

# Early Childhood Program Participation, From the National Household Education Surveys Program of 2012

First Look



# Early Childhood Program Participation, From the National Household Education Surveys Program of 2012

**First Look** 

**AUGUST 2013** 

Saida Mamedova Jeremy Redford American Institutes for Research

Andrew Zukerberg

Project Officer

National Center for Education Statistics



#### U.S. Department of Education

Arne Duncan *Secretary* 

#### **Institute of Education Sciences**

John Q. Easton *Director* 

#### **National Center for Education Statistics**

Jack Buckley Commissioner

The National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) is the primary federal entity for collecting, analyzing, and reporting data related to education in the United States and other nations. It fulfills a congressional mandate to collect, collate, analyze, and report full and complete statistics on the condition of education in the United States; conduct and publish reports and specialized analyses of the meaning and significance of such statistics; assist state and local education agencies in improving their statistical systems; and review and report on education activities in foreign countries.

NCES activities are designed to address high-priority education data needs; provide consistent, reliable, complete, and accurate indicators of education status and trends; and report timely, useful, and high-quality data to the U.S. Department of Education, the Congress, the states, other education policymakers, practitioners, data users, and the general public. Unless specifically noted all information contained herein is in the public domain.

We strive to make our products available in a variety of formats and in language that is appropriate to a variety of audiences. You, as our customer, are the best judge of our success in communicating information effectively. If you have any comments or suggestions about this or any other NCES product or report, we would like to hear from you. Please direct your comments to

NCES, IES, U.S. Department of Education 1990 K Street NW Washington, DC 20006-5651

#### August 2013

The NCES Home Page address is <a href="http://nces.ed.gov">http://nces.ed.gov/pubsearch</a>. The NCES Publications and Products address is <a href="http://nces.ed.gov/pubsearch">http://nces.ed.gov/pubsearch</a>.

This report was prepared for the National Center for Education Statistics under Contract No. ED-IES-12-D-0002 with the American Institutes for Research. Mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

#### **Suggested Citation**

Mamedova, S. and Redford, J. (2013). *Early Childhood Program Participation, From the National Household Education Surveys Program of 2012* (NCES 2013-029), National Center for Education Statistics, Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education. Washington, DC. Retrieved [date] from http://nces.ed.gov/pubsearch.

#### **Content Contact**

Sarah Hastedt Carroll (202) 502-7363 Sarah.Carroll@ed.gov

# Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the thousands of parents who completed the NHES questionnaires upon which this report is based.

This page is intentionally left blank.

# Contents

Acknowledgments	. 11
List of Tables	
ntroduction	
Selected Findings	
References	
Appendix A: Technical Notes	21
Appendix B: Glossary of Terms	
Appendix C: Standard Error Tables	

This page is intentionally left blank.

# **List of Tables**

Tabl	e Page
1	Percentage of children from birth through age 5 and not yet in kindergarten participating in various weekly nonparental care arrangements, by child and family characteristics: 2012
2	Percentage of children from birth through age 5 and not yet in kindergarten receiving weekly care from a relative, by type of relative and child and family characteristics:  2012
3	Mean length of time (in months) that children from birth through age 5 and not yet in kindergarten have been in current primary care arrangements with relative, nonrelative, or center-based provider, by child and family characteristics: 20129
4	Mean per child hourly out-of-pocket expense paid for families with any out-of-pocket expense for child care for children from birth through age 5 and not yet in kindergarten for weekly nonparental primary care arrangements, by child and family characteristics:  2012
5	Percentage of children from birth through age 5 and not yet in kindergarten enrolled in a weekly primary center-based program at a specified location, by child and family characteristics: 2012
6	Percentage of children from birth through age 5 and not yet in kindergarten whose parents had tried to find care and who rated various factors used to select weekly care arrangement for children as "very important," by child and family characteristics:  2012
7	Percentage of children from age 3 through age 5 and not yet in kindergarten whose parents reported participating in home activities with child in the past week, by type of involvement and child and family characteristics: 2012
Exhi	bit
B-1	Poverty definition for Early Childhood Program Participation (ECPP) analyses, by household size: 2012
Appe	endix Table
C-1	Standard errors for table 1: Percentage of children from birth through age 5 and not yet in kindergarten participating in various weekly nonparental care arrangements, by child and family characteristics: 2012
C-2	Standard errors for table 2: Percentage of children from birth through age 5 and not yet in kindergarten receiving weekly care from a relative, by type of relative and child and family characteristics: 2012
C-3	Standard errors for table 3: Mean length of time (in months) that children from birth through age 5 and not yet in kindergarten have been in current primary care

	arrangements with relative, nonrelative, or center-based provider, by child and family characteristics: 2012	.40
C-4	Standard errors for table 4: Mean per child hourly out-of-pocket expense paid for families with any out-of-pocket expense for child care for children from birth through age 5 and not yet in kindergarten for weekly nonparental primary care arrangements, by child and family characteristics: 2012.	42
C-5	Standard errors for table 5: Percentage of children from birth through age 5 and not yet in kindergarten enrolled in a weekly primary center-based program at a specified location, by child and family characteristics: 2012	.44
C-6	Standard errors for table 6: Percentage of children from birth through age 5 and not yet in kindergarten whose parents had tried to find care and who rated various factors used to select weekly care arrangement for children as "very important," by child and family characteristics: 2012.	.46
C-7	Standard errors for table 7: Percentage of children from age 3 through age 5 and not yet in kindergarten whose parents reported participating in home activities with child in the past week, by type of involvement and child and family characteristics: 2012	.48

## Introduction

This report presents data on the early care and education arrangements and early learning of children in the United States from birth through the age of 5 who were not yet enrolled in kindergarten in the spring of 2012. The report also presents data on parents' satisfaction with various aspects of these care arrangements and on their participation in various learning activities with their children. For each category of information included in the report, the results are broken down by child, parent, and family characteristics.

The data in this report are from the 2012 National Household Education Surveys Program (NHES:2012) Early Childhood Program Participation (ECPP) Survey. The ECPP survey is used to collect information on children from birth through age 6 who are not yet enrolled in kindergarten. However, 6-year-old preschoolers are atypical and too few in number to support separate estimates, and therefore they have been excluded from this report. The ECPP asks detailed questions about children's participation in relative care, nonrelative care, and center-based care arrangements. It also asks about the main reason for choosing care; what factors were important to parents when choosing a care arrangement; what activities the family does with the child, such as reading, singing, and arts and crafts; and what the child is learning, such as counting, recognizing the letters of the alphabet, and reading.

As noted above, the ECPP asks detailed questions about children's participation in relative care, nonrelative care, and center-based care arrangements. However, children can have more than one care arrangement within a particular type of care (e.g., two relative care arrangements). Parents were instructed on the questionnaire to answer the detailed questions about the person or center that provided the most care. The tables in this report refer to these arrangements as "primary" arrangements. Children can have multiple primary care arrangements across arrangement types (e.g., primary relative care and primary center care).

The NHES:2012 also fielded the Parent and Family Involvement in Education (PFI) Survey, which is used to collect information about students who are enrolled in kindergarten through 12th grade or who are being homeschooled for the equivalent grades. The PFI survey was last conducted in 2007, while the ECPP survey was last conducted in 2005. Data in this report cover only the ECPP survey.

The NHES:2012 was conducted from January through August of 2012 using an address-based sample covering the 50 states and the District of Columbia. Earlier administrations of the NHES used a random-digit-dial (RDD) sample of landlines and computer-assisted telephone interviewing (CATI) to conduct interviews. However, due to declining response rates for all telephone surveys, and the increase in households that only or mostly use a cellphone instead of a landline, the data collection method was changed to a mail survey. Due to this mode change, readers should use caution when comparing estimates to prior NHES administrations. The U.S. Census Bureau was the data collection agency for the NHES:2012.

The ECPP questionnaires were completed by a parent or guardian who knew about the sampled child. When weighted, the ECPP data in this report are nationally representative of children between birth and the age of 5 not yet enrolled in kindergarten. The total number of ECPP cases

in the NHES:2012 data used in this report is 7,892, representing 21.7 million children between birth and the age of 5.

The NHES screener questionnaire, which is designed to enumerate all of the children in the sampled household before the main topical survey is sent to the household, had a weighted response rate of 73.8 percent in 2012. The ECPP survey had a weighted unit response rate of 78.7 percent and an overall response rate of 58.1 percent. An analysis of bias in the NHES:2012 data, described further in appendix A, detected a small amount of measurable bias in race/ethnicity and income. The level of potential bias detected is considered to be low. Additional details about the survey methodology, response rates, and data reliability are provided in appendix A.

Results presented in the tables within this report are weighted. All statements of comparison made in this report have been tested for statistical significance using two-tailed t-tests and are significant at the 95 percent confidence level. No adjustments were made for multiple comparisons. Some estimates that appear different may not be measurably different in a statistical sense due to sampling error. Readers are directed to the Statistical Tests section of appendix A for information about how to make comparisons between estimates in the tables.

The purpose of this First Look report is to introduce new NHES survey data through the presentation of selected descriptive information. However, readers are cautioned not to draw causal inferences based on the results presented. Many of the variables examined in this report may be related to one another, but the complex interactions and relationships among them have not been explored. The variables examined here are also just a few of the variables that can be examined in these data; they were selected to demonstrate the range of information available from the study. The release of this report is intended to encourage more in-depth analysis of the data using more sophisticated statistical methods.

## **Selected Findings**

- Approximately 60 percent of children 5 and younger not enrolled in kindergarten were in at least one weekly nonparental care arrangement (table 1), as reported by their parents. Among children in a weekly nonparental care arrangement, 56 percent were attending a day care center, preschool, or prekindergarten (center-based care); 42 percent were cared for by a relative (relative care); and 24 percent were cared for in a private home by someone not related to them (nonrelative care) (table 1).
- Among children with relative care, the primary caregiver for 78 percent of children was grandparents in the primary relative care arrangement, compared to 11 percent who were cared for by aunts and uncles and 10 percent whose care was provided by other relatives (table 2).
- Among children who were one to two years old, the mean length of time that children had been in their primary care arrangement was longer for children in their primary relative care arrangement (18 months) compared to their primary nonrelative care (15 months) or center-based care arrangement (13 months) (table 3).
- Among families with any out-of-pocket costs for care using the primary care arrangement in each category reported, the per child out-of-pocket costs for center-based care were higher for children in families with incomes at or above the poverty threshold (\$7 per hour) compared to children in families with incomes below the poverty threshold (\$3.50 per hour) (table 4).
- The most common location for children's primary center-based care arrangement, as reported in the survey, was a building of its own (46 percent). Other reported locations were a church, synagogue, or other place of worship (20 percent); a public school (20 percent); and various other types of locations (14 percent) (table 5).
- Among children in a weekly nonparental care arrangement who had a parent that reported trying to find care, 81 percent of children had parents who reported that the learning activities of the child care arrangement were very important to them when they chose the arrangement where their child spends the most time. This percentage varied by parental education level, as a higher percentage of children whose parents had less than a high school credential (93 percent) or a high school diploma or equivalent (91 percent) had parents who reported that the learning activities at the care arrangement were very important in their choice compared to children whose parents had a vocational/technical degree or some college education (81 percent), children whose parents had a bachelor's degree (79 percent), and children whose parents had a graduate or professional degree (72 percent) (table 6).
- Approximately 95 percent of children ages three to five who were not yet in kindergarten had parents who read to them in the past week; 83 percent had parents who told them a story; 98 percent had parents who taught them letters, words, or numbers; 94 percent had

parents who sang songs with them; and 86 percent had parents who worked on arts and crafts with them (table 7).

Table 1. Percentage of children from birth through age 5 and not yet in kindergarten participating in various weekly nonparental care arrangements, by child and family characteristics: 2012

			nparental car	e arrangement		No wooldw
	Number of children	At least one weekly non-parental care	Type <sup>1</sup>			No weekly nonparental care
Characteristic	(thousands)	arrangement	Relative	Nonrelative	Center <sup>2</sup>	arrangement
Total	21,674	60	42	24	56	40
Child's age						
Less than one year.	4,750	46	60	30	23	54
1–2 years	8,692	54	49	31	40	46
3–5 years	8,232	76	31	16	80	24
Child's sex						
Male	11,348	61	43	24	55	39
Female	10,326	60	41	24	57	40
Child's race/ethnicity						
White, non-Hispanic	10,895	62	37	28	57	38
Black, non-Hispanic	2,890	69	46	16	61	31
Hispanic	5,468	55	52	21	49	45
Asian or Pacific Islander, non-Hispanic	1,111	54	37	15	65	46
Other race, non-Hispanic <sup>3</sup>	1,310	58	41	26	54	42
Family type						
Two parents	16,101	58	36	25	57	42
One parent	4,951	66	54	21	53	34
Nonparent guardian(s)	622	74	77	14	54	26
Parents' language spoken most at home <sup>4</sup>						
Both/only parent(s) speak(s) English	18,440	63	41	24	57	37
One of two parents speaks English	790	47	53	21	53	53
No parent speaks English	2,444	48	44	22	51	52
Parents' highest level of education						
Less than high school.	2,740	45	57	21	43	55
High school/GED	4,322	53	53	17	50	47
Vocational/technical or some college	5,963	60	46	23	53	40
Bachelor's degree	5,197	67	35	24	63	33
Graduate or professional degree	3,452	73	29	31	64	27
Parents' labor force status <sup>5</sup>						
Two-parent family						
Both full time	5,331	89	40	31	51	11
One full time, one part time	2,363	78	38	27	55	22
One full time, one not in labor force	6,410	34	29	14	70	66
Other	2,883	43	45	16	57	57
Single-parent family	•					
Full time	1,965	83	50	23	57	17
Part time	804	77	58	23	48	23
Not in the labor force	1,219	45	65	19	50	55
Looking for work	697	53	53	13 !	62	47
Parents' school enrollment status						
Both/only enrolled	1,354	74	57	21	53	26
Both/only not enrolled	17,608	59	41	24	56	41
One enrolled, one not enrolled	2,711	62	41	22	57	38

Table 1. Percentage of children from birth through age 5 and not yet in kindergarten participating in various weekly nonparental care arrangements, by child and family characteristics: 2012—Continued

		No				
		At least one		Type <sup>1</sup>	<u>.</u>	No weekly
	Number of	weekly non-	-			nonparental
	children	parental care				care
Characteristic	(thousands)	arrangement	Relative	Nonrelative	Center <sup>2</sup>	arrangement
Region						
Northeast	3,484	67	40	25	57	33
South	7,769	61	40	19	63	39
Midwest	4,837	62	40	29	54	38
West	5,584	55	49	25	48	45
Household income						
\$20,000 or less	3,955	48	53	19	51	52
\$20,001-\$50,000	6,246	54	49	18	53	46
\$50,001–\$75,000	3,881	61	42	21	56	39
\$75,001–\$100,000	2,745	71	38	28	56	29
\$100,001 or more	4,847	73	31	31	62	27
Poverty status <sup>6</sup>						
At or above poverty threshold	16,456	65	39	25	58	35
Below poverty threshold	5,218	47	53	17	50	53

<sup>!</sup>Interpret data with caution. The coefficient of variation (CV) for this estimate is 30 percent or greater.

<sup>4</sup>Complete descriptions of the categories for parents' language are as follows: (1) Both parents or the only parent learned English first or currently speak(s) English in the home, (2) One of two parents in a two-parent household learned English first or currently speaks English in the home, and (3) No parent learned English first and both parents or the only parent currently speak(s) a non-English language in the home.

<sup>6</sup>Children are considered poor if living in households with incomes below the poverty threshold, which is a dollar amount determined by the federal government to meet the household's needs, given its size and composition. Income is collected in categories in the survey, rather than as an exact amount, and therefore the poverty measures used in this report are approximations of poverty. Detailed information on the poverty status calculation used in this report is available in appendix B.

NOTE: Estimates include children from birth through age 5 and not yet in kindergarten. Children may have multiple arrangements. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Early Childhood Program Participation Survey of the 2012 National Household Education Surveys Program (ECPP-NHES:2012).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Among children with at least one weekly nonparental care arrangement.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Center-based arrangements include day care centers, Head Start programs, preschools, prekindergartens, and other early childhood programs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>"Other race, non-Hispanic" includes children who are multiracial and not of Hispanic ethnicity; who are American Indian or Alaska Native; and who are not Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, or Pacific Islander. These groups are not shown separately because the sample sizes do not support stable estimates. Those reported as Asian and Pacific Islander who are not Hispanic are included in the "Asian or Pacific Islander, non-Hispanic" group.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Full-time employment is defined as working 35 hours or more per week. Part-time employment is defined as working less than 35 hours per week.

Table 2. Percentage of children from birth through age 5 and not yet in kindergarten receiving weekly care from a relative, by type of relative and child and family characteristics: 2012

	Number of	Relative who provides primary care			
Characteristic	children (thousands)	Grandparent <sup>1</sup>	Aunt or uncle	All other relatives	
Total	5,509	78	11	10	
Child's age					
Less than one year	1,306	75	15	10	
1–2 years	2,275	81	11	8	
3–5 years	1,928	77	10	13	
Child's sex					
Male	2,974	79	10	11	
Female.	2,535	78	13	10	
Child's race/ethnicity					
White, non-Hispanic	2,498	83	10	7	
Black, non-Hispanic	924	74	13	13	
Hispanic	1,550	72	14	14	
Asian or Pacific Islander, non-Hispanic	223	78	5!	17	
Other race, non-Hispanic <sup>2</sup>	315	84	6!	10 !	
Family type					
Two parents	3,395	78	11	11	
One parent	1,758	77	13	10	
Nonparent guardian(s)	357	82	11	‡	
Parents' language spoken most at home <sup>3</sup>					
Both/only parent(s) speak(s) English	4,789	80	11	9	
One of two parents speaks English	198	79	8!	13 !	
No parent speaks English	523	60	17	23	
Parents' highest level of education					
Less than high school.	697	59	20	21	
High school/GED.	1,215	82	10	8	
Vocational/technical or some college	1,641	78	10	12	
Bachelor's degree	1,229	82	10	8	
Graduate or professional degree	728	84	9	7	
Parents' labor force status <sup>4</sup>					
Two-parent family					
Both full time	1,912	78	11	11	
One full time, one part time	707	83	13	5	
One full time, one not in labor force	614	86	5	9	
Other	556	75	11 !	15	
Single-parent family					
Full time	812	71	17	12	
Part time	356	76	10 !	14 !	
Not in the labor force	357	83	10 !	7 !	
Looking for work	196	82	14 !	‡	
Parents' school enrollment status					
Both/only enrolled	576	83	13	4!	
Both/only not enrolled	4,245	77	11	12	
One enrolled, one not enrolled	688	82	9	9!	

Table 2. Percentage of children from birth through age 5 and not yet in kindergarten receiving weekly care from a relative, by type of relative and child and family characteristics: 2012—Continued

	Number of	Relative who provides primary care			
	children			All other	
Characteristic	(thousands)	Grandparent <sup>1</sup>	Aunt or uncle	relatives	
Region					
Northeast	929	79	10	11	
South	1,879	80	12	8	
Midwest	1,187	77	13	10	
West	1,513	77	10	13	
Household income					
\$20,000 or less	1,012	71	19	10	
\$20,001–\$50,000	1,648	74	12	14	
\$50,001–\$75,000	995	83	7	10	
\$75,001-\$100,000	751	87	7	6	
\$100,001 or more	1,103	82	10	8	
Poverty status <sup>5</sup>					
At or above poverty threshold	4,204	81	10	9	
Below poverty threshold	1,305	70	16	14	

<sup>!</sup>Interpret data with caution. The coefficient of variation (CV) for this estimate is 30 percent or greater.

<sup>5</sup>Children are considered poor if living in households with incomes below the poverty threshold, which is a dollar amount determined by the federal government to meet the household's needs, given its size and composition. Income is collected in categories in the survey, rather than as an exact amount, and therefore the poverty measures used in this report are approximations of poverty. Detailed information on the poverty status calculation used in this report is available in appendix B.

NOTE: Estimates include children from birth through 5 years of age, and not yet in kindergarten, with at least one weekly relative care arrangement. Primary care arrangement within a particular type of care is defined as where the child spent the most time as determined by the parent on the questionnaire.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Early Childhood Program Participation Survey of the 2012 National Household Education Surveys Program (ECPP-NHES:2012).

<sup>‡</sup> Reporting standards not met.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>"Grandparent" includes grandmothers and grandfathers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>"Other race, non-Hispanic" includes children who are multiracial and not of Hispanic ethnicity; who are American Indian or Alaska Native; and who are not Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, or Pacific Islander. These groups are not shown separately because the sample sizes do not support stable estimates. Those reported as Asian and Pacific Islander who are not Hispanic are included in the "Asian or Pacific Islander, non-Hispanic" group.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Complete descriptions of the categories for parents' language are as follows: (1) Both parents or the only parent learned English first or currently speak(s) English in the home, (2) One of two parents in a two-parent household learned English first or currently speaks English in the home, and (3) No parent learned English first and both parents or the only parent currently speak(s) a non-English language in the home.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Full-time employment is defined as working 35 hours or more per week. Part-time employment is defined as working less than 35 hours per week.

Table 3. Mean length of time (in months) that children from birth through age 5 and not yet in kindergarten have been in current primary care arrangements with relative, nonrelative, or center-based provider, by child and family characteristics: 2012

	Number of	Mean number of months spent in different types of primary			
	children				
Characteristic	(thousands)	Primary relative	Primary nonrelative	Primary center <sup>2</sup>	
Total	13,103	19	16	14	
Child's age					
Less than one year	2,191	5	5	5	
1–2 years	4,668	18	15	13	
3–5 years	6,244	31	25	15	
Child's sex					
Male	6,920	19	16	14	
Female	6,183	20	16	14	
Child's race/ethnicity					
White, non-Hispanic	6,733	20	17	14	
Black, non-Hispanic	1,999	20	16	15	
Hispanic	3,006	18	16	12	
Asian or Pacific Islander, non-Hispanic	603	23	15	14	
Other race, non-Hispanic <sup>3</sup>	763	21	14	14	
Family type					
Two parents	9,368	20	16	14	
One parent	3,272	19	15	14	
Nonparent guardian(s)	463	23	21	14	
Parents' language spoken most at home <sup>4</sup>					
Both/only parent(s) speak(s) English	11,549	19	16	14	
One of two parents speaks English	371	17	17	12	
No parent speaks English	1,182	21	14	13	
Parents' highest level of education					
Less than high school	1,232	18	14	13	
High school/GED	2,310	19	17	13	
Vocational/technical or some college	3,558	20	15	14	
Bachelor's degree	3,476	20	17	14	
Graduate or professional degree	2,526	20	17	15	
Parents' labor force status <sup>5</sup>					
Two-parent family					
Both full time	4,720	21	18	15	
One full time, one part time	1,843	19	14	14	
One full time, one not in labor force	2,151	18	13	12	
Other	1,230	18	14	13	
Single-parent family					
Full time	1,626	20	17	14	
Part time	616	19	20	15	
Not in the labor force	546	15	14	14	
Looking for work	371	24	8!	12	
Parents' school enrollment status					
Both/only enrolled	1,004	19	17	13	
Both/only not enrolled	10,412	20	17	14	
One enrolled, one not enrolled	1,687	18	14	14	

Table 3. Mean length of time (in months) that children from birth through age 5 and not yet in kindergarten have been in current primary care arrangements with relative, nonrelative, or center-based provider, by child and family characteristics: 2012—Continued

	Number of	Mean number of months spent in different types of primary nonparental care arrangements <sup>1</sup>			
	children				
Characteristic	(thousands)	Primary relative	Primary nonrelative	Primary center <sup>2</sup>	
Region					
Northeast	2,321	21	15	14	
South	4,720	19	15	14	
Midwest	2,974	20	18	14	
West	3,088	18	16	13	
Household income					
\$20,000 or less	1,897	19	13	13	
\$20,001-\$50,000	3,349	18	16	13	
\$50,001–\$75,000	2,381	20	15	14	
\$75,001–\$100,000	1,959	21	18	15	
\$100,001 or more	3,518	21	17	15	
Poverty status <sup>6</sup>					
At or above poverty threshold	10,661	20	17	14	
Below poverty threshold	2,442	18	13	13	

Interpret data with caution. The coefficient of variation (CV) for this estimate is 30 percent or greater.

<sup>6</sup>Children are considered poor if living in households with incomes below the poverty threshold, which is a dollar amount determined by the federal government to meet the household's needs, given its size and composition. Income is collected in categories in the survey, rather than as an exact amount, and therefore the poverty measures used in this report are approximations of poverty. Detailed information on the poverty status calculation used in this report is available in appendix B.

NOTE: Estimates include children from birth through 5 years of age, and not yet in kindergarten with at least one weekly nonparental care arrangement. Primary care arrangement within a particular type of care is defined as where the child spent the most time as determined by the parent on the questionnaire.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Early Childhood Program Participation Survey of the 2012 National Household Education Surveys Program (ECPP-NHES:2012).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>If children were not in a given care arrangement, they were not included in the denominator for mean length of time in that particular care arrangement (e.g., children not in relative care were not included in the denominator for estimates for relative care).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Center-based arrangements include day care centers, Head Start programs, preschools, prekindergartens, and other early childhood programs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>"Other race, non-Hispanic" includes children who are multiracial and not of Hispanic ethnicity; who are American Indian or Alaska Native; and who are not Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, or Pacific Islander. These groups are not shown separately because the sample sizes do not support stable estimates. Those reported as Asian and Pacific Islander who are not Hispanic are included in the "Asian or Pacific Islander, non-Hispanic" group.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Complete descriptions of the categories for parents' language are as follows: (1) Both parents or the only parent learned English first or currently speak(s) English in the home, (2) One of two parents in a two-parent household learned English first or currently speaks English in the home, and (3) No parent learned English first and both parents or the only parent currently speak(s) a non-English language in the home.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Full-time employment is defined as working 35 hours or more per week. Part-time employment is defined as working less than 35 hours per week.

Table 4. Mean per child hourly out-of-pocket expense paid for families with any out-of-pocket expense for child care for children from birth through age 5 and not yet in kindergarten for weekly nonparental primary care arrangements, by child and family characteristics: 2012

	Number of children	Hourly out-of-pocket expense by type of weekly nonparental primary care arrangement			
Characteristic	(thousands)	Primary relative Primary nonrelative		Primary center <sup>1</sup>	
Total	8,775	\$4.18	\$5.27	\$6.72	
Child's age					
Less than one year	1,279	5.14	6.83	8.07	
1–2 years	3,283	3.80	4.80	6.10	
3–5 years	4,212	4.05	4.93	6.85	
Child's sex					
Male	4,557	3.68	4.97	6.55	
Female	4,218	4.78	5.60	6.89	
Child's race/ethnicity					
White, non-Hispanic	4,865	4.71	5.02	6.47	
Black, non-Hispanic	1,178	4.39	6.63	5.28	
Hispanic	1,821	3.54	4.98	6.33	
Asian or Pacific Islander, non-Hispanic	399	7.81 !	6.80	8.72	
Other race, non-Hispanic <sup>2</sup>	511	2.43	6.27	11.64	
Family type					
Two parents	6,485	4.36	5.24	7.08	
One parent	2,061	3.67	5.10	5.49	
Nonparent guardian(s)	229	‡	9.03 !	3.96	
Parents' language spoken most at home <sup>3</sup>					
Both/only parent(s) speak(s) English	7,881	3.94	5.23	6.60	
One of two parents speaks English	191	9.47 !	5.74	7.44	
No parent speaks English	703	4.16	5.47	8.40	
Parents' highest level of education					
Less than high school	547	5.56	6.07	‡	
High school/GED	1,165	3.61	4.13	7.57	
Vocational/technical or some college	2,329	3.12	3.98	5.76	
Bachelor's degree	2,619	4.81	5.28	6.58	
Graduate or professional degree	2,114	5.46	6.85	7.52	
Parents' labor force status <sup>4</sup>					
Two-parent family					
Both full time	3,573	4.36	5.01	6.68	
One full time, one part time	1,290	2.78	5.23	6.53	
One full time, one not in labor force	1,317	6.21 !	5.47	8.14	
Other	600	4.44	6.28	6.88	
Single-parent family					
Full time.	1,180	4.09	5.61	6.15	
Part time	374	3.57	4.56	3.87	
Not in the labor force.	241	‡	8.45	4.95	
Looking for work	200	1.51	3.20 !	2.83	
Parents' school enrollment status					
Both/only enrolled	607	4.27	6.47	6.02	
Both/only not enrolled	7,024	4.25	5.20	6.88	
One enrolled, one not enrolled	1,144	3.60	5.16	5.98	

Table 4. Mean per child hourly out-of-pocket expense paid for families with any out-of-pocket expense for child care for children from birth through age 5 and not yet in kindergarten for weekly nonparental primary care arrangements, by child and family characteristics: 2012—Continued

	Number of children	Hourly out-of-pocket expense by type of weekly nonparental primary care arrangement			
Characteristic	(thousands)	Primary relative	Primary nonrelative	Primary center <sup>1</sup>	
Region					
Northeast	1,605	4.70	7.39	7.78	
South	3,040	3.80	5.16	5.76	
Midwest	2,050	3.65	4.15	5.35	
West	2,080	4.61	5.11	9.09	
Household income					
\$20,000 or less	969	4.13	5.16	3.78	
\$20,001–\$50,000	1,917	3.81	4.23	5.45	
\$50,001–\$75,000	1,527	4.18	3.83	6.92	
\$75,001-\$100,000	1,470	4.39	5.08	6.15	
\$100,001 or more	2,892	4.88	6.56	7.93	
Poverty status <sup>5</sup>					
At or above poverty threshold	7,587	4.23	5.30	6.98	
Below poverty threshold	1,188	4.06	4.98	3.49	

<sup>!</sup>Interpret data with caution. The coefficient of variation (CV) for this estimate is 30 percent or greater.

NOTE: Estimates include children from birth through 5 years of age, and not yet in kindergarten, who have at least one weekly nonparental care arrangement with out-of-pocket expense. Children for whom no fee was charged, for whom another source paid the entire fee, or for whom the period of time covered by the amount indicated (e.g., per hour, per week) could not be determined are excluded from the estimates. Primary care arrangement within a particular type of care is defined as where the child spent the most time as determined by the parent on the questionnaire.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Early Childhood Program Participation Survey of the 2012 National Household Education Surveys Program (ECPP-NHES:2012).

<sup>‡</sup> Reporting standards not met.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Center-based arrangements include day care centers, Head Start programs, preschools, prekindergartens, and other early childhood programs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>"Other race, non-Hispanic" includes children who are multiracial and not of Hispanic ethnicity; who are American Indian or Alaska Native; and who are not Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, or Pacific Islander. These groups are not shown separately because the sample sizes do not support stable estimates. Those reported as Asian and Pacific Islander who are not Hispanic are included in the "Asian or Pacific Islander, non-Hispanic" group.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Complete descriptions of the categories for parents' language are as follows: (1) Both parents or the only parent learned English first or currently speak(s) English in the home, (2) One of two parents in a two-parent household learned English first or currently speaks English in the home, and (3) No parent learned English first and both parents or the only parent currently speak(s) a non-English language in the home.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Full-time employment is defined as working 35 hours or more per week. Part-time employment is defined as working less than 35 hours per week.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Children are considered poor if living in households with incomes below the poverty threshold, which is a dollar amount determined by the federal government to meet the household's needs, given its size and composition. Income is collected in categories in the survey, rather than as an exact amount, and therefore the poverty measures used in this report are approximations of poverty. Detailed information on the poverty status calculation used in this report is available in appendix B.

Table 5. Percentage of children from birth through age 5 and not yet in kindergarten enrolled in a weekly primary center-based program at a specified location, by child and family characteristics: 2012

	Location of primary center-based care arrangement								
		Church,							
	NI1	synagogue,							
	Number of children	or other	Dublic cobool	Its own	All other				
Characteristic	(thousands)	place of worship	Public school (K–12)	building	locations				
Total	7,365	20	20	46	14				
Child's age	,								
Less than one year	509	10	‡	78	9				
1–2 years	1,883	21	* 6	62	11				
3–5 years	4,973	20	27	37	16				
Child's sex	,								
Male	3,816	18	21	48	14				
Female.	3,549	21	19	45	15				
	3,347	21	1)	43	13				
Child's race/ethnicity									
White, non-Hispanic	3,851	27	15	45	14				
Black, non-Hispanic	1,230	11	24	53	12				
Hispanic	1,475	10	31	44	16				
Asian or Pacific Islander, non-Hispanic	395	24	16	40	20				
Other race, non-Hispanic <sup>1</sup>	415	15	18	54	13				
Family type									
Two parents	5,367	23	19	43	15				
One parent	1,749	11	20	56	13				
Nonparent guardian(s)	249	8 !	! 36	43	13 !				
Parents' language spoken most at home <sup>2</sup>									
Both/only parent(s) speak(s) English	6,569	21	18	47	14				
One of two parents speaks English	197	9 !		33	‡				
No parent speaks English	599	7	31	43	19				
Parents' highest level of education									
Less than high school	527	10 !	! 46	32	12				
High school/GED	1,166	6	40	41	12				
Vocational/technical or some college	1,878	17	19	53	11				
Bachelor's degree	2,175	27	12	46	15				
Graduate or professional degree	1,619	27	8	46	19				
Parents' labor force status <sup>3</sup>									
Two-parent family									
Both full time	2,406	19	11	55	15				
One full time, one part time	1,016	30	19	37	15				
One full time, one not in labor force	1,511	28	26	29	17				
Other	702	12	34	43	11				
Single-parent family									
Full time	931	12	14	60	14				
Part time.	297	17	18	55	10 !				
Not in the labor force	271	7 !		48	14 11 !				
Looking for work	231	‡	44	40	11 !				
Parents' school enrollment status			•						
Both/only enrolled	531	11	26	50	12				
Both/only not enrolled.	5,874	21	20	45	15				
One enrolled, one not enrolled	960	19	18	50	13				

Table 5. Percentage of children from birth through age 5 and not yet in kindergarten enrolled in a weekly primary center-based program at a specified location, by child and family characteristics: 2012—Continued

content waster program as a specific	Location of primary center-based care arrangement							
		Church,						
		synagogue,						
	Number of	or other						
	children	place of	Public school	Its own	All other			
Characteristic	(thousands)	worship	(K-12)	building	locations			
Region								
Northeast	1,320	18	13	50	19			
South	2,966	24	20	46	10			
Midwest	1,592	20	25	41	14			
West	1,487	14	20	48	18			
Household income								
\$20,000 or less	959	10	35	44	11			
\$20,001–\$50,000	1,778	14	31	43	12			
\$50,001–\$75,000	1,327	22	15	49	14			
\$75,001-\$100,000	1,103	25	14	48	13			
\$100,001 or more	2,197	25	10	47	18			
Poverty status <sup>4</sup>								
At or above poverty threshold	6,140	22	17	47	15			
Below poverty threshold	1,225	9	37	43	12			

<sup>!</sup>Interpret data with caution. The coefficient of variation (CV) for this estimate is 30 percent or greater.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Early Childhood Program Participation Survey of the 2012 National Household Education Surveys Program (ECPP-NHES:2012).

<sup>‡</sup> Reporting standards not met.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>"Other race, non-Hispanic" includes children who are multiracial and not of Hispanic ethnicity; who are American Indian or Alaska Native; and who are not Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, or Pacific Islander. These groups are not shown separately because the sample sizes do not support stable estimates. Those reported as Asian and Pacific Islander who are not Hispanic are included in the "Asian or Pacific Islander, non-Hispanic" group.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Complete descriptions of the categories for parents' language are as follows: (1) Both parents or the only parent learned English first or currently speak(s) English in the home, (2) One of two parents in a two-parent household learned English first or currently speaks English in the home, and (3) No parent learned English first and both parents or the only parent currently speak(s) a non-English language in the home.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Full-time employment is defined as working 35 hours or more per week. Part-time employment is defined as working less than 35 hours per week.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Children are considered poor if living in households with incomes below the poverty threshold, which is a dollar amount determined by the federal government to meet the household's needs, given its size and composition. Income is collected in categories in the survey, rather than as an exact amount, and therefore the poverty measures used in this report are approximations of poverty. Detailed information on the poverty status calculation used in this report is available in appendix B. NOTE: Estimates include all children from birth through 5 years of age, and not yet in kindergarten, with at least one weekly center-based care arrangement. Center-based arrangements include day care centers, Head Start programs, preschools, prekindergartens, and other early childhood programs. Primary care arrangement within a particular type of care is defined as where the child spent the most time as determined by the parent on the questionnaire.

Table 6. Percentage of children from birth through age 5 and not yet in kindergarten whose parents had tried to find care and who rated various factors used to select weekly care arrangement for children as "very important," by child and family characteristics: 2012

		Factor rated as "very important" when selecting care arrangement								
		<u> </u>				Time	Avail-			
	Number of					with	ability of	Number of		
	children	T4:	C4	D -1:-1:1:4	Learning	other	care	children in		
Characteristic	(thousands)	Location	Cost	Reliability	activities	children	provider	care group		
Total	9,722	59	49	88	81	71	71	46		
Arrangement type										
Relative only	1,172	66	75	91	82	65	85	46		
Nonrelative only	1,389	64	53	92	60	45	77	41		
Center only	4,831	57	43	85	86	78	66	48		
Multiple arrangements	2,330	57	47	89	81	73	69	45		
Child's age										
Less than one year	1,104	62	51	88	68	47	79	49		
1–2 years	3,231	59	50	90	76	65	76	46		
3–5 years	5,386	59	48	86	86	79	66	46		
Child's say										
Child's sex	£ 110	50	£ 1	07	00	70	71	AE		
MaleFemale	5,118 4,603	59 60	51 47	87 89	80 81	70 71	70	45 48		
remaie	4,003	00	47	89	01	/1	70	40		
Child's race/ethnicity										
White, non-Hispanic	5,242	51	40	87	75	65	63	39		
Black, non-Hispanic	1,555	74	65	89	93	81	87	60		
Hispanic	1,934	70	61	90	86	77	78	57		
Asian or Pacific Islander, non-Hispanic	430	62	43	78	81	72	65	39		
Other race, non-Hispanic <sup>1</sup>	560	60	57	90	79	71	75	42		
Family type										
Two parents	7,130	56	45	88	78	68	67	44		
One parent	2,282	67	61	87	87	76	81	51		
Nonparent guardian(s)	309	67	53	90	89	87	78	67		
Parents' language spoken most at home <sup>2</sup>										
Both/only parent(s) speak(s) English	8,779	58	48	88	80	70	70	45		
One of two parents speaks English	235	72	68	87	88	67	86	59		
No parent speaks English	707	72	59	84	88	81	75	56		
Parents' highest level of education  Less than a high school	690	66	53	78	93	79	74	56		
High school/GED	1,431	71	64	87	91	85	82	58		
Vocational/technical or some college	2,669	60	59	89	81	72	75	48		
Bachelor's degree	2,803	55	44	89	79	66	65	42		
Graduate or professional degree	2,803	54	33	89	79	62	64	39		
	2,127	34	33	0)	12	02	04	37		
Parents' labor force status <sup>3</sup>										
Two-parent family										
Both full time	3,650	57	45	91	75	64	75	43		
One full time, one part time	1,346	55	45	90	78	65	62	40		
One full time, one not in labor force	1,620	53	43	85	82	76	50	47		
Other	881	68	59	81	90	80	78	53		
Single-parent family	1 217	(5	C A	00	0.4	71	02	40		
Full time.	1,216	65	64 56	89	84	71	82	49		
Part time.	415	66	56	87	90	82	87	49		
Not in the labor force	328	66	56	77	86	86	77	57		
Looking for work	265	76	53	92	96	83	72	59		

Table 6. Percentage of children from birth through age 5 and not yet in kindergarten whose parents had tried to find care and who rated various factors used to select weekly care arrangement for children as "very important," by child and family characteristics: 2012—Continued

		F	actor rated	l as "very impo	ortant" when s	selecting care	arrangement	
Characteristic	Number of children (thousands)	Location	Cost	Reliability	Learning activities	Time with other children	Avail- ability of care provider	Number of children in care group
Parents' school enrollment status								
Both/only enrolled	716	58	59	86	89	76	77	56
Both/only not enrolled	7,758	59	48	88	80	70	70	46
One enrolled, one not enrolled	1,247	61	51	89	78	69	69	43
Region								
Northeast	1,752	62	48	90	84	75	75	43
South	3,586	63	51	88	85	74	74	52
Midwest	2,229	53	44	86	74	63	66	40
West	2,154	59	54	88	77	70	67	46
Household income								
\$20,000 or less	1,313	71	59	85	93	82	85	53
\$20,001-\$50,000	2,170	63	66	87	86	73	73	51
\$50,001–\$75,000	1,785	55	56	88	79	72	68	44
\$75,001-\$100,000	1,560	56	46	90	77	67	71	44
\$100,001 or more	2,894	55	30	89	74	65	64	42
Poverty status <sup>4</sup>								
At or above poverty threshold	8,118	57	47	89	78	69	68	45
Below poverty threshold	1,603	72	62	85	92	80	82	54

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>"Other race, non-Hispanic" includes children who are multiracial and not of Hispanic ethnicity; who are American Indian or Alaska Native; and who are not Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, or Pacific Islander. These groups are not shown separately because the sample sizes do not support stable estimates. Those reported as Asian and Pacific Islander who are not Hispanic are included in the "Asian or Pacific Islander, non-Hispanic" group.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Early Childhood Program Participation Survey of the 2012 National Household Education Surveys Program (ECPP-NHES:2012).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Complete descriptions of the categories for parents' language are as follows: (1) Both parents or the only parent learned English first or currently speak(s) English in the home, (2) One of two parents in a two-parent household learned English first or currently speaks English in the home, and (3) No parent learned English first and both parents or the only parent currently speak(s) a non-English language in the home.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Full-time employment is defined as working 35 hours or more per week. Part-time employment is defined as working less than 35 hours per week.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Children are considered poor if living in households with incomes below the poverty threshold, which is a dollar amount determined by the federal government to meet the household's needs, given its size and composition. Income is collected in categories in the survey, rather than as an exact amount, and therefore the poverty measures used in this report are approximations of poverty. Detailed information on the poverty status calculation used in this report is available in appendix B.

Table 7. Percentage of children from age 3 through age 5 and not yet in kindergarten whose parents reported participating in home activities with child in the past week, by type of involvement and child and family characteristics: 2012

		Home activities with child in past week						
	Number of		Т	aught letters,		Worked on		
	children	Read to	Told a	words, or		arts and		
Characteristic	(thousands)	child	story	numbers	Sang songs	crafts		
Total	8,232	95	83	98	94	86		
Arrangement type								
Relative	1,928	93	81	98	93	83		
Nonrelative	1,016	96	85	97	94	86		
Center	4,973	96	85	98	95	87		
No nonparental arrangement	1,988	92	81	97	94	84		
Child's sex								
Male	4,266	94	82	97	93	84		
Female	3,966	95	85	98	96	88		
Child's race/ethnicity								
White, non-Hispanic	4,059	98	87	98	95	90		
Black, non-Hispanic	1,162	92	80	99	95	83		
Hispanic	2,090	90	78	97	92	80		
Asian or Pacific Islander, non-Hispanic	419	91	85	98	94	86		
Other race, non-Hispanic <sup>1</sup>	502	96	84	99	94	88		
Family type								
Two parents	5,952	95	85	98	95	87		
One parent	2,007	93	80	97	92	84		
Nonparent guardian(s)	273	89	70	98	87	82		
Parents' language spoken most at home <sup>2</sup>								
Both/only parent(s) speak(s) English	7,009	96	84	98	95	88		
One of two parents speaks English	257	95	85	96	96	80		
No parent speaks English	966	85	75	97	90	76		
Parents' highest level of education								
Less than high school	1,092	89	70	98	91	78		
High school/GED	1,627	90	82	97	91	82		
Vocational/technical or some college	2,300	95	82	97	95	85		
Bachelor's degree	2,003	99	89	99	97	92		
Graduate or professional degree	1,209	98	88	98	96	90		
Parents' labor force status <sup>3</sup>								
Two-parent family								
Both full time	1,999	97	85	98	96	86		
One full time, one part time	968	96	88	99	94	88		
One full time, one not in labor force		95	85	97	95	87		
Other	1,022	92	80	98	93	86		
Single-parent family	,							
Full time	967	91	78	97	91	82		
Part time	341	98	81	98	92	85		
Not in the labor force	383	90	80	95	92	86		
Looking for work	258	93	75	97	96	88		
Parents' school enrollment status								
Both/only enrolled	493	96	84	98	97	88		
Both/only not enrolled	6,757	94	83	98	94	86		
One enrolled, one not enrolled	982	96	86	98	95	84		

Table 7. Percentage of children from age 3 through age 5 and not yet in kindergarten whose parents reported participating in home activities with child in the past week, by type of involvement and child and family characteristics:

2012—Continued

2012—Continueu									
		Home activities with child in past week							
	Number of		Worked on						
	children	Read to	Told a	words, or		arts and			
Characteristic	(thousands)	child	story	numbers	Sang songs	crafts			
Region									
Northeast	1,303	96	86	98	94	89			
South	3,004	94	82	98	93	84			
Midwest	1,918	94	83	97	97	86			
West	2,006	95	84	98	94	86			
Household income									
\$20,000 or less	1,477	92	83	97	93	84			
\$20,001-\$50,000	2,361	91	82	98	93	83			
\$50,001-\$75,000	1,515	96	82	98	95	85			
\$75,001-\$100,000	1,086	97	89	98	98	91			
\$100,001 or more	1,792	98	83	98	95	89			
Poverty status <sup>4</sup>									
At or above poverty threshold	6,278	96	84	98	95	87			
Below poverty threshold	1,954	90	82	96	92	83			

The Control of Hispanic are non-Hispanic includes children who are multiracial and not of Hispanic ethnicity; who are American Indian or Alaska Native; and who are not Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, or Pacific Islander. These groups are not shown separately because the sample sizes do not support stable estimates. Those reported as Asian and Pacific Islander who are not Hispanic are included in the "Asian or Pacific Islander, non-Hispanic" group.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Early Childhood Program Participation Survey of the 2012 National Household Education Surveys Program (ECPP-NHES:2012).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Complete descriptions of the categories for parents' language are as follows: (1) Both parents or the only parent learned English first or currently speak(s) English in the home, (2) One of two parents in a two-parent household learned English first or currently speaks English in the home, and (3) No parent learned English first and both parents or the only parent currently speak(s) a non-English language in the home.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Full-time employment is defined as working 35 hours or more per week. Part-time employment is defined as working less than 35 hours per week.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Children are considered poor if living in households with incomes below the poverty threshold, which is a dollar amount determined by the federal government to meet the household's needs, given its size and composition. Income is collected in categories in the survey, rather than as an exact amount, and therefore the poverty measures used in this report are approximations of poverty. Detailed information on the poverty status calculation used in this report is available in appendix B.

# References

McPhee, C., Bielick, S., Masterton, M., Flores, L., Parmer, R., Amchin, S., Stern, S., and McGowan, H. (forthcoming). *National Household Education Surveys Program of 2012: Data File User's Manual*, Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education. Washington, DC.

This page is intentionally left blank.

## **Appendix A: Technical Notes**

The National Household Education Surveys Program (NHES) is a set of surveys sponsored by the U.S. Department of Education's National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) that provides descriptive data on the educational activities of the U.S. population. This First Look report presents new survey data released from the Early Childhood Program Participation (ECPP) Survey of the 2012 NHES. Earlier administrations of the NHES—in 1991, 1995, 1999, 2001, and 2005—also focused on early childhood program participation.

The ECPP data collection was conducted by the U.S. Census Bureau, from January through August of 2012. This section provides a brief description of the study methodology. For more extensive information on the study methodology and data collection procedures, readers are advised to consult the *NHES*:2012 Data File User's Manual<sup>1</sup> (McPhee et al. forthcoming).

The NHES:2012 sample was selected using a two-stage address-based sampling frame. The first sampling stage selected residential addresses, and the second sampling stage selected an eligible child from information provided on the household mail screener. To increase the number of Blacks and Hispanics in the sample, Black and Hispanic households were sampled at a higher rate than other households by identifying census tracts with higher percentages of these residents. After the sample was selected, the data were collected using printed questionnaires that were mailed to the sampled respondents.

The NHES:2012 included three topical surveys: the ECPP survey and the Parent and Family Involvement in Education survey for enrolled students (PFI-Enrolled) and homeschooled students (PFI-Homeschooled). In order to limit respondent burden, a within-household sampling scheme was developed to control the number of persons sampled for topical questionnaires in each household. Eligible children were selected to receive either the ECPP survey or the PFI-Enrolled or PFI-Homeschooled survey; no household received more than one survey.

Because ECPP-eligible children comprise a smaller portion of the population than PFI-eligible children, differential sampling in households with children in both domains was applied to ensure a sufficient sample size for the ECPP survey. The differential probabilities of selection (for households overall and within households) are accounted for in the NHES weighting methodology. The ECPP sample is nationally representative of all noninstitutionalized children in the 50 states and the District of Columbia between birth and the age of 6 not yet in kindergarten.

The respondent to the ECPP questionnaire was a parent or guardian in the household who knew about the sampled child. The respondent was asked detailed questions about the sampled child's current nonparental care arrangements, finding and choosing care for the child, family activities, and things the child may be learning. The respondent was also asked basic demographic questions about the child, as well as questions about the child's health and disability status, parent/guardian characteristics, and household characteristics. Multiple follow-up attempts were made to obtain completed questionnaires from respondents who did not respond to the first questionnaire that was mailed to them. The survey questionnaires were printed in both English

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> McPhee et al. (forthcoming). *National Household Education Surveys Program of 2012: Data File User's Manual,* Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education. Washington, DC.

and Spanish. The total number of ECPP cases used in this report was 7,892, representing a population of 21.7 million children when weighted to reflect national totals.

## **Data Reliability**

Estimates produced using data from the NHES are subject to two types of errors: sampling errors and nonsampling errors. Nonsampling errors are errors made in the collection and processing of data. Sampling errors occur because the data are collected from a sample, rather than a census, of the population.

### **Nonsampling Errors**

Nonsampling error is the term used to describe variations in the estimates that may be caused by population coverage limitations and data collection, processing, and reporting procedures. The sources of nonsampling errors are typically problems like unit and item nonresponse, the differences in respondents' interpretations of the meaning of survey questions, response differences related to the particular month or time of the year when the survey was conducted, the tendency for respondents to give socially desirable responses, and mistakes in data preparation.

In general, it is difficult to identify and estimate either the amount of nonsampling error or the bias caused by this error. For each NHES survey, efforts are made to prevent such errors from occurring and to compensate for them, where possible. For instance, during the survey design phase, cognitive interviews are conducted to assess respondents' knowledge of the survey topics, their comprehension of questions and terms, and the sensitivity of items.

## Sampling Errors

The sample of households based on addresses selected for the NHES:2012 is just one of many possible samples that could have been selected from all households based on addresses. Therefore, estimates produced from this survey may differ from estimates that would have been produced from other samples. This type of variability is called sampling error because it arises from using a sample of households rather than all households.

The standard error is a measure of the variability due to sampling when estimating a statistic; standard errors for estimates presented in this report were computed using a jackknife replication method. Standard errors can be used as a measure of the precision expected from a particular sample. The probability that a complete census count would differ from the sample estimate by less than 1 standard error is about 68 percent. The chance that the difference would be less than 1.65 standard errors is about 90 percent and that the difference would be less than 1.96 standard errors is about 95 percent.

Standard errors for all of the estimates are presented in appendix C and can be used to produce confidence intervals. For example, an estimated 75 percent of children less than one year old received relative care from a grandparent (table 2). Since this figure has an estimated standard error of 2.8, the estimated 95 percent confidence interval is approximately 70 to 80 percent [75 percent  $\pm$  (1.96\*2.8)]. If repeated samples were drawn from the same population and confidence intervals were constructed for the percentage of children less than one year old who

received relative care from a grandparent, these intervals would contain the true population parameter 95 percent of the time.

### Weighting

In order to produce unbiased and consistent estimates of national totals, all of the responses in this report were weighted using the probabilities of selection of the respondents and other adjustments to account for nonresponse and coverage bias. The weight used in the report is FEWT, which is the weight variable available in the ECPP data file that is used to estimate the characteristics of the children not yet enrolled in kindergarten. In addition to weighting the responses, special procedures for estimating the standard errors of the estimates were employed because the NHES:2012 data were collected using a complex sample design. Complex sample designs result in data that violate some of the assumptions that are normally made when assessing the statistical significance of results from a simple random sample. For example, the standard errors of the estimates from these surveys may vary from those that would be expected if the sample were a simple random sample and the observations were independent and identically distributed random variables. The estimates and standard errors presented in this report were produced using SAS 9.2 software and the jackknife 1 (JK1) option as a replication procedure. Eighty replicate weights, FEWT1 to FEWT80, were used to compute sampling errors of estimates. These replicate weights are also available in the ECPP data file.

#### Response Rates

In the NHES:2012 collection, an initial screener questionnaire was sent to all sampled households to determine if any eligible children resided in the household. Screener questionnaires were completed by 99,590 households, for a weighted screener unit response rate of 73.8 percent. ECPP questionnaires were completed for 7,893 children, for a weighted unit response rate of 78.7 percent and an overall estimated weighted unit response rate (the product of the screener weighted unit response rate and the ECPP unit weighted response rate) of 58.1 percent.

The NHES:2012 included a bias analysis to evaluate whether nonresponse at the unit and item levels impacted the estimates. The term "bias" has a specific technical definition in this context: It is the expected difference between the estimate from the survey and the actual population value. For example, if all households were included in the survey (i.e., if a census was conducted rather than a sample survey), the difference between the estimate from the survey and the actual population value (which includes persons who did not respond to the survey) would be the bias due to unit nonresponse. Since NHES is based on a sample, the bias is defined as the expected or average value of this difference over all possible samples.

Unit nonresponse bias, or the bias due to the failure of some persons or households in the sample to respond to the survey, can be substantial when two conditions hold. First, the differences between the characteristics of respondents and nonrespondents must be relatively large. For example, consider estimating the percentage of preschoolers who can recognize all the letters of the alphabet. If the percentage is nearly identical for both respondents and nonrespondents, then the unit nonresponse bias of the estimate will be negligible.

Second, the unit nonresponse rate must be relatively high. If the nonresponse rate is very low relative to the magnitude of the estimates, then the unit nonresponse bias in the estimates will be small, even if the differences in the characteristics between respondents and nonrespondents are relatively large. For example, if the unit nonresponse rate is only 2 percent, then estimates of totals that compose 20 or 30 percent of the population will not be greatly affected by nonresponse, even if the differences in these characteristics between respondents and nonrespondents are relatively large. If the estimate is for a small domain or subgroup (of about 5 or 10 percent of the population), then even a relatively low overall rate of nonresponse can result in important biases if the differences between respondents and nonrespondents are large.

Comparisons between the full sample population and the respondent populations were made before and after the nonresponse weighting adjustments were applied to evaluate the extent to which the adjustments reduced nonresponse bias. Chapter 10 of the *NHES:2012 Data File User's Manual* contains a detailed description of the nonresponse bias analysis. The NHES sampling frame variables were used for the unit nonresponse bias analysis for the screener and topical surveys. The analysis of unit nonresponse bias showed evidence of bias based on the distributions of the sample characteristics for the survey respondents compared to the full eligible sample. However, this bias was greatly reduced by the nonresponse weighting adjustments. In the post-adjusted Screener estimates, the number of estimates showing measurable and practical differences was reduced in half. The percentage of estimates with measurable survey and sample differences greater than 1 percentage point was reduced from 22 to 6 percent for the ECPP survey by the nonresponse weighting adjustments.

When key survey estimates generated with unadjusted and nonresponse adjusted weights were compared, only a small number of measurable differences were observed. This suggests that none of these variables were powerful predictors of unit response. Therefore, the unit nonresponse adjustment had little effect on the potential bias, but it is also possible that there was limited bias to be removed.

It is also possible that nonresponse bias may still be present in other variables that were not studied. For this reason, it is important to consider other methods of examining unit nonresponse bias. One such method is comparing NHES estimates to other sources. NHES estimates were compared with estimates from the American Community Survey, Current Population Survey, and prior NHES collections. Comparisons were made on common variables of interest—such as child's race/ethnicity, and sex; key questionnaire items; and parents' education and household income—to discover any indication of potential bias that may exist in the NHES:2012 data. The results from these comparisons indicate that NHES survey estimates are comparable to other data sources.

## **Statistical Tests**

All specific statements of comparisons have been tested for statistical significance at the .05 level using Student's *t* statistics to ensure that the differences are larger than those that might be expected due to sampling variation. No adjustments were made for multiple comparisons. Readers are cautioned not to draw causal inferences based on the results presented. Many of the variables examined in this report may be related to one another, but the complex interactions and

relationships among them have not been explored. The variables examined here are also just a few of those that can be examined in these data.

The tests of significance used in this report are based on Student's t statistics for the comparisons of means and percentages. To test for a difference between the percentages of two subgroups in the population having a particular characteristic, say  $p_1$  versus  $p_2$ , the test statistic is computed as

$$t = \frac{p_2 - p_1}{\sqrt{[s.e.(p_1)]^2 + [s.e.(p_2)]^2}}$$

where  $p_i$  is the estimated percentage of subgroup i (i = 1, 2) having the particular characteristic and  $s.e.(p_i)$  is the standard error of that estimate. Thus, if  $p_1$  is 75 percent of children under the age of one who received relative care from a grandparent (with a standard error of 2.8), and  $p_2$  is 77 percent of children between the ages of 3 and 5 who received relative care from a grandparent (with a standard error of 2.0), the t value is equal to 0.5812.

The decision rule is to reject the null hypothesis that there is no difference between the two estimates if  $|t| > t_{\frac{\alpha}{2};df}$ , where  $t_{\frac{\alpha}{2};df}$  is the value such that the probability that a Student's t random

variable with df degrees of freedom exceeds that value is  $\alpha/2$ . All tests in this report are based on a significance level of .05 (that is,  $\alpha=0.05$ ). When the degrees of freedom are large, greater than 120,  $t_{0.025;df} \approx 1.96$ . In the example above, the t value is not large enough for the null hypothesis to be rejected (0.5812 < 1.96), so we conclude that there is no measurable differences between the percent of children under the age of one and the percent of children between the ages of 3 and 5 who received relative care from a grandparent.

This page is intentionally left blank.

# **Appendix B: Glossary of Terms**

The statistics, row, and column variables used in analyses for this *First Look* report are described below. The names of variables that are included in the data file and were used to produce estimates for this report appear in capital letters. In some cases, the variables have been used in the exact format in which they appear on the data file. In other cases, variables available on the data file have been modified, for instance, when the categories have been combined to create a smaller number of categories. Such collapsing of categories is noted in the descriptions. In other cases, new measures have been created specifically for this report by combining information from two or more variables in the data file. In these instances, the variables used to create the new measure are noted. Items with missing data were imputed. Unless otherwise noted, all data are based on either direct parent reports or imputed data.

#### **Row Variables**

#### Child Characteristics

Child's age: Child's age (AGE2011) is the sampled child's age as of December 31, 2011. For the analyses in this report, age is collapsed into three categories: less than one year old, 1–2 years old, and 3–5 years old. All tables include only children up to 5 years of age.

**Child's sex:** The data for the variable CSEX are taken directly from responses to the screener interview.

**Child's race/ethnicity:** RACEETH2 indicates the race and ethnicity of the sampled child. This variable is used in this report in the same format in which it appears on the data file and is derived from information in CHISPAN, CWHITE, CBLACK, CAMIND, CASIAN, and CPACI. If values are missing for these variables, they are imputed. If children are reported to be Asian and reported to be Pacific Islander, and are not Hispanic, they are included in the "Asian or Pacific Islander, non-Hispanic" category.

The values of RACEETH2 are as follows:

- 1 = White, non-Hispanic
- 2 = Black, non-Hispanic
- 3 = Hispanic
- 4 = Asian or Pacific Islander, non-Hispanic
- 5 = All other races and multiple races, non-Hispanic

**Region:** The variable CENREG identifies the census region in which the sampled child lives. This derived variable was drawn from the sampling frame.

The values for CENREG are as follows:

- 1 = Northeast
- 2 = South
- 3 = Midwest
- 4 = West

### Family Characteristics

Family type: Family type (FAMILY12X) is derived from a set of family-type categories using both parent and sibling information. It is created using other derived variables on number of parents in the household (HHPARN12X) and number of child's siblings (NUMSIBSX). Nonparent guardians are included in the "other" category. Nonparent guardians are persons other than mothers and fathers (birth, adoptive, step, or foster, and same-sex parents or partners of parents), such as grandparents, aunts, or uncles. Households comprising same-sex parents or partners of parents are included in the two-parent household categories in this derived variable.

The values for FAMILY12X are as follows:

- 1 = Two parents and sibling(s)
- 2 =Two parents, no sibling
- 3 =One parent and sibling(s)
- 4 =One parent, no sibling
- 5 = Other

For the analyses in this report, this variable was collapsed into two parents (categories 1 and 2), one parent (categories 3 and 4), and nonparent guardians.

**Parents' Language at Home:** LANGUAGEX indicates the knowledge and/or use of English by the parent(s)/guardian(s) in the household. LANGUAGEX is used in this report in the same format in which it appears on the data file and is created using the variables P1FRLNG, P1SPEAK, P2GUARD, P2FRLNG, and P2SPEAK.

The values for LANGUAGEX follow:

- 1 = Both/only parent(s) learned English first or currently speak(s) English in the home
- 2 = One of two parents learned English first or currently speaks English in the home
- 3 = No parent learned English first and both/only parent(s) currently speak(s) a non-English language in the home

**Parent's highest level of education:** PARGRADEX indicates the highest level of education for the sampled child's parents or nonparent guardians who reside in the household. It is derived from PAR1EDUC and PAR2EDUC, which are other derived variables.

The values for PARGRADEX are as follows:

- 1 = Less than high school credential
- 2 = High school graduate or the equivalent
- 3 = Vocational/technical education after high school or some college
- 4 = College graduate
- 5 = Graduate or professional school

**Parent's labor force status:** The data indicate the employment status of the parents or guardians in the household. This variable is created using the derived variables PAR1EMPL and PAR2EMPL that provide employment information on the parents/guardians in the household.

The values for P1EMPL and P2EMPL are as follows:

- 1 = Working 35 hours or more per week
- 2 = Working less than 35 hours per week
- 3 = Looking for work
- 4 = Not in the labor force

The parent labor force status variable was separated into two-parent (or guardian) and single-parent (or guardian) households. Working 35 hours or more per week was classified as full time; working less than 35 hours per week was classified as part time. For two-parent households, the "other" category includes all labor force combinations besides both parents working full time; one parent working full time, one parent not in labor force.

**Parent's school enrollment status:** The data indicate the enrollment status of the parents or guardians in the household in a school, college, university, or adult learning center or in vocational education or job training. The data for this variable were taken from responses to P1ENRL and P2ENRL.

**Household income:** TTLHHINC represents household income in broad ranges. Households were asked to report the total income of all persons in the household over the past 12 months using income ranges rather than exact dollars (e.g., \$0-\$10,000, \$10,001-\$20,000, \$20,001-\$30,000, up to over \$150,001). Income cut points for this report were selected to support estimates for the column variables represented in the tables.

The values of TTLHHINC were collapsed for presentation as follows:

- 1 = \$20,000 or less
- 2 = \$20,001 \$50,000
- 3 = \$50,001 \$75,000
- 4 = \$75,001 \$100,000
- 5 = \$100,001 or more

**Poverty Status:** This indicates whether a sample student resided in a household categorized as poor or nonpoor. NHES provides an approximate measure of poverty. The income variable used to establish whether a child resided in a household categorized as poor or nonpoor is TTLHHINC, which lists possible income ranges (e.g., \$0 to \$10,000, \$10,001 to 20,000, \$20,001 to \$30,000, up to over \$150,001). If data for TTLHHINC are missing, they are imputed. Using the income ranges and household size (HHTOTAL), poverty thresholds are then used to establish whether a child resided in a household categorized as poor or nonpoor. Thresholds to define poverty are based on weighted averages from 2011 Census poverty thresholds. A household is considered poor if a household of a particular size matches the income categories shown in exhibit B-1. Otherwise, the household is considered to be nonpoor.

Exhibit B-1. Poverty definition for Early Childhood Program Participation (ECPP) analyses, by household size: 2012

Household size (HHTOTAL) <sup>1</sup>	Income categories in variable (TTLHHINC)
2	Less than or equal to \$10,000 (TTLHHINC = 1)
3	Less than or equal to \$20,000 (TTLHHINC = 1, 2)
4	Less than or equal to \$20,000 (TTLHHINC = 1, 2)
5	Less than or equal to $$30,000$ (TTLHHINC = 1, 2, 3)
6	Less than or equal to $$30,000$ (TTLHHINC = 1, 2, 3)
7	Less than or equal to \$40,000 (TTLHHINC = 1, 2, 3, 4)
8+	Less than or equal to \$40,000 (TTLHHINC = 1, 2, 3, 4)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Indicates the total number of individuals living in the household, truncated to 8 for the NHES.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Early Childhood Program Participation Survey of the 2012 National Household Education Surveys Program (ECPP-NHES:2012); U.S. Census Bureau, Poverty Thresholds for 2011 by Size of Family and Number of Related Children Under 18

Years, https://www.census.gov/hhes/www/poverty/data/threshld/index.html.

#### **Column Variables**

## Table 1: Participation in various weekly nonparental care arrangements

At least one weekly nonparental care arrangement (ANYCARE2X) indicates whether the child currently participates in any nonparental care or program arrangements at least once each week. ANYCARE2X was created using the variables RCWEEK, indicating whether a relative care arrangement occurred at least weekly; NCWEEK, indicating whether a nonrelative care arrangement—the sampled child was cared for in a private home by someone not related to them—occurred at least weekly; and CPWEEK, indicating whether a center-based arrangement—including day care centers, Head Start programs, preschools, prekindergartens, and other early childhood programs—occurred at least weekly.

The values for ANYCARE2X are as follows:

- 1 = Currently participates in any care or program arrangement that occurs at least once each week
- 2 = Does not currently participate in any care or program arrangement that occurs at least once each week

Relative weekly nonparental care arrangement (RCARRNEWX) is the categorical variable that indicates the number of relative care arrangements in which a sampled child participates at least once a week. RCARRNEWX is derived using RCWEEK and RNCOTHC.

The values for RCARRNEWX are as follows:

- 0 = Does not currently participate in relative care arrangement
- 1 = Currently participates in one relative care arrangement
- 2 = Currently participates in two or more relative care arrangements

For table 1, the relative care arrangement was collapsed into a dichotomous variable, regardless of the number of relative care arrangements.

Nonrelative weekly nonparental care arrangement (NCARRNEWX) is the categorical variable that indicates the number of nonrelative care arrangements in which a sampled child participates at least once a week. NCARRNEWX is derived using NCWEEK and NCOTHC.

The values for NCARRNEWX are as follows:

- 0 = Does not currently participate in nonrelative care arrangement
- 1 = Currently participates in one nonrelative care arrangement
- 2 = Currently participates in two or more nonrelative care arrangements

For table 1, the nonrelative care arrangement was collapsed into a dichotomous variable, regardless of the number of nonrelative care arrangements.

Center-based weekly nonparental care arrangement (CPARRNEWX) is the categorical variable that indicates the number of center-based program arrangements in which a sampled child participates at least once a week. CPARRNEWX is derived using CPWEEKX and CPOTHC.

The values for CPARRNEWX are as follows:

- 0 = Does not currently participate in center-based care arrangement
- 1 = Currently participates in one center-based care arrangement
- 2 = Currently participates in two or more center-based care arrangements

For table 1, the center-based care arrangement was collapsed into a dichotomous variable, regardless of the number of center-based care arrangements.

# Table 2: Relative type providing the primary weekly relative care

Relative care type (RCTYPE) indicates the type of relative care arrangement in the primary relative care arrangement. Responses indicated whether the relative was a "grandmother," "grandfather," "aunt," "uncle," "brother," "sister," or "another relative" (RCTYPE = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, or 7). For the analyses in this report, the categories were collapsed into the following:

- 1 = Grandmother/Grandfather
- 2 = Aunt/Uncle
- 3 = All other relatives

#### Table 3: Length of time in months in the primary care arrangement

Return date of questionnaire (RCVDATE) is a variable that indicates the date at which the completed questionnaire was received. It was used to calculate the approximate age of the child as of the date the questionnaire was received from the data collection contractor by subtracting RCVDATE from the child's date of birth (CDOBMM and CDOBYY).

Parents were asked how old (in years and months) their child was when he or she started going to the primary relative care arrangement in RCSTRTM and RCSTRTY. Parents were asked how old (in years and months) their child was when he or she started going to the primary nonrelative care arrangement in NCSTRTM and NCSTRTY. Parents were asked how old (in years and months) their child was when he or she started going to the primary center-based arrangement in

CPSTRTM and CPSTRTY. For each primary care arrangement, age when the arrangement began was then subtracted from the computed child's age to determine length of time in months in the arrangement.

# Table 4: Mean per child hourly out-of-pocket costs for the primary care arrangement

Parents indicated whether there was a charge or a fee for their primary relative care arrangement (RCFEE). If parents reported a charge, they were asked their out-of-pocket cost (RCCOST) and unit of cost (i.e. hour, day, week, month, year, every 2 weeks, and other) for the primary relative care arrangement (RCUNIT). Parents were also asked how many days (RCDAYS) and hours each week (RCHRS) the child was in the primary relative arrangement. In addition, parents were asked to indicate how many children from the household the cost amount included (RCCSTHNX).

Parents indicated whether there was a charge or fee for their primary nonrelative care arrangement (NCFEE). If parents reported a charge, they were asked their out-of-pocket cost (NCCOST) and unit of cost for the primary nonrelative care arrangement (NCUNIT). Parents also asked how many days (NCDAYS) and hours each week (NCHRS) the child was in the primary nonrelative arrangement. In addition, parents were asked to indicate how many children from the household the cost amount included (NCCSTHNX).

Parents indicated whether there was a charge or fee for their primary center-based care arrangement (CPFEE). If parents reported a charge, they were asked their out-of-pocket cost (CPCOST) and unit of cost for the primary center-based care arrangement (CPUNIT). Parents also asked how many days (CPDAYS) and hours each week (CPHRS) the child was in the primary center-based arrangement. In addition, parents were asked to indicate how many children from the household the cost amount included (CPCSTHNX).

As noted above, parents could report costs for primary care arrangements in different units (e.g., hourly, weekly, monthly, etc.). Information about time spent in each primary care arrangement, the out-of-pocket cost for the arrangement, the unit of cost for the arrangement, and the number of children covered by that cost were used to derive an hourly per-child cost for each primary arrangement type.

## Table 5: Center-based care arrangement locations

Parents who reported that their children were in center-based care were asked to indicate the location of the primary center-based care setting (CPPLACEX). The values for CPPLACEX are (1) a church, synagogue, or other place of worship; (2) a public elementary or secondary school; (3) a private elementary or secondary school; (4) a college or university; (5) a community center; (6) a public library; (7) its own building, office space, or storefront; and (8) some other place. A "private elementary or secondary school," a "college or university," a "community center," a "public library," and "some other place" were combined into the "All other locations" category because of insufficient sample sizes for stable estimates.

# Table 6: Factors used to select weekly nonparental care arrangement

Parents of children in the study were asked if they had had difficulty finding care. Response options were "have not tried to find care," "did not find the child care program you wanted," "a lot of difficulty," "some difficulty," "a little difficulty," and "no difficulty." Parents who indicated they "have not tried to find care" were not asked about factors affecting selection of care arrangements. Those who reported seeking arrangements were then asked a series of questions about selection criteria for care arrangements. The factors for selecting child care were (a) location (DCLOA); (b) cost (DCOST = 4); (c) reliability of arrangement (DRELY); (d) learning activities at the arrangement (DLERN); (e) child spending time with other children his/her age (DCHIL); (f) times during the day when the caregiver is available to provide care (DHROP); and (g) number of other children in the care group (DNBGRP). The rates are based on the number of children whose parents rated a selection factor as very important divided by the number of children who had parents who had sought care.

# Table 7: Parental participation in home activities

Parents were asked how many times they or someone in the family read to the child in the past week (FOREADTOXA and FOREADTOXB). Parents were also asked whether they did the following with the child in the past week: told a story (FOSTORYX); taught letters, words, or numbers (FOWORDSX); sang songs (FOSANG); and worked on arts and crafts (FOCRAFTSX). Each of the home activity variables were collapsed into dichotomous variables indicating whether the parent had done the activity with the child in the past week, regardless of the number of times. The row variables in the table include the care arrangement type (relative, nonrelative, center, and none). The categories for nonparental care are not exclusive, so the same child can be in relative, nonrelative, and center care arrangements and be included in the estimates in all sections. Only if there are no nonparental care arrangements will the child be counted in the estimates in the "no nonparental care arrangement" category.

This page is intentionally left blank.

# **Appendix C: Standard Error Tables**

Table C-1. Standard errors for table 1: Percentage of children from birth through age 5 and not yet in kindergarten participating in various weekly nonparental care arrangements, by child and family characteristics: 2012

		Nonparental care arrangement					
	N	At least one		_		No weekly	
	Number of children	weekly non- parental care		Туре		nonparental care	
Characteristic	(thousands)	arrangement	Relative	Nonrelative	Center	arrangement	
Total	1.1	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.8	
Child's age							
Less than one year	136.0	1.9	2.5	2.3	2.2	1.9	
1–2 years	143.7	1.3	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.3	
3–5 years	86.5	1.0	1.1	0.9	1.1	1.0	
Child's sex							
Male	184.1	1.1	1.5	1.1	1.3	1.1	
Female	183.8	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.4	1.1	
Child's race/ethnicity							
White, non-Hispanic	98.9	1.0	1.1	1.3	1.2	1.0	
Black, non-Hispanic	#	2.5	2.9	2.4	2.4	2.5	
Hispanic	1.1	1.6	2.1	2.0	2.1	1.6	
Asian or Pacific Islander, non-Hispanic	62.5	3.1	3.1	2.3	3.1	3.1	
Other race, non-Hispanic	79.0	2.8	3.2	2.7	3.3	2.8	
Family type							
Two parents	123.5	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.1	0.9	
One parent.	113.2	1.7	2.0	1.8	2.0	1.7	
Nonparent guardian(s)	58.4	4.9	4.5	3.6	5.8	4.9	
Parents' language spoken most at home	112.1	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	
Both/only parent(s) speak(s) English	113.1	0.8	1.0	0.9	1.0	0.8	
One of two parents speaks English	60.5	4.2	5.3	3.9	4.4	4.2	
No parent speaks English	99.6	2.4	4.0	3.0	4.0	2.4	
Parents' highest level of education							
Less than high school	#	2.9	4.4	3.6	3.6	2.9	
High school/GED	#	2.2	2.5	2.8	2.7	2.2	
Vocational/technical or some college	108.1	1.2	1.6	1.5	1.5	1.2	
Bachelor's degree	108.1	1.4	1.6	1.5	1.7	1.4	
Graduate or professional degree	#	1.2	1.4	1.2	1.5	1.2	
Parents' labor force status							
Two-parent family							
Both full time	126.1	1.1	1.6	1.4	1.4	1.1	
One full time, one part time	84.7	1.7	2.0	1.9	2.1	1.7	
One full time, one not in labor force	125.8	1.3	2.3	1.5	2.1	1.3	
Other	103.5	2.1	3.1	2.1	3.1	2.1	
Single-parent family	00.7	2.2	2.0	2.6	2.0	2.2	
Full time.	88.7	2.3	2.8	2.6	2.8	2.3	
Part time.	65.1 70.6	3.8 4.0	4.2 4.6	4.8 3.8	4.6 5.4	3.8 4.0	
Not in the labor force.		5.0	7.1	3.8 4.9	6.3	5.0	
Looking for work	02.1	3.0	7.1	4.7	0.3	3.0	
Parents' school enrollment status	00.0	• 0	• -	2.2	• •	<u> </u>	
Both/only enrolled	93.8	2.9	3.8	3.3	3.8	2.9	
Both/only not enrolled	123.2	0.8	1.0	0.9	1.0	0.8	
One enrolled, one not enrolled  See notes at end of table.	105.1	2.3	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.3	

Table C-1. Standard errors for table 1: Percentage of children from birth through age 5 and not yet in kindergarten participating in various weekly nonparental care arrangements, by child and family characteristics: 2012—Continued

		No				
	Number of	At least one weekly non-		No weekly nonparental		
Characteristic	children (thousands)	parental care arrangement	Relative	Nonrelative	Center	care arrangement
Region						
Northeast	124.2	1.9	2.4	1.8	2.6	1.9
South	162.8	1.5	1.5	1.1	1.5	1.5
Midwest	135.4	1.7	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.7
West	146.6	1.6	1.9	1.9	2.0	1.6
Household income						
\$20,000 or less	#	1.9	2.7	2.1	2.5	1.9
\$20,001-\$50,000	1.1	1.5	1.9	1.2	1.8	1.5
\$50,001–\$75,000	#	2.0	1.6	2.0	2.4	2.0
\$75,001-\$100,000	#	1.9	2.4	2.3	2.1	1.9
\$100,001 or more	#	1.7	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.7
Poverty status						
At or above poverty threshold	74.3	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9
Below poverty threshold	74.5	1.8	2.3	1.7	2.2	1.8

<sup>†</sup> Not applicable.

<sup>#</sup> Rounds to less than 100.

Table C-2. Standard errors for table 2: Percentage of children from birth through age 5 and not yet in kindergarten receiving weekly care from a relative, by type of relative and child and family characteristics: 2012

	Number of	Relative who provides primary care			
Characteristic	children (thousands)	Grandparent	Aunt or uncle	All other relatives	
Total	152.4	1.1	0.9	0.9	
Child's age					
Less than one year	82.4	2.8	2.5	1.7	
1–2 years	98.2	1.9	1.5	1.3	
3–5 years	77.5	2.0	1.2	1.9	
Child's sex					
Male	132.9	1.5	1.1	1.3	
Female	105.8	1.7	1.6	1.5	
Child's race/ethnicity					
White, non-Hispanic	89.9	1.8	1.5	1.3	
Black, non-Hispanic	63.6	3.6	2.5	3.3	
Hispanic	84.4	2.7	2.2	2.2	
Asian or Pacific Islander, non-Hispanic	25.2	5.0	2.1	4.9	
Other race, non-Hispanic	32.0	4.4	2.3	4.2	
Family type					
Two parents	114.7	1.5	1.1	1.3	
One parent	90.0	2.1	1.9	1.8	
Nonparent guardian(s)	45.5	5.1	3.1	†	
Parents' language spoken most at home					
Both/only parent(s) speak(s) English	143.0	1.2	1.0	0.9	
One of two parents speaks English	30.4	5.4	3.1	4.4	
No parent speaks English	62.9	6.6	4.5	5.8	
Parents' highest level of education					
Less than high school	70.2	5.0	4.3	4.6	
High school/GED	80.2	2.6	2.3	1.8	
Vocational/technical or some college	70.2	2.2	1.7	1.9	
Bachelor's degree	65.0	2.2	1.9	1.6	
Graduate or professional degree	36.8	2.0	1.6	1.4	
Parents' labor force status					
Two-parent family					
Both full time	92.1	2.3	1.7	1.8	
One full time, one part time	47.1	2.8	2.6	1.2	
One full time, one not in labor force	52.5	2.7	1.3	2.6	
Other	46.1	3.9	3.5	3.0	
Single-parent family					
Full time	53.5	3.9	3.4	3.1	
Part time	39.4	5.6	3.4	4.8	
Not in the labor force	50.3	4.3	4.0	2.2	
Looking for work	38.7	6.0	5.1	†	
Parents' school enrollment status					
Both/only enrolled	63.9	3.9	3.7	1.5	
Both/only not enrolled	131.0	1.3	1.0	1.0	
One enrolled, one not enrolled	53.2	3.4	2.4	2.9	

Table C-2. Standard errors for table 2: Percentage of children from birth through age 5 and not yet in kindergarten receiving weekly care from a relative, by type of relative and child and family characteristics: 2012—Continued

	Number of	Relative who provides primary care			
	children			All other	
Characteristic	(thousands)	Grandparent	Aunt or uncle	relatives	
Region					
Northeast	68.8	2.7	2.4	2.4	
South	98.4	2.0	1.6	1.2	
Midwest	68.3	2.8	2.4	1.9	
West	105.4	2.7	1.6	2.4	
Household income					
\$20,000 or less	69.5	2.8	2.6	1.9	
\$20,001–\$50,000	75.5	2.5	2.1	1.9	
\$50,001–\$75,000	49.7	3.2	1.7	2.6	
\$75,001-\$100,000	51.9	2.5	1.6	1.7	
\$100,001 or more	59.6	2.7	2.0	2.0	
Poverty status					
At or above poverty threshold	119.2	1.4	1.1	1.1	
Below poverty threshold	79.7	2.8	2.3	2.2	

<sup>†</sup> Not applicable.

Table C-3. Standard errors for table 3: Mean length of time (in months) that children from birth through age 5 and not yet in kindergarten have been in current primary care arrangements with relative, nonrelative, or center-based provider, by child and family characteristics: 2012

	Number of	Mean number of months spent in different types of primary					
	children	nonparental care arrangements					
Characteristic	(thousands)	Primary relative	Primary nonrelative	Primary center			
Total	171.1	0.4	0.5	0.2			
Child's age							
Less than one year	108.4	0.2	0.3	0.3			
1–2 years	129.4	0.4	0.5	0.4			
3–5 years	110.9	0.7	0.9	0.3			
Child's sex							
Male	161.4	0.5	0.8	0.3			
Female	153.4	0.7	0.6	0.4			
Child's race/ethnicity							
White, non-Hispanic	129.9	0.6	0.7	0.3			
Black, non-Hispanic		1.2	2.1	0.8			
Hispanic	89.1	1.0	1.4	0.6			
Asian or Pacific Islander, non-Hispanic	41.1	1.8	2.0	1.1			
Other race, non-Hispanic	46.5	1.8	1.2	0.8			
Family type							
Two parents	141.6	0.5	0.6	0.3			
One parent.	112.3	0.8	1.1	0.6			
Nonparent guardian(s)	47.5	2.2	4.8	1.2			
•							
Parents' language spoken most at home	166.2	0.4	0.5	0.2			
Both/only parent(s) speak(s) English	166.2	0.4	0.5	0.2			
One of two parents speaks English  No parent speaks English	41.0 76.5	2.3 2.2	4.0 2.5	1.2 0.8			
Parents' highest level of education	80.1	2.0	2.5	1.3			
Less than high school							
High school/GED.	93.5	1.2	1.8	0.7			
Vocational/technical or some college	95.3	0.8	0.7	0.4			
Bachelor's degree	93.8 43.0	0.9 0.8	1.0 0.9	0.4 0.3			
	43.0	0.8	0.9	0.3			
Parents' labor force status							
Two-parent family	110.1		0.0				
Both full time		0.8	0.8	0.4			
One full time, one part time	68.2	1.1	1.0	0.5			
One full time, one not in labor force	82.1	1.4	1.2	0.5			
Other	65.0	1.6	2.1	1.0			
Single-parent family	-1.0		1.0	0.0			
Full time.		1.2	1.8	0.8			
Part time.		1.8	2.3	1.5			
Not in the labor force.		1.6	2.1	1.2			
Looking for work	49.2	2.9	3.6	1.1			
Parents' school enrollment status							
Both/only enrolled	79.7	1.3	2.0	1.1			
Both/only not enrolled	161.7	0.5	0.6	0.3			
One enrolled, one not enrolled	89.1	1.5	1.3	0.5			

Table C-3. Standard errors for table 3: Mean length of time (in months) that children from birth through age 5 and not yet in kindergarten have been in current primary care arrangements with relative, nonrelative, or center-based provider, by child and family characteristics: 2012—Continued

	Number of	Mean number of r	Mean number of months spent in different types of primary				
	children	nonparental care arrangements					
Characteristic	(thousands)	Primary relative	Primary nonrelative	Primary center			
Region							
Northeast	90.5	1.2	1.2	0.6			
South	142.0	0.6	0.8	0.4			
Midwest	104.0	1.0	0.9	0.4			
West	133.9	1.0	0.9	0.4			
Household income							
\$20,000 or less	76.9	1.0	1.5	0.8			
\$20,001-\$50,000	91.3	0.8	1.3	0.5			
\$50,001–\$75,000	77.4	1.1	1.3	0.7			
\$75,001–\$100,000	52.4	1.2	1.3	0.6			
\$100,001 or more	80.5	0.9	0.8	0.4			
Poverty status							
At or above poverty threshold	149.9	0.5	0.6	0.2			
Below poverty threshold	99.1	1.0	1.3	0.8			

Table C-4. Standard errors for table 4: Mean per child hourly out-of-pocket expense paid for families with any out-of-pocket expense for child care for children from birth through age 5 and not yet in kindergarten for weekly nonparental primary care arrangements, by child and family characteristics: 2012

	Number of	Hourly out-of-pocket expense by type of weekly nonparental primary care arrangement					
	children						
Characteristic	(thousands)	Primary relative	Primary nonrelative	Primary center			
Total	147.3	\$0.285	\$0.222	\$0.289			
Child's age							
Less than one year	89.3	0.837	0.475	0.840			
1–2 years	110.1	0.473	0.302	0.307			
3–5 years	104.8	0.511	0.296	0.438			
Child's sex							
Male	131.5	0.337	0.217	0.257			
Female	131.8	0.513	0.334	0.528			
Child's race/ethnicity							
White, non-Hispanic	117.2	0.595	0.235	0.207			
Black, non-Hispanic	70.4	0.953	1.349	0.510			
Hispanic	88.0	0.321	0.365	0.497			
Asian or Pacific Islander, non-Hispanic	32.4	2.432	0.934	0.834			
Other race, non-Hispanic	38.9	0.455	0.665	4.459			
Family type							
Two parents	121.1	0.352	0.219	0.356			
One parent	94.8	0.543	0.528	0.363			
Nonparent guardian(s)	34.7	†	3.654	0.658			
Parents' language spoken most at home							
Both/only parent(s) speak(s) English	143.5	0.323	0.229	0.300			
One of two parents speaks English	30.5	2.953	1.081	1.583			
No parent speaks English	55.9	0.607	0.725	1.235			
Parents' highest level of education							
Less than high school	69.3	1.227	1.098	†			
High school/GED	84.3	0.613	0.799	2.914			
Vocational/technical or some college	74.1	0.309	0.306	0.412			
Bachelor's degree	83.8	0.751	0.292	0.253			
Graduate or professional degree	44.0	0.719	0.288	0.299			
Parents' labor force status							
Two-parent family							
Both full time	99.0	0.428	0.262	0.276			
One full time, one part time	52.1	0.377	0.291	0.403			
One full time, one not in labor force	64.4	2.100	0.778	1.368			
Other	43.3	0.698	0.930	0.797			
Single-parent family							
Full time	63.8	0.735	0.772	0.502			
Part time		0.997	0.861	0.744			
Not in the labor force.		†	2.165	1.293			
Looking for work	36.6	0.446	1.128	0.713			
Parents' school enrollment status							
Both/only enrolled	58.6	1.171	1.271	1.108			
Both/only not enrolled	143.2	0.316	0.213	0.364			
One enrolled, one not enrolled	71.6	0.720	0.578	0.420			

Table C-4. Standard errors for table 4: Mean per child hourly out-of-pocket expense paid for families with any out-of-pocket expense for child care for children from birth through age 5 and not yet in kindergarten for weekly nonparental primary care arrangements, by child and family characteristics: 2012—Continued

	Number of	Hourly out-of-pocket expense by type of weekly nonparenta					
	children	primary care arrangement					
Characteristic	(thousands)	Primary relative	Primary nonrelative	Primary center			
Region							
Northeast	80.8	0.802	0.546	0.503			
South	107.1	0.547	0.376	0.271			
Midwest	82.2	0.774	0.231	0.258			
West	110.7	0.574	0.461	1.279			
Household income							
\$20,000 or less	62.6	0.744	0.928	0.539			
\$20,001–\$50,000	80.9	0.579	0.478	0.456			
\$50,001–\$75,000	60.0	0.747	0.336	1.317			
\$75,001-\$100,000	57.1	0.871	0.473	0.369			
\$100,001 or more	85.0	0.815	0.324	0.294			
Poverty status							
At or above poverty threshold	133.1	0.326	0.228	0.309			
Below poverty threshold	69.8	0.613	0.811	0.475			

<sup>†</sup> Not applicable.

Table C-5. Standard errors for table 5: Percentage of children from birth through age 5 and not yet in kindergarten enrolled in a weekly primary center-based program at a specified location, by child and family characteristics: 2012

		Location of primary center-based care arrangement					
Characteristic	Number of children (thousands)	Church, synagogue, or other place of worship	Public school (K-12)	Its own building	All other		
Total	142.2	0.8	0.8	1.2	0.8		
	1.2.2	0.0	0.0	<u>-</u>	0.0		
Child's age	51.2	2.3	.4.	3.5	2.0		
Less than one year			† 1.8				
1–2 years	76.3 104.7	1.9 1.0	1.8	2.6 1.2	1.3 1.1		
3–5 years	104.7	1.0	1.1	1.2	1.1		
Child's sex							
Male	112.9	1.2	1.3	1.6	1.1		
Female	120.3	1.2	1.3	1.7	1.2		
Child's race/ethnicity							
White, non-Hispanic	104.3	1.2	1.2	1.3	0.9		
Black, non-Hispanic	66.7	2.1	3.3	3.8	2.2		
Hispanic	61.0	1.4	2.3	2.5	2.0		
Asian or Pacific Islander, non-Hispanic	32.3	3.7	3.4	3.9	3.1		
Other race, non-Hispanic	31.1	3.3	4.8	5.1	3.8		
Family type							
Two parents	111.5	0.9	1.1	1.3	1.0		
One parent	81.5	1.6	2.2	2.9	1.6		
Nonparent guardian(s)	35.9	3.2	6.8	6.4	4.2		
Parents' language spoken most at home							
Both/only parent(s) speak(s) English	141.4	0.9	0.9	1.3	0.8		
One of two parents speaks English	25.7	3.4	7.9	7.0	†		
No parent speaks English	50.2	1.7	3.9	4.1	3.4		
Parents' highest level of education							
Less than high school	54.6	3.8	6.0	6.3	3.3		
High school/GED	72.6	1.5	3.4	3.5	2.6		
Vocational/technical or some college	69.7	1.5	1.4	2.4	1.3		
Bachelor's degree	76.7	1.7	1.3	1.9	1.4		
Graduate or professional degree	43.3	1.6	0.8	1.6	1.3		
Parents' labor force status							
Two-parent family							
Both full time	76.3	1.3	1.3	1.9	1.3		
One full time, one part time	52.4	2.3	2.9	3.0	2.0		
One full time, one not in labor force	73.0	2.0	2.4	2.2	2.0		
Other	54.6	2.1	4.2	4.0	2.0		
Single-parent family			•				
Full time	55.5	2.1	2.2	3.6	2.4		
Part time	39.7	4.6	5.1	6.5	3.4		
Not in the labor force	32.2	2.6	5.3	5.5	4.0		
Looking for work	42.3	†	9.7	8.2	4.8		
Parents' school enrollment status							
Both/only enrolled	50.2	2.3	5.0	3.9	2.7		
Both/only not enrolled	135.7	0.9	1.0	1.5	0.9		
One enrolled, one not enrolled	57.7	2.3	2.7	3.1	2.1		

Table C-5. Standard errors for table 5: Percentage of children from birth through age 5 and not yet in kindergarten enrolled in a weekly primary center-based program at a specified location, by child and family characteristics: 2012—Continued

		Location of primary center-based care arrangement					
	_	Church, synagogue,					
	Number of	or other					
	children	place of	Public school	Its own	All other		
Characteristic	(thousands)	worship	(K-12)	building	locations		
Region							
Northeast	75.9	1.9	2.0	3.2	2.1		
South	105.9	1.3	1.5	1.7	0.9		
Midwest	71.5	1.7	2.1	2.2	1.4		
West	73.5	1.8	2.2	2.6	2.0		
Household income							
\$20,000 or less	54.4	2.0	3.0	3.7	2.0		
\$20,001–\$50,000	75.0	1.5	2.7	2.8	1.6		
\$50,001–\$75,000	67.5	2.0	2.2	2.7	2.2		
\$75,001–\$100,000	50.9	2.4	2.2	3.0	1.7		
\$100,001 or more	71.7	1.7	1.2	2.0	1.6		
Poverty status							
At or above poverty threshold	119.8	0.9	1.0	1.2	0.9		
Below poverty threshold	70.6	1.8	2.9	3.3	1.9		

<sup>†</sup> Not applicable.

Table C-6. Standard errors for table 6: Percentage of children from birth through age 5 and not yet in kindergarten whose parents had tried to find care and who rated various factors used to select weekly care arrangement for children as "very important," by child and family characteristics: 2012

		I	arrangement	angement				
	Number of					Time with	Avail- ability of	Number of
	children				Learning	other	care	children in
Characteristic	(thousands)	Location	Cost	Reliability	activities	children	provider	care group
Total	160.8	1.1	1.0	0.7	0.7	0.9	0.9	1.1
Arrangement type								
Relative only	82.9	2.9	2.8	1.8	2.5	3.5	2.5	3.8
Nonrelative only	68.5	2.8	2.8	1.8	2.9	2.8	2.4	3.1
Center only	120.7	1.5	1.5	1.1	0.9	1.0	1.3	1.4
Multiple arrangements	88.9	2.0	1.9	1.5	1.6	1.9	1.7	1.8
Child's age								
Less than one year	71.1	3.5	3.1	2.1	3.0	3.0	2.5	3.2
1–2 years	113.0	2.1	2.2	1.3	1.5	1.9	1.6	2.3
3–5 years	116.7	1.4	1.4	0.9	0.8	1.1	1.2	1.3
Child's sex								
Male	142.9	1.5	1.4	1.0	1.1	1.4	1.4	1.5
Female	125.8	1.5	1.5	1.0	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.6
C1211 / 4 : 3								
Child's race/ethnicity	116.0	1.4	1.4	0.0	1.0	1.2	1.2	1.2
White, non-Hispanic	116.8	1.4	1.4	0.8	1.0	1.3	1.3	1.3
Black, non-Hispanic	79.9 68.8	2.7 2.5	3.0 2.2	2.2 1.5	1.6 1.5	2.5 2.2	2.1 2.1	3.1 2.7
Hispanic	33.6	4.3	3.9	3.2	3.5	3.6	3.9	3.6
Asian or Pacific Islander, non-Hispanic  Other race, non-Hispanic	34.7	3.8	3.5	2.0	3.3	3.0	3.9	3.3
•	34.7	3.0	3.3	2.0	3.1	5.0	3.2	3.3
Family type								
Two parents	136.5	1.2	1.2	0.8	0.8	1.1	1.0	1.2
One parent.	101.9	2.1	2.3	1.7	1.3	1.7	1.7	2.3
Nonparent guardian(s)	36.7	6.6	7.0	3.7	5.2	5.4	5.8	6.3
Parents' language spoken most at home								
Both/only parent(s) speak(s) English	155.0	1.2	1.1	0.8	0.8	0.9	1.0	1.2
One of two parents speaks English	30.7	5.6	5.3	3.8	3.5	7.0	3.6	5.5
No parent speaks English	49.2	3.7	4.1	3.2	2.7	3.4	4.0	4.4
Parents' highest level of education								
Less than a high school	67.8	5.5	5.0	5.1	2.3	4.8	5.2	6.2
High school/GED	93.4	3.6	3.8	2.0	2.2	2.6	2.6	3.6
Vocational/technical or some college	85.7	1.8	1.8	1.2	1.5	1.7	1.6	1.8
Bachelor's degree	89.5	1.9	1.8	1.2	1.5	1.7	1.8	1.8
Graduate or professional degree	42.1	1.6	1.4	0.9	1.5	1.7	1.3	1.5
Parents' labor force status								
Two-parent family								
Both full time	116.8	1.6	1.7	0.9	1.5	1.4	1.2	1.5
One full time, one part time	55.9	2.3	2.4	1.4	1.8	2.3	2.3	2.4
One full time, one not in labor force	72.0	2.6	2.5	1.4	2.1	2.4	2.4	2.3
Other	55.5	3.7	3.6	3.2	2.0	3.1	3.1	3.8
Single-parent family								
Full time	68.1	2.7	2.5	1.8	2.4	2.5	1.9	2.9
Part time	48.7	6.1	5.8	6.4	2.7	6.4	3.1	5.8
Not in the labor force	36.1	5.6	6.3	4.8	3.8	3.6	4.2	5.2
Looking for work	42.0	8.2	10.0	2.9	2.2	4.8	8.2	9.6

Table C-6. Standard errors for table 6: Percentage of children from birth through age 5 and not yet in kindergarten whose parents had tried to find care and who rated various factors used to select weekly care arrangement for children as "very important," by child and family characteristics: 2012—Continued

		arrangement						
Characteristic	Number of children (thousands)	Location	Cost	Reliability	Learning activities	Time with other children	Avail- ability of care provider	Number of children in care group
Parents' school enrollment status				,				С 1
Both/only enrolled	60.9	4.6	4.1	3.8	2.1	4.1	3.9	4.4
Both/only not enrolled	147.5	1.2	1.2	0.7	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.3
One enrolled, one not enrolled	70.1	2.8	3.0	1.6	2.4	2.7	2.5	3.1
Region								
Northeast	92.6	2.4	2.2	1.5	1.8	2.0	2.3	2.4
South	116.1	1.7	1.7	1.3	1.1	1.4	1.6	1.6
Midwest	92.4	2.0	2.0	1.4	1.7	1.9	1.9	1.7
West	91.0	2.2	2.0	1.4	1.9	2.0	1.9	2.4
Household income								
\$20,000 or less	66.3	2.7	3.0	2.5	1.1	2.4	1.9	3.2
\$20,001-\$50,000	85.8	2.1	2.2	1.7	1.3	1.8	1.8	2.2
\$50,001–\$75,000	84.9	2.4	2.6	1.3	1.9	1.6	2.3	2.5
\$75,001-\$100,000	58.7	2.9	2.8	1.3	2.0	2.6	2.2	2.3
\$100,001 or more	87.5	1.7	1.4	1.1	1.6	1.7	1.6	1.5
Poverty status								
At or above poverty threshold	151.1	1.2	1.2	0.7	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.2
Below poverty threshold	75.4	2.4	2.4	2.1	1.3	2.5	2.0	2.8

Table C-7. Standard errors for table 7: Percentage of children from age 3 through age 5 and not yet in kindergarten whose parents reported participating in home activities with child in the past week, by type of involvement and child and family characteristics: 2012

	Number of					
			Γ	Taught letters,		Worked on
	children	Read to	Told a	words, or		arts and
Characteristic	(thousands)	child	story	numbers	Sang songs	crafts
Total	86.5	0.5	0.9	0.3	0.5	0.8
Arrangement type						
Relative	77.5	1.2	1.9	0.6	1.2	1.8
Nonrelative	59.2	1.0	1.8	1.0	1.6	2.2
Center	104.7	0.7	1.1	0.3	0.6	0.9
No nonparental arrangement	86.6	1.5	2.2	0.9	1.4	2.0
Child's sex						
Male	101.8	0.7	1.4	0.4	0.8	1.1
Female	103.4	0.9	1.0	0.4	0.7	1.1
Child's race/ethnicity						
White, non-Hispanic	96.1	0.4	1.1	0.4	0.7	1.0
Black, non-Hispanic	65.6	2.7	2.3	0.7	1.1	2.8
Hispanic	77.2	1.3	2.2	0.7	1.3	1.9
Asian or Pacific Islander, non-Hispanic	32.5	2.4	2.7	1.1	1.6	2.6
Other race, non-Hispanic	42.9	1.9	3.5	0.7	2.9	3.5
Family type						
Two parents	94.5	0.6	1.0	0.3	0.5	0.9
One parent.	77.5	1.0	1.7	0.7	1.3	1.5
Nonparent guardian(s)	31.4	3.9	6.6	1.4	4.6	5.6
• • •	31.4	3.9	0.0	1.4	4.0	5.0
Parents' language spoken most at home	00.7	0.5	0.0	0.2	0.5	0.0
Both/only parent(s) speak(s) English	90.7	0.5	0.9	0.3	0.5	0.8
One of two parents speaks English  No parent speaks English	30.8 62.7	2.1 2.6	4.6 3.7	2.8 1.2	1.5 2.2	4.8 3.2
•	02.7	2.0	3.1	1.2	2,2	3.2
Parents' highest level of education	<b>=</b> 0.5	• •	4.0			
Less than high school.	70.6	2.0	4.0	0.9	2.2	3.5
High school/GED	73.0	1.9	1.8	0.9	1.8	2.1
Vocational/technical or some college	72.7	0.9	1.5	0.6	0.7	1.3
Bachelor's degree.	73.1	0.4	1.3	0.3	0.7	1.0
Graduate or professional degree	40.8	0.7	1.1	0.5	0.7	1.0
Parents' labor force status						
Two-parent family						
Both full time	73.8	0.7	1.8	0.5	0.7	1.5
One full time, one part time	47.7	2.3	1.9	0.5	1.4	2.4
One full time, one not in labor force	82.4	1.0	1.6	0.7	0.9	1.6
Other	60.2	2.0	3.5	0.8	2.1	2.4
Single-parent family	(1.2	2.1	2.0	1.0	2.2	2.2
Full time	61.2	2.1	2.9	1.0	2.2	2.3
Part time.	40.5	1.1	4.3	1.1	3.7	4.2
Not in the labor force.	34.4	3.0 4.0	4.8 6.9	2.9 2.1	3.3 2.4	4.1 5.7
Looking for work	36.8	4.0	0.9	2.1	2.4	3.7
Parents' school enrollment status	44.5	4.4	2.6		1.2	2.2
Both/only enrolled	44.7	1.4	3.6	1.1	1.3	3.2
Both/only not enrolled	107.1	0.6	1.0	0.3	0.6	0.8
One enrolled, one not enrolled	61.0	2.3	1.8	0.9	1.4	2.8

Table C-7. Standard errors for table 7: Percentage of children from age 3 through age 5 and not yet in kindergarten whose parents reported participating in home activities with child in the past week, by type of involvement and child and family characteristics: 2012—Continued

<u> </u>		Home activities with child in past week						
	Number of		Taught letters,			Worked on		
	children	Read to	Told a	words, or		arts and		
Characteristic	(thousands)	child	story	numbers	Sang songs	crafts		
Region								
Northeast	74.9	1.4	2.2	0.6	1.3	1.9		
South	97.3	0.7	1.4	0.5	1.1	1.4		
Midwest	89.5	1.5	2.1	0.7	0.9	1.6		
West	76.9	1.1	1.8	0.5	1.0	1.6		
Household income								
\$20,000 or less	60.2	1.2	1.9	0.9	1.4	1.7		
\$20,001-\$50,000	75.9	1.6	1.6	0.5	1.1	1.8		
\$50,001-\$75,000	67.7	1.1	2.3	0.6	1.1	2.2		
\$75,001-\$100,000	45.9	1.1	2.1	0.6	0.7	2.3		
\$100,001 or more	65.6	0.7	2.0	0.7	1.0	1.5		
Poverty status								
At or above poverty threshold	100.1	0.6	1.0	0.3	0.5	0.9		
Below poverty threshold	77.3	1.5	1.7	0.8	1.4	1.7		