Abstract Title Page

Not included in page count.

Title:

The correlates of academic performance for English learner students in a New England district

Authors and Affiliations:

Caroline Parker, Education Development Center Laura O'Dwyer, Boston College Clare Irwin, Education Development Center

Abstract Body

Background/Context:

English learner students are one of the fastest growing subgroups in America's schools (Bos et al., 2012), and gaps between English learner students and their native English-speaking peers in academic outcomes remain large in most districts and states (Simon et al., 2011). Current research on English learner students highlights multiple factors that may influence their academic outcomes, including English learner program pathways (e.g., two-way bilingual, structured English immersion), English learner student characteristics, the demographic characteristics of the schools that English learner students attend, and English proficiency levels.

In Connecticut, the population of English learner students grew 39.7 percent between 2001 and 2010, and now constitutes 5.3 percent of Connecticut's student population, up from 3.8 percent in 2001/02 (National Center for Education Statistics, n.d.), and the performance gaps identified nationally are also found in Connecticut. For example, while 52.5 percent of native English speakers scored proficient or above on the grade 8 Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT) math assessment in 2010/11, only 26.8 percent of English learner students did (Connecticut State Department of Education, n.d. a). Administrators across the state face the challenge of providing programs and services to meet diverse English learner needs (Wainer, 2004; Zehler et al., 2008).

Purpose/Objective/Research Question/Focus of Study:

Focusing on a large urban district in Connecticut, this study examines three research questions:

- 1. What were the characteristics of English learner students and of the English learner programs and schools they attended in 2010/11?
- 2. Which student characteristics, types of English learner programs, and school characteristics were most closely related to English learner students' English proficiency scores in 2010/11?
- 3. Which student characteristics, including English proficiency levels, and which types of English learner programs were most closely related to English learner students' math and reading performance?

Setting:

A large urban district in Connecticut with a share of just over 10 percent of English learner students in its student population was selected as the site for this study.

Population/Participants/Subjects:

This study examines data for all English learner students in grades K–12 in the study district who took the Language Assessment Systems Links (LAS Links) English language proficiency assessment in spring 2011. The analysis sample for research questions 1 and 2 included all students who took the LAS Links; the analysis sample for research question 3 was limited to the subset of students who also took the state math or reading assessments (the CMT is administered in grades 3–8 and the CAPT in grade 10) in 2010/11.

Intervention/Program/Practice:

Not applicable.

Research Design:

The study used administrative data from the study district to conduct descriptive statistics and regression analyses to address the research questions.

Data Collection and Analysis:

Student data, including English learner program enrollment, were obtained from the district, and school data from the state website.

To address Research Question 1 about the characteristics of English learner students and of the English learner programs and schools they attended in 2010/11, frequencies and percentages were calculated for the following student, program, and school characteristics: *Student characteristics:* special education status, immigrant status, gender, race/ ethnicity, home language, attendance rate, and English learner program. *Types of English learner programs:* transitional bilingual education, dual language bilingual education, English as a second language, or eligible for an English learner program but not served due to parent request. *School characteristics:* school size, percentage of English learner students, percentage of students in special education, percentage of racial minority students, percentage of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch, percentage of English learner students taught by English learner—certified teachers, percentage of students scoring proficient or higher on the state math assessment, and percentage of students scoring proficient or higher on the state reading assessment.

To address Research Questions 2 and 3 on which student, English learner program, and school characteristics were most closely related to English learner students' English proficiency and math and reading performance, regression models examined the association between student outcomes and student characteristics, types of English learner programs, and school characteristics. The student, program, and school characteristics were the same as for research question 1. The outcome was students' overall LAS Links English proficiency scores for research question 2 and math and reading performance on the CMT (grades 3–8) and CAPT (grades 9–12) for research question 3. Analyses were conducted separately for each grade span.

Findings / Results:

Characteristics of English learner students and of the English learner programs and schools they attended

While more than 90 percent of English learner students were Spanish-speaking and Hispanic, the percentage of those born in the United States varied by grade span. The percentage of English learner students born in the United States was higher in early grades than in later. For example, 17.7 percent of English learner students in grades K–1 were born outside the United States, compared with 54.2 percent in grades 6–8.

The percentage of English learner students in special education (15.9 percent) was higher than the district average for all students (12.0 percent). The percentage of English learner students in special education also varied by grade span, with the highest percentage in grades 6–8 (24.1 percent) and 4–5 (23.2 percent) and the lowest in grades K–1 (7.8 percent). Participation in English learner programs varied across grade spans. The district provided a

range of English learner programs, including transitional bilingual education, dual language bilingual, and English as a second language. Participation varied across grade spans in grades K–

¹ English as a second language services are broken into different categories, not included here due to space limitations.

12. About 5 percent of eligible students were not enrolled in any English learner program due to parent request.

Some school characteristics varied by grade span. The greatest variations among school characteristics were in the percentage of English learner students enrolled and the percentage of English learner students taught by English learner—certified teachers (table 4). Students in grades 9–12 attended schools with a lower percentage of English learner students than students in grades K–8. English learner students in grades K–1 attended schools in which 79.3 percent of English learner students were taught by English learner—certified teachers, while those in grades 9–12 attended schools in which 31.4 percent were taught by such certified teachers.

Relationships between student characteristics, types of English learner programs, and school characteristics and English proficiency scores

This section describes the findings from the multilevel and ordinary least squares regression models for research question 2 on the association between individual characteristics and students' English proficiency scores, holding all other characteristics at the weighted grand mean. Table 5 (insert here) presents the regression coefficients for the final models as standardized differences and their associated statistical significance.

Across all grade spans being in special education was associated with significantly lower English proficiency scores than the average for all English learner students. Student special education status was the only variable associated with English proficiency scores across all grade spans.

The differences in English proficiency for students enrolled in each English learner program varied by grade span. Enrollment in transitional bilingual education programs in grades K–8 was associated with lower English proficiency scores than the average for each grade span, as was participation in English as a second language services (for students speaking a language other than Spanish) in grades K–1, 4–5, and 9–12. Enrollment in dual language bilingual education programs in grades K–1 and 6–8 was associated with higher English proficiency scores than the average.

In some grade spans attending a higher performing school was associated with higher English proficiency scores. In grades 4–5 and 6–8 attending a school with a higher overall math proficiency rate was associated with higher English proficiency scores than the average for the grade span. Similarly, in grades K–1 attending a school with a higher overall reading proficiency rate was associated with higher English proficiency scores.

In all grade spans the variables associated with English proficiency scores explained similar percentages of variance in those scores. The variables associated with English proficiency scores explained 20.0–21.2 percent of the variance in those scores in grades K–1, 2–3, and 6–8 and 17.2 percent of the variance in grades 9–12. In grades 4–5 the retained variables explained the largest percentage of the variance in scores, 31.2 percent.

Relationship between student characteristics, types of English learner programs, and English proficiency scores and math and reading performance

This section describes the findings from the ordinary least squares regression models for research question 3 on the association between individual characteristics and student math and reading performance, holding all other characteristics in the model at the weighted grand mean.

The student characteristics associated with math and reading scores varied by grade span and content area. In each grade span different student characteristics were associated with math and

reading scores. Speaking a language other than Spanish was associated with higher math scores than the average for the grade span in grades 4–5 and higher reading scores in grades 3, 4–5, and 9–12 but lower math and reading scores in grades 6–8. Being in special education was associated with math scores lower than the average in grades 3 and 9–12 and reading scores lower than the average in all grade spans except grades 6–8.

In all grade spans students' English proficiency scores were associated with math and reading performance. In all grade spans higher English proficiency scores were associated with higher math and reading scores. Previous studies have shown that scores on large-scale English proficiency assessments are associated with student performance on large-scale content assessments (Parker, Louie, & O'Dwyer, 2009). The results presented here confirm that finding across all grade spans. Students' English proficiency was the only characteristic consistently associated with math and reading scores across all grades and grade spans.

There were no clear patterns in the differences in math and reading scores across types of English learner programs. At each grade span in math, at least one type of English learner program was associated with scores that differed from the average for the grade span. Students participating in a particular type of English learner program had scores above the average in some grade spans and below the average in other grade spans. For example, students receiving English as a second language services (for students speaking a language other than Spanish) in grades 4–5 had lower math and reading scores than the average for the grade span, while those in grades 6–8 had higher math and reading scores than the average for the grade span.

In most grade spans the variables associated with math and reading scores explained similar percentages of variance in math and reading performance. In grades 3, 4–5, and 6–8 the variables associated with math and reading scores explained similar percentages of variance in these scores, although the variance differed across grade spans.

Conclusions:

While there are differences in performance on both the LAS Links and CMT/CAPT assessments by type of English learner program, the differences do not have a clear pattern. Further research should prioritize looking at English learner achievement longitudinally; the results of longitudinal research would provide more information on language acquisition patterns and could help policymakers identify indicators to show when students diverge from those patterns and could benefit from additional or different interventions. The value of the studies depends on the availability of more variables than were available for this study, including a baseline measure of English proficiency, annual scores on English proficiency assessments, shifts in enrollment in English learner programs over time, and other services provided (such as special education services and disability designations, as appropriate).

Finally, more research should also be done to better understand the relationship between English language proficiency and special education status. Previous research has noted that the identification of learning disabilities among English learner students can be challenging and is handled differently from district to district (Sánchez, Parker, Akbayin, & McTigue, 2010), as are policies about services provided to dually identified students.

For additional information about how findings were discussed with the district, http://ies.ed.gov/ncee/edlabs/regions/northeast/pdf/NEIDiscussionTreeELLA.pdf and Blog: http://www.relnei.org/blog relnei/educators-respond-study-els-ne-district.

Appendices

Appendix A. References

- Abedi, J., & Dietel, R. (2004). *Challenges in the No Child Left Behind Act for English language learners*. Los Angeles: National Center for Research on Evaluation, Standards, and Student Testing. http://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ703957
- Arens, S. A., Stoker, G., Barker, J., Shebby, S., Wang, X., Cicchinelli, L. F., et al. (2012). *Effects of curriculum and teacher professional development on the language proficiency of elementary English language learner students in the Central Region* (NCEE 2012–4013). Denver, CO: Mid-continent Research for Education and Learning. http://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED530839
- August, D., Branum-Martin, L., Cardenas-Hagan, E., & Francis, D. J. (2009). The impact of an instructional intervention on the science and language learning of middle grade English language learners. *Journal of Research on Educational Effectiveness*, *2*(4), 345–376. http://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ866981
- Bos, J., Sanchez, R., Tseng, F., Rayyes, N., Ortiz, L., & Sinicrope, C. (2012). *Evaluation of Quality Teaching for English Learners (QTEL) professional development* (NCEE 2012–4005). Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance. http://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED529843
- Calderón, M., Slavin, R., & Sanchez, M. (2011). Effective instruction for English learners. *Future of Children, 21*(1), 103–127. http://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ920369
- Callahan, R., Wilkinson, L., & Muller, C. (2010). Academic achievement and course taking among language minority youth in U.S. schools: Effects of ESL placement. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 32(1), 84–117. http://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ880614
- Capps, R., Fix, M., Murray, J., Ost, J., Passel, J., & Herwantoro, S. (2005). *The new demography of America's schools: Immigration and the No Child Left Behind Act.* Washington, DC: The Urban Institute. http://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED490924
- Cirino, P. T., Pollard-Durodola, S. D., Foorman, B. R., Carlson, C. D., & Francis, D. J. (2007). Teacher characteristics, classroom instruction, and student literacy and language outcomes in bilingual kindergartners. *The Elementary School Journal*, 107, 341–364. http://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ756597
- Connecticut State Department of Education. (2010). *Performance standards necessary to exit programs of English language instruction, August 2010*. Hartford, CT: Author. Retrieved January 9, 2013, http://www.nhps.net/node/236

- Connecticut State Department of Education. (n.d. a). Connecticut Education Data and Research data tables. Retrieved July 17, 2013, from http://sdeportal.ct.gov/Cedar/WEB/ct_report/DTHome.aspx
- Connecticut State Department of Education. (n.d. b). *CSDE curriculum, instruction and assessment publications: Literacy/English language arts.* Hartford, CT: Author. Retrieved March 19, 2014, www.sde.ct.gov/sde/cwp/view.asp?a=2618&q=320866
- Connecticut State Department of Education. (n.d. c). *CSDE curriculum, instruction and assessment publications: Mathematics*. Hartford, CT: Author. Retrieved March 19, 2014, from www.sde.ct.gov/sde/cwp/view.asp?a=2618&q=320872
- Connecticut State Department of Education. (n.d. d). *CSDE curriculum, instruction and assessment publications: Science*. Hartford, CT: Author. Retrieved March 19, 2014, http://www.sde.ct.gov/sde/cwp/view.asp?a=2618&q=320890
- Connecticut State Department of Education. (n.d. e). *ELL program codes*. Retrieved January 9, 2013, http://www.sde.ct.gov/sde/lib/sde/pdf/curriculum/Bilingual/ELL_Program_Codes.pdf
- Connecticut State Department of Education. (n.d. f). *ELL program options available to parents of ELL students in the New Haven Public Schools*. Retrieved January 9, 2013, http://www.nhps.net/node/236
- CTB/McGraw-Hill. (2006). LAS Links TM Technical Manual. Monterey, CA: Author.
- Dixon, L. Q., Zhao, J., Shin, J., Wu, S., Su, J., Burgess-Brigham, R., Gezer, M. U., & Snow, C. (2012). What we know about second language acquisition: A synthesis from four perspectives. *Review of Educational Research*, 82(1), 5–60. http://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ958037
- Edvantia. (2007). Research review: What research says about preparing English language learners for academic success. Retrieved October 20, 2010, from http://www.centerforpubliceducation.org/Main-Menu/Instruction/What-research-says-about-English-language-learners-at-a-glance/Preparing-English-language-learners-for-academic-success.html
- Edvantia. (2009). Effective practices for teaching English language learners: A resource document for North Carolina's ELL work group. Charleston, WV: Author.
- Flores, S. M., Batalova, J., & Fix, M. (2012). *The educational trajectories of English language learners in Texas*. Washington, DC: Migration Policy Institute. http://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED530263
- Francis, D. J., & Vaughn, S. (2009). Effective practices for English language learners in the middle grades: Introduction to the special issue. *Journal of Research on Educational Effectiveness*, 2(4), 289–296. doi: 10.1080/19345740903217664.
- Francis, D. J., Rivera, M., Lesaux, N., Kieffer, M., & Rivera, H. (2006). *Practical guidelines for the education of English language learners: Research-based recommendations for*

- *instruction and academic interventions*. Portsmouth, NH: RMC Research Corporation, Center on Instruction.
- Gándara, P., Rumberger, R., Maxwell-Jolly, J., & Callahan, R. (2003). English learners in California schools: Unequal resources, unequal outcomes. *Education Policy Analysis Archives*, 11(36). http://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ680106
- Glick, J. E., & Hohmann-Marriott, B. (2007). Academic performance of young children in immigrant families: The significance of race, ethnicity, and national origins. *International Migration Review*, 41(2), 371–402. doi: 10.1111/j.1747-7379.2007.00072.x.
- Goldenberg, C. (2008). Teaching English language learners: What the research does—and does not—say. *American Educator*, 32(2), 8–44.
- Goldenberg, C., & Coleman, R. (2010). *Promoting academic achievement among English learners: A guide to the research.* Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press. http://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED512199
- Gottfried, M. A. (2010). Evaluating the relationship between student attendance and achievement in urban elementary and middle schools. *American Educational Research Journal*, 47(2), 434–465. http://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ887169
- Hakuta, K., Butler, Y. G., & Witt, D. (2000). *How long does it take English learners to attain proficiency?* (University of California Linguistic Minority Research Institute Policy Report 2000-01). Santa Barbara, CA: University of California-Santa Barbara. http://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED443275
- Han, W. (2012). Bilingualism and academic achievement. *Child Development*, 83(1), 300–321. http://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ954369
- Hendrawan, I. & Wibowo, A. (2012a). *The Connecticut Mastery Test: Technical Report*. Hartford, CT: Connecticut State Department of Education.
- Hendrawan, I., & Wibowo, A. (2012b). *The Connecticut Academic Performance Test: Technical Report.* Hartford, CT: Connecticut State Department of Education.
- Hernandez, D. J., & Charney, E. (Eds.). (1998). From generation to generation: The health and well-being of children in immigrant families. Washington, DC: National Academy Press. http://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED424015
- Jepsen, C., & de Alth, S. (2005). *English learners in California schools*. San Francisco, CA: Public Policy Institute of California. http://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED484831
- Kamps, D., Abbott, M., Greenwood, C., Arreaga-Mayer, C., Wills, H., Longstaff, J., et al. (2007). Use of evidence-based, small-group reading instruction for English language learners in elementary grades: Secondary-tier intervention. *Learning Disability Quarterly*, *30*(3), 153–168. http://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ786240

- Klingner, J. K., Artiles, A. J., & Barletta, L. M. (2006). English language learners who struggle with reading: Language acquisition or LD? *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, *39*(2), 108–128. http://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ757924
- Krashen, S., & Brown, C. L. (2005). The ameliorating effects of high socioeconomic status: A secondary analysis. *Bilingual Research Journal*, *29*(1), 185–196. http://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ724704
- Leventhal, T., Xue, Y., & Brooks-Gunn, J. (2006). Immigrant differences in school-age children's verbal trajectories: A look at four racial/ethnic groups. *Child Development*, 77(5), 1359–1374. http://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ743943
- Lindholm-Leary, K., & Hernandez, A. (2011). Achievement and language proficiency of Latino students in dual language programmes: Native English speakers, fluent English/previous ELLs, and current ELLs. *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development, 32*(6), 531–545. http://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ949445
- López, M. G., & Tashakkori, A. (2006). Differential outcomes of two bilingual education programs on English language learners. *Bilingual Research Journal*, *30*(1), 123–145. http://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ742599
- Mulligan, G., Halle, T., & Kinukawa, A. (2012). Reading, math, and science achievement of language-minority students in grade 8 (NCES 2012–028). Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education, Institute for Education Science, National Center for Education Statistics. http://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED531335
- National Center for Education Statistics. (n.d.). Common Core of Data database. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences. Retrieved January 9, 2013, from http://nces.ed.gov/ccd/bat/
- Northwest Regional Education Laboratory. (2004, March). *English language learner (ELL)* programs at the secondary level in relation to student performance (REL-NW Report). Portland, OR: Author. http://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED484554
- Parker, C. E., Louie, J., & O'Dwyer, L. M. (2009). New measures of English language proficiency and their relationship to performance on large-scale content assessments (Issues & Answers Report, REL 2009–066). Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance, Regional Educational Laboratory Northeast & Islands. http://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED504060
- Parker, C. E., O'Dwyer, L., & Schamberg, M. (2011). *Characteristics and English proficiency levels of English language learners in Rhode Island*. Newton, MA: Regional Educational Laboratory Northeast & Islands.
- Raudenbush, S. W., & Bryk, A. (2002). *Hierarchical linear models: Applications and data analysis methods*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publications.

- Raudenbush, S. W., Spybrook, J., Congdon, R., Liu, X., Martinez, A., Bloom, H., et al. (2011). Optimal Design Software for Multi-level and Longitudinal Research (Version 3.01) [Computer software]. New York: William T. Grant Foundation.
- Reardon, S. F., Khanna, R., Donovan, S., Marice, I., & Valentino, R. (2012, April). Malleable factors that influence outcomes of English language learners. In research to improve education for English language learners through university-district collaboration. In C. A. Tyson (Chair), *Non satis scire: To know is not enough*. Symposium conducted at the meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Vancouver, Canada.
- Regional Education Laboratory Northeast & Islands. (2012). *ELLA Research Agenda 2012–2016*. Waltham, MA: Author. Retrieved January 15, 2013, from http://www.relnei.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/01/ELLA-Research-Agenda.pdf
- Rivera, M., Francis, D. J., Fernandez, M., Moughamian, A. C., Lesaux, N., & Jergensen, J. (2010). *Effective practices for English language learners: Principals from five states speak.* Portsmouth, NH: RMC Research Corporation, Center on Instruction. http://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED517795
- Rolstad, K., Mahoney, K., & Glass, G. V. (2005). The big picture: A meta-analysis of program effectiveness research on English language learners. *Educational Policy*, *19*(4), 572–594. doi: 10.1177/0895904805278067. http://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ694531
- Salend, S. J. (2008). *Creating inclusive classrooms: Effective and reflective practices*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson/Merrill Prentice Hall.
- Samson, J. F., & Collins, B. A. (2012). Preparing all teachers to meet the needs of English language learners: Applying research to policy and practice for teacher effectiveness. Washington, DC: Center for American Progress. http://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED535608
- Sánchez, M. T., Ehrlich, S., Midouhas, E., & O'Dwyer, L. (2009). *Analyzing performance by grade 10 Hispanic high school students on the Massachusetts state assessment* (Issues & Answers Report, REL 2009–071). Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance, Regional Educational Laboratory Northeast & Islands. http://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED505420
- Sánchez, M. T., Parker, C. E., Akbayin, B., & McTigue, A. (2010). *Processes and challenges in identifying learning disabilities among students who are English language learners in three New York State districts* (Issues & Answers Report, REL 2010–085). Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance, Regional Educational Laboratory Northeast & Islands. http://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED508343
- Short, D., & Boyson, B. A. (2012). *Helping newcomer students succeed in secondary schools and beyond*. Washington, DC: Center for Applied Linguistics.

- Short, D., & Fitzsimmons, S. (2007). Double the work: Challenges and solutions to acquiring language and academic literacy for adolescent English language learners—A report to Carnegie Corporation of New York. Washington, DC: Alliance for Excellent Education.
- Simon, C., Lewis, S., Uro, G., Uzzell, R., Palacios, M., & Casserly, M. (2011). *Today's promise, tomorrow's future: The social and educational factors contributing to the outcomes of Hispanics in urban schools*. Washington, DC: The Council of the Great City Schools. http://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED526965
- Slavin, R. E., Madden, N., Calderón, M., Chamberlain, A., & Hennessy, M. (2011). Reading and language outcomes of a multiyear randomized evaluation of transitional bilingual education. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, *33*(1), 47–58. http://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ918010
- Snow, C. E., Lawrence, J. F., & White, C. (2009). Generating knowledge of academic language among urban middle school students. *Journal of Research on Educational Effectiveness*, 2(4), 325–344.
- Umansky, I. M. (2012, April). Languages, labels, and opportunity: Latino English learners and reclassification in a larger, urban school district. In how, when and why are English language learners reclassified as fluent English proficient? New methods for establishing and examining English language learner assessment, accountability, and equity policies. In C. A. Tyson (Chair), *Non satis scire: To know is not enough*. Symposium conducted at the meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Vancouver, Canada.
- U.S. Department of Education. (2008). *What Works Clearinghouse* TM: *Procedures and Standards Handbook Version 2*. Washington, DC: Author. http://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED503772
- Vaughn, S., Martinez, L. R., Linan-Thompson, S., Reutebuch, C. K., Carlson, C. D., & Francis, D. J. (2009). Enhancing social studies vocabulary and comprehension for seventh-grade English language learners: Findings from two experimental studies. *Journal of Research on Educational Effectiveness*, 2(4), 297–324. http://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ866979
- Wainer, A. (2004). The new Latino South and the challenge to public education: strategies for educators and policymakers in emerging immigrant communities. Los Angeles: The Tomás Rivera Policy Institute. http://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED502060
- Williams, T., Hakuta, K., Haertel, E., Perry, M., Oregon, I., Brazil, N., et al. (2007). Similar English learner students, different results: Why do some schools do better? A follow-up analysis based upon a large-scale survey of California elementary schools serving high proportions of low-income and EL students. Report of Findings. Mountain View, CA: EdSource. http://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED496646

Appendix B. Tables and Figures

Table 5. Overall LAS Links English proficiency score regressed on student characteristics, types of English language learner programs, and school characteristics, by grade span (coefficients in standard deviation units)

	Grades	Grades	Grades	Grades	Grades
Characteristic	K-1	2–3	4–5	6–8	9–12
Student characteristics (compared to gra					
Home language not Spanish	1.04**			0.40.64	
Immigrant status (born outside United States)				0.10**	
In special education	-0.42**	_	_	-0.57	-0.75**
		0.94***	0.56**		
			*		
Gender (female)			0.12*		
Race/ethnicity not Hispanic				-0.54	
Attendance rate	0.17***				
Types of English language learner progr	ams (compar	ed to grand	mean)		
Grades K–8					
Transitional bilingual education	-0.28***	_	_	_	
		0.34***	0.63**	0.95***	
Dual language bilingual education	0.36	-0.06	-0.06	1.82	
Language transition support services	na	0.30***	0.20**	-0.06	
English as a second language services	-0.59*	-0.20	-0.28	-0.24	
(for students speaking a language other					
than Spanish)	0.72444	0.14	0.20	0.02	
Eligible but not served due to parent request	0.73***	0.14	0.38	-0.02	
Grades 9–12					
Transitional bilingual education					-0.06
High school English as a second language					-0.17
Language transition support services					0.27
English as a second language (for					-0.49*
students speaking a foreign language					
other than Spanish)					
Eligible but not served due to parent					0.59
request					
School characteristics					
School size					

Characteristic	Grades K–1	Grades 2–3	Grades 4–5	Grades 6–8	Grades 9–12
students					
Percentage of students in special					
education					
Percentage of racial/ethnic minority					
students					
Percent of students eligible for free or					
reduced-price lunch					
Percentage of English language learner					
students taught by English language					
learner-certified teachers					
School math proficiency rate (10 percent	-0.33		0.02**	0.24**	
increment)					
School reading proficiency rate (10	0.39*				
percent increment)					

^{*} *p* < .05, ** *p* < .01, *** *p* < .001.

LAS Links is Language Assessment Systems Links assessment.

na is not applicable because the type of program is not offered at that grade level.

Source: Authors' calculations based on data from the Connecticut State Department of Education.