning.

Singapore: SEAMEO Regional Language Centre.

Johnson, D.W., Johnson, R.T., & Holubec, E.J. (1993). Circles of learning (4th ed.). Edina, MN: Interaction Book Co.

McDonnell, W. (1992). Language and cognitive development through cooperative group work. In C. Kessler (ed.), Cooperative language learning (pp. 51-64). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall Regents.

Richards, J.C., & Rodgers, T.S. (1986). Approaches and methods in language teaching. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Slavin, R.E. (1990). Cooperative learning: Theory, research, and practice. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall Regents.

Cates, K. (1992). Global education, peace education, and language teaching. TESL Reporter, 25, 1-9.

Dishon, D., and O'Leary, P.W. (1993). A guidebook for cooperative learning: A technique for creating more effective schools (Revised ed.). Holmes Beach, FL: Learning Publications.

Dumaine, B. (1990, May 7). Who needs a boss? Fortune, 52-58.

Finocchiaro, M., & Brumfit, C. (1983). The functional-notional approach. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Jacobs, G.M., Gan, S.L., & Ball, J. (1995). Learning cooperative learning via cooperative learning: A sourcebook of lesson plans for teacher education on cooperative learning. Singapore: SEAMEO Regional Language Centre.

Johnson, D.W., Johnson, R.T., & Holubec, E.J. (1993). Circles of learning (4th ed.). Edina, MN: Interaction Books.

Pennington, M.C. (1991). Positive and negative potentials of word processing for ESL writers. System, 19, 267-275.

Richards, J.C., & Rodgers, T.S. 1986. Approaches and methods in language teaching. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Slavin, R.E. (1990). Cooperative learning: Theory, research, and practice. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.