

Coaching Studies on the SAT® Conducted by External Researchers

Viji Sathy
September 2005

- Alderman, D. L., & Powers, D. E. (1980). The effects of special preparation on SAT-verbal scores. *American Educational Research Journal*, 17, 239–51.
- Anastasi, A. (1981). Coaching, test sophistication, and developed abilities. *American Psychologist*, 36, 10, 1086–1093.
- Becker, B. (1990). Coaching for the SAT: Further synthesis and appraisal. *Review of Educational Research*, 60, 373–417.
- Briggs, D. C. (2004). Evaluating SAT coaching: Gains, effects, and self-selection. In R. Zwick (Ed.), *Rethinking the SAT: The future of standardized testing in university admissions*. New York: RoutledgeFalmer.
- DerSimonian, R., & Laird, N. M. (1983). Evaluating the effect of coaching on SAT scores: A meta-analysis. *Harvard Educational Review*, 53, 1–15.
- Frankel, E. (1960). Effects of growth, practice, and coaching on Scholastic Aptitude Test scores. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 38, 713–719.
- French, J. W. (1955). The coachability of the SAT in public schools (ETS RB 55-26). Princeton, NJ: Educational Testing Service.
- French, J. W. & Dear, R. E. (1959). Effect of coaching on an aptitude test. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 19, 319–330.
- Jackson, R. (1980). The Scholastic Aptitude Test: A response to Slack and Porter's "Critical Appraisal." *Harvard Educational Review*, 1980, 50, 338–391.
- Kulik, J. A., Bangert-Drowns, R. L., & Kulik, C. C. (1984). Effectiveness of coaching for aptitude tests. *Psychological Bulletin*, 95, 179–188.
- Messick, S. (1980). The effectiveness of coaching for the SAT: Review and reanalysis of research from the fifties to the FTC (ETS RR 80-8). Princeton, NJ: Educational Testing Service.
- Messick, S. (1981). Issues of effectiveness and equity in the coaching controversy: Implications for educational and testing practice (ETS RR 81-19). Princeton, NJ: Educational Testing Service.
- Messick, S., & Jungeblut, A. (1981). Time and method in coaching for the SAT. *Psychological Bulletin*, 89, 191–216.
- Pike, L. W. (1978). Short-term instruction, testwiseness, and the Scholastic Aptitude Test: A literature review with research recommendations (CB RDR 77-78, 2 and ETS RB 78-2). Princeton, NJ: Educational Testing Service.
- Pike, L. W., & Evans, F. R. (1972). The effects of special instruction for three kinds of mathematical aptitude items (CB RDR 71-72, 7, and ETS RB 72-19). Princeton, NJ: Educational Testing Service.
- Powers, D. E. (1982). Estimating the effects of various methods of preparing for the SAT (College Board Report No. 82-2). New York: College Board.
- Powers, D. E. (1986). Relationships of test item characteristics to test preparation/test practice effects: A quantitative summary (ETS RR 86-3). Princeton, NJ: Educational Testing Service.
- Powers, D. E. (1986). Relations of test item characteristics to test preparation/test practice effects: A quantitative summary. *Psychological Bulletin*, 100, 1, 67–77.
- Powers, D. E. (1988). Preparing for the SAT: A survey of programs and resources (College Board Report No. 88-7 and ETS RR-88-40). New York: College Board.
- Powers, D. E. (1993). Coaching for the SAT: A summary of the summaries and an update. *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice*, 12, 24–39.
- Powers, D. E. (1998). Preparing for the SAT I: Reasoning Test—An update (College Board Report No. 98-5). New York: College Board.
- Powers, D. E., & Alderman, D. L. (1983). Effects of test familiarization on SAT performance. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 20, 71–79.
- Powers, D. E., & Camara, W. J. (1999). Coaching and the SAT I (College Board Research Note 06). New York: College Board.
- Powers, D. E., & Rock, D. A. (1998). Effects of coaching on SAT I: Reasoning scores (College Board Report No. 98-6). New York: College Board.
- Powers, D. E., & Rock, D. A. (1999). Effects of coaching on SAT I: Reasoning scores. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 36, 2, 93–118.
- Slack, W. V., & Porter, D. (1980). The Scholastic Aptitude Test: A critical appraisal. *Harvard Educational Review*, 50(3), 394–401.
- Smyth, F. L. (1989). Commercial coaching and SAT scores: The effects on college preparatory students in private schools. *Journal of College Admission*, 123, 2–9.
- Smyth, F. L. (1990). SAT coaching: What *really* happens to scores and how we are led to expect more. *Journal of College Admission*, 129, 7–17.
- Whitla, D. K. (1962). Effect of tutoring on Scholastic Aptitude Test scores. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 41, 32–37.
- Zuman, J. P. (1988). The effectiveness of special preparation for the SAT: An evaluation of a commercial coaching school. *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 48, 1749A–1750A. (University Microfilms No. DA 8722714).