



DECEMBER 2016

# Early Indicators and Academic Mindsets in the Clark County School District

**AUTHORS:**

Jason Snipes, WestEd  
Loan Tran, WestEd

## December 2016

This report was prepared for the Institute of Education Sciences (IES) under Contract ED IES-12-C-0002 by Regional Educational Laboratory West administered by WestEd. The content of the report does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of IES or the U.S. Department of Education nor does mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

These materials are in the public domain. While permission to reprint this publication is not necessary, it should be cited as:

Snipes, J., & Tran, L. (2016). *Early indicators and academic mindsets in the Clark County School District*. San Francisco, CA: REL West @ WestEd.

This report is available on the REL West @ WestEd website: <http://relwest.wested.org/>.

---



# Contents

---

Why this study?.....	1
Early warning indicators .....	1
Academic mindsets and behaviors .....	2
Early warning indicators for off-track status.....	5
Analytic approach.....	5
Findings .....	9
Academic mindsets and behaviors and 9th grade on-track status.....	16
Analytic approach.....	16
Findings .....	17
Conclusions and implications .....	20
Appendix A. Sample selection and characteristics.....	22
Appendix B. Clark County School District early indicator .....	24
Appendix C. Using logistic regression to predict on-track status.....	26
Early indicators .....	26
Academic mindsets logistic regression.....	28
References .....	30
<b>Boxes</b>	
Box 1. Key terms used in this report .....	4
Box 2. Eighth grade risk indicators .....	6
Box 3. On-track, true-positive, and false-positive rates .....	8



## Figures

Figure 1. Defining accuracy of the risk indicators: Correct prediction versus false-positive rates.....	8
Figure 2. Percent of CCSD students on track and off track at the end of the 9th grade (2015/16).....	9
Figure 3. Ninth grade (2015/16) on-track rates by number of 8th grade (2014/15) risk indicators.....	10
Figure 4. Number of 8th grade risk indicators demonstrated by students who fell off track in 9th grade (2015/16).....	11
Figure 5. Eighth grade risk indicators and 9th grade on-track rates (2015) .....	12
Figure 6. Predicted probability of 9th grade on-track status varied with 8th grade growth-mindset and academic-behavior scores .....	19

## Tables

Table 1. True-positive and false-positive rates for 8th grade indicators.....	13
Table 2. Average numbers of identified students, true-positives, and false-positives for CCSD high schools .....	15
Table 3. Clark County School District survey measures and internal consistency statistics, 2015 .....	17
Table A1. Demographic characteristics of the analytic samples for being on track .....	22
Table B1. Eighth grade indicators and 9th grade on-track, true-positive, and false-positive rates for CCSD specific indicator.....	25
Table C1. Logit results predicting 9th grade on-track status in 2015/16.....	27
Table C2. On-track, true-positive, and false-positive rates based on logistic regression .....	28
Table C3. Logistic regression: 9th grade on-track status and 8th grade academic mindset and behavior survey measures .....	29

---



# Why this study?

---

There is widespread agreement that improving high school graduation rates is a high priority for education reform. While reported graduation rates have risen nationwide in recent years, nearly one of every five students who begins 9th grade in this country fails to earn a high school diploma within the next four years (National Center for Education Statistics [NCES], 2016). Graduation rates vary substantially across districts and states, and, compared to the rates for White students, graduation rates are substantially lower among Black and Hispanic students. Similarly, students from disadvantaged families have lower graduation rates than their more economically well-off peers. Nationally, the graduation rates for Black and Hispanic students are 73 and 76 percent, respectively, compared to 87 percent for White students (NCES, 2016). In Nevada, graduation rates are 71 percent overall, 56 percent for Black students, 67 percent for Hispanic students, 64 percent for economically disadvantaged students, and 32 percent for students with limited English proficiency (NCES, 2016).


Clark County School District (CCSD), in Las Vegas, Nevada, is the largest school district in the state and the fifth largest in the country. Like many other large urban school districts, CCSD has identified improving graduation rates as one of its core goals. This report emerges from collaborative efforts between CCSD and the Regional Educational Laboratory West (REL West) around strategies for using predictive analytics to help achieve that goal. In particular, CCSD is interested in finding early indicators that can help identify students at risk of not graduating. The district also wants to better understand how students' attitudes, beliefs, and behaviors predict school success in the transition to high school and, thus, how they might relate to graduation rates.

CCSD is a particularly useful place to study factors related to graduation rates. Large urban school districts like CCSD educate a disproportionately large number of English learner students, economically disadvantaged students, Black students, and Hispanic students (Sable, Plotts, & Mitchell, 2010). A better understanding of the dynamics around student progress through school, the early identification of students who are at risk of failing to graduate on time or not graduating at all, and an understanding of the associations between students' academic mindsets and behaviors and students' transition to high school may better position policymakers and practitioners to develop, refine, and test interventions aimed at improving 9th grade success in these contexts.

This study explored two issues related to graduation: *early warning indicators* and the relation of *academic mindsets* to students' progress through school. The first part of the report focuses on early indicators of student risk of not graduating from high school, while the second part of the report addresses the relationship between student self-reports of academic mindsets and behaviors in the 8th grade on students' on-track status in the 9th grade.

## Early warning indicators

As part of their efforts to improve graduation rates, many districts have developed and implemented on-track, or early warning, systems to identify students who are at risk of



failing to graduate. These efforts have built on previous research that has identified early outcomes that are effective indicators of whether or not students are likely to graduate from high school on time. The available evidence shows that risk indicators such as low attendance, failure to earn enough credits to progress from one grade to the next, receiving Fs, and disciplinary actions, such as suspensions, are significant predictors of students' failure to graduate on time (Allensworth & Easton, 2005, 2007; Balfanz, Herzog, & Mac Iver, 2007; Balfanz & Legters, 2004). This evidence has been used to develop a variety of early warning approaches, including indicators that identify whether or not students are on track for graduation as they reach the end of the 9th grade.


Like many districts, CCSD has developed a set of indicators of students' on-track status during the 9th grade. In fact, the district has developed benchmarks for adequate progress in every year of high school. But because the available research suggests that students who are off track at the end of the 9th grade are highly unlikely to recover and graduate within the standard four-year period (Allensworth & Easton, 2005, 2007), CCSD has also sought to explore the extent to which it could identify whether students were at risk of falling off track for graduation *before* the 9th grade. To the extent this is possible, the district could share those early risk indicators with its schools, so that the schools, in turn, could identify and support students who are at risk of falling off track as they make the transition to high school, rather than waiting until the students have already completed the 9th grade and perhaps fallen even further behind.

To help CCSD explore the possibility of identifying students at risk prior to students entering the 9th grade, REL West used CCSD's student records data to answer the following research questions:

1. To what extent can 8th grade indicators of attendance, credits earned, Fs, and student grade point average (GPA) identify 8th grade students at risk of falling off track for graduation by the end of the 9th grade?
2. How do 9th grade on-track rates among students who were identified in the 8th grade as being at risk of falling off track vary depending on which combinations of 8th grade indicators were used to identify students at risk?
3. How does the percentage of 9th grade off-track students who were correctly identified in the 8th grade as being at risk of falling off track, as well as the percentage of 9th grade students who had been incorrectly identified as likely to be off track, vary depending on which combinations of 8th grade indicators are used to classify students as being at risk of falling off track?

## Academic mindsets and behaviors

In addition to identifying 8th grade students who are likely to fall off track for graduation by the end of the 9th grade, CCSD is interested in exploring the underlying factors potentially driving students' school success or failure in high school. A growing body of research suggests that students' beliefs, attitudes, and dispositions (that is, their academic *mindsets*) affect their engagement and participation in school (that is, their academic *behaviors*), thereby having a substantial effect on their academic outcomes (Dweck, Walton, & Cohen, 2011; Farrington et al., 2012; Snipes, Fancsali, & Stoker, 2012). As part of their effort to understand these dynamics and how they play out in CCSD schools, beginning in the



2014/15 school year, CCSD staff started collecting survey data regarding several dimensions of academic mindsets and behaviors hypothesized to contribute to variation in academic engagement and progress. On annual surveys administered to students in grades 4–12 throughout the district, CCSD included measures of students’ growth mindset (that is, belief in the malleability of ability and performance and in the payoff to academic effort), performance avoidance (that is, hiding one’s effort or refraining from making an effort due to concerns about failing or being embarrassed), and academic behaviors (such as completing homework and participating in class) (see box 1 on page 4).

Previous analysis of these self-reported data show that students’ scores on survey measures of academic mindsets and behaviors varied significantly across students based on ethnicity, English learner status, and prior achievement (Snipes & Tran, forthcoming). With this in mind, the district was interested in examining the extent to which these survey measures predicted students’ future academic success, including progress through high school. Such an analysis might shed light on potential targets for intervention among students at risk of failing to graduate, as well as validate the academic relevance of the district’s survey measures. To support this inquiry, REL West used the CCSD data to attempt to answer the following research question:

4. To what extent do 8th grade measures of growth mindset, performance avoidance, and academic behavior predict differences in the probability of students being on track at the end of the 9th grade?

This report presents the results of the analyses related both to early warning indicators and to academic mindsets. The following section reviews the methods and findings for the analysis of early indicators and the prediction of 9th grade on-track status, while the third section presents the methods and findings regarding the analysis of the relationship between academic mindsets and behaviors in the 8th grade and on-track status in the 9th grade. The final section presents conclusions and potential implications from the analysis.

---

## Box 1. Key terms used in this report

**Academic mindsets.** Student attitudes, beliefs, perceptions, and dispositions regarding themselves, their academic potential, and their relationship to school (Dweck, Walton, & Cohen, 2011).

**Academic behaviors.** Behaviors commonly associated with being a “good student,” including arriving ready to work (with necessary supplies and materials), regularly attending class, paying attention and participating in class, and devoting out-of-school time to studying and completing homework (Farrington et al., 2012).

**Fixed-ability mindset.** A dimension of academic mindsets, also referred to as an “entity” theory of intelligence. The belief that intelligence and academic ability are fixed qualities that students either do or do not possess and that they cannot be changed through effort (Dweck, Walton, & Cohen, 2011).

**Growth mindset.** A dimension of academic mindsets. The belief that intelligence and academic ability are not fixed but are malleable and can be increased through effort and learning (Dweck, Walton, & Cohen, 2011).

**Off-track status.** An indicator of whether students have fallen off course for on-time graduation at the end of the 9th grade. For this analysis, students were considered to be off track at the end of the 9th grade if they either failed to receive enough credits to progress to the 10th grade (fewer than 6), or if they received more than one semester F. Otherwise they were considered on track.<sup>a</sup>

**Performance avoidance.** A dimension of academic mindsets. The tendency to change behavior—for example, hiding effort and avoiding academically challenging situations—because of concerns about failure or embarrassment. The concept is related to, but distinct from, holding a fixed-ability mindset (Farrington, Levenstein, & Keyes, 2014).

a. CCSD has also developed its own definition of on-track status. According to this indicator, a student is only on track if, at the end of the year, he or she successfully earns a full-year credit in each of the required core subjects and an elective, earns half-credits for courses on computer use and health, and successfully passes end-of-course exams for English Language Arts I, English Language Arts II, Mathematics I, and Mathematics II. Appendix A includes an analysis of the relationship between indicators and this on-track variable.

---



---

# Early warning indicators for off-track status



## Analytic approach

The goal of this first analysis was to identify early indicators of whether 8th grade students were likely to fall off track for graduation by the end of the 9th grade and to examine the extent to which different 8th grade indicators accurately predicted 9th grade off-track status.

## Sample

To conduct this analysis, REL West employed administrative records collected by CCSD in the 2014/15 and 2015/16 school years. The initial CCSD sample consisted of 26,322 students who were enrolled in the 8th grade in CCSD during the 2014/15 school year and who were also enrolled in CCSD in the 9th grade during the 2015/16 school year. Students for whom the required data for the analysis were not available were eliminated from the sample, resulting in a final analytic sample of 22,335. The characteristics of the students in this sample were largely similar to those of students in the district as a whole (see appendix A for more details).

## Defining off-track status

Previous studies have identified specific criteria for whether or not students are off track for graduation at the end of the 9th grade. Allensworth and Easton (2005, 2007) classified students as being off track for graduation at the end of the 9th grade if they

- » had failed to earn sufficient credits for promotion from the 9th to the 10th grade; or
- » had received more than 1 semester F in a core subject that year.


These studies found that students who were off track at the end of the 9th grade were substantially less likely than their on-track counterparts to graduate from high school in four years. Moreover, on-track status predicted graduation more effectively than did prior achievement tests or student demographic characteristics. Although there is some variation in these patterns across different school districts (Hartman et al., 2011), the basic patterns appear to be consistent across a variety of contexts (Stuit et al., 2016). Following this definition, students in the sample for these analyses were classified as being off track at the end of the 9th grade if they either had earned insufficient credits for promotion (that is, fewer than 6 credits)<sup>1</sup> or had received more than one semester F during the course of their 9th grade year (see box 1 on page 4).

## Creating 8th grade risk indicators

A primary goal of these analyses was to ascertain the extent to which the available data might allow CCSD to identify 8th grade students who, without any intervention, are likely

---

1 It is not clear whether students who fail to complete the number of credits they are expected to earn in the 9th grade are actually kept from progressing to the 10th grade in CCSD.



to struggle and fall off track in the 9th grade. The research suggests that attendance, credits earned, grade point average (GPA), and the number of Fs students accumulate in earlier grades are significant predictors of progress thorough high school and on-time graduation (Allensworth & Easton, 2005, 2007; Balfanz & Legters, 2004; Balfanz, Herzog, & Mac Iver, 2007). Based on these findings, REL West used CCSD student records data to create dichotomous 8th grade risk indicators applied to each 8th grade student in the sample signaling whether or not that student had: low attendance; insufficient credits; low GPA; or one or more Fs (see box 2). These 8th grade risk indicators were then examined individually and in combination with one another to ascertain the extent to which they identified 8th grade students (in 2014/15) who fell off track during the following school year (2015/16) and could therefore be used as early warning indicators.

---

## Box 2. Eighth grade risk indicators

**Low attendance rate.** Previous research has shown that having an attendance rate below 90 percent is a significant predictor of academic progress and high school graduation (Balfanz, Herzog, & Mac Iver, 2007). Having an attendance rate below 90 percent during the 8th grade was considered an indicator of risk.

**Insufficient credits.** Previous research identifies whether students earn sufficient credits to make progress from one grade to the next as a key predictor of high school success. For this study, CCSD 8th grade students were classified according to whether or not they accumulated enough credits to make adequate progress from one grade to the next (six credits). Accumulating fewer than six credits over the course of the 8th grade was considered an indicator of risk.


**Low grade point average.** Student grade point averages were calculated across all classes for which students received letter grades.<sup>a</sup> Having a grade point average below 2.0 in the 8th grade was considered an indicator of risk.

**Semester Fs.** Students were categorized according to the total number of F grades they received in each semester of the 8th grade. Receiving one or more semester Fs was considered an indicator of risk.

a. Point values were assigned as follows: A = 4.0; B = 3.0; C = 2.0; D = 1.0; F = 0. Pass/fail classes and classes with marks other than letter grades were not included in the calculation of student GPA.

---

In addition to analyzing the accuracy of using individual risk indicators to identify students at risk, REL West examined the extent to which using composite variables that combined multiple risk indicators aided in the accurate identification of students at risk of falling off track. Among the composite indicators REL West created were several that identified students as being at risk based on the total number of risk indicators they demonstrated in the 8th grade. These included flags for whether students had at least one 8th grade risk indicator for attendance, credits, Fs, or low GPA, as well as flags for whether students



demonstrated two or more or three or more of these risk factors. REL West also created different composite indicators that flagged students if they had: at least one 8th grade risk indicator for attendance, credits, or Fs; at least two 8th grade risk indicators for attendance, credits, or Fs; at least one 8th grade indicator for credits, Fs, or low GPA; and at least two 8th grade indicators for credits, Fs, or low GPA.

### Defining accuracy of the risk indicators

Determining the accuracy of these potential early warning indicators was an important goal for this analysis. For that purpose, two different rates were examined for each one:

1. its “true-positive” rate; and
2. its “false-positive” rate (see box 3).

The true-positive rate<sup>2</sup> answers the following question: Among those students who actually fell off track by the end of the 9th grade, what percentage would have been flagged as at risk based on the given 8th grade indicator? As represented graphically by the space in the upper right quadrant in figure 1, if a student demonstrated a particular 8th grade risk indicator and by the end of the 9th grade that same student had fallen off track, then using this indicator to predict off-track status would result in a correct prediction for that student—a true-positive. If on the other hand, a student did not have that particular 8th grade risk indicator, but nonetheless fell off track by the end of the 9th grade, then using that indicator would result in a false-negative for that student, as represented by the lower right quadrant in figure 1. So, if 25 of 100 students who fell off track by the end of the 9th grade had a particular 8th grade risk indicator, then using that indicator to classify students as at risk of falling off track would result in a true-positive rate of 25 percent (and a false-negative rate of 75 percent).

The false-positive rate (the upper left quadrant in figure 1), on the other hand, answers the following question: Among students who did not fall off track by the end of the 9th grade, what percentage would have been categorized as being at risk for doing so?<sup>3</sup> For example, if, out of a total of 100 students who did not fall off track by the end of the 9th grade, 25 had a particular 8th grade risk indicator, the false-positive rate resulting from using that indicator would be 25 percent (the true-negative rate—represented by the lower left quadrant of figure 1—would be 75 percent).

---

2 This is sometimes referred to as the “correct-prediction” rate (Stuit et al., 2016).

3 Other analyses have pursued “area under the curve” approaches to defining accuracy. Such an analysis was not included in this report. Area-under-the-curve analyses make implicit value judgments about the relative costs and benefits of correctly identifying students who will fall off track versus categorizing students who did not actually fall off track as being at risk. Since those tradeoffs are essentially policy and practice decisions that might be made differently depending on how the stakeholders involved view these costs and benefits of each of these outcomes, REL West did not include this type of analysis in the report.

**Figure 1. Defining accuracy of the risk indicators: Correct prediction versus false-positive rates**

		9th grade on-track status	
		On Track	Off Track
8th grade risk indicator	Yes	False Positive	True Positive
	No	True Negative	False Negative

**Box 3. On-track, true-positive, and false-positive rates**

**On-track rate.** Percentage of students who were on track for graduation at the end of the 9th grade.

**True-positive rate.** Proportion of students who are not on track by the end of the 9th grade and who had been identified correctly in the 8th grade as being at risk of falling off track by that time. For example, if 100 8th grade students were flagged as being at risk of falling off track by the end of the 9th grade because they had a grade point average (GPA) below 2.0, and 25 of those 100 students did, in fact, fall off track by that time, then that particular risk indicator (that is, having a GPA less than 2.0) would have a true-positive rate of 25 percent (Stuit et al., 2016).

**False-positive rate.** Percentage of students who are on track in grade 9 but who had been incorrectly flagged by an 8th grade indicator as being at risk of being off track. If 100 students remained on track at the end of the 9th grade, and 25 of them had an 8th grade GPA below 2.0, the false-positive rate for the GPA indicator would be 25 percent.

---


## Findings

*Seventy-one percent of 8th grade CCSD students were on track at the end of the 9th grade.*

REL West calculated the percentage of 8th graders from the 2014/15 school year who were on track at the end of the 9th grade the following school year (2015/16). As illustrated in figure 2, 71 percent of CCSD students who had been 8th graders in school year 2014/15 were on track for high school graduation at the end of 9th grade, while 29 percent of these students were off track for graduation by this point in time.

---

**Figure 2. Percent of CCSD students on track and off track at the end of the 9th grade (2015/16)**



Source: Authors' analysis of primary data collected for the study. Sample size = 22,335.


---

*Ninth grade on-track rates declined as the number of 8th grade risk indicators went up.*

The more 8th grade risk indicators students had, the lower their chances of being on track at the end of the 9th grade. Among 9th grade students with no 8th grade risk indicators, on-track rates at the end of the 9th grade were 88 percent (figure 3). Among students with only one 8th grade risk indicator, on-track rates at the end of the 9th grade were 74 percent. However, among 9th grade students with two, three, or four 8th grade risk indicators, on-track rates at the end of the 9th grade were 60, 34, and 19 percent, respectively.

---

**Figure 3. Ninth grade (2015/16) on-track rates by number of 8th grade (2014/15) risk indicators**



N: 0 = 12,636; 1 = 2,793; 2 = 2,179; 3 = 3,137; 4 = 1,590

Source: Authors' analysis of primary data collected for the study. Sample size = 22,335.


---

*The majority of students who fell off track by the end of 9th grade had displayed multiple risk indicators in the 8th grade.*

The analysis of risk indicators among students who fell off track shows that the vast majority (77 percent) had demonstrated at least one of the four 8th grade risk indicators identified above (see figure 4), while 23 percent had shown none of these indications of risk at the end of the 8th grade. Eleven percent had a single risk indicator. However, approximately two thirds (66 percent) of the students who fell off track by the end of 9th grade had two or more 8th grade risk indicators. In fact, more than half of those who fell off track (52 percent) demonstrated three or more risk indicators during the 8th grade. This suggests that the majority of CCSD students who fell off track in the 9th grade were already experiencing a variety of academic challenges before they even arrived at high school.

---

**Figure 4. Number of 8th grade risk indicators demonstrated by students who fell off track in 9th grade (2015/16)**




Source: Authors' analysis of primary data collected for the study. Sample size = 6,470.

---

*Indicators for low attendance, insufficient credits, Fs, and low GPA are all associated with lower on-track rates.*

REL West calculated the 9th grade on-track rates associated with four 8th grade risk indicators: low attendance (below 90 percent), insufficient credits (fewer than six), low GPA (lower than 2.0), and receiving one or more Fs during the 8th grade (see figure 5). Each of these 8th grade indicators was associated with an elevated risk of being off track. While the average 9th grade on-track rate for this cohort of 8th graders was 71 percent, average on-track rates among students with any of the 8th grade risk indicators ranged between 30 and 45 percent. The indicator for low GPA was associated with an on-track rate of 30 percent, and the indicator for receiving one or more Fs was associated with an on-track rate of 39 percent. Grade 8 students who earned fewer than six credits had a 9th grade on-track rate of 43 percent, and those with low attendance had an on-track rate of 45 percent.

**Figure 5. Eighth grade risk indicators and 9th grade on-track rates (2015)**



Source: Authors' analysis of primary data collected for the study. Sample size = 22,335.

*Compared to attendance, academic performance indicators correctly identified a larger percentage of the students who actually fell off track the following year.*

Compared to the indicator for low attendance, risk factors related to academic performance captured a higher percentage of the students who fell off track at the end of the 9th grade (table 1). As explained above, the true-positive rate captures the percentage of 9th grade off-track students who were correctly identified by a given 8th grade indicator. The true-positive rate for the credit indicator was approximately twice as high as the true-positive rate for the attendance indicator (64 versus 32 percent). In other words, compared to the attendance indicator, the credit indicator accurately identified twice as many of the 8th grade students who fell off track by the end of the 9th grade. The relatively low percentage of off-track students identified by attendance alone suggests that the effectiveness of using attendance as an indicator may be due in part to the fact that it is associated with other academic outcomes, and that there are substantial numbers of students who fall off track at the end of the 9th grade who did not have low attendance in the 8th grade.

The indicators for low GPA and for whether 8th grade students earn at least one F, when used individually, also identified the majority of students who fell off track in the 9th grade. The 9th grade on-track rate among students who earned at least one F during the 8th grade was 39 percent, and using this characteristic alone to identify at-risk students captured 65 percent of the 8th graders who fell off track the next year. The 9th grade on-track rate among students who had a GPA of less than 2.0 in the 8th grade was only 30 percent, and this characteristic alone identified 55 percent of students who fell off track by the end of the 9th grade. The false-positive rates, that is, the percentage of students who ended up being on track at the end of the 9th grade despite being classified as at risk based on insufficient credits, low GPA, or receiving Fs, ranged from 10 to 20 percent.



**Table 1. True-positive and false-positive rates for 8th grade indicators**

8th grade indicator	True-positive rate	False-positive rate
Attendance < 90%	31.6	10.5
Credits <6	63.9	19.8
One or more Fs	64.5	17.1
GPA < 2.0	54.6	9.6
<b>Composite indicators</b>		
1. Attendance, Credits, or Fs	75.0	28.9
2. Attendance, Credits, or Fs (>=2 indicators)	62.0	15.5
3. Attendance, Credits, Fs, or Low GPA	76.9	29.8
4. Attendance, Credits, Fs, or Low GPA (>=2 indicators)	65.6	16.8
5. Attendance, Credits, Fs, or Low GPA (>=3 indicators)	52.1	8.6
6. Credits, Fs, or Low GPA	72.7	24.7
7. Credits, Fs, or Low GPA (>=2 indicators)	62.7	14.7

**Note:** The true-positive rate for each indicator is the number of students who had demonstrated that indicator in the spring of the 8th grade (2014/15) and who were off track in the spring of the 9th grade (2015/16), divided by the total number of students who were off track in the spring of the 9th grade (2015/16). The false-positive rate for each indicator is the number of students who had demonstrated that indicator in the spring of the 8th grade (2014/15), and who were on track in the spring of the 9th grade (2015/16), divided by the total number of students who are on track in the spring of the 9th grade (2015/16).

**Source:** Authors’ analysis of primary data collected for the study. Sample size = 22,355.

*Used in combination with one another, 8th grade indicators identified more than three quarters of the students who eventually fell off track in the 9th grade.*

The analysis examined on-track rates, true-positive rates, and false-positive rates for different combinations of 8th grade indicators. These “composite indicators” were generally more effective than the individual indicators at accurately identifying 8th grade students at risk of falling off track at the end of the 9th grade. For example, students who had at least one of the following characteristics—low attendance, insufficient credits, or one or more Fs—had an on-track rate of 49 percent (see figure 5). This was somewhat higher than the on-track rates generated by using individual 8th grade risk indicators. However, the true-positive rate for this composite indicator shows that using this approach identified 75 percent of the students who fell off track in the 9th grade. If an indicator for whether or not a student had an 8th grade GPA below 2.0 was added, the percentage of the students who eventually fell off track that were identified at the end of the 8th grade increased to 77 percent (table 1). In short, a simple indicator based on whether or not a student met any of four criteria at the end of the 8th grade appears to identify more than three quarters of the students who will fall off track in the 9th grade, *before they enter high school.*

---

*There is a tradeoff between increased identification of off-track students and increased numbers of false-positives.*

With true-positive rates of 75 and 77 percent, respectively, the composite indicator for attendance, credits, or Fs, and the composite indicator for attendance, credits, Fs, or low GPA correctly identify the largest share of 8th graders who eventually fall off track in the 9th grade. The false-positive rates, on the other hand, capture the percentage of students who *did not* fall off track, but who, based on the 8th grade indicators, had been identified as being at risk of falling off track. The false-positive rates for these composite variables were 29 and 30 percent, respectively (table 1). This suggests that if students were to be categorized as at risk based on these indicators, just under a third of those who did not actually fall off track would have been identified as being at risk for doing so. The false-positive rates were lower for indicators requiring that students demonstrate more than one risk factor before being classified as at risk. For example, using the composite variable identifying students as being at risk of falling off track only if they have demonstrated at least one of the four potential individual risk indicators (that is, low attendance, insufficient credits, receiving Fs, and low GPA), resulted in a false-positive rate of 29 percent. Using the composite variable that categorized students as at risk if they had at least two of these same individual risk indicators reduced the false-positive rate from 29 to 17 percent (table 1). However, this approach also caused the percentage of the off-track students who had been successfully identified to fall from 75 to 66 percent. In general, if students needed to demonstrate more risk indicators before being classified as at risk, the rate at which they were “incorrectly” identified as being at risk fell, but so, too, did the proportion of truly at-risk students who were correctly identified. Policymakers and practitioners executing early warning systems would have to weigh these tradeoffs when deciding on their preferred early indicators.

*Composite indicators identify larger numbers of students and generate larger numbers of true-positives and false-positives.*

One way to think about the potential tradeoffs between different indicators is to examine the implications for the average number of students per school that were identified, as well as the number of true-positives and false-positives, using each potential indicator (table 2). There are 49 high schools in the Clark County School District. The CCSD administrative records data used for this analysis suggest that there were approximately 22,335 students in the 2015/16 class of CCSD 9th graders for whom CCSD would have indicator data.<sup>4</sup> Though the sizes of the entering classes likely vary across schools and as a result of students entering from outside of the district, in terms of students coming from CCSD middle schools (those for whom these indicators are likely to be available) these calculations suggest an average entering class of approximately 455 9th graders per CCSD high school.

Based on the percentages of students in the sample who were identified by using each of the indicators in table 1, REL West calculated the average number of incoming 9th graders at each school that would be identified as at risk using each of the different risk indicators discussed in this report (table 2). The estimated number of incoming students identified based on these indicators varied from a low of 32 using the attendance indicator, to a high of 211

---

<sup>4</sup> This does not include students who were enrolled in the 9th grade, but left the school before the end of the year, or students who entered CCSD from another district. The analysis assumes that the district would not have readily available transcript data for incoming transfers in time to conduct this analysis.

using the composite indicator based on attendance, credits, Fs, and GPA (table 2). REL West also calculated the average number of true-positives and false-positives that would be observed based on the current sample. The results suggest that the indicators that identify the largest numbers of true-positives are the same indicators that identify the largest numbers of false-positives. Specifically, the composite indicator based on attendance, credits, Fs, and GPA is expected to generate an average of 152 true-positives, that is, students who without any additional intervention would indeed fall off track. At the same time, this indicator would be expected to generate an average of 59 false-positives, that is, students who appear to be at risk but who, without any additional intervention, would not actually fall off track.

Schools may be able to use this information to plan and deploy counseling resources, as well as to weigh the tradeoffs between different indicators. As they do so, it would be useful to keep in mind that though the false-positive students did not actually fall off track at the end of the 9th grade, based on their 8th grade outcomes, they still may have an elevated risk of academic challenges throughout high school. As such, supports and interventions targeted to these students may still be useful expenditures of resources.

**Table 2. Average numbers of identified students, true-positives, and false-positives for CCSD high schools**

8th grade indicator	Total incoming students identified (per school)	True-positives (per school)	False-positives (per school)
<b>Overall on-track rate</b>			
Attendance < 90%	32	24	8
Credits <6	124	95	29
One or more Fs	115	91	24
GPA < 2.0	66	56	10
<b>Composite indicators</b>			
1. Attendance, Credits, or Fs	200	144	56
2. Attendance, Credits, or Fs (>=2 indicators)	102	82	20
3. Attendance, Credits, Fs, or Low GPA	211	152	59
4. Attendance, Credits, Fs, or Low GPA (>=2 indicators)	116	92	24
5. Attendance, Credits, Fs, or Low GPA (>=3 indicators)	58	50	8
6. Credits, Fs, or Low GPA	172	128	44
7. Credits, Fs, or Low GPA (>=2 indicators)	101	82	19

Source: Authors' analysis of primary data collected for the study. Sample size = 22,355.

**Notes:**

Students identified per school is calculated by taking the total sample of 22,335, dividing that number by the total number of CCSD high schools (49), and multiplying the result (455) by the average percentage of students categorized as at risk of falling off track using each risk indicator.

True-positive students per school is the average number of incoming 9th grade students per school who had the 8th grade indicator in 2014/15 but who were off track in the spring of the 9th grade (2015/16).

False-positive students per school is the average number of incoming 9th grade students per school who had the 8th grade indicator in 2014/15 but who were *not* off track in the spring of the 9th grade (2015/16).

---

# Academic mindsets and behaviors and 9th grade on-track status



## Analytic approach

In addition to identifying 8th grade risk factors associated with being off track in the 9th grade, CCSD staff were interested in understanding some of the underlying student attitudes and behaviors that might be associated with 9th grade success. While these factors might not be appropriate to use as indicators of risk (Duckworth, 2016), an analysis of their relationship to 9th grade success might shed some light on potential strategies for student support.<sup>5</sup> Therefore, the goal of this analysis was to examine the relationship between 8th grade student self-reports of academic mindsets and behaviors and their on-track status at the end of the 9th grade.

## Sample

In the spring of the 2014/15 school year, CCSD administered surveys to students in grades 4–12 throughout the district. These surveys included a series of questions regarding academic mindsets and behaviors.<sup>6</sup> The sample for the analysis of the relationship between the survey measures of students' academic mindsets and behavior and their on-track status at the end of 9th grade consists of the 13,578 students who were included in the initial analytic sample of early indicators and for whom 8th grade survey measures of academic mindsets and behaviors were obtained in the spring of 2014/15. Although there were some minor differences, the characteristics of the students in this sample were largely similar to those of the students in the district as a whole (see appendix A).

## Defining academic mindsets and behaviors

The survey data included three measures of academic mindsets and behaviors: growth mindset (that is, believing in the malleability of ability and in the payoffs of academic effort); performance avoidance (that is, hiding one's effort or refraining from making an effort due to concerns about failure or embarrassment); and academic behaviors (such as completing homework and participating in class) (see table 3). Previous research suggests that these academic mindsets and behaviors are strongly linked to student success, and that interventions targeting these beliefs can have significant effects on student outcomes (Farrington et al., 2012; Snipes, Fancsali, & Stoker, 2012; Yeager, Walton, & Cohen, 2013). The analysis presented below used logistic regression to examine the association between these measures of academic mindsets and behaviors reported during the spring of students' 8th grade school

---

5 To the extent that survey responses to question regarding student attitudes, beliefs, and behaviors results in the identification of students for additional attention and support, and students become aware of this, future responses to these survey items can become distorted to reflect students' desires to either receive or avoid this attention.

6 These measures were adapted from the Becoming Effective Learners Student (BEL-S) survey, originally developed by the Chicago Consortium for School Research (Farrington & Levenstein, 2013).

year and the on-track status of those same students at the end of the 9th grade. (For more information on the study's use of logistic regression, see appendix C.)

**Table 3. Clark County School District survey measures and internal consistency statistics, 2015**

Measure	Student version	
	Questions	Reliability <sup>a</sup>
<b>Growth mindset</b>	<p>How true are the following about you?</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. My intelligence is something that I can't change very much.</li> <li>2. Challenging myself won't make me any smarter.</li> <li>3. There are some things I am not capable of learning.</li> <li>4. If I am not naturally smart in a subject, I will never do well in it.</li> </ol> <p><i>Not at all true, A little true, Somewhat true, Mostly true, Completely true [reverse coded 5-1]</i></p>	.75
<b>Performance avoidance</b>	<p>In a typical class, how true are the following?</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. I don't participate in discussions because I am afraid people might think I am foolish.</li> <li>2. I would rather do easy work that I can do well than challenging work where I might learn more.</li> <li>3. I don't ask questions in class because people might think my questions are not smart.</li> <li>4. I stop doing work if I feel like I can't do it well.</li> <li>5. I only volunteer to answer a question if I am sure my answer is right.</li> </ol> <p><i>Not at all true, A little true, Somewhat true, Mostly true, Completely true [coded 1-5]</i></p>	.77
<b>Academic behaviors</b>	<p>In a typical class, how often do you:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Do the readings or other assigned work to prepare for class.</li> <li>2. Turn in assignments on the due date.</li> <li>3. Actively participate in class.</li> <li>4. Have all of my class materials with me.</li> <li>5. Do more than what is expected of me.</li> </ol> <p><i>Never, Once in a while, About half the time, Most of the time, Always [coded 1-5]</i></p>	.74


a. Cronbach's alpha (Cronbach, 1951).

Source: Authors' analysis of 2015 survey data from Clark County School District.

## Findings

*Academic mindsets and behaviors among 8th graders were significant predictors of 9th grade on-track status the following year.*

The study used logistic regression to predict the probability that students were on track in the 9th grade based on their 8th grade survey responses to questions about academic mindsets and behaviors. The results show that 8th grade students' self-reported beliefs regarding growth mindset, as well as their self-reports of academic behavior, were positively related to the probability that they were on track at the end of the 9th grade (see appendix C, table C2). On the other hand, these estimates also show that student reports of performance avoidance, that is, the extent to which students reported hiding academic effort in order to avoid embarrassment or failure, were not significantly related to 9th grade on-track



status once measures of growth mindset and academic behavior were accounted for in the estimates.

These results were used to estimate the predicted probability of being on track that is associated with each point on the growth-mindset and academic-behavior scales (figure 6).<sup>7</sup> They show that the predicted probability of being on track at the end of the 9th grade varied substantially depending on students' responses to survey questions measuring growth mindset and academic behavior. The estimated probability of being on track varied from a low of 54 percent among students with the lowest score on the 5-point growth-mindset scale (1) to a high of 83 percent among students with the highest score (5) on the growth-mindset scale (figure 6). With respect to academic behavior, the probability of being on track for graduation at the end of the 9th grade varied from a low of 52 percent for students with the lowest score on the 5-point academic-behavior scale to 86 percent for students with the highest score on this scale.<sup>8</sup> Importantly, these estimates did not control for other student characteristics and behaviors. It is not possible to know the extent to which variation in on-track status associated with differences in academic mindsets and behaviors was actually driven by differences in academic mindsets and behaviors or driven by other characteristics with which these measures of academic mindsets and behaviors were correlated.

---

7 The predicted probability estimates are based on the model  $\text{Pr}(\text{On track} = 1) = \text{logit}^{-1}(\beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Survey}_i + \epsilon_i)$ ,


Where  $\text{Survey}_i$  is either the growth mindset or academic behavior measure taken in the spring of the 8th grade.

This model is described more fully by Rabe-Hesketh and Skrondal (2012).

8 These estimates were based on two separate logistic regressions, one of which estimated the relationship between 9th grade on-track status and the 8th grade measure of growth mindset, and one of which estimated the relationship between 9th grade on-track status and the 8th grade measure of academic behavior (table 4).

---

**Figure 6. Predicted probability of 9th grade on-track status varied with 8th grade growth-mindset and academic-behavior scores**



**Note:** Predicted probability of 9th grade on-track status estimated by substituting growth mindset and academic behavior scores into separate logistic regressions using student scores on the 8th grade scales to predict 9th grade on-track status (table C2).

**Source:** Authors' analysis of primary data collected for the study. Sample size = 13,578.

---




## Conclusions and implications

The evidence presented in this report shows that 8th grade indicators can effectively identify CCSD students who will fall off track by the end of the 9th grade. Eighth grade flags for attendance below 90 percent, insufficient credits, receiving Fs, and having a GPA below 2.0 are all indicators of whether or not students will fall off track before the end of the next school year. With the exception of attendance, each of these indicators by itself identified more than half of the 8th grade students who eventually fell off track at the end of the 9th grade. Simply identifying 8th grade students who demonstrated one or more of these four indicators correctly detected more than three quarters (77 percent) of the students who fell off track in the 9th grade, before they even entered high school. If this information were to be disseminated to high schools prior to the beginning of the school year, high schools could potentially use these data to assess the extent of the challenges their incoming students are likely to face, as well as to devise targeted supports for high-need students that could be implemented in the beginning of the school year or even before they enter the 9th grade.

The evidence in this report suggests that the indicator related to low attendance might be somewhat less effective than the academic indicators at identifying 8th grade students that are at risk of falling off track in the 9th grade. In fact, using low attendance by itself only identified 32 percent of the students who fell off track at the end of the 9th grade. On the other hand, the individual indicators for low credits, receiving at least one F, and having a GPA below 2.0 identified 64, 65, and 55 percent of students who fell off track, respectively. Furthermore, simple composite indicators appear to be even more effective at identifying a high proportion of the students who are at risk of falling off track. Thus, CCSD, and perhaps other districts implementing early warning systems, may wish to consider focusing their efforts on composite indicators.

Each of the indicators (individual and composite) also generated false-positives, in that some students were identified as being at risk of falling off track but instead stayed on track. The results consistently show a tradeoff between the percentage of off-track students correctly identified in the 8th grade and the false-positive rate. As the percentage of students at risk who are correctly identified goes up, so, too, does the percentage of students who are identified as being at risk but who do not actually fall off track by the end of the 9th grade. In choosing which indicators to use, districts might consider trying to minimize false-positives in order to conserve resources. This may be a particularly useful approach in districts with limited human and financial resources. On the other hand, one cannot know in advance which of the identified students will or will not fall off track. Moreover, all of the variables used to create the indicators have been shown to be strong predictors of progress through high school (Balfanz, Herzog, & Mac Iver, 2007; Balfanz & Legters, 2004). Therefore, it is likely that many of the students who are identified as being at risk will, in fact, experience





difficulties in school and need additional support, even if they do not fall off track at the end of the 9th grade. For that reason, districts might want to consider erring on the side of casting a broader net. Practitioners involved in implementing an early indicator system will have to consider the costs and benefits of identifying students who may not fall off track versus identifying larger proportions of the students who, without any additional intervention, are likely to be off track at the end of their first year of high school.

The evidence presented in this report also suggests that students' self-reported beliefs regarding the nature of academic ability and the payoff to academic effort (growth mindset), as well as their self-reports of their academic behavior at the end of the 8th grade, are positively related to their success in the 9th grade, specifically to their on-track status at the end of their first year in high school. This suggests the possibility that supports for academic mindsets and behaviors could be worth further investigation. This finding is consistent with the available evidence suggesting that academic mindsets and behaviors are potential drivers of the successful transition to high school (Farrington et al., 2012; Snipes, Fancsali, & Stoker, 2012; Yeager, Walton, & Cohen, 2013).

Several important cautions are worth noting. First, the evidence presented in this report does *not* identify any causal relationship between these measures of academic mindsets and behavior and on-track status in the following year. It is possible that other student characteristics that are not measured or accounted for in this analysis, but that are correlated with these measures, actually drive these estimated relationships. It is also possible that academic success drives these perceptions and behaviors, rather than the other way around. Additional research, perhaps involving short-term, low-cost randomized trials of interventions targeting these beliefs and behaviors, would be necessary for sorting out any causal connections.

Second, even to the extent that the relationships are causal, practitioners in CCSD and elsewhere should be extremely cautious regarding the use of these student survey responses as the basis for targeting students for support or as the basis for accountability. Tying students' answers to survey questions to consequences or events (for example, being targeted for additional counseling support) could affect the extent to which students truthfully answer these questions (Duckworth, 2016). Nevertheless, the evidence presented in this report does suggest the possibility that strategies for supporting students' academic mindsets and behaviors may be an avenue worth investigating as a means of helping students to stay on track for graduation as they make the transition from the middle grades to high school.

# Appendix A. Sample selection and characteristics


To conduct the analysis described in this report, REL West obtained administrative and survey records collected by Clark County School District (CCSD) in the 2014/15 and 2015/16 school years. The initial CCSD sample came from district administrative data and consisted of 26,322 students who were enrolled in the 8th grade in CCSD during the 2014/15 school year and also enrolled in CCSD in the 9th grade during the 2015/16 school year. Students with missing data for 8th grade attendance, credits earned, or grades were eliminated from the analytic sample for the on-track analysis, resulting in a final analytic sample of 22,335 students. As can be seen in table A1, the characteristics of the students in this analytic sample were largely similar to those of the students in the district as a whole. The largest difference between the sample for the early indicators analysis and the district as a whole is a 4-point difference in the percentage of English learner students (14 percent for the student records sample versus 18 for the district average). Most of the remaining characteristics were either identical or within one percentage point of the district average.

**Table A1. Demographic characteristics of the analytic samples for being on track**

Demographic characteristic (Percentage)	CCSD average	Student records sample for early indicators analysis	Sample for academic mindsets analysis
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
Asian or Pacific Islander	8	8	9
Black	13	13	10
Caucasian	28	27	29
Hispanic	45	45	44
Multiracial	6	6	6
Native American	0	1	1
<b>ELL status</b>			
English learner	18	14	12
<b>IEP status</b>			
IEP	12	10	7
<b>Total N</b>	318,040	22,335	13,578

Source: <http://www.nevadareportcard.com/di/main/demoprof>, obtained November 4, 2016.

In addition to the analysis of student records for the identification of early indicators of 9th grade off-track status, this report presented an analysis of the relationship between 9th grade off-track status and 8th grade survey measures of students' academic mindsets and behaviors. In the spring of school year 2014/15, CCSD administered surveys to students



in grades 4–12 across the district. These surveys included a series of questions regarding academic mindsets and behaviors.<sup>9</sup> This analysis was based on a sample of students for whom both survey data and student records were available. Beginning with the 22,335 students that made up the analytic sample for the early indicators analysis, REL West selected those students for whom the survey data were also available. This resulted in a sample of 13,578 students. The characteristics of the students in this sample were largely similar to those of the students in the district as a whole (table A1). However, the analytic sample for this analysis had 6 percentage points fewer English learner students than the district as a whole (12 percent for the academic mindsets analytic sample versus 18 for the district average), 5 percentage points fewer students with an individualized education plan (7 versus 12 percent, respectively), and 3 percentage points fewer Black students (10 versus 13 percent) than the district as a whole.

---

<sup>9</sup> These survey measures were adapted from the Becoming Effective Learners Student (BEL-S) survey, originally developed by the Chicago Consortium for School Research (Farrington & Levenstein, 2013).

---

## Appendix B. Clark County School District early indicator



---

Though the analysis presented in this report is based on the 9th grade on-track indicator created by Allensworth and Easton (2005), it is worth pointing out that Clark County School District (CCSD) has developed its own definition for on-track status at the end of the 9th grade. According to this on-track indicator, a student is only on track at the end of the 9th grade if he or she successfully earns a full year credit in each of the required core subjects and an elective, earns half-credits for courses on computer use and health, and successfully passes end-of-course exams for English Language Arts I, English Language Arts II, Math I, and Math II. This appendix replicated the analysis of true-positives and false-positives using the same 8th grade indicators used in this report, but using them to predict whether or not students fall off track according to the CCSD 9th grade on-track indicator.

The analysis of the CCSD 9th grade on-track indicator (table B1) suggests that its use yields substantially lower on-track rates overall compared to the 9th grade on-track indicator analyzed in the main body of the report. The true-positive rates and false-positive rates associated with individual and composite 8th grade risk indicators were also lower than was the case for the on-track indicator used in the primary analysis. Some similar patterns were present for this indicator. The indicator based on attendance alone was associated with a lower true-positive rate than the indicators associated with academic performance variables. In addition, the true-positive rates associated with composite indicators were mostly higher than the true-positive rates associated with the individual indicators of risk.

**Table B1. Eighth grade indicators and 9th grade on-track, true-positive, and false-positive rates for CCSD specific indicator**

8th grade indicator	9th grade on-track	True-positive rate	False-positive rate
Overall on-track rate	44.9		
Attendance < 90%	22.7	23.3	8.4
Credits <6	23.6	45.1	17.1
One or more Fs	21.1	44.1	14.5
GPA < 2.0	16.0	34.5	8.1
<b>Combined indicators</b>			
1. Attendance, Credits, or Fs	26.6	56.3	25.0
2. Attendance, Credits, or Fs (>=2 indicators)	19.8	42.2	12.8
3. Attendance, Credits, Fs, or Low GPA	26.7	57.8	25.8
4. Attendance, Credits, Fs, or Low GPA (>=2 indicators)	20.2	44.8	13.9
5. Attendance, Credits, Fs, or Low GPA (>=3 indicators)	15.0	32.6	7.1
6. Credits, Fs, or Low GPA	24.9	52.7	21.4
7. Credits, Fs, or Low GPA (>=2 indicators)	19.4	41.8	12.4

**Notes:**

On-track rate = the number of 8th grade students (2014/15) who achieve on-track status in the spring of the 9th grade (2015/16) divided by the total number of 8th grade students (2014/15) in the sample. On-track rates for each indicator are calculated among the students that demonstrate that indicator in the 8th grade.

True-positive rate for each indicator is the number of students that demonstrate that indicator in the spring of the 8th grade (2014/15) and who are off track in the spring of the 9th grade (2015/16), divided by the total number of students that are off track in the spring of the 9th grade (2015/16).

False-positive rate for each indicator is the number of students who demonstrate that indicator in the spring of the 8th grade (2014/15), and who are on track in the spring of the 9th grade (2015/16), divided by the total number of students who are on track in the spring of the 9th grade (2015/16).

# Appendix C. Using logistic regression to predict on-track status

## Early indicators

In addition to simply using dichotomous indicators of risk, it is possible to employ logistic regression to ascertain the extent to which student characteristics (measured as continuous variables) predict on-track status. To determine whether there would be any benefit to employing these somewhat more complex analyses compared to simply using the available dichotomous indicators, WestEd employed logistic regression to predict 9th grade on-track status on the basis of several continuous measures of 8th grade student outcomes, including attendance, credits, GPA, and the number of Fs. The model took the following form:

$$\Pr(\text{On-track}_i = 1) = \text{logit}^{-1}(\beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Attendance}_i + \beta_2 \text{Credits}_i + \beta_3 \text{Fs}_i + \beta_4 \text{GPA1}_i + \beta_5 \text{GPA2}_i + \epsilon_i),$$

where,

- »  $\text{On-track}_i = 1$  if student  $i$  was on track at the end of the 9th grade (in 2015/16); 0 otherwise;
- »  $\text{Attendance}_i$  = a continuous measure of the percentage of school days (range 0 to 100) for which student  $i$  was recorded as being in attendance during the 8th grade (2014/15);
- »  $\text{Credits}_i$  = the number of credits earned by student  $i$  over the course of the 8th grade (in 2014/15);
- »  $\text{Fs}_i$  = the number of semester Fs received by student  $i$  over the course of the 8th grade school year (2015/16);
- »  $\text{GPA1}_i$  = the 8th grade GPA of student  $i$  (2014/15), if the GPA was below 2.0; 0 otherwise; and
- »  $\text{GPA2}_i$  = the 8th grade GPA of student  $i$  (2014/15), if the GPA was 2.0 or above; 0 otherwise.<sup>10</sup>

The parameters estimated from the data presented as odds ratios, which identify how the odds of achieving on-track status in grade 9 change with a one-unit change in the independent variable. An odds ratio of more than 1 indicates that the odds of being on track increase with a one-unit increase in the predictor variable. An odds ratio of less than 1 indicates that the odds of being on track decrease with a one-unit increase in the predictor variable. An odds ratio of 1 indicates that the odds of achieving proficiency remain the same with a one-unit increase in the predictor. For example, an odds ratio estimate of 1.22 associated with 8th grade credits earned means that, for each 1-point increase in the number of 9th grade credits earned, a student is 1.22 times more likely to be on track at the end of the

10 The analysis presented in the main body of the report indicates that having a GPA below 2.0 was associated with elevated on-track rates. Therefore, this analysis separated GPA into two continuous variables, one for GPAs from zero to below 2.0, and another for GPAs 2.0 and above.

9th grade. That is, the odds of being on track in the 9th grade increase by 22 percent with each one-unit increase in 8th grade credits.

**Table C1. Logit results predicting 9th grade on-track status in 2015/16**

Predictor (2014/15)	Odds ratio	Standard error
Attendance	1.02*	0.003
Credits	1.22*	0.047
Fs	1.11*	0.028
GPA1	7.81*	0.715
GPA2	7.16*	0.000

\*Significant at  $p < .01$ .

Source: Authors' analysis of primary data collected for the study. Sample size = 26,522.

The results (shown in table C1) indicate that each of these 8th grade variables is a significant predictor of whether or not a student is off track in the 8th grade. To use this approach to identify whether specific students were at risk of falling off track, the study team used each 8th grade student's specific values for the variables included in this regression to calculate the predicted probability of whether or not that student was on track. WestEd then calculated on-track rates, true-positive rates, and false-positive rates associated with different probability thresholds for assuming a student was off track (see table C2).

The results show that, if the threshold for classifying students at risk were to be set at having a 50 percent or less chance of being off track, the on-track rate among students identified as being at risk of falling off track would be 30 percent. This approach would have a true-positive rate of 54 percent (that is, it would successfully identify 54 of the 8th graders who would eventually fall off track in the 9th grade). It would also generate a 9.3 percent false-positive rate. The rest of the table shows how these numbers change when different threshold probabilities for identifying students as at risk of being off track are selected. For example, if the threshold for identification is moved to include any students who have greater than a 40 percent chance of falling off track, the on-track rate for identified students becomes 36 percent, the percentage of off-track students correctly flagged by this indicator becomes 68 percent, and the false-positive rate becomes 15 percent. When the threshold for identification is reduced to students with a greater than 30 percent predicted probability of falling off track, the actual 9th grade on-track rate among identified students is 42 percent, the percentage of off-track students correctly identified becomes 77 percent, and the false-positive rate is 22 percent.

**Table C2. On-track, true-positive, and false-positive rates based on logistic regression**

Probability threshold	9th grade on-track rate	True-positive rate	False-positive rate
>80% probability off track)	15.8	19.9	1.5
>70% probability off track)	19.0	31.9	3.1
> 60% probability off track)	24.7	42.9	5.7
> 50% probability off track)	29.6	54.3	9.3
> 40% probability off track)	35.8	67.7	15.4
> 30% probability off track)	41.5	77.4	22.4
> 20% probability off track)	48.2	86.7	33.0

Source: Authors' analysis of primary data collected for the study. Sample size = 26,522.

This is somewhat more efficient than the simpler approach based on the dichotomous flags. When using that approach (table 1), the option with the highest correct-identification rate was the indicator based on flags for 8th grade attendance, credits, Fs, and GPA. Identifying 8th grade students based on this flag resulted in on-track rates of 49 percent among identified students, correctly identified 77 percent of students who fell off track by the end of the 9th grade, and resulted in a false-positive rate of 30 percent, 8 percentage points higher than the false-positive rate based on the logit approach. In short, the approach based on the logit appears to produce slightly more efficient results and is less likely to falsely identify students who, in reality, are not going to fall off track. On the other hand, the approach based on the dichotomous flags is easier to implement. States and districts implementing on-track systems will have to decide if the reductions in the false-positive rate are, in fact, desirable and worth the increased complexities associated with the approach based on the logistic regression.

### Academic mindsets logistic regression

The study also employed logistic regression to examine the extent to which students' responses to 8th grade survey questions about academic mindsets and behaviors predicted whether or not students were on track in the 9th grade. The model took the following basic form:

$$\Pr(\text{On-track}_i = 1) = \text{logit}^{-1}(\beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{growth mindset}_i + \beta_2 \text{academic behaviors}_i + \beta_3 \text{performance avoidance}_i + \epsilon_i),$$

where  $\text{growth mindset}_i$ ,  $\text{academic behaviors}_i$ , and  $\text{performance avoidance}_i$  are survey measures for student  $i$  collected in the spring of the 8th grade (2014/15), and are defined as described in table 3. Different versions of the model, with different survey measures included and excluded, were estimated for this analysis (see table C2).

The results show that 8th grade students' reported beliefs regarding growth mindset, as well as their self-reports of academic behavior, were positively related to the probability that they were on track at the end of the 9th grade (table C3). On the other hand, these estimates also



show that student reports of performance avoidance, that is, the extent to which students reported hiding academic effort in order to avoid embarrassment or failure, were not significantly related to 9th grade on-track status, once measures of growth mindset and academic behavior were accounted for in the estimates. Though these estimates do not provide a basis for assessing whether or not these attitudes and behaviors are causally related to 9th grade on-track status, the presence of significant relationships between these survey measures both validates the measures themselves and suggests that additional research is warranted to examine the extent to which these beliefs and behaviors can be targeted and changed and whether such changes result in improved transitions to high school.

**Table C3. Logistic regression: 9th grade on-track status and 8th grade academic mindset and behavior survey measures**

Statistic	Growth mindset	Academic behavior	Growth mindset and academic behavior	Growth mindset, academic behavior, and performance
<b>Odds ratio (standard errors)</b>				
<b>Growth mindset</b>	1.52* (.003)		1.41* (0.03)	1.44* (0.03)
<b>Academic behavior</b>		1.98* (0.06)	1.82* (0.05)	1.83* (0.05)
<b>Performance avoidance</b>				1.04 (0.03)

\*Significant at  $p < 0.01$ .


N= 13,578.

Source: Authors' analysis of primary data collected for the study. Sample size = 13,578.

---

# References

- Allensworth, E. M., & Easton, J. Q. (2005). *The on track indicator as a predictor of high school graduation*. Chicago, IL: Consortium on Chicago School Research.
- Allensworth, E. M., & Easton, J. Q. (2007). *What matters for staying on track and graduating in Chicago public high schools: A close look at course grades, failures, and attendance in the freshman year*. Chicago, IL: Consortium on Chicago School Research.
- Balfanz, R., & Legters, N. (2004). *Locating the dropout crisis: Which high schools produce the nation's dropouts? Where are they located? Who attends them?* Baltimore, MD: Center for Research on the Education of Students Placed At Risk. Retrieved from <http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED484525.pdf>
- Balfanz, R., Herzog, L., & Mac Iver, D. (2007). Preventing student disengagement and keeping students on the graduation path in urban middle-grades schools: Early identification and effective interventions. *Educational Psychologist*, 42(4), 223–235.
- Duckworth, A. (2016, March). Don't grade schools on grit. *New York Times*. Retrieved November 5, 2016, from [http://www.nytimes.com/2016/03/27/opinion/sunday/dont-grade-schools-on-grit.html?\\_r=0](http://www.nytimes.com/2016/03/27/opinion/sunday/dont-grade-schools-on-grit.html?_r=0)
- Dweck, C., Walton, G. M., & Cohen, G. L. (2011). *Academic tenacity: Mindsets and skills that promote long-term learning*. Seattle, WA: Gates Foundation.
- Farrington, C. A., Roderick, M., Allensworth, E., Nagaoka, J., Seneca Keyes, T., Johnson, D. W., et al. (2012). *Teaching adolescents to become learners: The role of noncognitive factors in academic performance. A critical literature review*. Chicago, IL: Consortium on Chicago School Research. <http://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED542543>
- Farrington, C. A., & Levenstein, R. (2013). *Measuring noncognitive factors in student learning: The Becoming Effective Learners survey development project*. Presentation at the Society for Research on Educational Effectiveness (SREE) fall conference, Washington, DC.
- Farrington, C. A., Levenstein, R., & Keyes, T. S. (2014). *Developing and validating measures of noncognitive factors for middle school and high school students: The Becoming Effective Learners student pilot survey*. Paper presented at the American Educational Research Association (AERA) annual meeting, Philadelphia, PA.
- Hartman, J., Wilkins, C., Gregory, L., Gould, L. F., & D'Souza, S. (2011). *Applying an on track indicator for high school graduation: Adapting the Consortium on Chicago School Research indicator for five Texas districts* (REL 2011–100). Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance, Regional Educational Laboratory Southwest. <http://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED514377>
- National Center for Education Statistics. (2016). *Common Core of Data public high school 4-year Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate (ACGR), by race/ethnicity and selected demographics for the United States*. Retrieved October 18, 2016, from [http://nces.ed.gov/programs/coe/indicator\\_coi.asp](http://nces.ed.gov/programs/coe/indicator_coi.asp)

- 
- Rabe-Hesketh, S., & Skrondal, A. (2012). *Multilevel and longitudinal modeling using Stata* (3rd ed.). College Station, TX: Stata Press.
- Snipes, J., & Tran, L. (forthcoming). *Growth mindset, performance avoidance, and academic behaviors in Clark County School District*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance, Regional Educational Laboratory West.
- Snipes, J., Fancsali, C., & Stoker, G. (2012). *Student academic mindset interventions—A review of the current landscape*. San Francisco, CA: Stupski Foundation. Retrieved July 30, 2015, from [https://www.impaqint.com/sites/default/files/project-reports/impaq%20student%20academic%20mindset%20interventions%20report%20august%202012\\_0.pdf](https://www.impaqint.com/sites/default/files/project-reports/impaq%20student%20academic%20mindset%20interventions%20report%20august%202012_0.pdf)
- Stuit, D., O’Cummings, M., Norbury, H., Heppen, J., Dhillon, S., Lindsay, J., & Zhu, B. (2016). *Identifying early-warning indicators in three Ohio school districts*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance, Regional Educational Laboratory Midwest. [https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/edlabs/regions/midwest/pdf/REL\\_2016118.pdf](https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/edlabs/regions/midwest/pdf/REL_2016118.pdf)
- Yeager, D., Walton, G., & Cohen, G. (2013). Addressing achievement gaps with psychological interventions. *Phi Delta Kappan Magazine*, 94(5).