# The Junior High School

A Survey of Grades 7-8-9 in Junior and Junior-Senior High Schools

1959-60

by

Grace S. Wright. Specialist in Secondary Education, and

Edith S. Greer, Specialist for Curriculum Coordination

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE Anthony J. Celebrezze, Secretary

Office of Education - FRANCIS KEPPEL, Commissioner



## **Foreword**

PUPILS in grades 7 to 9 in junior and junior-senior high schools comprise nearly one-half of all pupils in secondary schools. Since these schools enroll such a large proportion of our early adolescent population, the programs they provide, the services they offer, their administrative practices, and the character of their staffs have far-reaching effects.

These programs, services, practices, and staff characteristics constitute the scope of the present survey, the first of its extent and coverage to be conducted on a nationwide basis. It is the result of planning by the staff of the Secondary Schools Section who were agreed that more attention needed to be given to this important segment of secondary education.

The study is a sampling drawn from a universe of 4,549 junior high schools and 7,841 junior-senior high schools. Because it is impossible for a very small school to have many of the accepted characteristics of a junior high school, junior high schools enrolling fewer than 75 pupils and junior-senior high schools with fewer than 125 pupils in grades 7 to 12 were excluded from the study.

In general, the tables present separate data for the two types of schools and for large and small schools within each type, making it possible for the reader to judge, with the help of the accompanying text, the differences that type and size make in educational opportunities for boys and girls.

J. DAN HULL Director, Instructional Programs Branch

ERIC R. BABER
Assistant Commissioner
Division of Elementary and
Secondary Education

ш



# Contents

Foreword	Pag
I. Introduction	П
i. Introduction	1
II. Background of the Junior High School	
Growth During Half a Century	3
III. Administrative Arrangements	4
Double and Staggered Sessions	194
Denkin of the School Age	7
Denkin of the 20000 Day	8
Number and Length of Class Periods	
IV. Organization for Instruction	12
Grouping of Pupils in Class Sections	
Block-time Classes and Unified Learning Experi-	14
onces	18
nomeroom and Activity Periods	24
Provisions for Study During the School Day	. 33
Library Services	35
Availability of Audiovisual Equipment.	36
V. Pupil Evaluation, Orientation, and Services	
Tests and Inventories	38
	41
I oncies for Marking Publis	43
1 lugress reports	45
dudance Counselors	-48
Camaranae Vecold Mies	49
	50
Articulation with Lower and Upper Grades.  /I. Curriculum	52
Required and Elective Subjects Extraclass Activities	54
II. Staff.	59
Professional Supervisory and Specialist Personnel	64
1 Cachers	69



• •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
VI	•	CON	TENTS			
•	•					
VIII. Sur	mmary					
	Administra	tive Arran	gements		•	٠.
	Organizatio	n for Instr	uction			
	Pupil Evalu					
	Curriculum					٠.
	Staff		41	3	<b>-</b>	
Ap	pendix	• •		• .		
	Technical A Questionnai	ppendix	%	~** - • • • • • •	*	
	- Questionnai	re rorm	· <del></del>			
•	•	•		47	_	
				•	-	,
	•				•	



### I. Introduction

THIS IS A STUDY of grades 7 to 9 as they were found in junior and junior-senior high schools in the 48 contiguous States and the District of Columbia. Included in the survey are junior high schools composed of grades 7 and 8 or of grades 8 and 9 as well as grades 7 to 9. No single-grade schools are included. No grade below 7 is included even though it may have been reported as part of a junior high school. Junior high schools enrolling fewer than 75 pupils and junior-senior high schools having fewer than 125 pupils in grades 7 to 12 or 8 to 12, as the case might be, were eliminated from the universe of schools from which the sample was taken. The remaining junior and junior-senior high schools were stratified into two size groups for each type of school and the sample was drawn as described in the Technical Appendix.

Questionnaires were mailed to high school principals in February 1960. (A copy of the questionnaire is included in the appendix.) A second mailing took place in April, and a third in May of the same year. No returns received after June 15 were included in the final tabulation. Of the total of 1,507 questionnaires mailed, returns were received from 1,360, distributed as follows:

Enrollment size Junior high schools:	Total sample	Surrey respondents	Percent surrey response
75 to 299.		242	<b>8</b> 9. 6
300 and more	424	410	, 96. 7
125 to 499	415	353	85. 1
500 and more		355	89. <b>2</b>

The returned questionnaires were edited for consistency by a staff of forms analysts in the Educational Statistics Branch. Discrepancies were dealt with systematically, adjustments being made on the basis of related items wherever possible. The project sponsors did not attempt through corresponding with high school principals to resolve inconsistencies or to obtain a response to unanswered items. For this reason the percentage of response varies from item to item. After editing, data from the questionnaires were transferred to punch cards and processed mechanically. Tabulations were reviewed for consistency and internal accuracy, and necessary corrections were made.

Most tables include an analysis of the rate of response to alert the reader to the possibility of nonresponse bias. Since many principals failed to fill in one or more items, wide variability in the percentage of total response will be observed. As a rule of thumb for interpreting these percentages, any response rate much below 80 might be considered cause for questioning the reliability of the data for that item from the standpoint of representativeness of the response. The more serious cases of nonresponse are discussed in the analytical text. (For details, see Technical Appendix.)

In this study when characteristics of a grade are discussed the percentages presented are based upon the number of schools having that grade. Since within each of the two types of schools—junior and junior-senior—there are varying grade combinations, this may have some bearing on the results. For example, a junior high school of grades 7 and 8 only may have characteristics that are different from a junior high school with grades 7 to 9. Also a junior-senior high school that does not include grade 7 may possibly have characteristics different from one that does include the grade.



# II. Background of the Junior High School

## Growth During Half a Century

SINCE ITS BEGINNING 50 years ago in Columbus, Ohio, and Berkeley, Calif., the separate junior high school has grown in number to approximately 5,000; it represents 21 percent of all secondary schools in the United States and enrolls 25 percent of their pupils. In the years since 1952, the separate junior high schools increased in number by half and in enrollment by a fourth. The junior-senior high school which represented 36 percent of all secondary schools in 1952, in 1959 represented 42 percent for a total of more than 10,000 schools; it enrolls 32 percent of the Nation's secondary school population.

The present study asked the question, "In what year were grades 7, 8, and 9 in your school organized as a junior high school or as a part of a junior-senior high school?" Understandably, the nonresponse was extremely high. One-fifth of junior high school principals, and nearly two-fifths of junior-senior high school principals failed to report the year of establishment. Frequently, principals wrote that

This information was not available to them.

Heavy staff turnover experienced by many schools coupled with lack of readily available records can result in a current staff knowing little of past events. For this reason a certain bias in the response his indicated. Undoubtedly in most of the schools where principals did not know the answer to the question, the current organizational pattern was achieved before rather than since 1955. Had all principals replied to this item it is probable that the percentages for some of the earlier years would be increased proportionately more than would the percentages for the more recent years.

Table 1 indicates the spread for the schools for which the year of organization was reported. According to these figures more than 40 percent of existing junior high schools and 30 percent of junior-senior high schools were organized as such in 1950 or later. At the other extreme, 19 percent of junior high schools and 11 percent of existing

junior-senior high schools were organized prior to 1930. -

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Edmund A. Ford and Virgil R. Walker, *Public Secondary Schools*. No. 1. Statistics of Education in the United States, 1958–59 Series. Washington: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1961 (O E-20032-59) p. 8-9.

Table 1.—Percentage distribution of schools by year of organization, and type and size of school

Type and size	•		•	Year	of organi	zation	•		No	Item re-
(enrollment) of school	Total	Since 1955	1950- 54	1945- 49	1940- 44	1930- 39	1920- 29	Before 1920	re- sponse	spond- ents
		/		JUNIO	OR HIG	н всн	ools	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	·
<b>Total</b> 75-299 300 and above	100. 0 100. 0	29, 2 26, 5 29, 0	15, 6 19, 0 14, 2	4. <b>5</b> 7. 0 3. 4	2.1 4.1 1.2	11. 4 9. 1 12. 4	14.8 7.5 18.1	4, 1 . 8 5. 6	19. 1 26. 0 16. 1	<b>82</b> 179 34
			JU	NIOR-S	ENIOR	нюн	всноо	L8	<u></u>	
Total	100, 8 100, 0 100, 0	16, 1 14. 2 21. 4	14. 1 12. 7 18. 0	6,9 6.5 8.2	8.4 5.7 4.8	11. 7 11. 1 11. 6	9.8 8.5 11.6	1.8 1.4 1.1	35.6 39.9 23.4	484 212 277

Because of the high rate of nonresponse to the item of date of organization, the percent of nonresponse is made an integral part of the table. In this respect this table differs from other tables in the report.

In answer to the question, "Do you have definite plans to reorganize your school within the next 2 years so as to change the grouping of grades 7, 8, and 9 with reference to other grades," principals of 12 percent of the junior high schools and 15 percent of the junior-senior high schools replied in the affirmative. Their brief explanations of these plans were in many cases inconclusive, such as arranging for makeshift or temporary provisions necessitated by overcrowding, moving into a new building, school consolidation, or the outcome of a bond issue. The more pertinent reasons given for a change are as follows:

Of the 79 junior high schools planning to make a change in grade groupings, 34 percent were 2-year schools which would add grade 9, thus becoming 3-year junior high schools; and 20 percent were schools which would convert to something other than grade 7 to 9 schools, that is, they would include grades 5 to 8, 6 to 8, or 7 and 8 in their organizational pattern. Of the 113 junior-senior high schools reporting plans to reorganize, 22 percent, nearly all of which fall into the small-school category, planned to develop an 8-4 organizational structure. Forty-three percent of those contemplating a change would become separate 2- or 3-year junior high schools.

# Purposes and Functions Ascribed to the Junior High School

For some time a belief has been growing that many educators are tending to lose sight of the unique character of the functions the junior



high school was designed to fulfill. Often, the junior high school of today may be little more than a high school for younger boys and girls and a preparatory school for the senior high school. It is said that its primarily academic program relates only minimally to the immediate physical, social, and emotional growth needs, educationally speaking, of the young adolescent, but largely to the academic and specialized preparation to follow in the senior high school. Customarily, each individual subject is taught logically and sequentially in periods of uniform length.

The purposes and functions of the junior high school have been stated and restated many times since the Committee of Ten on Secondary School Studies headed by Charles W. Eliot, President of Harvard University, recommended in 1894 that elementary school work be reduced to 6 years so that secondary school subjects might be introduced at grade 7, and students be enabled to enter college at an earlier age. This outcome of reorganization was not affirmed by

subsequent study groups.

Later committees and commissions recognized the difference in the nature of pupils in grades 7 and 8, expressing the belief that the 7th rather than the 9th grade represented a significant turning point in the pupil's life, and that this age of adolescence demanded new methods and wiser direction. It was felt also that a division after grade 6 would serve to reduce the heavy dropout rate and also would result in a better articulated system of education.

The Commission on the Reorganization of Secondary Education in 1918 recommended that "in the junior period [of the secondary school] emphasis should be placed upon the attempt to help the pupil explore his own aptitudes and to make at least provisional choice of the kinds of work to which he shall devote himself . . . In the junior high schools there should be a gradual introduction of departmental instruction, some choice of subjects under guidance, promotion by subjects, prevocational courses and a social organization that calls for the initiative and develops the sense of personal responsibility for the welfare of the group." 2

One of the most frequently quoted statements of functions of the junior high school was formulated some years ago by William Gruhn and Harl Douglass.3 It provides for:

- 1. integration of learnings into effective and wholesome pupil behavior;
- 2. discovery and exploration of pupils' specialized interests, aptitudes, and abilities:



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cardinal Principles of Secondary Education. A Report of the Commission on the Reorganization of Secondary Education, appointed by the National Education Association. Bureau of Education Bulletin 1918, No. 35. p. 19.

William T. Gruhn and Harl R. Douglass, The Modern Junior High School. New York: Ronald Press, 1947. Rev. 1956. pp. 31-32.

- 3. guidance to assist pupils in making wise choices educationally, vocationally, and in their personal and social living;
- 4. differentiation of educational facilities and opportunities to care for the varied backgrounds and needs of pupils;
- 5. socialization, or the provision of learning experiences to prepare pupils to participate in the present social order and to contribute to future changes; and
- 6. articulation through making possible a gradual transition from elementary to senior high school.

The present study which is the first of its kind undertaken by the Office of Education will make it possible for the reader to identify some practices that contribute to, or perhaps deny, the functions ascribed to the junior high school by individual writers, committees, and commissions.

The study will also attempt to throw light on the questions often asked: How do the programs and practices of the separate junior high school compare with those of the junior-senior high school? How much difference does size make?



## **III. Administrative Arrangements**

THE ARRANGEMENTS discussed in this section are confined to those with an element of time, a topic that has been receiving considerable attention from persons interested in increasing total pupil accomplishment. Those who advocate adding to the length of time pupils are in school emphasize the continuing expansion of knowledge which affects the information that must be acquired by every pupil. They stress too the need for more intensive specialization in a particular field. Increasing the length of the school day or school year, they say, will assist the school to accomplish its task.

On the other hand, practical considerations resulting from crowded conditions in many schools have brought about a shorter school day for some pupils since they must share the building with a second shift

of pupils.

While it is not possible for the present study to indicate time trends for pupils and teachers, the study does establish a base line against which future studies of the length of the school day and school year may report trends.

## **Double and Staggered Sessions**

Double sessions for all or part of the day are one means by which schools have been able to take care of their burgeoning enrollments over the past decade. Deplorable as they have seemed to school people they have had to be used when new buildings could not be provided in time to receive the fall enrollments, when makeshift facilities were not available, when crowding in present facilities during a single session could be carried no further.

The Office of Education has presented figures from time to time showing the percent of public school pupils attending school on a less than full-day basis. A recent statistical survey of public secondary schools, for example, reported that 1.4 percent of the 11 million pupils were scheduled in this way in the spring of 1959. In junior high school, 0.7 percent were on double sessions; in junior-senior high school, 1.5 percent. Another Office study, one of school systems in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Edmund A. Ford and Virgil R. Walker, op. cit., p. 15.

urban places with populations above 2,500, conducted in the fall of 1958,<sup>2</sup> found that, for grades 7 and 8 in the elementary school, 2.1 percent of the school systems having these grades were on double sessions entirely and 1.4 percent were using double sessions in some partial degree, for a total of about 3.5 percent.

The present study reveals that approximately 3.1 percent of junior and 4.9 percent of junior-senior high schools, or 4.3 percent of the combined groups, were in the spring of 1960 on a double-session basis.

The present study also obtained information on the number of schools using staggered sessions, in which there is an overlapping period during the middle of the day when all pupils are in school. Such sessions may or may not result in a shorter school day for pupils. The extent to which schools use these two methods of providing for pupils when facilities are inadequate for the entire enrollment to receive instruction at the same time is as follows:

	Perc	ent of
	Double sessions	Staggered sessions
Junior high school total	3.1	2.3
75 to 299 enrollment	6. 3	. 9
300 and above enrollment	1. 7	2. 9
Junior-senior high school total	4.9	2.3
125 to 499 enrollment	5.8	1. 5
500 and above enrollment	2. 3	4. 5

It can be observed that the smaller schools, both junior and juniorsenior, use double sessions more often than do the larger schools. The larger schools, on the other hand, an emore prone to resort to staggered sessions.

## Length of the School Year

Respondents were asked, "How many days during the 1958-59 school year was the school in session, that is, days on which the student body as a whole was engaged in school activities under the guidance and direction of teachers?" Approximately half of the schools of each type that replied reported a school year of 180 days or more. (See table 2.) Variations in length of school term were greater by size of school than by type, the large schools of both types tending to a longer school year. Approximately 60 percent of the large schools had terms of 180 days or more in contrast to about 40 percent of the small schools. It should be noted that size of school is a factor in



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Stuart E. Dean, Elementary School Administration and Organization. Office of Education Bulletin 1960, No. 11. Washington: U.S. Government Printing Office. (OE-23006) p. 44.

Table 2.—Percentage distribution of schools by length of school year, by type and size of school: 1958-59

Tune and stee ten	Total	Nt	imber of de	ays school	was in sess	lon	Response analysis		
Type and size (en- rollment) of school	180 or above	175 to 179	170 to 174	165 to 169	Fewer than 165	Item respond- ents	Percent total response		
			JUN	IOR HIG	и зено	ols	<del>-</del>	:	
Total 75 to 299 sxi and above	100. 0 100. 0 100. 0	<b>54.0</b> 38.9 60.8	37. 5 50. 2 31. 8	8, 2 10. 5 7. 1	.0	0.1 .4 .0	<b>632</b> 239 393	91, 1 88, 5 92, 7	
			JUNIOR-	SENIOR	HIGH SC	HOOLS	<u>'                                    </u>	<del></del>	
Total 125 to 499. 500 and above.	100.0 100.0 100.0	46, 1 40.3 62.9	31, 2 33, 4 24, 9	19. 5 22. 0 12. 2	1.9 2.6 .0	1.3 1.7 .0	<b>69.5</b> 350 345	85, 5 84, 3 86, 7	

determining length of school year only in that large schools tend to be located in large city and suburban school districts and these large population centers often increase the days on which school is in session beyond the State minimum. Small population districts on the other hand tend to abide by the State minimum. This relation of length of school year to size of school district is affirmed in Dean's study of elementary schools in which he reported that 71 percent of urban places in the population group of 100,000 and over in contrast to 50 percent in the population group of 2,500 to 9,999 had a school year of 180 days or more.

Very few junior and junior-senior high schools had a school year of fewer than 170 days according to the respondents in the present study. An estimated total of 260, or 2.1 percent of the 12,390 schools in the universe covered by the study had a school year so short.

## Length of the School Day

#### For Pupils

Six to 6½ hours exclusive of the lunch period was the prevalent length of school day for junior and junior-senior high school pupils in 1959-60. Two-thirds of the schools reported that pupils must be in school for this length of time each day. (See table 3.) Approximately three-fourths of the schools had a school day of 6 to 7 hours.

The school day found in this study is somewhat longer than that typical for 7th and 8th graders in school systems having 8-grade elementary schools as reported by Dean in the Office of Education study

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Stuart E. Dean, op. cit., p. 39.

conducted in 1958-59. There the preponderance of the respondents (86.1 percent) reported a school day exclusive of lunch of 5% or 6 hours. A school day of at least 6 hours was reported by 54.2 percent of the school systems.

Table 3.—Percentage distribution of schools by number of clock hours in the school day 1 for pupils, and type and size of school

Type and size		:		Numt	er of o	rlock	hours	for p	ipila,			Response analysis		
(enrollment) Total	Fewer than 5	5	514	534	534	6	614	632	634	7	I tem respond- ents	Percent total response		
				J	UNIC	RH	10H	8CH	00 <b>L</b> 8			··	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
<b>Total</b> 75 to 299 300 and above	100, 0 100, 0 100, 0	1.1	2.7 1.7 3.1	2.8 1.7 4.6	7.6 7.4 7.8			19. 1	13. 5 11. 3 14. 5	8.7 5.2 3.1	3.0 3.0	617 231 386	R6, 2 H5.6 91.0	
. , <del>, ,</del>				JUN	IOR	8EN	IOR	HIOI	H SC	OO	LS		<b></b>	
Total	100.0 100.0 100.0	<b>0,3</b> .0 .9	1.4 .9 2.7	1.8 1.9 .6	8.0 7.8 9.0	9. 3	33. 5	14.6	17. 2 18. 9 13. 5	&.0 5.3 4.5	7.6 7.7 2.4	844 322 333	<b>79.7</b> 77.6 83.7	

<sup>1</sup> Exclusive of lunch period.

NOTE: Percentages do not always add to 100 because of rounding of figures.

By region.—Because it was believed that differences in length of school day are more pronounced among regions of the country than by type of school or size of school, data were tabulated according to the four regions of the United States frequently used in Office of Education studies: North Atlantic, 11 States and the District of Columbia; Southeast, 12 States; Great Lakes and Plains, 12 States; West and Southwest, 15 States.

Table 4 indicates that in 1959-60, 42 percent of all junior high schools in the North Atlantic region had a school day for pupils of 4 to 5½ hours, while an average of only 8 percent of the schools in the other three regions had a day so short. At the other extreme, an average of about 45 percent of schools in these latter three regions had a school day in excess of 6 hours, while only 20 percent of the schools in the North Atlantic region had a day so long.

For the junior-senior high schools, the relative difference among the regions was not quite so marked. The respective percentages for the short day in the North Atlantic region and the average of the other three regions were about 21 and 11; for the longer school day, the percentages were about 29 and 42.



<sup>4</sup> Ibid., p. 36.

Table 4.—Percentage distribution of schools by number of clock hours in the school day for pupils, by geographic region, and type of school

Region	<b>M</b> -4-1	Nu	mber of	clock bo	urs for po	ıpils	Respons	e analysis
region	Total	4 to 5	814 to 814	534 to	614 to 614	6% to	Item respond- ents	Percent total response
			JUN	IOR H	IOH 8C	HOOLS		
Total United States North Atlantic Great Lakes and Plains Southeast West and Southwest	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	3.5 13.2 .9 1.8	11.4 29.1 5.3 9.7 6.7	45.5 37.3 49.4 40.6 50.4	32, 4 16. 2 38. 0 43. 6 29. 0	7.8 4.2 6.4 4.3 13.3	617 120 203 129 165	88, 5 89, 9 88, 2 87, 9 88, 6
		J	UNIOR	-8ENIO	R HIGH	всно	OL8	
Total United States. North Atlantic Great Lakes and Plains. Southeast West and Southwest.	100, 0 100, 0 100, 0 100, 0 100, 0	1.7 2.5 .2 2.8 .0	2, 6 1R. 3 3. 0 12. 3 15. 5	44.4 50.6 43.5 41.6 54.9	32.9 23.7 37.6 32.7 19.0	11,4 4.9 15.7 10.6 10.6	655 161 180 262 52	78. 2 78. 2 77. 0 82. 1 76. 2

#### For Teachers

Respondents were asked to check to the nearest quarter hour the number of clock hours full-time classroom teachers are normally required to be in school during a teaching day, including the lunch period. The results are shown in table 5. Approximately three-fourths of all schools responding required teachers to be in school 7 to 8 hours, a figure comparable with the 75 percent of schools requiring attendance by pupils from 6 to 7 hours exclusive of lunch.

Table 5.—Percentage distribution of schools by number of clock hours in the school day 1 for teachers, and type and size of school

Type and size (enrollment)	<b>3</b>	Number of clock hours for teachers											Response analysis	
(enrollment) of school	Fewer than 6	6	634	634	634	7	734	714	73	8	Item re- spond- ents	Percent total re-		
		JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOLS												
Total to 299. 0 and above	100. 0 100. 0 100. 0	1.2 2.6	2.5 2.2 4.3	4.8 2.2 5.6	& 6 & 7 & 6	7.9	23. 1	14. 5 16. 6 13. 2	19. 8 19. 7 19. 3		12, 1 11. 8 12. 2	633 229 393	90, 1 84. 8 92. 7	
γ. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			9	JUN	IOR-	8EN	OR	HIGI	I SC	HOO	L8			
Total 5 to 499 Dand above	100, 0 100, 0 100, 0	1, 6 1, 5 1, 5	1.9 3.7 4.2	27	10.4 7.9 12.8	7.9	23. 4 25. 6 21. 1	10. 7	<b>21.</b> 7 21. 7 21. 8	7.5 8.2 6.9	8.9 10.1 7.8	963 328 335	80, 9 79, 1 84, 2	

<sup>1</sup> Including the lunch period.

681807 0-63-



# Number and Length of Class Periods

In general, the tendency in both junior and junior-senior high schools was for a school day of six 55- to 59-minute periods. There were, of course, many variations. (See tables 6 and 7.) The small junior high school was more likely to have more periods shorter than 50 minutes, than was the large junior high school. For the junior-senior high school, size seems to have made no significant difference in number of periods; it did make some slight difference in period length, more of the smaller schools tending to a longer period (55 minutes or more) than the large schools of this type.

There was little difference between the junior and junior-senior high school in the number of periods in the school day. There were differences in the length of period, however. As shown in the tabulation below, less than one-third of junior high schools had the long period of 55 minutes or more while more than one-half of the junior-senior high schools had the long period. If, as is usually done, the break between long and short periods is made at 50 minutes, the difference lessens, but still exists.

Length of period	Junior high achools	Junior-senior high schools
40 to 44 minutes	17. 2	13. 9
45 to 49 minutes	21. 4	12.9
50 to 54 minutes	28 8	18. 3
55 to 59 minutes	28. 4	44. 8
Over 60 minutes	4. 2	8.1
	100. 0	100. 0

Table 6.—Percentage distribution of junior high schools by number of class periods, length of period, and size of school

Length of period in minutes	Jun p	ior hip upils,	th scho	ols wit	h 78 to I period	<b>299</b> 5	Junior high schools with 300 and more pupils, by number of periods						
	Total	5	6	7	8	y	Total	5	6	7	8	9	
Total	100.0	2.5	44. 5	21.0	18.3	1. 8	100. 0	2.1	60. 3	28. 2	7. 7		
40 to 44 45 to 49 50 to 54 55 to 59 60 plus	27.0	.0 .5 .8 .5	8 4.8 11.0 24.4 3.5	4. 4 15. 3 9. 2 3. 1 . 0	11.3 6.6 .5 .0	1.8 .0 .0 .0 .0	16. 7 19.0 31. 8 24. 7 3. 9	.0 .0 .8 4.0 1.3	1. H 4. 9 24. 6 26. 7 2. 3	8.0 12.8 6.4 1.0	6. 2 1. 3 . 0 . 0		
Response analysis				,			=====		1 4				
Item respondents Percent total response			22	4.8					390	) 1.9		•	



Table 7.—Percentage distribution of junior-senior high schools by number of class periods, length of period, and size of school

Length of period in minutes	Jun to 48	ior-een 9 pupi	ior hig	h schoo numbe	ols with	h 186 riods	Junior-senior high schools with \$600 and more pupils, by number of periods					
	Total	8	6	7	8	•	Total	8	6	7	8	
Total	100.0	2.0,	84.0	27. 2	11.4	2.6	100.0	4,5	82.6	36.4	14.1	LI
40 to 44	16.4 11.4 17.6 46.6 9.0	.0 .6 1.2 1.2	.3 1.8 11.1 33.9 7.7	3.1 6.8 5.0 11.4 .0	8.6 2.8 .0 .0	3.4 .0 .0	17. 2 17. 2 20. 5 30. 4 5. 7	.3 .0 24 1.5	1.5 1.8 12.3 32.7 4.2	4.5 10.5 8.1 2.8	9.6 4.5 .0 .0	1. 2 .0 .0
Item respondents	2		<b>33</b>	1 8,1					1335	 	44.	

For comparative purposes the findings of two earlier studies may be cited. Gruhn and Douglass reported in 1956 that the most common practice was to have a six-period day (53 percent of the schools) or a seven-period day (30 percent) of 50- or 55-minute periods (45 percent of the schools). In Lounsbury's survey, class periods generally ran 45-55 minutes with six being the most prevalent and seven running a close second.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Gruhn and Douglass, op. cit. p. 345-346.

WilliamVan Til, Gordon F. Vars, and John H. Lounsbury, Modern Education for the Junior High School Years. Indianapolis, Ind.: Bobbs-Merrill Co., 1961. p. 80.

## IV. Organization for Instruction

TO GROUP or not to group homogeneously? Block-time classes, or complete departmentalization? Study halls, or supervised study during classes? A homeroom or activity period for extraclass and other activities? A central library with librarian and/or classroom libraries? Audiovisual equipment as a supplementary aid to instruction? . . . These are the procedures, methods, or practices reported upon in this chapter. Principals were asked, "Do you have . . ?" Sometimes, "How much do you have . . ?" "What varieties do you have . . ?" "What criteria do you use . . ?" Summaries of their answers to questions such as these are included.

## **Grouping of Pupils in Class Sections**

The grouping of pupils for instructional purposes occurs whether it takes place within the class itself or as pupils are grouped by class sections (where there is more than one class group) according to some pattern of homogeneity. The type of grouping, which in many schools takes place within the class itself, it was believed, was too difficult to determine by means of questionnaires answered by principals. This study, therefore, concerns itself only with the make-up of class sections.

First, the schools were asked whether or not they had more than one class section in each grade. As would be expected, more than 95 percent of the high-enrollment schools had. However, the percentage of the low-enrollment schools with more than one section was much smaller. Only 67 percent of the small junior-senior high schools but 87 percent of the small junior high schools had multiple sections.

The junior high schools were more likely than were the junior-senior high schools to use various criteria in grouping pupils in these sections for more effective learning. In answer to the question, "Do you use homogeneous grouping as a basis for establishing these class sections?" 74 percent of all junior high schools having sections replied that they used such groupings while only 60 percent of the junior-senior high schools did so. In both organizational groups a smaller proportion of low- than high-enrollment schools used this type of grouping to establish sections. For example, 61 percent of

the small junior high schools and 54 percent of the small junior-senior high schools used grouping to establish class sections while 20 percent more of the large schools in both groups used this kind of grouping.

## Criteria Used in Grouping

Table 8 indicates the type or types of criteria used by the schools to determine grouping for the purpose of improving the learningteaching situation. The five criteria most widely used for this purpose were found to be intelligence quotients, school marks, reading levels, standardized achievement test results, and teacher estimates. These may have been used singly or in combination with others. However, because of the large percentages shown using each of the criteria, it is evident that most of the schools used several rather than any single one. Each was used by more than half of all schools of each type and size.

Considerably fewer schools used such criteria as interests, special

aptitudes, and social and physical maturity.

On the whole, smaller proportions of the low-enrollment schools than of high-enrollment schools used most of the criteria implying. that the low-enrollment schools used fewer criteria in determining their homogeneous groups than did those with high enrollments.

The proportions of schools making use of various criteria did not

vary greatly between the two organizational groups.

Variation between grades was not very large. The only notable difference was that the proportion of schools using reading levels as a criterion decreased from grade 7 to grade 9 while the proportion of schools using interests and special aptitudes increased.

In summary, it was found that junior high schools having class sections were more likely than junior-senior high schools to group pupils in these sections for effective learning through the use of various The criteria most commonly used in both junior and juniorsenior high schools were intelligence quotients, school marks, reading levels. at andardized achievement test results, and teacher estimates.

Ratter small differences between junior and junior-senior high schools and between grades were found in the criteria used. Howeyer, small schools tended to use fewer criteria in establishing their groups than did large schools.



				Percel	nt of schoo	Percent of schools using criterion—	erion-		•		Respons	Response analysis
Type and size (enrollment) of school	91	School	Reading level	Standard- ized schieve- ment test results	Teacher esti- mates	Interests	Special aptilitudes	Social maturity	Physical maturity	Other	Item respond- ents	Percent total response
-	2		•	19	•		· 00	•	2	=	12	2
Junfor hier actuals						GRADE	DE 7					
Total 75 to 299 300 and above Junior-senior high schools	26.7.8 2.6.8 2.4.0 2.4.0	20 20 20 12 1. 2 1. 4. 20	87.17.8 8.1.2.	4 80,80,80 80,40,60,	80 80 80 84 63 67 84 69 80	8 3.28 3.1.89 3.1.80	25.2 32.3 32.3	3.33.6 3.4.6	<b>#</b> 888 <b>4</b> 80	₩00	416 119 297	2.08 8.00 8.00 8.00
125 to 499. 500 and above.	88.88.88 86.53.88	86.7 79.6 77.7	70.3 79.5	2 2 2 2 2 2 4 - 0	2.05 2.05 83.05	25.0 31.9	<b>25</b> 28 28	<b>8</b> 5.00 <b>8.</b> 1.00 <b>8.</b> 1.00 <b>8.</b> 1.00	<b>3</b> 5 5 5	*00	<b>25</b> 88 95	7. 66.3 4.65
Junior high schools						GRADE	DE 8					
Total 300 and above Junior-senior high schools	26.7.28 26.6.6.2 26.00	88 88 88 82 0.8 4 80 0.	<b>2.</b> 50 €	# 03 03 • 05 05 • 35 35	<b>ష</b> 4.8. • ట ల	31.2 37.6 37.6	888 880 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80	32.5 36.0 36.0	31.7 31.7	4.1. 0.	<b>467</b> 1115 287	881.7
Total 125 to 499 600 and above	\$6.5 \$6.0 \$6.0 \$6.0 \$6.0 \$6.0 \$6.0 \$6.0 \$6.0	201.19 201.7 201.5	58.3 78.1	28.28 2.28 2.38 3.38	2.4.88 5.4.89 5.7.1	20.5 3.0.4 4.1.4	35.72 35.72	32.0 37.0	200 4 1.4	400	22.22	<b>1,8</b> 85 € 25 €
Junior high schools			.			GRADE	DE 9					
Total 75 to 299. 300 and above Junior-senior high schools	86.0 86.0 86.0 86.0 8	87.7. 87.7. 87.7.	± 2.€. • ∞ ∞	87.78 87.9 97.9	<b>3</b> 333 <b>4</b> √6	4.8.4. 8.2.6.	31.0 3.1.0 6.0 6.0	32.8 37.9	22:3 24:13 34:13	000	282 422 202 203	28.73 26.08 30.00
Total	86.77.88 86.55	81.2 74.5 90.2	<b>2</b> 884	\$ 35.00 € 77.00 € 77.00	8.05 9.05	38.5	# 10.	<b>2</b> 8	28.52	•.0	. \$18	80 80



## Grouping for Effective Learning

Of the junior and junior-senior high schools which used homogeneous grouping as a basis for establishing class sections, the highest proportions made such groupings in mathematics alone and in combination with other subjects, and English alone and in combination. (See tables 9 and 10.) In grades 7 and 8, approximately 9 out of 10 schools used these subjects for grouping while only about 3 out of 4 of the schools grouped on the basis of social studies or science. The use of social studies and science as a basis for grouping reached approximately 8 out of 10 for junior-senior high schools.

Table 9.—Percentage distribution of junior high schools having homogeneous groups by subject areas or combinations of areas, grade, and type and size of school

		Grade	<del>,</del> .	Î	Grade	8		Grade	)
Subject matter areas		1	llment		Enr	ollment		Enro	liment
	Total	75 to 299	300 and above	Total	75 to 299	300 and above	Total	75 to 299	300 and above
Total English (only) Social studies (only) Mathematics (only) Science (only)	4.6	100.0 1.0 .0 1.9	100,0 3.4 .0 5.4	100, 0 2, 9 . 2 4. 3	100, 0 1. 0 1. 0 3. 8	100.0 3.4 .0 4.4	100.0 2.0 .0 7.7	100,0 .0 .0 11.7	100.0 2.3 .0 7,2
English and social studies. English and mathematics. English and science. Mathematics and science English, social studies, and mathematics.	2.8 8.9 .5 1.8	1. 9 1. 0 1. 0 1. 0	3. 0 11: 1 . 3 2. 0	2.4 8.8 .5 2.6	.0 1.0 3.8 1.0 1.0	2.7 10.1 .3 3.0	2.0 12.5 .3 5.8	.0 2.4 2.4 2.4 9.3	.4 2.0 13.8 .0 5.4
English, mathematics, and science.  English, social studies, mathematics, and science	9. 8 4. 2 63. 8	6. 5. 4. 7 81. 1	107 4. 0 59. 4	7.7 5.9	3.8	8. 8 6. 4	6. 6	4. 9 20. 6	6. 9 15. 7
All others	92.8	95.82	92.0	92.7	78.7 1.0 93.0	92.6	86.0	46. 1 . 0	45.9
Total mathematics Total science  Response analysis	76. 9 93. 6 70. 4	89: 3 95: 8 86: 6	73. 5 93. 0 66. 5	75. 1 94. 1 73. 6	85. 4 95. 8 84. 4	72. 4 93. 7 70. 7	54. 9 94. 9 69. 0	53.0 94.7 78.5	86. 9 55. 1 95. 0 67. 8
Item respondents. Percent total response	406 85. 3	108 76. 8	298 89. 5	402 84. 6	105 74. 9	297 89. 1	304 85. 0	43 75. 8	261 89. 3

While a higher proportion of the small than of the large junior high schools practiced homogeneous grouping in the 7th and 8th grades, no regular pattern was evident in any grade in the junior-senior high schools.

In grades 7 and 8 large majorities of each group of schools used such grouping in all four subjects—English, social studies, mathematics, and science. In the 9th grade approximately half the schools, a great plurality, grouped pupils in these four subjects. In the 7th



and 8th grades no other combinations of subjects attracted large proportions of schools but in the 9th grades the combination of English, mathematics, and science provided the basis for grouping in rather large proportions of schools and the combination of English and mathematics attracted the next highest proportion.

Table 10.—Percentage distribution of junior-senior high schools having homogeneous groups by subject areas or combinations of areas, grade, and type and size of school

		Grado 7	; 		Grade 8	1		Grade (	)
Subject matter areas		Enro	llment		Enro	llment		Enro	llment
	Total	125 to 499	500 and above	Total	125 to 499	500 and above	Total	125 to 499	500 and above
Total	100, 0	100, 0	100, 0	100, 0	100, 0	100, 0	100, 0	100, 0	100,0
English (only)	2. 1	1. 1	3.5	3. 3					
Social studies (only)	n .	.0	0.0	. 2	2.8	4.0	5.0	2. 7	8.3
Mathematics (only)	2.1	2. 2	2.0	2. 2	1.9	2.7	. 2	0	. 5
Science (only)	.6	1. 1		2	.0	.5	7. 2 2	9. 0	4.6
English and social studies.	1. 2	1. 1	1.4	1.6	1.9	1.3	1.8	. 0	.5
English and mathematics	6. 1	7.6	4.0	8.3	9. 3	7.1	11.7	1. 8 10. 8	1.8
English and science. Mathematics and science.	.6	.0	1.4	.0	.0		2	0	12. 9
English, social studies, and	1.5	1.1	2.0.	1.3	. 9	1.7	3.6	4. 5	. 5 2. 3
mathematics	3.6						• • •	7. 0	2. 3
English, mathematics, and	3. 0	4.4	2.5	4.7	5. 5	3. 5	5.2	6.3	3. 7
science	5.1	5. 4	امدا			1	· [	***	<b></b> ,
English, social studies.	J. 1	J. 4	4.6	6.1	7.4	4.4	11.1	10.8	11. 5
mathematics, and science	77.1	76.1	78, 2	71.6	69. 5		!		
All others	0.	. 0	.0	.5	. 9	74.3	51.8	51.4	<b>52</b> . 5
Magal Parks						.0	1.9	2.7	1.0
Total English	95. 8	95. 7	96. 1	96, 2	97.3	94.7	87.0	83. 8	91.7
Total social studies	81.9	81.6	82.4	78.6	77. 9	79. 7	61.1	62. 2	91.7 59.5
Total mathematics	95. 4	96.8	93. 5	94.3	94.5	93. 9	91.9	94.6	88. 1
Total science	84. 9	83.8	86.4	79. N	78.8	81.0	68.4	68.5	68. 2
Response analysis						==== =			189. 2
I tem respondents	298								
Percent total response.	74.8	92	197	334	108	226	328	111	217
control icsponse	11.8	72.8	79. 0	74. 8	71.8	80.6	74.8	73.8	77.4

# Block-time Classes and Unified Learning Experiences

Complete departmentalization as represented by a different teacher for each class period prevailed in the 9th grade of the large schools and was least common in the 7th grade of the small junior-senior high schools. (See table 11.) Traditionally, 9th grades were departmentalized and 7th grades as part of the elementary school were not. In the transition, the junior-senior high school appears more often to retain the one-teacher arrangement at the 7th-grade



level than does the separately organized junior high school. Complete departmentalization was practiced by the schools in the study roughly as follows: 50 percent of the 7th grades, 60 percent of the 8th grades, and 80 percent of the 9th grades.

In small schools pupils necessarily have more than one class a day with a single teacher. The extent to which this occurs through expediency or perhaps tradition can be seen in table 11 by totaling columns 3, 4, and 6 for each type and size of school. Roughly two to three times as many small schools as large are found at any grade level. Column 5 presents a somewhat different situation. In both

Table 11.—Percentage distribution of schools, by number of periods that pupils are assigned to a single teacher, by grade, and type and size of school

Type and size (enrollment) of	Num	ber of per	iods pupil	s are assi	gned to t	eacher—	Respons	e analysi
school school	Total	3	Nearly all	2 to 3 consec- utive	2 to 3 non- consec- utive	Single only	Item respondents	Percen total respons
1	2	3	4		•	7	8	•
Junior high schools				GR	ADE 7			
Total. 75 to 299 300 and above.  Junior-senior high schools	100. 0 100. 0	1. 5 1. 7 1. 5	3. 3 2. 8	22. 9 38. 4	14. 1 20. 8 11. 1	47. 8 51. 3 46. 2	<b>628</b> 240 398	<b>93.</b> 88. 95.
Total	100. 0 100. 0	9.4 11.1 4.3	8.7 6.0 4.9	17. 8 16. 1 20. 7	21, 4 25, 0 10, 9	46, 2 41, 8 59, 2	<b>639</b> 316 304	85, 1 83, 3 88, 0
Junior high schools				GRA	DE 8	!		
Total	100. 0 100. 0 100. 0	0.9 .8 1.0	1.7 2.5 1.3	22, 1 18, 9 23, 5	12, 1 20, 9 9, 6	<b>62, 2</b> 56, 9 64, 6	<b>634</b> 239 395	92, e 88, 5 93, 6
Total. 25 to 499. (R) and above.	100.0 100.0	8.9 5.0 .9	3.6 4.1 2.0	15. 3 14. 5 17. 6	20. 4 24. 5 9. 0	<b>56</b> , 8 51. 9 70. 5	<b>685</b> 339 346	84. 4 82. 4 87. 2
Junior high schools		·		GRA	DE 9			
Total	100. 0 100. 0	1,4 .9 1.5	1, 2 3, 5 . 6	9. 1 11. 3 8. 5	20.0	80. 6 64. 3 84. 8	444 2. 115 329	93, 7 88. 8 96. 1
ll and shove	100. 0 100. 0	1. 2	1.3		12.9   8	33. 3 30. 0 12. 4	681 340 341	84. <b>0</b> 83. 0



the 7th and 8th grades of both types of schools the percentage of large schools having 2 to 3 consecutive periods with a teacher exceeded that for small schools. For this, the planned block-time and core classes were largely responsible.

In order that this survey might present more direct information about the status of block-time classes, principals were asked to indicate whether their schools have planned block-time classes (a class remaining with one teacher for two or more consecutive periods and combining two or more required subjects that would otherwise be taught separately). The response for the four types of schools was as follows:

Type of school	Percent reporting block-time classes
Junior high schools	
Total	43.5
75 to 299	28. 2
300 and above	50.7
Junior-senior high schools	
Total	21. 2
125 to 499	
500 and above	

These figures represent an increase in the number of block-time classes in both junior and junior-senior high schools since 1956-57 when the data reported in the last Office study in this field were gathered. In the following tabulations, the 1959-60 percentages have been adjusted to make them comparable with the percentages shown for the earlier years. The smaller schools, junior high schools of less than 75 enrollment and junior-senior high schools of less than 125 enrollment, were excluded from the present survey but included in the 1948-49 and 1956-57 studies. The adjustments were made on the assumption that none of these schools had block-time classes in 1959-60. Therefore, the percentages shown in this table are probably conservative estimates. They, nevertheless, point to a trend.

	1948-49 3	1956-57	1959-60
Junior high schools	15. 8	31. 4	40. 0
Junior-senior high schools	6.4	12-1	16 4

In each of the three studies the large junior high schools reported the most extensive use of block-time classes. Since size groupings in the earlier studies differed from those in the present survey, comparisons relative to size cannot be made.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Grace S. Wright, Block-time Classes and the Core Program in the Junior High School, U.S. Office of Education Bulletin 1958, No. 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Grace S. Wright, Core Curriculum in Public High Schools. U.S. Office of Education Bulletin 1950, No. 5.

## Subjects in Block-time Classes

Since the 1930's when experimental work with core programs was begun in some secondary schools, language arts and social studies have been the subjects most often combined or replaced in the block-of-time that serves as the organizational pattern for such programs. The present study (table 12) also shows a sizable number of schools having a mathematics-science block. Frequently schools will have both subject combinations, one teacher having a group of pupils for the language arts-social studies block-time class and another teaching them mathematics and science in a block of time.

Table 12.—Percent of schools with block-time classes, having specified subject combinations, by grade, and type and size of school

	· .		Subj	ect combin	ations		
Type and size (enrollment) of school	Language arts-social studies	Language arts-social studies- science	Language arts-social studies- mathe- matics	Language arts-social studies- mathe- matics- science	Science- mathe- matics	Social studies- science	Other
Termion black				GRADE 7			
Junior high schools Total	86. 8 71. 6 82. 0	4.6	2,7 1.5 2.9	12. 3 6. 0 13. 6	14, 8 29, 9 15, 0	2, 0 5, 9 1, 0	1. 4. 2.
Total	56, 5 55, 4	6.4 8.9	& 1 6.4 3.0	9,6 9,5 9,9	22, 3 26, 4 19, 8	2.7 3.2 2.0	8. 4.
Junior high schools	١,		. (	RADE 8		<u>-</u>	
Total	44.8 44.8 49.5	2.6 1.5 2.9	1.7 3.0 1.5	2.6 7.5 2.4	13. 4 22. 4 11. 2	2.1 2.0 1.9	1, 8 4, 8
Total	54. 4 54. 0 52. 5	4.8 6.9	2.4 3.2 1.0	4.2 3.2 5.9	30. 3 22. 2 16. 8	2.8 1.6 5.0	<b>5.</b> 1 7. 9 . 0
Junior high schools			a	RADE 9			
Total. 5 to 299. 00 and above Junior-senior high schools	16. 4 11. 9 17. 5	0.8 1.5 .0	0.4 .0 .5	1.2 4.5 .5	2.8 6.0 1.5	1.8 1.0	•.4 .0 .5
Total	8.8 12.0 11.9	1.4	1.0	1.0 1.4	44	1.0	

The decrease in the percentages shown for all subject combinations from grade 7 to grade 8 to grade 9 marks the decrease in the use of block-time and core classes as the pupil progresses through the junior high school grades.



### **Methods of Unifying Teaching**

The degree of subject-matter unification in block-time classes varies by schools and by teachers within a school: It varies primarily in accordance with the philosophy or purpose recognized by the staff. Frequently that purpose is limited to providing a gradual transition from the elementary school to the senior high school or to providing for guidance within the classroom by giving each group of pupils one teacher who has an opportunity to know them better than do their other class teachers. In such cases any unification of subject matter is likely to be through individual teacher initiative. It is because of the failure of most schools to develop a program that has the content or procedural characteristics of core that the term "block-time" has come into common usage. When, on the other hand, a school's purpose in providing block-time classes includes the development of problem-centered units which pupils can recognize as significant for them in their living today or as adult members of society a few years hence, subject-matter lines will be broken down to provide for the best possible integration of learning.

As can be seen from the response analysis in table 13, the response to the item asking principals to indicate the plans their schools follow in providing unified learning experiences was somewhat low, particularly for the junior-senior high schools. If the reader were to assume that most of the nonresponse occurred when the school did nothing to unify learning experiences, the percentages in column 2 would be increased. That is, the actual percentages of schools making little or no provision for unifying learning experiences of pupils could be said to fall between the following numbers for each grade level. <sup>3</sup>

,	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 9
Junior high schools		33.8 to 39.3	39.8 to 47.4
Junior-senior high schools	40.2 to 51.3	39.3 to 45.6	46.5 to 54.2

In general, the percentages for "little or none" are larger for the 9th than the 7th and 8th grades, which is to be expected, since the 9th grade assumes more of the characteristics of the senior high school.

Considering the nonresponse as "no provision" obviously would lower proportionately the percentages for each of the plans reported in columns 3, 4, and 5 of table 13. For example, the percentage of junior high schools organizing block-time classes in grade 7 for the purpose of providing unified learning experiences would be reduced from 32.9 to 30.9, and of junior-senior high schools from 19.8 to 16.1.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This analysis covers nonresponse bias only. Sampling error must still be considered as shown in the Technical Appendix.

Respondents were presented with three possible methods for providing unified learning experiences. (See table 13.) The fact that the percentages of schools checking block-time classes as a method are lower than those reported on page 20 as having block-time classes is not surprising since, as has been stated, some schools consider their purpose for these classes to be related primarily to guidance and only incidentally if at all to instructional content and method.

Schools reporting the correlation of teaching or the unification of instruction around a central theme are frequently those that have also checked block-time classes. In some instances, however, they are not. These latter may be either (1) schools that provide the same

Table 13.—Percent of schools using specified plans for unifying learning experiences, by grade, and type and size of school

	Plan for	unifying l	earning exp	eriences-	Respons	e analysi
Type and size (enrollment) of school	Little or none	Block- time classes	Teachers plan to- gether to correlate teaching	Teachers unify in- struction around central theme	Item	Percentotal response
1	3	8	4		6	7
Junior high schools			GRA	DE 7	<u>'</u>	
Total. 75 to 299. 300 and above.  Junior-senior high schools	<b>30, 1</b> 32, 0 29, 1	<b>32, 9</b> 19. 7 <b>39</b> . 0	38, 8 38, 0 38, 8	12, 5 17, 1 10, 4	<b>00</b> 8 234 374	87, 1 86, 6 89, 8
Tetal. 125 to 499. 500 and above.	41.0 37.6	29, 8 17. 2 27. 7	42, 1 41, 3 48, 8	16. 8 17. 3 14. 0	, 506 , 206 212	<b>76, 1</b> 70, 1 70, 1
Junior high schools			GRAD	E 8		
Total. 75 to 299 300 and above.  Junier-senier high schools	33.8 35.9 32.9	22.4 14.7 27.4	40.7 39.4 41.3	12. 1 17. 3 11. 1	231 368	86, e 85, 5 87, 2
Total	30, 2 40, 3 36, 4	12.7 12.7 16.6	34. 9 37. 8 43. 4	14. 5 15. 2 12. 5	<b>636</b> 315 313	77, 2 76, 6 78, 9
Junior high schools			GRAD	E 9	· ·	
Total. 5 to 299. 00 and above  Junior-cenier high schools	30, 8 35, 5 40, 9	12.0 12.1 12.0	26, 8 41, 4 38, 2	14.3 25.3 12.7	200 90 201	81.9 76.4 85.0
Tetal 16 to 499. 10 and above.	46.8 47.0 44.9	6.3	30. 2 38. 1 47. 5	14.2 14.6 13.3	506 302 294	72.8 72.6 74.1



teacher for two or more subjects but because of administrative difficulties have not found it feasible to schedule the classes in consecutive periods, or (2) schools that through careful planning among their staffs are attempting unification with a different-teacher-for-each-subject approach. It is interesting to note that for these two practices percentages of use vary only slightly from grade to grade.

## Homeroom and Activity Periods

Approximately two-thirds of all schools in the study except the small junior high school group had an activity or homeroom period of at least 15 minutes in length meeting one or more times a week. Roughly half of the small junior high schools reported such a period. The percentage difference for this group may be due to the inclusion of eight-grade schools which called their 7th and 8th grades a junior high school but which had not departmentalized these grades.

Tunion high schools	
Junior high schools	Percent
75 to 299	20.
300 and above	<b>52</b> . <b>7</b>
300 and above	66 9
Junior-senior high schools	00.0
125 to 499	69.4
500 and above	<b>63</b> . <b>4</b>
OOU BIRL BOOVE	RA 9

The purpose of the item was to discover the number of schools setting aside a period of time during the school day for guidance and extraclass activities. Respondents were asked not to report short check periods used primarily for record-taking and other administrative routines. Therefore, no period of less than 15 minutes was considered acceptable as an affirmative response.

In some schools the type of period which is the concern of this section is designated the homeroom period; it is relatively brief—15 to 20 minutes as a rule. Such a period provides a minimum of time for homeroom guidance functions. In other schools, the period is designated an activity period. It is at least 30 minutes long; frequently its length is the same as that of the regular class periods. This period may be used for a variety of types of activity, especially when it is scheduled daily.

Tables 14 and 15 point to several different practices in the programing of the homeroom or activity period. Three occur most often: (1) Schools may schedule only guidance and general homeroom activities during the period. This practice was more typical of the junior high schools, than it was of the junior-senior schools. (2) They may schedule both guidance and extraclass activities, which is a practice more typical of the junior-senior than of the junior high schools.

ERIC

Full Task Provided by ERIC

Ass Gu U Gui Ext M Gui

Gu Ext

Item Pero

Tab

Guidai Extrac Assemi Guidai tiviti Ghidar Extraci

Extraci assen Guidan ties, a

Res Item res Percent

T

(3) Tities, found

able 14.—Percentage distribution of junior high schools with a homeroom or activity period, by type of activity, grade, and size of school

	-	Grade	7   1 , 1		Grade	8		Grade	9
Type of activity		Enro	llment	,	Enro	llment	1	Enro	llment
h .	Total	75 to 300	and above	Total	75 to 200	and above	Total	75 to 200	and above
Total idance (only) iraciass activities (only) emblies (only). Idance and extraciass ac-	100, 0 31, 3 5, 1	100, 0 31, 0 10, 6 1, 8	100,0 31.5 3.2 .0	100, 0 30, 9 5, 1	100, 0 30, 4 10, 7 1, 8	100, 0 31, 1 2, 2	100,0 28.6 4.8 1.3	160, 0 18, 8 14, 1	100, 0 30, 8 2.8
vitiesdance and assemblies. raciass activities and semblies	14. 8 10. 7	13.3 12.4	1& 8 10. 1	15.6 9.7	13.4 12.5	16.3 8.7	16. 0 7. 7	18.8	15. 4 7. 9
dance, extracions activ- ies, and assemblies	33.4	.0 <b>2</b> 1.0	4.6	4.2	.0	8.6	4.6	.0	5.6
Total guidance and			34.8	33.8	31.2	34. 6	87.0	89.1	36.4
general homercom s Total clubs and extra- class activities		87. 7	91. 2	90.0	87. 5	90.7	89.3	83.0	90. 5
Total assemblies	57. 8 48. 7	54. 9 45. 2	58. 4 50. 0	58. 7 48. 4	56.3 45.5	59.7 49.5	62.4 50.6	72.0 48.5	60. 2
Response analysis respondents sat total response	361 86. 1	113 79. 7	948 80. 8	363 86. 7	112 79.0	261 90. 9	278 87. 2	64 81. 9	214 90. 3

le 15.—Percentage distributions of junior-senior high schools with a neroom or activity period, by type of activity, grade, and size of school

		Grade	7		Grade	8	1	Grade	•
Type of activity		Enre	ollment		Enre	llment		Enro	llment
	Total	125 to 499	800 and above	Total	125 to 499	800 and	Total	125 to	800 and
retal	100,0	100,0	100, 0	100, 0	100,0	100, 0	100, 0	100.0	100,0
lass activities (only) blies (only) los and extracless ac-	17. 7 6. 6 1. 8	19.1 8.8 1.7	14.0 8.6 2.2	18.1 6.6 1.6	19.6 6.2 1.5	14. 3 7. 6 1. 9	16.3 7.0 1.8	17.6 6.2 1.6	12 9 9 1 2 4
es	22.7 6.4	23. 1 6. 2	21. 5 9. 7	22.6 & 5	23. 2 1. 6	21.0 7.6	21. 9 4. 5	23.3 3.6	18.2 6.7
ce, extraciass activi- nd assemblies	8.8	9.8	8.9	8.5	9.8	8.2	8.2	- 9.3	8.3
otal guidance and	36.1	36.8	38. 2	37, 1	35. 1	42.4	40. 3	38.3	45.5
general homeroom otal clubs and extra- class activities	82.9	82.7	83.4	83. 3	82. 5	86. 3	83.0	82.8	83. 3
otal assemblies	74.2 53.1	74. 0 52. 0	74. 2 56. 0	74.8 52.7	74. 3 51. 0	76. 2 57. 1	77.4 54.8	77.1 52.8	78. 1 59. 9
ponse analysis pondents total response	<b>359</b> 77.0	178 74. 7	186 83. 4	404 77.1	194 78. 1	210 82. 9	402 77. 1	193 74.6	200 83. 9

hey may provide for a combination of guidance, extraclass activand assemblies. This was the predominant pattern and was in 31 to 39 percent of the junior high schools and in 35 to 45

percent of the junior-senior high schools, variations being by grade level and size of school.

Of the three functions of the homeroom period considered, that which includes guidance and general homeroom activities was the most prevalent. It was reported by 83 to 90 percent of the junior high schools responding to this item and by roughly 83 percent of the junior-senior high schools. The second most common function was that which includes clubs and extraclass activities, found in 55 to 72 percent of these junior high schools and in about 75 percent of junior-senior high schools. Assemblies were a function in 45 to 51 percent of junior and 51 to 60 percent of junior-senior schools.

Table 16 indicates the frequency and length of homeroom and activity periods according to each of the three functions stated in the questionnaire. For all functions, periods of 15 to 30 minutes, or more than 40 minutes were more common than was the 31 to 40-minute period.

The guidance and general homeroom function was most frequently scheduled on a once-a-week basis—34 to 48 percent of the schools, with the highest percentages (45 to 48) for the large junior-senior high school. Two- or three-times-a-week scheduling was found in 10 to 17 percent of all schools except the small junior high school, in which considerable variation existed. A sizable percentage of schools, predominantly those with activity periods of the shortest length, had guidance and general homeroom activities daily. A further examination of the returns revealed these to be the type of period previously referred to as the homeroom period of 15 to 20 minutes.

Approximately 60 percent of the junior high schools and 50 percent of the junior-senior high schools having clubs and similar extraclass activities as a function of the activity period scheduled them once a week. These extraclass activities were scheduled twice a week in 15 to 20 percent of the junior high schools and the small junior-senior high schools and in about 30 percent of the large junior-senior high schools. Ten to 15 percent of the small schools of both types provided for extraclass activities three times a week, a practice about half as common in the large schools. Roughly 5 percent of all schools reported daily scheduling of extraclass activities.

Assemblies very generally were scheduled once a week by schools including them as a function of the activity period. Variations from this practice are small and most of these are in the less-than-once-a-week group.

In general, table 16 indicates only small variations in practice among grades within a type and size of school. Grade 9 of the small junior high school presents somewhat wider differences from grades 7 and 8 than is found in the other three groups due probably to many schools



Table 16.—Percentage distribution of schools scheduling given types of activities in a homeroom or activity period, by number of activity periods per week scheduled, length of period, grade, and type and size of school は、はまでまってのの More than 40 **ผ**ืนผีนนนา • ~ ผอ ~ ~ ค **4**4444... \$ **ユ**ースススレン 31 22 Grade 9 38 88 15 to 30 5.44.44. 6.84.84.0 **8**444444 •040844 Total 8-8445K above) More than 40 **4**-4--00 HIUH SCHOOLS (75 to 299) **に**したるる…る。 ●第0~3番3 ğ Length of period in minutes for 8 **4**-84444 31 to 40 はならなるな… SCHOOLS **Orade** 82 BE 15 to 30 **ತ**್ತನ್ನನ್ನು ಬಿಡ್ಡ ಕಾಬಕಾಶಾಬ JUNIOR HIGH JUNIOR **3**w±c2a3 • **•** • • • • • • • • Total E-Pudod **പ**്ര്വർഗ് **പ**്ര്വർഗ് More th:un 40 8-7-4-1-4 • 8 9 7 4 8 7 GUIDANCE AND GENERAL HOMEROOM FUNCTIONS **A**-84448 \$ \$ **ふ**ならなよな… **の**さもててるす 3 Grade 7 ឌី**ឌ 32** 15 to 30 **端** "流"之总改成 **3** 4 2 0 0 0 0 **ရ**ို့လို့ ရှိရုပ်ခဲ့ ရှိ • ၈၈ – ၈၈ ၈ \$485.00X Total Under 1 Under 1 Item respondents.
Percent total response. Item respondents
Percent tetal response Number of activity periods per week Response analysis Response analysis Joseph J. ₹ 681307 0-68-



Table 16—Continued

A. GUIDANCE AND GENERAL HOMEROOM FUNCTIONS—Continued

					Leng	h of perion	Length of period in minutes for-	· tor-				
Number of activity periods per week		Orade 7	e 7		-	O	Urade 8	-		Ora	Orade 9	
	Total .	15 to 30	31 to 40	More than 40	Total	15 to 30	31 to 40	More than 40	Total	15 to 30	31 50 40	More than 40
				IV.II	ORSEN	OR HIG	JUNIOR-SENIOR HIGH SCHOOLS (125 to 1994)	LS (125 to	35			
Total Under 1	8.2	3	3	R	3.	3-	<b>2</b>	8		52.0	2	×
	85	. 20.0 . 20.0 . 30.0	*C =	<b>*</b>	, A	15.	600		7 F		. 7.	==
		ž.	2	_	n +:	10.2		+ x	₹ <u>₹</u>	r- r- 3 3	6.6	•
	= ×	4 41 5	**	9 6°	22	÷ 0	-15 00	1 6 6 1 7 6 1 7 6	2.6	±.0.		बं ला भर्र -
Response analysis		2										
Isom respondents. Percent total response		. 139 75. 8	ar.			13 81.2	7			31: 6:	<b></b>	
				JUNIOR	C-SENIO	RHIOH	JUNIOR-SENIOR HIGH SCHOOLS (500 and above)	500 and	shove)			
Under	<b>8</b> .	<b>61.8</b>	17.6	3,4	Ĭ.	3	16.9			3	16.9	=
	\$	. <del>.</del>		N.	4.3.	7.50	E (N -	77	स्य जै क क	15.5	~~ €	™ <b>N</b>
		- 40 d	i mi	== • CV (	22.0	) ()	+ à	<b>3</b> -	17.3	o	4 W	/
	. z	12.8	1.3	7 0 - 7	12.	13.2	1.3	22	17.2	-=	cc	× = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
Bespense analysis		,										
Item respondents. Percent total response		3. 7. 25.	•			2		**************************************		174	0	<b>4</b>



Table 16—Continued

B. CLUBS AND SIMILAR EXTRACLASS ACTIVITIES

					JUNIOR	JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOLS (73 to 299)	HOOPE	75 to 299)				
Under I	8.184.144 6.174.144	බ් ජින්තු . කරනය ගරර	は、気息なりも	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 6 7 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8	6-24-44 6-144	\$ .50 m 4	#088864 #   ##################################	4-844-	8. 50.044 0.00044	ಕ್ಕೆ .ಪ್ರಂತ್ರ ಕಾಂಡಂಬಂಂ	र्थ , ख्रुब्न , न क्षात्रकथात्रक	<b>4</b> 4444
Item respondents. Percent total response.		25 25 8				28	80		*	3%	-	
				or.	JUNIOR HIGH		SCHOOLS (300 and above)	and above				
Under 1.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2		442.84	<b>1</b> 444.14	<b>4</b> 454	ब्रै:- ब्रैट्रेड्य्स •ययक्ष्यक	246.04 ************************************	<b>ಷ್</b> ಭನ್ನ ಚ ಕಾತಾಬರ್ಣಂ	はる。なるよう。	हुं रुष्टी से ब्रुप्त • जनक न तथ	बैपवें ए. व • क क च = ८ % %	ब्रुंच्छस् ं . न •०न्यसळळच	11-12-4 1-24-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
Item respondents. Percent total response.		15 28 2	7			147				82	-	

Table 16—Continued

B. CLUBS AND SIMILAR EXTRACLASS ACTIVITIES—Continued

•		ì			Lengt	Length of period in minutes for—	in minute	s for—				
Number of activity periods per week		Grade 2	. 7			Gra	Grade 8			Ora	Grade 9	
	Total	15 to 30	31 to 40	More than 40	Total	15 to 30.	31 to 40	, More than 40	Total	15 to 30	31 to 40	More than 40
•				NOr "	IOR-SEN	IOR HIGH	н всноо	JUNIOR-SENIOR HIGH SCHOOLS (125 to 199)	489)			
Total	<b>6</b> 40000404 ●4000000		は で な な な な よ い の み い	ಕ್ಕೆ .ಸ್ಪ್ರಕೃಚ್ಚ ಆಯಾಜನ-14ರ	<b>6</b> €. €. €. €. €. €. €. €. €. €. €. €. €.	ಕ್ಕೆ . ಬೆತ್ತಣ್ಣಭಭ ಕಾಗ್ಯಂತ - ಅಭ	# #r.800	<b>ସ୍ଟି</b> ପ୍ଟିନ୍ସ୍ପସ କଥ୍ୟ ଉତ୍ୟୟ	6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 7.00 6.00 6.00	ಷ್ಟೆ .25.ರ.ನ.444 ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಿಕ್ಕಳಗಳ	84-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	<b>1</b> 444444444444444444444444444444444444
Kesponse analysis  Itam respondents.  Percent total response.		123 75.9	<b>3</b>			E1 K	138 76.8			2,	442 * 75.5	
•				JUNIO	JUNIOR-SENIOR		SCHOOL	HIGH SCHOOLS (500 and above)	above)	}		
Total Under I	<b>9</b> <b>9</b> <b>9</b> <b>9</b> <b>9</b> <b>9</b> <b>9</b> <b>9</b> <b>9</b> <b>9</b>	#	# # 0 # 0.7.0 # 0.7.0	#440 644 644 644 644 644 644 644 644 644	100.0 160.0 160.0 129.5 150.5 17.6	# : ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	<b>6</b> . Quyd-i-i <b>8</b> 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0	44554	€ 4 4 8 4 4 8 4 6 4 8 4 8 4 8 4 8 4 8 4 8	20.00.11 20.00.11 20.00.11 20.00.11	ಕ್ಕೆ .ಎ.ಗಳಳ್ಳ ಕಾಬ್ಬಬಬಾಬ	<b>વ</b> 4 ઍ ઍ જે અ
Item respondents. Fercent total response.		121 123	9			35 35 35 35 35 35	, m			90	. 251 6.28	

Table 16—Continued
C. ASSEMBLIES

					JUNIOR	JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOLS (75 to 299)	CHOOLS	(75 to 299)				
Total Under 1 2 or more.	24 25 1 28 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1	221 221	16.8 16.8	<b>2</b> 4 전 8 1 2 0	######################################	<b>द</b> ्ययेप •0≈0	8.41 0.0 0.0 0.0	# 0 % 0 .	<b>6</b> 4 8 4 <b>6</b> 4 8 4 <b>6</b> 4 8 0 7	ရွိပေးဆိုပ အတကက	16.9 0.0 0.0	<b>3</b> 8
Response analysis Item respondents. Percent total response.		28.83	1			22.23				_ ׿	0.19	
		=		ſ	JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOLS (300 and above)	пон всн	OOLS (30	oga pue o	<b>@</b>			
Under I. 3 or more.	24.8 9.18 9.18 8.18	<b>4</b> 4%	<b>4</b> 080	#4.55 0.140	4 14.8 91.9 8.0.9	44 8 4 4 5 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	<b>4</b> 08	#44 *-40	2012 9212 9212 9212	ಷ್ಟೆಗಳು ಹಾಬ್ಬ	847. 805.	27.1. 0.00
Bespense analysis Item repondents Percent total reponse		រដ្ឋន <u>ុ</u>		,		88				52	]	**

Table 16—Continued
C. ASSEMBLIES—Continued

					Length	Length of period in minutes for-	n minutes	Î				
Number of activity periods per week		Ora	Grade 7			0ra	Orade 8			Grade 9	0.0	
	Total	15 to 30	31 to 40	More than 40	Total	15 to 30	31 to 40	More than 40	Total	15 to 30	31 to 40	More than 40
				Nor	JUNIOR-SENIOR HIGH SCHOOLS (128 to 499)	IOR HIG	н всноо	L8 (126 to	(66)			
Total Under 1 2 or more	196. 0 5. 8 80. 7 4. 5	ब्रुं ५ थिए • १००४	27.3 23.3 13.8 1.1	¥¤	27.7 27.2 5.2 5.2	<b>ब्रु</b> लब्रैस <b>ब</b> ारुः	<b>4</b> 444.	<b>₹</b> 6 <b>4</b> 1	8.584 €1.00	<b>ಷ್</b> ಚಗೆ:ಇ ಎಂಎಂ	<b>4</b> 47.1	# 4 % .
Response analysis Item respondents Percent total response		87 78.6	ø.			76.4	•			85. 25.2	~	
				JUNIC	JUNIOR-SENIOR HIGH SCHOOLS (500 and above)	в нюн	всноог	9 (800 and a	(pove)			
Under 1	82 1.0 1.0 1.0	<b>द्यंत्रध्</b> न <b>40</b> 40	<b>1</b> -8	444 • 5 40	21.8 21.8 3.1.8 5.1.8	8448 8448 845	8.01 8.00	4:18 8:38 8:48	16.9 16.2 30.4 31.3	26 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46	17.1.0. 6.0.	4:34 3:54
Response analysis Item respondents Percent total response	e	251 A.				81 <u>1</u>				23 g		

in this group being composed of grades 7 and 8 only. The conclusion that schools tend to follow the same practice in scheduling an activity period throughout their junior high school grades would seem to be justified.

Table 17.—Percentage distribution of schools which do not provide for assemblies through the activity period, by frequency of scheduled assemblies, and type and size of school

Type and size (enrollment)	Total		Sched	luled asser	nblies—		Response analysis			
of school	1001	None	As needed	Weekly	Biweekly	Monthly	Item respond- ents	Percent total response		
			JUL	IOR HIC	ЗН 8СНО	OLS	·			
75 to 299	100.0 100.0 100.0	2, 5 1, 8 3, 0	65. 8 67. 5 63. 9	6.9 7.0 6.8	7. 7 .9 12.0	17. 6 22. 8 14. 3	247 114 133	<b>93, 7</b> 89, 6 96, 7		
is.			JUNIOR	SENIOR	HIGH 80	HOOLS				
Total	100.0 100.0 100.0	2.0 1.6 2.4	63. 4 56. 4	9.7 9.0 12.0	8,6 8.1 13.6	16, 7 17, 9 18, 6	348 123 125	84. 8 84. 4 80. 2		

Schools not providing for assemblies through an activity period were asked to report the frequency with which assemblies are scheduled. Approximately two-thirds of both junior and junior-senior high schools scheduled assemblies as they were needed. (See table 17.) Among schools scheduling assemblies at regular intervals, the 1-month interval was the most popular, particularly among the small schools. The large junior-senior high schools made about equal use of weekly, biweekly, and monthly intervals. That assemblies are a recognized part of a school's total program is clear from the small percentage (2 percent) that reported having no assemblies.

## Provisions for Study During the School Day

Principals were asked to check the methods by which their schools provided for study during school hours. Tables 18 and 19 not only show the percentage of schools using each of the methods but the percentage using various combinations of these methods. While a very few of the schools responding—in some instances a negligible percent—reported "no provision," there might be added to these a sizable number of the approximately 10 percent of the schools not responding to this item, the inference being that they passed over the item because they did not provide for study.



Table 18.—Percentage distribution of junior high schools by type of provision for study, grade, and size of school

		Grade 7			Grade 8			Grade 9	,
Type of provision for study		Enrol	lment		Enro	lment		Enro	llment
	Total	75 to 29	300 and above	Total	75 to 299	300 and above	Total	75 to 299	300 and above
Total. Supervised study during	100, 0	100, 0	100, 0	100, 0	100, 0	100, 0	100, 0	100, 0	100,
classes (only)	26. 0 9. 9	19. 2 16. 1	<b>29</b> . 1 7. 1	25. 0 9. 9	19. 0 16. 3	27. 7 7. 0	23. 0 10. 4	21. 3 16. 8	23. 8.
periods (only) Supervised study and study	4. 2	3. 1	4. 7	4.0	3. 2	4.3	2.4	3. 6	2.
halls. Supervised study and	19. 6	30.8	14.6	19. 9	30. 0	15. 5	17.8	22. 2	16.
homeroom	13. 1	6. 3 5. 8	16. 2 4. 4	12.7 5.4	6.4	15. 5 4. 9	9. 9 7. 5	4. 5 10. 5	11.3 6.3
halls, and homeroom Other No provision	16.7 2.0 3.8	16.9 .5 1.3	16.4 2.4 5.0	17. 2 2. 3 3. 7	16. 8 1. 0	17. 4 2. 8 4. 9	20.9	19. 4 . 9	21. 2
Total supervised study dur-							6.7		7.0
ing classes.  Total study halls or rooms.  Total homeroom or activity	75. 4 51. 0	73. 2 69. 6	76. 3 42. 5	74. 8 52. 4	72. 2 69. 5	76.1 44.8	71. 6 56. 6	67. 4 68. 9	72. 7 53. 8
Response analysis	38.8	32. 1	42.5	39. 3	32.8	42.1	40. 7	38.0	41.4
				-1			1		
tem respondents	588 85. 1	224 83. 0	364 87. 1	588 84. 7	220 81. 4	368 87. 2	442 92.8	113 87. 3	329 96. 7

Junior high schools more often than junior-senior high schools provided supervised study time during regular classes. This is a time set aside in the lengthened class period for study under the supervision of the subject teacher. On the other hand, junior-senior high schools more often than junior high schools scheduled study halls or rooms for their pupils in grades 7 to 9, indicating again the tendency for these grades in this type school to follow the pattern of grades 10 to 12. The differences are especially marked in grade 9.

According to tables 18 and 19, grades 7 and 8 of the large junior high school were least likely to have study halls for pupils (43 to 45 percent) and grade 9 of the small junior-senior high school was most likely to have them (87 percent). Supervised study during classes was most often found in grades 7 and 8 of the junior high school (approximately 75 percent) and was least often a part of the program of the 9th grade of the junior-senior high school (56 percent).

Little difference was observed between the two types of schools in their use of homeroom or activity periods for study purposes. Roughly 40 percent of all the schools except the small junior high schools in grades 7 and 8, cited this as a method used. The practice no doubt occurs more by accident than by design, i.e., those pupils who for some reason do not participate in the school's extraclass activities program are assigned to a study room. Customarily



Table 19.—Percentage distribution of junior-senior high schools by type of provision for study, grade, and size of school

* .		Grade 7	1		Grade 8			Grade 9	
Type of provision for study		Enro	llment		Enro	llment		Enro	llment
•	Total	125 to 499	500 and above	Total	125 to 499	500 and above	Total	125 to 490	\$00 and
TotalSupervised study during	100, 0	100, 0	100, 0	100, 0	100, 0	100, 0	100, 0	100, 0	100, 0
classes (only)	17. 0 17. 7	15. 1 18. 8	22.4 14.7	14. 8 19. 7	13. 8 20. 9	18.8 16.2	6. 8 26. 2	5. 8 27. 5	9. 8 22. 5
ods (only) Supervised study and study	2.8	2.9	2.6	2.1	1.7	3.2	1.8	1.2	3. 8
halls	23. 2	24. 2	20.5	23. 4	24. 3	21.0	25. 9	26.7	24. (
homeroom	9. 1 10. 8	9. 0 10. 1	9. 2 12. 9	8.7 11.9	8.6 11.3	8. 9 13. 7	5. 0 14. 0	4. 6 13. 3	6. 1 15. 9
halls, and homeroom	18.5 .4	19.1	16.5	18.0	18.6	16.3	18.4	19. 1	16.5
No provision		.4	.8	.8		1.3	1. î	1. 2	1. 1
Total supervised study during classes	67. 8 70. 2	67. 4 72. 2	68. 6 64. 6	64. 9 73. 0	64. 8 75. 1	65. 0 67. 2	56. 1 84. 5	56. 2 86. 6	56. 1 79. 2
periods	41. 2	41.1	41. 2	40.7	40. 2	42.1	39. 2	38. 2	42.0
Response analysis									
tem respondents.	549 74. 4	277 73. 0	272 78. 8	615 74. 7	301 73. 2	314 79.1	691 85. 9	345 84, 2	346 87. 1

also the short homeroom period of 15 to 20 minutes is used for study whenever regular homeroom activities do not require all of the scheduled time.

Tables 18 and 19 reveal that 16 to 21 percent of the schools used all three of the practices for pupil study during the school day—supervision during classes, study halls, and homeroom or activity periods. Clearly to some educators this would indicate a lack of careful planning in the use of pupils' time.

## Library Services

Central libraries with full- or part-time librarians available to assist pupils represented an established practice in all schools in the survey except the small junior high schools. (See table 20.) For these schools enrolling fewer than 300 pupils, 80 percent had at least a room which served as a central library; only slightly more than half of these schools, however, provide any librarian service whatsoever.

More than 50 percent of the schools in each of the four groups in the study provided no classroom libraries in any of their junior high



Table 20.—Percent of schools with selected library services, by type and size of school

						Respons	e analysis				
Type and size (enrollment) of school		with a library		nt of school room libra		Schools with a cen- tral library and a librarian					
	Percent of all schools	Percent having a librarian	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 9	Item respond- ents	Percent total response				
	JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOLS										
Total	90, 6 81. 0 94. 9	81, 1 57, 5 93, 0	45.9 48.4 44.8	42.7 46.7 40.9	36.4 41.4 35.0	<b>883</b> 196 387	98, 4 80, 6 96, 2				
		JUL	NIOR-SEN	NOR HIG	н всно	OL8					
Total 125 to 499. 500 and above.	92, 7 92, 2 98, 0	91. 7 84. 6 98. 3	42, 0 44. 3 39. 6	36.3 36.3 35.6	23. 7 23. 5 24. 3	963 318 345	84. 6 83. 2 88. 4				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Because of the wording of the item relating to classroom libraries, failure to answer the question does not necessarily indicate a nonresponse. For this reason, no response analysis is given for the item on classroom libraries.

school grades. This was surprising since in many schools, including those with a central library, they are considered an indispensable aid to instruction, in such classes as language arts and social studies. Schools that did report having classroom libraries were most likely to have them in the 7th grade. They provided them least often in the 9th grade of the junior-senior high school. It may be that in the smallest schools classroom libraries are a substitute for the central library; in the large schools they usually are in addition to it.

## **Availability of Audiovisual Equipment**

The popularity of audiovisual aids in teaching at the junior high school level is attested to by a glance at table 21. Almost every junior and junior-senior high school had slides or filmstrips and film projectors. Almost every school had a radio. Eighty percent of even the smallest schools had television sets, and these receivers were found in more than 90 percent of the large schools. Opaque projectors and tape recorders, while not so universally provided, were available in at least half of the small schools and in higher percentages of the large schools. Of the aids listed in table 21, the record player was the only one infrequently provided.

A justifiable conclusion from the data reported here is that school authorities accept visual aids as instructional necessities for teachers at the junior high school level.



Table 21.—Percent of schools using specified audiovisual equipment, by type and size of school

Type and size	I	rojectors							Respons	e analysis
(enrollment) of school	Opaque	Slide or film- strip	Film	Tape recorders	Record player	Radios	Television receivers	Other	Item respond- ents	Percent item response
			· · · · · ·	JUNI	OR HIG	н всн	00 <b>L8</b>		·	·
Total 75 to 290 300 and above.	74. 6° 57. 0 81. 4	90. s	9	. 60, 0 54. 5 62, 3	16, 6 25, 6 37, 2	97, 7 95, 9 98, 5	88, 1 78. 5 92. 7	9.8 5.4 11.7	651 242 409	93, 7 89. 6 96. 2
				JUNIOR-S	ENIOR	нюн	8CHOOL	8		<u>'                                      </u>
Total 125 to 499 500 and above.	<b>58, 7</b> 51, 4 79, 2	96, 8 95, 1 99, 4	97. 7 97. 1 99. 1	57. 9 56. 3 62. 4	22, 3 16, 9 37, 6	95, 1 94. 3 97. 4	85, 5 82, 3 94, 6	4.0 4.0 7.4	701 350 351	84, 8 84, 3 89, 3



## V. Pupil Evaluation, Orientation, and Services

OUPIL EVALUATION in this section includes the objective type of information which could be obtained on the marking, testing, and promoting of junior and junior-senior high school pupils and the reporting of their progress to parents. It does not purport to consider the advantages or disadvantages of the various bases used in marking or promoting nor does it attempt to compare the values of various kinds of tests and pupil progress reports.

Guidance and health services are the only aspects of pupil personnel services treated in this survey, except for the data on the staff available to the schools in this area. This information is given in a following section.

Techniques of articulation carried on by the schools are included here since they have a decided influence on pupil orientation in its broad aspect.

## Tests and Inventories

Since these questionnaires were sent out in the school year 1959-60, responses were requested for the school year 1958-59. Federal aid for testing was made possible through the National Defense Education Act in 1958-59 also. How great an effect this Federal financial help in purchasing tests of mental ability, aptitude, and achievement had on the number and types of tests given in the schools cannot be determined but it must have been considerable. This fact should be borne in mind when interpreting tables 22 and 23.

The highest proportion of schools in each type and enrollment group (69 to 89 percent) gave standardized achievement tests, singly or in combination with other tests. In each of the groups slightly lower proportions of the large schools, as compared with the small, conducted standardized achievement tests.

The next most popular type of test with regard to the proportion of schools conducting it alone or in combination with others was the mental ability test. In the various groups percentages of schools giving such a test varied from 48 to 68.



Table 22.—Percentage distribution of junior high schools by type of test or inventory given to all pupils, by grade, and type and size of school

		Grade 7			Grade 8			Grade 9	) I
Test and inventory		Enro	llment		Enro	ilment		Enro	lment
	Total	75 to 200	300 and above	Total	75 to 200	300 and above	Total	75 to 200	300 and above
Total	100, 0	100, 0	100,0	100, 0	100, 0	100,0	100, 0	100,0	100,
(8t. A.) only	14.1	16.6	18.0	18.9	25.0	16.2	7. 5	12.0	0.4
Teacher - Made Achieve- ment (T.M.A.) only	6.6	5.8	8.5	24	4.9	1.8	2.8	6.5	1.0
Mental Ability (M.A.)only. Interest (Int.) only	8.0	6.8	8.6	2.2	2.2	21	2.6 1.8	2.8	2
only	. 3	. 5		2.2	1.3	2.6	4.6	2.8	4
Other	. 5	. 9	.8	.4	.0	. 5	1.1	1.8	ī.
St. A. and T.M.A	8.8 30.3	7.6	0.4	7.0	6.6	7.1	5.6	2.8	6.
St. A. and Sp. A.	.5	34.0 .9	. 28.7	. 19.7 & 1	24. 6 3. 5	17. 5 5. 8	12.8	20.3	10.
r M.A. and M.A	21	1.3	2.5	1.4	. 8	1.6	1.5	7.0	ı.
t. A., T.M.A., and M.A	14.8	14.8	14.9°	11.7	12.3	11.4	4.9	10. 2	1.
St. A., M.A., and Int	1.5	. 5	1.9	2.2	. 5	2.9	4.0	4.7	3.
St. A., M.A., and Sp. A St. A., Int., and Sp. A St. A., T.M.A., M.A., and	.0	.0	.0	4.2 1.7	3. 5 - 1. 3	1.9	4.1	1.0	, 4
Sp. A	1. 2 1. 1	1. <b>3</b> . 5	1.1 1.4	2.4 1.5	1. 8 . 8	2.6 1.9	2.8 8.2	2.8 2.8	9.
and 8p. A	.8	1.8	8.	1.8	•1.8	1.8	4.6	6.5	۱ 4
ther combinations 1	6.8	4.6	8.0	14.8	8.7	17.7	24. 8	15.5	26.
Total St. A	81.0	84.7	79.4	87.8	89.0	87.4	72.8	77.9	70.
otal T.M.A	37. 2	34. 1	38.6	36.0	88.0	87.4	36.0	38.0	86.
otal M.A	66.3	65. 5	66.7	51.8	52.4	80.9	55.4	64. 9	53.
otal Int	& 1 8.3	3. 6 7. 6	8.6	18. 2 27. 6	7. 9 19. 4	15.6 31.3	40.1 42.1	22.3	14
)ther	8.0	4. 5	8.2	8.1	3.5	5.8	8.9	8.5	ě.
Response analysis									
tem Respondents	. 586	223	363	604	227	377	420	107	313
ercent Total Response	84. 9	82.5	86.9	86. 5	84.0	89.4	. 88. 2	82.6	91.

<sup>1</sup> Other combinations—includes all combinations which less than 2 percent of each group of schools conducted.

As might be expected, interest and special aptitude tests were not commonly given in the 7th grade. They increased in popularity, however, in the 8th grade and to an even greater extent in the 9th.

It is rather surprising to note that not more than 48 percent of any group of schools gave teacher-made achievement tests. Could it be that many schools were relying almost entirely upon standardized tests for evaluating pupil achievement or could the schools which answered in the negative have felt that a certain form of test was required before it could be called a teacher-made achievement test?

The testing programs most commonly used consisted of (1) a combination of the standardized achievement and mental ability tests; (2) the standardized achievement test alone; and (3) a combination of standardized achievement, the teacher-made achievement, and the mental ability tests. It appears that the combinations which



Table 23.—Percentage distribution of junior-senior high schools by type of test or inventory given to all pupils, by grade, and type and size of school

		Grade, 7			Grade 8	<i>3</i>		Grade 9	)
Test or inventory		Enro	llment		Enro	llment		Enro	llment
	Total	125 to 499	500 and above	Total	125 to 499	500 and above	Total	125 to 499	500 and above
Total	100,0	100,0	100, 0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100.0	100,0	100,0
Standardized Achievement	·					1	<u>  </u>		
(8t. A.) only	18.4	21.8	16.6	18.0	19.5	14.1	10.6	12.2	6.2
ment (T.M.A.) only.	7.8	8.3	5.8	6.1	0.6	4.8	2.0	1.8	1
Mental Ability (M.A.) only. Interest (Int.) only.	7.4	6.9	8.9	2.5	8.0	1.8	4.5	4.8	3.0
Special Aptitude (Sp. A.)	1 1	.0	.7	.3	.0	1.2	.8	. 3	21
only		.0	.0	1.2	1 ,'	.,		'	
Other	1 41	.8	.4	1.2	.7	2.7	1.1	1.0	1.8
St. A. and T.M.A.	8.7	8.3	9.9	11.8	10.3	14.6	8.4	1.3	4.5
8t. A. and M.A. 8t. A. and 8p. A.		21.6	21. 3	18.1	18.7	16.1	15.4	- 17.0	11.0
T.M.A. and M.A	3.7	20	1.0	3.1	3.3	2.7	1.0	2.2	.0
St. A., T.M.A., and M.A.	15.8	14.4	19.7	1.4	1.0	24	3.0	2.2	5.1
St. A., M.A. and Int.	1 9	1.4	1.0	11.8	13.2	8.4	7.4	RO	5.6
St. A., M.A., and Sn. A	8.4	3.6	2.3	8.9	6.0	8.7	8.5	& 1 & 8	6.6
8t. A., Int., and 8p. A. 8t. A., T.M.A., M.A., and	.0	.0	.ŏ	.6	7	.6	1.1	8.8	4.8
8p. A., 1.M.A., M.A., and	2.7	!		- 1	1 1				
St. A., M.A., Int., and Sp.	21	3.3	1.0	2.6	12.6	2.7	3.6	3.8	3.0
A	1.1	.7	21	2.8	26	1 23	4.5	4.5	
St. A., T.M.A., Int., and	1	1 . 1	1 1				4.0	4.0	4.5
Sp. A Other combinations 1	.6	.7	.0	1.3	1.3	1.5	4.8	4.5	5.6
•	6.6	5.5	8.0	10.4	8.1	15.9	20.7	16.6	29.7
Total St. A	78.4	79.2	76.2	84. 2	85.5	81.4	72 9		
Total T.M.A	43.6	41.7	48.2	42.3	40.6	81.4 46.5	75. 8 41. 5	78.5 39.4	68.6 47.0
Total M.A	61.7	59.4	68.1	52. 3	54.2	47.7	66. 4	67.0	64.7
Total int Total Sp. A	6.8	6.9	6.7	12.1	11.2	14.3	32. 3	27.6	44.9
Other	20	11.5	9.9	23. 1 2. 7	21.1	28.0	30.8	29. 2	35. 1
				2.1	1.0	7.1	3.6	2.6	6.3
Besponse analysis									
tem Respondents	560	278	282	638	303	335	242		
Percent Total Response	75.3	73.3	81, 4	76.5	73.7	335 84.4	646 78. 2	312 76.1	334 84. 2

i Other—Includes all combinations which less than 2 percent of each group of schools conducted.

were most popular were those which included standardized achievement tests.

In all grades higher percentages of the small schools, as compared to the large, gave standardized achievement tests only: In the junior high schools higher percentages of small schools than of the large also gave a combination of the standardized achievement and mental ability tests. (See table 22.)

As a rule, single tests as well as various combinations of tests were likely to be given in a higher proportion of schools in the 7th and 8th grades than in the 9th grade. However, when either interest or special aptitude tests were given singly or in combination the opposite was true.

There was little consistency in the differences between the percentages of the junior high schools and junior-senior high schools giving various types of tests in grades 7, 8, and 9.



#### **Promotions**

A very large majority of all junior and junior-senior high schools (89 to 98 percent) promoted pupils in grades 7, 8, and 9 on an annual basis. (See table 24.) In grade 9, however, the percentages were 4 to 6 percent lower than in the other grades. The differences between high- and low-enrollment schools in most instances were greater in the junior high school than in the junior-senior high school. In the junior high schools, for example, a higher percentage of the large schools

Table 24.—Percentage distribution of schools, by specified practices for promoting pupils by grade, and type and size of school

		requency			Basis o			Response :	nalysis	
(enrollment)		romotio	D.S	pr	omotio	0.8	Freq	luency	В	așis
of school	Total	Annyal	Semi- annual	Total	Sub- ject	Grade level	Item respond- ents	Percent total response	I tem respond- ents	Percent total respons
Junior high schools					O:	RADE	7			
Total	100 0									
75 to 299. 300 and above.	100. 0 100. 0 100. 0	94, 3 98, 3 92, 6	8.7 1.7 7.4	100.0 100.0 100.0	21, 7 18. 3 23. 3	76.8 81.7 76.7	637 234 403	92, 6 96, 6 96, 4	354 202 352	9 80. 74. 1 84.
Junior senior high schools		۳							!	-
Total. 25 to 499. 00 and above	100.0 100.0 100.0	96.9 97.0 96.7	8.1 3.0 3.3	100.0 100.0 100.0	17.9 17.1 20.4	82, 1 82, 9 79, 6	<b>303</b> <b>301</b>	81, 7 79, 8 87, 2	<b>533</b> 258 265	70, 68. 76.
	<u> </u>	<u>.</u>			O1	RADE	<u>'</u> B	!		
Junior high schools						TI TI		<del></del> 1		
Total	100. 0 - 100. 0 - 100. 0	94.3 98.3 92.6	8.7 1.7 7.4	100, 0 100, 0 100, 0	22, 8 21. 3 23. 5	76.2 78.7 76.5	637 233 404	92,0 86.2 95.7	555 202 353	80, 74, 83,
Junior-senior high schools		:								<b>30</b> . ·
Total	100. 0 100. 0 100. 0	96. 2 96. 3 96. 2	2, 8 3, 7 3, 8	100.0 100.0 100.0	24.8 24.8 28.2	74. 2 75. 2 71. 8	961 321 340	80. 0 78. 0 85. 7	<b>583</b> 282 301	70. ( 68. ( 75. (
					GF	ADE	)	•	<b>:</b>	
Junior high schools			1	1	, 1		1	1		
Total	100.0 100.0 100.0	80, 7 94, 3 88, 6	10.8 5.7 11.4	100.0 100.0 100.0	61. 9 63. 3 61. 6	36.7 38.4	481 106 325	90, 7 81. 9 94. 9	391 98 294	<b>82,</b> 6 75, 6 85, 9
Junior-senior high schools	}	ĺ							1	•
Total	100, 0 100, 0 100, 0	92.5 91.1 92.9	8.9	100.0 100.0	78. 3 79. 0	21. 7 21. 0	<b>636</b> 303	76.8 73.9	998 295	<b>72.</b> 7

(7.4 percent) than of the small (1.7 percent) held semiannual promotions in the 7th grade but in the junior-senior high schools approximately the same proportion of each of the two enrollment size schools (3.0 to 3.3 percent) held promotions twice a year.

Pupils in grades 7 and 8 were promoted by grade level in most of the junior and junior-senior high schools (72 to 83 percent) and by subjects in grade 9. Almost four times as many junior-senior high schools promoted 9th-grade pupils by subjects as by grade levels while approximately one and a half times as many of the junior high schools used the subject approach rather than the grade level.

In summary, a large majority of the schools promoted 7th and 8th grade pupils by grade levels and the 9th-grade pupils by subjects once a year.

#### **Promotion Policies**

A large majority of all schools (55 to 70 percent) had as their promotion policy the meeting of standards. (See table 25.) A much smaller percentage of schools (23 to 32 percent), but still a rather large one, promoted pupils on the basis of the comparison of their achievements with their abilities. The policy of meeting standards as stated in the questionnaire, was made more flexible by including two exceptions, (1) where retention seemed unwise and (2) where the pupil had already once repeated the grade. It was thought that by including the two exceptions in the policy of meeting uniform standards schools were given sufficient flexibility to promote some pupils who could not have been promoted had it been essential for them to satisfy certain standards. However, sizeable percentages of schools (approximately 6 to 11 percent) felt it necessary to indicate that they were using two policies: (1) Meeting uniform standards, and (2) achievement in relation to the child's abilities and needs. Very few schools reported having no uniform policy but a higher proportion of the junior-senior high schools than of the junior high schools reported this lack of uniformity.

The study showed no great differences between junior and juniorsenior high schools in promotion policies. It indicated, too, that the schools were quite consistent in their policies from grade to grade.

A higher proportion of the small schools than of the large schools in each group promoted pupils who met uniform standards and a smaller proportion promoted them according to their achievement in relation to their ability.

As a rule neither junior high schools nor junior-senior high schools follow the policy of promoting all pupils. In fact, none of the junior-



senior high schools and only one of the junior high schools reported such a policy.

Table 25.—Percentage distribution of schools, by policy for promoting pupils, grade, and type and size of school

						<u>.</u>			
		·	Policy f	or prom	oting pupil	ts		Respon	se analysis
Type and size (enrollment) of school	Total	No uni- form policy	Uniform stand- ards	Pupil ability	Combination of standards and pupil ability	All are promoted	Other,	Item re- spond- ents	Percent total response
					GRADE	7		"	<u> </u>
un <b>ior high schools</b>									
Total. 3 to 299. 30 and above.	100.0 100.0 100.0	1.3 1.3 1.2	<b>58, 2</b> 64. 6 55. 5	30, 2 27, 4 31, 4	8, 8 8. 9 10. 7	0.0 .0	1, 1 .8 1.2	839 237 402	92, 8 87, 8 96, 2
inior-cenior high schools				İ					
Total	100, 0 100, 0 100, 0	8,1 3.5 1.9	63, 8 65, 2 58, 8	23.3 31.8	6,8 7.0 6.2	.0 .0	1.1 1.0 1.3	<b>631</b> 313 308	84.2 82.5 89.2
		<u>'</u>		31.8	GRADE	8			
infor high schools				i,					
Totallo 299	100.0 100.0 100.0	1, 8 1, 3 1, 2	64.6 63.6	27.4 27.4 27.6	8.0 8.9 10.4	0.0 .0	L 1 . 8 1. 2	642 237 405	<b>92, 6</b> 87. 8 <b>96.</b> 0
nior-senior high schools						1			
Total	100.0 100.0 100.0	2.6 4.1 2.0	61, 5 64, 9 59, 9	25.1 23.0 30.7	6.7 7.1 5.7	.0 .0	1.1 .9 1.7	339 352	84, 1 82, 4 88, 7
[-	1	<u>-</u>	<del></del>	,	GRADE	9	!	<u> </u>	
inlor high schools	.					. 1		. 1	
to 299 0 and above	100, 0 100, 0 100, 0	0, 0 . 9 . 9	88, 1 70, 2 55, 0	28, 4 22, 8 29, 8	8, 4 3, 5 9, 7	0.8	2.6 4.3	443 114 329	92, 9 88, 1 96, 1
inior-senior high schools	1								•
Total	100.0 100.0 100.0	1.8 4.4 2.3	61.0	94. 0 22. 8 27. 5	6.8 7.0 5.2	.0	2.4 1.8 4.0	901 342 349	84. 6 83. 4 87. 9

## **Policies for Marking Pupils**

As in promoting, so also in marking pupils, the highest percentage of schools followed the policy of meeting uniform standards. (See table 26.) The next largest proportion of schools determined marks by evaluating the progress of pupils in relation to their individual potentialities.

681307 0-63-4



Table 26.—Percentage distribution of schools, by specified policy for marking pupils, grade, and type and size of school

			Policy fo	r marki	ng pupils		Respons	e analysis
Type and size (enrollment) of school	Total	No uniform policy	Uniform standards		Combination of standards and pupil ability	Other	Item response	Percent total response
				GI	RADE 7		•	J
Junior high schools								<u> </u>
Total	100. 0 100. 0 100. 0	4.7 8.0 3.3	48,9 51.5 47.7	39, 1 33, 7 41, 5	6.1 5.1 6.5	1. 2 1. 7 1. 0	<b>635</b> 237 398	<b>92,</b> 1 87. 6 95. 2
Junior-senior high schools						·		
Total_ 125 to 499 500 and above	100. 0 100. 0 100. 0	6.8 7.1 4.0	<b>53.1</b> 54.0 50.2	34. 3 41. 1	2, 6 3, 6 3, 7	1. 0 1. 0 1. 0	906 309 297	82, 8 81, 4 87, 4
				GF	RADE 8		<u>!</u>	
Junior high schools							<del>i                                    </del>	
<b>Total</b> 75 to 299	100. 0 100. 0 100. 0	4.7 8.0 3.2	49. 5 51. 9 48. 4	39. 6 33. 7 41. 3	5.1 6.3	0.9 1.3 .8	<b>636</b> 237 399	91. 7 87. 7 94. 6
Junior-senior high schools			,					
Total	100. 0 100. 0 100. 0	6.9 8.0 3.8	<b>52,9</b> 53.6 51.1	<b>35.</b> 7 33. 9 40. 7	3.9 3.9 3.8	.6 .6	678 336 339	82.7 81.7 85.4
•		· · · · · · ·	•	GR	ADE 9		<del>'</del>	
Junior high schools			1			1	7	
Total	100. 0 100. 0 100. 0	5.0 9.0 4.0	<b>51, 3</b> 60, 4 49, 1	36.8 27.0 39.3	5, 9 3, 6 6, 4	1.0 .0 1.2	437 111 326	<b>92. 0</b> 85. 8 95. 8
Junior-senior high schools				,				
Total	100. 0 100. 0 100. 0	7. 1 8. 3 3. 8	<b>54. 8</b> 54. 7 53. 0	33. 9 32. 2 38. 5	3. 8 3. 9 3. 8	.9	676 338 338	83, 1 82, 4 92, 3

When compared to large schools, a higher proportion of small schools followed the policy of marking pupils by comparing their achievements with uniform standards and a lower but considerable proportion marked them according to work accomplished in relation to individual potentialities. A higher percentage of small schools than of large followed no uniform marking policy. Even if many of these small schools marked pupils according to ability the percentage of large schools using this criterion most likely was greater than that of the small schools.

The proportions of junior high schools and junior-senior high schools having no uniform marking policy and those using a combination



of uniform standards and pupil ability added together indicate that teachers in approximately 10 percent of the schools had the opportunity to mark pupils according to their own philosophy.

Relatively few differences showed up between school organizations

or between grades in either organization.

## **Progress Reports**

For reports of pupil progress sent to parents a great majority of both the junior high schools and junior-senior high schools used report cards with all or most of the reporting done by means of letter or number marks. (See table 27.) In fact, over 91 percent of the junior high schools and more than 82 percent of the junior-senior high schools used this type of report. While approximately 10 percent fewer junior-senior high schools than junior high schools made use of this type of reporting about 10 percent more sent cards with all or most of the reporting done through percentage marks. Little difference in these two methods of reporting was noted between large and small schools.

Only these two techniques are of necessity mutually exclusive. Each could be used with any of the remaining techniques and all other methods listed could be combined with one another.

Report cards with checklists of educational objectives or brief comments concerning such objectives were second in the proportion of junior high schools using them and third with regard to the junior-senior high schools. There was little difference between the proportion of large and small junior high schools using this type of reporting, but the large junior-senior high schools were more likely than the small to follow this procedure.

Personal conferences with parents were used more frequently by the junior high schools than junior-senior high schools. Approximately one-tenth of the junior and one-twentieth of the junior-senior high schools made use of this type of reporting.

The remaining two techniques were used less frequently by all schools. Small differences appeared between junior and junior-senior high schools in the proportions making use of detailed descriptions of child growth or of pupil rank in grade or class.

In summary, almost all junior and junior-senior high schools reported pupil progress in the traditional manner of using letter or number symbols or percentage grades. Very few schools used any of the remaining techniques singly. In most cases such techniques were used in combination with reporting by means of letters, numbers, or percentage grades.



Table 27.—Percent of schools using various types of regularly scheduled reports to parents, by grade, and type and size of school

			Percen	t of schools	using—		a 10		ponse lysis
Grade and type and size (enrollment) of school		All or most letter or number symbols	or class	Educa- tional objectives or brief comments	Detailed descriptions of child growth	Scheduled personal confer- ence with parents	Other	Item re- spond - ents	Percent total respons
					GRADE 7	7			
Junior high schools		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		.		1	
Tetal75 to 299	2.9 4.3	92. 1 92. 1 92. 2	1,6 2.5 ,1.3	12, 8 11, 2 12, 8	4.4 3.7 4.8	11, 0 10, 4 11, 3	7.4 4.9 8.5	241 398	<b>92.</b> ( 89. 2 <b>9</b> 5. 3
Junior-senior high schools				,	<b>*</b>	,	•		١.,
Total	14. 1 14. 7 12. 5	<b>82, 1</b> 79, 9 81, 3	<b>2, 9</b> 4, 2 3, 3	11, 9 10, 2 15, 7	3, 4 3, 5 3, 0	5, 0 4. 8 5. 6	5,1 4.2 7.9	618 313 305	84.0 82.1 88.1
					ORADE 8	3			•
Junior high schools		[		ı — —	1	i			
Total	3,8 2,9 4,2	91, 7 92, 1 91, 6	1.6 2.5 1.3	. 12, 3 . 11, 6 12, 6	4.4 3.7 4.7	10, 6 10, 4 10, 6	7,3 4.9 8.4	845 241 404	<b>92</b> ; 989. 5
Junior-senior high schools									
Total	12, 5 14, 4 11, 1	83, 6 83, 5 83, 8	<b>3.9</b> 4.1 3.1	10, 8 9, 4 14, 8	3. 5 4. 0	6,7 7.6 4.3	3,2° 1,8 7,1	340 352	84, 8 82, 8 88, 1
•			<del></del>		GRADE	)			
Junior high schools			<u> </u>	I		<u> </u>			
Total	4.6 3.7 4.8	95, 6 93, 6 96, 1	2, 1 3, 7 1, 6	12, 8 10, 1 12, 8	4,4 2.7 4.8	10, 4 11, 0 10, 2	8.1 2.7 9.6	431 109 312	88,1 84.1 91.1
Junior-senior high schools									
Tetal	14. 2 14. 9 12. 1	83. 4 83. 6 82. 8	<b>2.9</b> 4.1 3.5	10, 4 9, 1 14, 0	3.4 3.2 4.0	5.9 4.3	2,9 1.5 6.9	341 349	84. 83. 88.

## Frequency

How frequently do parents learn of their junior and junior-senior high school children's progress through regularly scheduled reports? Table 28 of this survey shows that in the majority (50 to 76 percent) of schools in both organizations parents received such reports six times a year in all three grades. This majority was much greater, however, in the junior-senior than in the junior high schools. Consequently, a higher proportion of the junior high schools than of the junior-senior reported to parents only four times a year. Only a



Table 28.—Percentage distribution of schools by number of pupil reports sent to parents annually, by grade, and type and size of school

Type and size			Num	ber of	report	sent 1	o pare	nts per	Year		Res	ponse lysis
(enrollment) of school	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9 or more	Item respond- ents	Percent total response
			. •			GF	ADE	7				<del></del>
Junior high schools					Ī							
Total 5 to 299 00 and above	100.0 100.0 100.0	0.0 .0	.8 .8	0,9 1.3 .8	39, 8 32, 3 42, 3	2.6 2.0	<b>54.4</b> 60.9 51.5	•.8 .0 .5	2.2 1.3 2.6	•.4 .8 .3	637 235 392	<b>90.</b> 8 87. 0 93. 8
Junior-senior high schools											i	
Total 25 to 499 00 and above	100.0 100.0 100.0	. <b>2</b> . 3 . 0	. 6 . 7 . 3	.0	19, 5 16, 5 28, 1	1.3 1.3	<b>72.9</b> 74.9 67.2	.2 .3 .0	3. 3 2. 3	2.1 2.6 .7	902 303 299	81, 8 79, 8 86, 5
	<u>'</u>	·	<u> </u>		<u>'</u>	GR	ADE	8	<u>'</u>		-	
Junior high schools												i
Total 5 to 299 00 and above	100. 0 100. 0 100. 0	0.0 .0 .0	<b>0,3</b> .9 .0	0, 9 1. 2 . 8	39. 4 32. 3 42. 5	2.6 1.8	<b>54.5</b> 60.9 51.6	•. <b>3</b> .0 . 5	2, 2 1, 2 2, 5	•.4 .9 .43	235 395	<b>90,</b> 8 86, 9 93, 6
Junior-senior high schools									·			
Total	100. 0 100. 0 100. 0	.2 .3 .0	. <b>5</b> . 6 . 3	.0 .0	19, 0 16. 1 27. 1	1, 5 1, 5 1, 5	73, 7 75. 8 68. 2	.3 .0	2.8 3.0 2.3	1.9 2.4 .6	673 330 343	81, 8 80, 2 86, 3
	•					GR	ADE	D D	٠.		<u>'</u>	<u></u>
Junior high schools												
Total 5 to 299 00 and above	100. 0 100. 0 100. 0	0.0 .0	0.4 1.8 .0	•.7 .9 .6	42, 2 30. 6 45. 2	1, 0 0 1. 3	<b>52, 5</b> 63. 1 49. 8	•.• .0 .0	2, 8 1, 8 3, 1	0.4 1.8 .0	433 111 321	90, 6 85, 8 93, 7
Junior-senior high schools												
Total	100, 0 100, 0 100, 0	.3	. <b>5</b> . 6	.• .0 .0	19.7 17.0 27.3	1. 5 1. 5 1. 4	78,8 75.8 68.6	.2 .3 .0	2.6 2.7 2.1	1.4 1.8	671 330 341	81, 9 80. 4 85. 9

small fraction of each group of schools sent reports more than six times or fewer than four times a year.

Little variation between grades in either of the school organizations occurred but there was a difference in the frequency with which the small schools and the large schools reported. A higher proportion of the large compared to the small junior and junior-senior high schools sent reports four times a year and a larger proportion of the small sent them six times a year.

## **Guidance Counselors**

This section includes the distribution of responding schools by minimum number of full-time counselors or their equivalents.

In answer to the request that schools indicate the number of counselors they had, the percentage of nonrespondents was very high for all groups of schools but, especially, for the small schools. In the junior high school group only 30 percent of the small schools responded while in the junior-senior high group it was 47 percent. However, 81 percent of the large junior high schools and 82 percent of the large junior-senior high schools responded.

Since no school responded with "0" counselors, it is fairly certain that many or probably most of the nonrespondents were schools having no counselors. The principals replying to the questionnaires may have reasoned that if they did not respond to this question, it would be interpreted as their having no counselors.

Were we to consider that the nonresponses meant "0" counselors, the percentages then given in table 29 show the proportions of schools having a minimum of the counselors indicated. Excepting those having "0" counselors, the highest percentages of schools were those employing at least 1 to 1.4 counselors. Among the various school groups these percentages differed from 17 to 33, the small junior high schools having the lowest; the small junior-senior, next highest; and

Table 29.—Percentage distribution of schools by minimum number of fulltime counselors or the equivalent for grades 7, 8, and 9, and type and size of school

Type and size (enrollment) of				Ful	l-time	counse	lors or	the equ	nivalen	t—			
school of	Total	0	0.1 to 0.4	0. 5 to 0. 9	1.0 to 1.4	1. 5 to 1. 9	2.0 to 2.4	2.5 to 2.9	3.0 to 3.4	3. 5 to 3. 9	4.0 to 4.4	4. 5 to 4. 9	5.0 and over
1	3	3	4	8	•	7	8	•	10	11	18	13	14
			•		JUN	OR H	IOH 8	СНО	OLS				<u> </u>
<b>Total</b> 75 to 299 300 and above	100.0 100.0 100.0	34.6 69.8 19.3	1.9 3.3 1.2	8,4 9.0 8.0	36, 8 16. 5 31. 2	2.9 .4 5.4	12, 8 . 8 19. 5	1.2 .0 1.7	7. 0 . 0 10. 0	<b>0,3</b> .0 .5	1.8 .0 2.2	0,3 .0 .3	0.1
				JUN	ior-	ENIC	R HI	3H 8C	HOO	L8			<u></u>
Tetal	100. 0 100. 0 100. 0	43.9 53.3 17.7	7.8 8.8 5.1	15.3 13.3 20.5	24, 2 21. 0 23. 2	1.7 0 0.5	8.8 2.8 12.1	.0	1.2 .8 2.2	0. 1 .0 .3	0.8 .0 1.1	0.0 .0 .0	0, 2

Note: Item nonresponse could not be determined from the design of the questionnaire. For rate of survey non response see Introduction.



the large junior and junior-senior high schools, third and fourth respectively.

In some instances the differences in numbers of counselors employed by junior and junior-senior high schools were impressive. For example, a greater percentage of the small junior-senior high schools (47 percent) than of the small junior high schools (30 percent) employed some counselors. Although approximately the same percentages of large junior and junior-senior high schools employed some counselors, 35 percent, or more than twice as high a percentage, of the large junior high schools employed two or more counselors than did the large junior-senior high schools (17 percent).

Both groups of the large schools employed considerably more counselors than did the small schools. For instance, in the junior high schools at least 80 percent of the large schools and 30 percent of the small, employed some counselors. In the junior-senior high schools the minimum percentages were 82 percent and 47 percent.

## **Cumulative Record Files**

The majority of the small schools tended to house pupil cumulative record files in the principal's office but the larger schools were more likely to place them in the counselor's office or some other central place, as in the principal's office. Very low percentages (3 to 6 percent) of the small schools reported placing such files in the counselor's office. (See table 30.) It is probable that in many of these schools there were no full-time counselors with their own offices.

The files were located in the classroom of the block-time or homeroom teacher in a higher percentage of junior high schools than of junior-senior high schools. In fact, approximately one-sixth of the small junior high schools located the files in such classrooms but a lower proportion of the large junior high schools did so.

In most instances, rather small percentages of the schools located their cumulative records in more than one center. The combinations which were most popular with the schools were the principal's and the counselor's offices and the principal's office and the homeroom or block-time teacher's classroom. The highest proportions of any schools making use of two specific locations were the small and the large junior-senior high schools which located their records in the principal's and the counselors' offices. Approximately one-tenth of the large and one-twentieth of the small junior-senior high schools filed their records in these offices.

Table 30.—Percentage distribution of schools by specified location of cumulative record files, and type and size of school

	Junio	or high s	chools	Junior-s	enior hig	h school:
Location of record files	•	Enro	llment		Enro	llment
	Total	75 to 299	300 and aboye	Total	125 to 499	500 and above
Total Principal's inner office (only)	100,0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100_0	•••
		54.9	20. 5	50.1		100, 0
Counselor's office (only)	20.4	2.9	28.1	12.8	59.6	23. 7
Other central office (only).	22 7	12 9	28.4	16.0	6.4	30. 6
Homeroom or block-time teacher's classroom (only)	8.3	16.7	4.7		14.0	21.7
Other single location.	.8			2.9	3. 2	2.0
Principal's office and counselor's office.	1	1 .4	1.0	.6	.6	.6
Principal's office and other central office.	4.3	1.7	8.4	7.0	5. 5	11.1
Principal's office and classroom (homeroom or block-	1.7	3.4	1.0	1.1	1.5	. 3
time)						
Compalaria affice and other and affice	3.1	5.0	2.2	3.5	4. 1	1.7
Counselor's office and other central office. Counselor's office and classroom (homeroom or block-	2.7	.4	3.7	1. 2	. 9	2. 3
time) Principal's office, counselor's office, and homeroom or	1.4	.0	2.0	.4	. 3	. 8
Other central office, classroom (homeroom or block-	.7	1.2	. 5	.8	.3	1.4
ume), and other single location	.9	.0	1.2	.7	.6	
All other combinations	1. 1	.4	i. 4	2.7	2.7	1.1 2.7
Total-Principal's inner office	40.8	66. 2	29.6	40.7	71.0	00.0
Total—Central office	28.8	6.2		62.7	71.0	38.2
Total-Other central office.	29.0		39.7	22.4	13.4	46. 2
Total—Homeroom or block-time.		16.7	34. 3	. 19.0	17.0	25.4
Total—Other single locations.	14.4	22.9	10.6	8.3	8.5	7.0
ven venet single locations	1.7	. 4	2.2	1.3	1. 2	1.7
Response analysis						
Item respondents. Percent total response.	645 92.7	240 88. 9	405 95. 3	694 84, 2	344 82. 9	350 88. 0

#### Health

Slightly less than one-fourth of all schools both junior and junior-senior reporting the service of a nurse had such service available on a full-time basis; three-fourths had part-time service. While the variation between types of schools in toto was not significant, variation by size of school was substantial. For example, only 13 percent of the small junior-senior high schools as opposed to 45 percent of the large schools of this type had full-time nurse service.

Large schools, both junior and junior-senior, reported larger percentages of nurses employed by the local school system than did the smaller schools. As between types of schools, junior high schools, located as they usually are in the larger population centers, quite naturally had a larger percentage of nurses who were employed by the local school system than did the junior-senior high schools which are found most often in the less populated districts. These latter schools more frequently relied on the services of a nurse in the employment of the health department. In some instances the school board reimbursed the health department for her time, a fact which some principals



vice and percentage distribution of these schools by full-time and part-time Table 31.—Percent of schools with a nurse's sy

		B	full-time			B em closing			By amploting against		Respons	Response analysis		
Type and size	Percent of schools	<b>1</b>	part-time serv	service.					Bchool	Schools with	Pall	Full-time		
(enrollment) of school	with nurses								2	8	pert	tine	nkondma	sampioying agency
	BELATOR	Total	Full- time	Part time	Total	School	Health depart- ment	Other	Item respond-	Percent total response	Item respond-	Percent total response	Item re- spond- ents	Percent total response
						ınr	VIOR HIC	JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOLS	oL8					
Total to 200 0 and above	<b>200</b>	<b>3</b> 0000	<b>स</b> ्ट	25. 2.4.	0.001	68.8 41.4 71.8	# 13 K	<b>4</b> 1.4	3%\$	<b>2</b> 22	<b>3</b> 88	4 4 8 4 4 8	381 25	<b>2</b> 2 2 2 2
						JUNIOR	S-SENIO!	JUNIOR-SENIOR HIGH SCHOOLS	CHOOLS					
125 to 490. 500 and above	8.1.8 8.1.8 8.1.8 8.1.8	<b>3</b> 000 <b>3</b> 000 <b>9</b> 000	<b>4</b> 44	7.83 8.04	6000 0000	# 50 S	61.8 31.2	9.11 3.5 3.5	<b>233</b>	######################################	<b>588</b>	\$5.8 	388	<b>3</b> 288
	•	•												

indicated on the questionnaire by checking both "school board" and "health department." These dual responses were moved in the editing to the "other" column (table 31) and added to the very few responses reported for "other." It is impossible to know what proportion of the principals whose school systems followed this practice of reimbursement did actually check both sources of employment.

## Articulation with Lower and Upper Grades

Almost all of the schools responded to the first part of this item, indicating that they were making some use of one or more of the listed techniques in order to improve articulation of grades 7 to 9 with lower and upper grades.

Replies showed that transferring pupil cumulative records from elementary to junior high school grades and from junior high school to senior high school grades was used most frequently by all groups of schools. (See table 32.) The proportions of schools using this technique ranged from 83 percent to 95 percent.

The next most popular method of articulating grades 7, 8, and 9 with lower and higher grades was making available information concerning programs, courses of study, or instructional materials to teachers in elementary and senior high schools. Considerably more than one-half of each group of schools made use of this method of articulation.

The third most common technique used by administrators was the provision of visiting opportunities in their schools for incoming 6th grade pupils and in senior high schools for their own 9th grade pupils. Holding joint workshops or study groups or providing curriculum activities for teachers in grades 7, 8, and 9 and teachers in other grades followed closely in popularity.

Large schools were more likely to practice various techniques of articulation than were the small schools. Also, the large junior high schools, more than any other group of schools, made use of the different techniques. In addition to the three most commonly used techniques two others showed that large junior high schools experienced the need for articulation more than other schools or that they were more likely to do something tangible to satisfy this need. Forty percent of the large junior high schools made some use of visits to feeder schools and 60 percent utilized the technique of senior high school pupils' visits to their schools. The percentages of the other groups of schools using these practices were considerably lower.

A few reasons seem to account for some of the greater participation of large junior high schools in these techniques of articulation. Pupils



Table 32.—Percent of schools using specified techniques for improving articulation with lower and higher grades, by type and size of school

Type and size (enrollment) of	Hold- ing joint	Making infor- mation	Teacher	Trans- fer of	6th and 9th grader	Visits to feeder	Visits by senior	Other		pon <b>se</b> Lysis
^school	work- shops	avail- able	tion	lative records	visits	schools	high pupils		Item respond- ents	Percent total response
				JUNI	OR HIG	н всн	ools	· ·	<u>-                                      </u>	
Total	<b>50. 5</b> 50. <b>4</b> 50. <b>5</b>	<b>70, 5</b> 64. 4 73. 2	28, 1 22, 9 30, 3	94.2 91.5 95.3	65,9 46.2 74.4	<b>30.</b> 7 9. 3 40. 0	<b>51. 1</b> 30. 1 60. 1	7, 2 3, 4 8, 9	642 236 406	<b>92, 3</b> 87, 4 95, 7
			וטנ	NIOR-8	ENIOR	нюн в	СНОО	LS	<u>'</u>	
Total	43. 5 41. 2 49. 7	<b>50.</b> 8 58. 5 63. 4	<b>36. 5</b> <b>26. 9</b> <b>25. 6</b>	85.5 82.7 92.9	49, 2 43, 0 66, 5	18, 6 14, 3 19, 0	13, 2 11. 7 17. 3	2.0 5.7	694 342 352	84.0 82.4 88.4

in the junior-senior high schools would most probably be better acquainted with the senior high schools than would those in separate junior high schools. It may be, too, that in some of the small junior-senior high schools and junior high schools, elementary pupils are in the same building with junior high school pupils. In addition, since the small schools often are located in a small community, elementary school pupils are fairly well acquainted with the pupils in grades 7, 8, and 9 and, perhaps, even with the building housing these pupils. The pupils in grades 7, 8, and 9, moreover, are in contact often with pupils in the senior high school and, therefore, may be fairly well acquainted with the senior high school itself.

In summary, a greater proportion of junior high schools, and especially the large junior high schools, appeared to make use of various techniques for the purpose of developing better articulation with elementary and senior high school grades than did the other groups of schools.

## VI. Curriculum

In the AREA of curriculum this survey is concerned with subject fields rather than the specific courses offered. In other words, while it can be determined from the survey how many schools in the spring of 1960 required social studies at each of the grade levels, it cannot be learned how many required geography, or American history, or community civics. The same applies to electives; that is, the fields in which electives are offered are reported, but not the elective subjects.

Extraclass activities, an integral part of the program for grades 7 to 9 for most junior and junior-senior high schools, are studied from the point of view of the kinds of activities provided by the schools and the extent of pupil participation.

## Required and Elective Subjects

A virtually uniform requirement for pupils in grades 7 and 8, regardless of size or type of school is enrollment in courses in language arts, social studies, and mathematics. (Table 33.) In grade 9, language arts was the only subject so required. Mathematics was required of 9th-grade pupils in approximately 90 percent of the schools and social studies was required in 70 to 80 percent, with the lowest percentage being for the small junior-senior high schools and the highest for the small junior high schools. The provision of elective courses in these three fields was negligible except for social studies, grade 9, and to a lesser extent for mathematics in grade 9. (Table 34.)

It should be noted that the percentages of available electives shown in table 34 may be somewhat lower than is actually the case in these schools due to use of the same base figures as for table 33. That is, a school checking its required subjects was counted as a respondent for elective subjects as well, even though no electives were checked. The alternative was to count as nonrespondents those schools actually having no electives, a circumstance especially prevalent at the 7th-grade level among all groups of schools, to a lesser extent at the 8th-grade level, and to a certain extent in the 9th grade of the smallest schools.

Response snalysis	Percent total response		<b>488</b>	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		<b>##</b>	2 x 8		\$ 55.58 7.58	3
Res	lean Poods abts		328,	<b>5</b> 25		222	228		358	3
	Oche		<b>444</b>	440		<b>80</b> 7	907		400	•,0
Indus	bome- making		30.50 3.00	<b>488</b>	].	<b>442</b>	<b>37</b> 2		985 985	<b>3</b> 8
Artor	music		2 1 1 1 2 2 3	11.2		ಈ ಮಳ ಈ ರೈಹ	<b>ತ</b> ಹಣ ಕರ್ಮ		1.7	en e
	band and orches tra)		<b>3</b> 8 8	# - E		### ###	<b>184</b>			40 - eč e
1	r v		\$2.0 80.0	<b>第</b> 2.3		#48 ***	<b>\$84</b>		9.7.9	<b>4</b> 4
tonoi	cation		# 4 # 4	# - & # # # #		44.4	<b>44</b> 6		%4 ₩/-4	90
Agr.		E 7	40.0	<b>4</b> 0	DE 8	-70	<b>→</b> → ∞ <b>→</b> ×	9 3	****	<b>%</b> 6
Busi-	cation	GRADE	<b>⊕</b> 20 × 3	•••••	GRADE	<b>4</b> 8 8 8	<b>20.20</b>	OKADE	93×	44
Foreign			<b>4</b> 44	۳. ۲ ۵ ه		<b>6</b> 86	4.6 4.20		40 N	97
4			<b>53.</b> 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	50.05 20.03		443 62.8	<b>44.8</b>		<b>4</b> 44.	2.5
Phys-	cation (girls)		<b>≅</b> 35 55 → ○ 10	2 2 3		9 3 5 9 3 5	<b>358</b>		₹8.5 2.5 2.5 2.5	8 6 30 30 30
Phys-			<b>3</b> 58	#X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X		25.2 - 5.8	\$25. \$2.24	-	272 272	X X 2. 1
÷ §		,	# # F F	<b>2</b> 23		5.2.2 ⊕ 10.0	<b>2</b> 98		<b>488</b>	5 X
Social Matherstudies matter			0.00	<b>88.</b> 2000 1000 0	-	<b>8</b> 0 0 0	<b>888</b>	-	<b>2</b> 3.8 2√.€	27.8
Social			<b>25.</b> 0.00	25.55 3.2.25		<b>8</b> 8 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	<b>2</b> 23	-	75.2 7.2.2 7.4.2	8.8
Lan- guage arts	(Eng-		<b>2.</b> 2.0	<b>8</b> 0 0 <b>9</b> 0 0	-	<b>6</b> 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	\$03; \$04	.  -	<b>83</b> .00	203
Lan- Type and size (enrollment) guage of school arts		Junior high schools	Total 75 to 299 300 and above Junior-senior high	Total 125 to 499 500 and above	Junior bieth achards	Total 30 and above Junior-senior high	Total 125 to 450 500 and above	Junior high schools	Total 75 to 299 300 and above Junior-serior high schools	

Table 34.—Percent of schools offering work in specific subject matter areas on an elective basis, by grade, and type and size

				-												
Type and size (enrollment) of school	Language arts Social (English) studies	Social	Mathe- matics	Science	Physical education (boys)	Physical education (girls)	Health	Foreign lan- guage	Bust- pess duca- tion	Agricul- ture	Voca- tional educa- tion	٣	Music (in- cluding hand and or- chestra)	Art or music	Industrial arts or home- making	Other
								GRADE	E 7		,					
Junior high schools Total 75 to 290. 300 and above. Junior-sensor high	0.00	• × 0	0	<b>8</b> 0 € €	<b>ब्रु</b> ग्रं स ककरा	₩00	4 7.1.7 8.1	10, 1 3, 7 13.0	25. 1.2 2.0	<b>8</b> 4 10	# 1:0 0:0 0:0	17. 14. 18.8	<b>#</b> ###	<b>4</b> 64	. et ⊌ o	37.2
Total 125 to 490. 500 and above	•.00	• ¢ c n	<b>.</b>	<b>4</b> 44	<b>4</b> 44	ଲେଶର ସଂସ୍ଥଳ	<b>2</b> 000	♣40 407	् ब्रस्ति १	444	444 0-0	ಷ್. ≃ ಐ ಬ	<b>2</b> 222	<b>6</b> 666	== - C1 ed ad ad	
								URAD	8.8							
Junior high schools Total 75 to 299 300 and above Junior-genior high	• • • •	# <b>\$</b> O	<b>9</b> 000	444	97.6	404 464	94-	84 5 0 0 1	<b>4</b> 44 <b>6</b> 44	<b>8</b> -1	# h = #	#472 •+0	. <b>33</b> ¢	400	#54 10-	4-4
Total   Tota	•00	•¢=	******	44 -04	464	କଟଟ ସଂସ୍ଥଳ	-20 hbt	7.2	सल <del>क</del> बंध धं	<b>ĕ</b> ₫ <del>≠</del>	400	4 4 4 5 5	### ###	e, či m e + 4	444	4.00
•								GRADE	6 2	.						
Junior high schools Total 75 to 299. 300 and above Junior-genior high	<b>3</b>	4.9 9.0 0.0	2,4.20 20.45	# 0.80 80.00 80.00		<b>५,4</b> ,२, <b>६</b> ,८,२,	110	<b>3</b> 84	<b>488</b>	11 12 12 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	<b>4</b> 0.7	que	8.3 5 5 5 6	<b>20.</b> 0	観波式	414
Total Total 125 to 499 Min and above	•00	<b>2</b>	7.5	90 m	<b>604</b>	<b>₹</b> 0.4	• = e	747.28 75.28	<b>3</b> H S	<b>3</b> 22		<b>2</b> 43	**** *****	<b>4</b> 5.	<b>8</b> 53	4 J4

Science was required most often of 7th and 8th grade pupils in junior-senior high schools and the small junior high schools (90 percent or more). It was required least often in the 9th grade of the large junior high schools (56 percent); and was offered as an elective subject most often at this level and in these schools (40 percent). Little difference will be observed between the large and small junior-senior high schools in science as a requirement; there is considerable difference between the large and small junior high schools in this respect, the small schools exceeding the large percentagewise at each grade level. As between the two types of schools, percentages for science as a requirement tend to be higher for the junior-senior high schools than for the junior high schools.

Physical education was required in grades 7, 8, and 9 in 80 to 96 percent of the four groups of schools studied. It was required by more large schools than small, although the differences average only 7 percent. It was also more generally required for boys than for girls, but here again the differences are not great. Further, physical education tended to be required more often by junior high schools than by junior-senior high schools. For example, at the 7th grade level, 93 percent of junior high schools required physical education for girls as compared with 83 percent of the junior-senior high schools. ('orresponding percentages for boys at grade 7 are 95 and 88.

Health was a required subject in grades 7 and 8 in slightly more than half of the schools and in approximately 40 percent of the schools at the 9th grade level. Health and physical education were infrequently offered on an elective basis at any of the three grade levels. The occurrences were more likely to be in the small than the large schools and at the 9th grade level than the 7th or 8th.

Industrial arts and homemaking were required subjects in grades 7 and 8 in 55 percent of the junior high schools and in about 35 percent of the junior-senior high schools. In grade 9, these subjects were required in nearly one-fourth of the junior-senior high schools and one-fifth of the junior high schools. In both types of schools, wide variations existed between size groups.

Industrial arts and homemaking were offered on an elective basis in slightly less than 10 percent of the 7th grades and in from 12 to 25 percent of the 8th grades. At the 9th-grade level, these subjects were elective in more than half of the schools in all groups except the small junior high school, where they were offered as electives by two-fifths of the schools.

Other fields in which size of school exerted considerable influence were art and music. Approximately twice as many of the large schools required instruction in art in grades 7 and 8 as did the small schools, and in grade 9 it was made available on an elective basis



much more frequently in the large than the small schools. As to types of schools, art was more likely to be required in the junior than in the junior-senior high school. The same generalizations apply to music, but the differences both between sizes of schools and types of schools are less pronounced. The percentages of all schools at each of the three grade levels requiring music or offering it as an elective tended to exceed similar percentages for art.

Subjects in the fields of foreign language, business education; agriculture, and vocational education are usually electives and consequently their appearance in table 33 is in terms of small percentages—5 percent or less with the exception of agriculture which showed as a required subject in from 7 to 9 percent of small junior-senior high schools, schools which are usually located in rural areas. Subjects in each of the four fields were available on an elective basis in fairly sizable percentages of the schools at the 9th-grade level. Most notable is foreign language which was offered for 9th-grade pupils in three-fourths of the large junior and junior-senior high schools and less than half of the small schools. Pupils attending a small junior-senior high school were more frequently able to elect a foreign language than were pupils attending a small junior high school. This was likewise true for 9th-grade pupils wishing to elect courses in business education, agriculture, and vocational education.

Summarizing the required and elective subjects that one might expect to find in each of the four groups of schools at each grade level: Instruction in language arts, social studies, mathematics, science, and physical education for all pupils is required in more than 50 percent of the schools in all groups and in 90 percent or more of the schools in most of the groups. There is also more than a 50 percent chance that the following is required in each of the respective groups and grades:

Group	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 9
Junior high schools	<u></u> ·		
75 to 299	Health	Health	
300 and above	Health, art, music, homemaking or industrial arts	Health, homemaking or industrial arts	
Junior-senior high s	chools—	<b>C</b>	•
125 to 499 500 and above	Health Health, music, home-	Health Health, homemaking	
ood siint above.	making or industrial	or industrial arts	

. Nearly a 50-percent chance exists that the following subjects will be offered on an elective basis:



Group	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 9
Junior high schools—			
75 to 299		Music	Music
300 and above		Music	Art, music, foreign language, business education, homemak- ing, and industrial arts
Junior-senior high sch	ools—	•	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
125 to 499			Foreign language, agriculture, music, homemaking, and indus- trial arts
500 and above			Foreign language, business edu- cation, agriculture, art, music, homemaking, and industrial arts

#### **Extraclass Activities**

Extraclass activities, or cocurricular activities, as a scheduled program apart from the required and elective subjects is often called, seek to provide curriculum enrichment and opportunity for individual development. Also, when regular class instruction concedes little to individual needs and interests, as is sometimes true, extraclass activities may be the only channel through which the individual pupil may achieve self-realization. They enable him and the group to capitalize on his present interests and to develop the skills of possible new interests. Their hobby clubs, and aesthetic, social, physical, and civic activities provide opportunities for personality enrichment and contribution to the group. Since most of the leisure-time interests that an adult ultimately possesses are said to be acquired during his adolescent years, it is highly important that a broad program of extraclass activities be provided in grades 7, 8, and 9, as well as those that follow.

According to the findings of the present study, those extraclass activities having the greatest prevalence, that is, those that were found in roughly half or more of the schools regardless of size or type, were student council, music, interscholastic athletics for boys, intramural athletics for both boys and girls, social activities, and clubs.

The relative frequency of offerings of extraclass activities at each grade level is shown in table 35. As would be expected, the larger schools, as a rule, offered a particular type of activity more often than the smaller schools. A notable exception to this generalization was interscholastic athletics. The availability of interscholastic athletics for boys in the 7th and 8th grades of the small junior high school, and for girls in all grades of the small junior-senior high school exceeded that in the large schools.

681307 O-63---5



Some of the widest differences between size groups are represented by the student council, clubs, arts and crafts, school publications, and science fairs. The differences varied from grade to grade. size of the decrease in some activities from grade 7 to 8 of the large junior-senior high school may be due to a difference in the universe for the two grades (13 percent of the schools having grade 8 did not have grade 7) or to the lower percentage of total item response (last column of table 35) for grade 7 of this type school.

Differences in extraclass offerings between types of schools, while generally not as large as between sizes of schools, are notable. In the 8th grade, for example, the range of difference is from less than 1 percent for social functions to 20 percent for school publications, with a median difference for all activities of 8 percent. In nearly every instance, the junior high school offerings exceeded those of the junior-

senior high school.

Among the four groups of schools two activities tended to be rather

equally distributed throughout-recreation and music.

This study indicates that pupils in large junior high schools have the widest variety of extraclass activities available to them; that pupils in the small junior high schools have the smallest variety available; and that pupils in the junior-senior high school fall in between these two groups with the differences between the small and large junior-senior high school being less pronounced than between the small and large junior high school.

If curriculum-enriching extraclass activities are to administer to the cultural, social, or recreational needs of all boys and girls as well as expand their existing desirable interests, 100 percent participation of pupils should be expected. Rarely, if ever, however does any school achieve universal participation through voluntary enrollment. Invariably, a number of youngsters fail to join any activity group. In some cases, the program of activities offered may be inadequate to meet the felt needs of all; sometimes, however, the difficulty lies within the pupils themselves. The question arises then: If extraclass activities are good for all, should participation be required? The results in table 36 seem to indicate that most schools do not believe that it should; while it was a practice in 22 percent of the small junior high schools in grade 9, for other grades and in other types of schools, the percentage of required participation ranged from 8 to 14.

On the other hand, there are always some pupils with wide interests to whom many activities appeal. Such pupils may register for two or more activities, sometimes more than is in their best interests. High school principals were asked to report the maximum number of activities in which, according to school policy, a pupil might engage.



(enrollment) of school	Stu- dent	School gov-		Speech		Recre	Music— band,	School	Athletics- inter-	thletics-	<b>4</b>	Athletics-	Honor	Science			Resi	Response analysis
	od l	ern- ment	Clube	dra- matics c	crafts	Elon Elon	etc.	publi- ations	scholastic (boys)	scholastic (girls)	mural (boys)	mural (gdrls)	Socie- ties	fairs		Social Other	Item respondents	Percent total response
Junior birth schools				-	-					GRADE 7								
Total 75 to 290 300 and above	25.5 51.7 86.2	<b>3</b> 27	41:7 75:7	# R R	8.18. 8.18. 8.18.	<b>4</b> 4 4 5 5 6 7 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8	<b>₹</b> ₹8	<b>1</b> 8.8 •∞+	88.8 81.8 62.1	28.8 21.1 17.8	86.1 67.8 86.7	25.55 20.55 20.50	######################################	3.45 8-0	56.6 59.6	444 600	328	<b>2</b> 888
Junior eculor high schools Total 128 to 480 800 and above	<b>KA8</b>	<b>4</b> 44	= 3.5 = 3.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5	82 4 2 0 2	2.7.2 4 4 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	<b>2</b> € € 8	38.25 2.25 1.25	# 4.48 4.55	<b>5</b> 4.23	38.3 31.7 17.3	7.17. 4.17. 4.7.3	<b>31.</b> 8%	4 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 4	<b>1</b> 888	<b>4</b> 0 €	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	388	<b>5</b> 677 <b>6</b> 40
President Palace										GRADE 8								
Tetal 75 to 290. 300 and above Junior-centor high	\$5.7 86.9	<b>3</b> 4 <b>2</b> • 0 2	25 to the contract of the cont	<b>3</b> 8 =	31.0 37.2	4-3 8-2	88.3 80.4	4 6 8 8 9 4	\$ 88.4 \$ 60.0 \$ 0.0	<b>8</b> .430	** *** ***	71. 86.6 1.85	35.0 36.0 8.0 8.0	389 803	<b>4</b> 2.5	444	\$25 \$25 \$6	<b>ష</b> ష్ట్రప్త 400
schools Total 128 to 499 800 and above	823 844	16.0 16.3 15.4	<b>3</b> 255	188 108	77.4 32.3	<b>3</b> 67	2 2 2	8.8.8. 8.15.	5.17 6.05 6.05	<b>3</b> 888	67.7 76.0	<b>51.7</b> 59.0 69.1	16.6 15.1 20.3	37.8 57.1	త్వాప్తు <b>జ్యా</b> ప్రస్తు	<b>4</b> 444	<b>2</b> 78	<b>2</b> 000000000000000000000000000000000000
Personal Parks of the column o										GRADE 9								
Total 75 to 290. 300 and above Junior-senior high schools	<b>488</b>	10 K	क्षुं 2 <u>१</u> <b>७</b> २ ०	20 H	<b>4</b> 24	<b>3</b> 7.3 = 2.0	\$1:8 ***	<b>43</b> %	8 0 8 6 0 0 8 0 0	<b>#</b> 844	8 4 7 8 7	\$5.5 0.0 0.0	<b>84</b> 2	20.05 77.33	<b>8</b> 00 400 8	44.73 400	328 328	95 94 10 74
Total 126 to 490 500 and above	<b>\$</b> £8	17.9 17.6 18.6	\$7.8 40.8	48.4 • 8.0	48.8 48.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7	3.00 1.00 1.00	<b>222</b>	<b>7</b> 78	<b>3</b> 332	<b>3</b> 23.4 3.13.8 3.83.3	¥27.89 ₩0.80	88.7.F	<b>#</b> 55.55	47.78 8.2.7.0	<b>3</b> 8.2	ಕೆಜೆ. 1000	25.5 25.5 25.5 25.5 25.5 25.5 25.5 25.5	20.78 24.48 34.48

Table 36.—Percent of schools requiring pupils to participate in at least one extraclass activity, by grade, and type and size of school

	;	Grade				Respons	e analysi	8	
Type and size (enrollment) of school	7	8	9	Item	respond	len <b>ts</b>	Percer	it total re	Sponse
	·			. 7	8	9	7	8	9
			J	UNIOR	нон	всноо	L8		
<b>Total</b>	10,3 14.3 8.6	9, 9 13, 9 8, 3	10, 6 21. 5 8. 0	<b>627</b> 230 397	239 239 399	434 107 327	91.3 95.0 85.2	90,8 85,2 94,5	91.8 82.7 95.5
			JUNIC	DR-SEN	or HI	OH SCI	100L8		
Total	11.9 12.7 9.2	10, 7 11. 2 9. 4	11,3 12,1 9,1	<b>506</b> 306 292	961 330 331	961 331 330	81. 7 80. 6 84. 5	81, 1 80, 2 83, 4	81.4 80.7 83.2

Table 37.—Percentage distribution of schools by maximum number of extraclass activities in which a pupil may participate, by grade, and type and size of school

•		Maxim	um pumi	ber of ext	raclass a	ctivities	Respons	e analysis
Type and size (enrollment) of school	Total	No maxi- mum	1	2	3	or more	Item respond- ents	Percent total response
				GR	ADE 7	·		<u> </u>
Junior high schools		1	1				l	Γ
<b>Total</b>	100.0 100.0 100.0	<b>79, 3</b> 81. 5 78. 3	4.3 3.2 4.5	. 11, 8 8. 8 13. 1	8.0 5.1 2.1	1.7 1.5 1.9	500 216 374	8 <b>5.</b> ( 80. ( 89. )
Junior-senior high schools								ł
Total	100.0 100.0	78.8 76.1 72.8	<b>5.2</b> 4.4 7.8	14. 6 14. 5 15. 2	2.7 2.7 2.8	2.1 2.3 1.5	880 297 283	<b>79,</b> 1 78, 2 82, 0
		<u>'                                      </u>	<u></u>	GR	ADE 8	·	·	
Junior high schools			. [	<u>-</u>			<u> </u>	
Total	100.0 100.0	79.5 81.9 78.5	2.8 3.2	11. 9 8. 8 13. 3	1, 3 4, 2 2, 9	2.3 2.3 2.2	512 216 296	85, 6 80, 6 89, 4
Junior-senior high schools				. ]	l			E.
Total	100.0 100.0 100.0	74, 2 75. 9 69. 8	4.8 3.7 5.8	15. 0 13. 6 18. 7	4.4 5.0 2.8	2, 2 1. 8 3. 0	649 323 326	79. 5 78. 5 82. 2
•				GR.	ADE 9	·		
Junior high schools	<u>-</u>	Т		<u> </u>	<del></del>			<del></del>
Total	100. 0 100. 0 100. 0	78.9 77.5 79.3	3, 5 4, 5 3, 2	10, 8 9, 9 10, 4	4.8 4.5 4.9	2,6 3.6 2.3	420 111 309	87.9 85.7 90.3
Junior-senior high schools						1		
Tetal	100. 0 100. 0 100. 0	75, 1 76, 8 70, 2	1.6 1.2 2.8	14. 8 13. 9 17. 2	6.0 6.5	2. 5 2. 1 5. 3	648 323 325	79.6 78.8 81.9



Approximately 75 percent of the junior-senior high schools and about 80 percent of the junior high schools set no maximum. About half of the 20 to 25 percent having a maximum set it at two. The remaining 10 to 12 percent were distributed as shown in table 37.

Thus in at least three-fourths of all schools, pupils might or might not as they chose participate in an activity program, and if they did,

there was no limit on the extent of participation.

## VII. Staff

THIS SECTION gives such quantitative information on the staff as was comparatively easy for principals to assemble from school records. Included are data on the numbers of various types of supervisory and specialist personnel serving junior and junior-senior high schools and their location, whether it be in the schools themselves or in central offices. Although the survey cannot make comparisons of schools solely on the basis of numbers of professional personnel available, it certainly should follow that the effectiveness of schools should be enhanced if specialist personnel are added and used to good advantage.

Another aspect of the staff picture which has an important bearing on the type of educational experiences junior and junior-senior high school pupils have is that related to the principals and teachers. This section also includes, therefore, the experience and current teaching duties of principals and education, experience, and supply of teachers.

# Professional Supervisory and Specialist Personnel

In addition to the teachers and principals, what type of professional personnel is serving the junior and junior-senior high schools in the United States? Are there differences in the amount of service which junior high schools and junior-senior high schools receive? Is the entire time of these people being given to the individual school in each instance or are the services being shared by other schools?

In this survey over one-half of the large junior and slightly higher faction of the large junior-senior high schools had assistant principals but, as might be expected, very few small schools had such assistance. (See table 38.) Where assistant principals were available to schools such personnel was most frequently housed in the schools themselves rather than in the central office. Only one-eighth of all large junior-senior high schools or approximately one-fourth of those being served by assistant principals housed these staff members in the central



office. It is most unlikely that the principals located centrally could devote as much time to each school as those located in the building itself.

Table 38.—Percent of schools providing services of full- and part-time professional personnel to grades 7, 8, and/or 9, by type and affiliation of personnel, and type and size of school

Type and affiliation of personnel	Percer	nt of juni s by enro	or high ollment	<b>se</b> nic	cent of just or high so enrollm	chools
•	Total	75 to 299	300 and above	Total	125 to 499	500 and above
Assistant principal(s)						
Staff of reporting schools. \\. Central office. \\. Staff and central office. \\.	37. 8 34. 1 3. 5 . 2	8,7 5.4 3.3 .0	46. 6 3. 7 . 3	44.3 34.2 11.4 .7	20,7 10.7 8.8 1.2	<b>53, 5</b> 40. 8 12. 1
Heads of departments			1			
Total. Staff of reporting schools. Central office. Staff and central office.	29, 9 20, 9 8, 8 . 2	12, 7 7. 8 4. 9	37. 4 26. 6 10. 5 . 3	29.8 6.4 .3	16. 8 4. 3	40.8 33.5 7.0 .3
Chairmen of grade levels				Ī	1	İ
Total Staff of reporting schools Central office Staff and central office	30, 2 18. 1 1. 9 . 2	4. 5 3, 7 . 8 . 0	27. 1 24. 4 2. 4 . 3	17. 0 14. 8 1. 9 . 3	<b>5.9</b> 4.5 .9	30.2 17.7 2.2 .3
Curriculum director(s) !			]. [			
Total. Staff of reporting schools Central office. Staff and central office.	44, 4 5. 8 38. 8 . 8	34.8 5.8 18.6 .4	54. 5 5. 9 47. 6 1. 0	29, 2 7, 2 20, 9 1, 1	21, 9 5, 9 15, 0 . 9	31, 2 7, 6 22, 5 1, 1
General supervisor(s) Total. Staff of reporting schools.	40.8 3.5	30,9 4,9	45.1	. 34.9	82, 5	85.7
Central office	36. 5 . 8	25.6	2.9 41.2 1.0	5.3 28.8 .8	5, 4 26, 1 1, 0	5, 3 29, 6 , 8
Subject matter supervisor(s)		,				
Total	31.0	10, 9	87. 1	19.3	16, 5	20, 0
Central office.	2. 9 27. 1 1. 0	3. 3 13. 6 . 0	2. 7 32. 9 1. 5	3. 5 14. 6 1. 1	4.0 11.6 .9	3. 4 15. 5 1. 1
Reading specialist(s)	29. 6	18.4				,
Staff of reporting schools. Central office. Staff and central office.	16.8 19.6 3.2	9. 9 8. 3 . 4	47. 0 19. 8 22. 9 4. 3	26. 6 15. 1 10. 3 . 6	10, 8 4, 8 5, 7 .0	30. 4 18. 0 11. 6 . 8
Librarian(s)						
Staff of reporting schools. Central office. Staff and central office.	78, 8 59. 5 10. 3 3. 5	47. 9 35. 5 12. 0	84. 4 70. 0 9. 5 4. 9	88. 0 71. 2 13. 8 3. 0	74, 9 58. 0 15. 5 1. 4	91, 6 74, 9 13, 3 3, 4
Guidance personnel	.				İ	
Total  Staff of reporting schools Central office Staff and central office	68, 5 43. 9 15. 5 9. 1	41. 4 15. 3 24. 0 2. 1	80, 8 56, 4 11, 7 12, 2	73, 6 52, 7 14, 9 6, 0	48. 6 28. 8 17. 6 2. 2	80, 5 59, 4 14, 1 7, 0
Specialist(s) in audiovisual aids						
Total Staff of reporting schools Central office Staff of central office	48, 2 13. 6 24. 4 5. 2	18.·1 4. 9 12. 8	84. 1 17. 3 29. 5 7. 3	37. 3 20. 8 14. 1 2. 4	19. 2 10. 5 7. 9	43, 8 23, 7 15, 8 2, 8



Table 38.—Percent of schools providing services of full- and part-time professional personnel to grades 7, 8, and/or 9, by type and affiliation of personnel, and type and size of school—Continued

Type and affiliation of personnel	Percent of junior high schools by enrollment			Percent of junior- senior high schools by enrollment		
	Total	75 to 299	300 and above	Total	125 to 499	500 and above
Attendance officer(s) :						
Staff of reporting schools. Central office. Staff and central office.		31, 1 2.9 30, 2 .0	78, 1 12. 9 58. 1 4. 1	84.0 12.5 43.2 1.2	41.7 7.9 33.5	61.1 13.8 65.9 1.4
School physician(s)  Total.  Staff of reporting schools.  Central effice.  Staff and central office.	33,0 3,4 28,5 .1	13.6 2.9 10.7 .0	40.8 3.7 36.3	39, 8 8, 2 24, 1 .0	14.9 5.2 10.7	9.0 9.0 27.9
School dentist(s)  Total.  Staff of reporting schools  Central office.  Staff and central office.	18.8 1.7 17.1 .0	4.1 1.2 4.9	34.4 2.0 22.4	19, 2 3, 3 15, 9	4.7 2.2 4.5	22, 7 3.6 19.1
School nurse(s)  Total.  Staff of reporting schools.  Central office.  Staff and central office.	83, 1 27, 8 52, 6 2, 7	76, 5 14, 5 62, 0 . 0	86, 1 33, 7 48, 5 3, 9	72, 0 25, 9 46, 5	64. 2 10. 7 53. 5'	78.8 30.9 5.47.5
School psychologist(s)  Staff of reporting schools  Central office  Staff and central office.	39.8 1.1 38.7 .0	12, 4 1, 2 11, 2 . 0	\$1.7 1.0 50.7	27. 8 3. 2 24. 3 .0	9.8 1.7 7.6	32.6 3.6 29.0
Speech therapist(s) Total Staff of reporting schools. Central office. Staff and central office.	48. 4 5. 5 42. 2	22, 2 4. 6 18. 6 .0	50. 4 5. 9 52. 5 1. 0	36, 6 6. 4 23. 6	10,7 2.2 8.5	35. 5 7. 6 27. 9
Teachers of exceptional children					.	
Tetal.  Staff of reporting schools.  Central office.  Staff and central office.	43.7 23.5 18.8 1.4	14, 1 4, 6 9, 5 . 0	84, 6 31, 7 22, 9 2, 0	27. 2 14. 7 12. 3	9.6 4.8 4.8	<b>32, 2</b> 17, 5 14, 4
Tetal. Staff of reporting schools. Central office Staff and central office	4.4 2.3 1.8	2.5 .4 2.1 .0	8.8 3.2 1.7	2.9 1.5 1.4	1, 2 . 9 . 3 . 0	3.4 1.7 1.7

Includes curriculum coordinator.
 Includes visiting teacher(s).

Department heads were available to a greater proportion of junior-senior than to junior high schools. Most of the schools had such personnel located in the schools themselves. Large schools, of course, were much more likely than small schools to have department heads and grade level chairmen.

There was little difference in the availability of grade-level chairmen to the two types of schools except that a greater proportion of large junior high schools had the advantage of their services than did those of the junior-senior high schools.



NOTE: Item response analysis was not made. Where an item was not checked the assumption was made that the school had no such personnel.

Curriculum directors' services, when available, were in most instances directed from the central office. The small schools, again, were not as privileged as the large to have this help. However, the large junior high schools were much more likely than the large junior-senior high schools to have such personnel.

Higher proportions of all school groups had general rather than subject matter supervisors, both of whom were most often housed in the central office. For instance, 45 percent of the large junior high schools had general supervisors and 37 percent had subject matter supervisors while 36 percent of the large junior-senior high schools had general supervisors and 20 percent had subject matter supervisors. Even greater differences were found in the small schools. The large junior high schools were niore likely to have both general and subject matter supervisors, however, than were any of the other three groups of schools. This was true for reading and audiovisual specialists, as well.

It is rather revealing to find that more than one-half of the small junior high schools had no professional librarians either in their huildings or in the central offices. Even though a higher proportion of the small junior-senior high schools had librarian service, one-fourth had none. This type of service was available to a greater fraction of the large schools, especially the large junior-senior high schools. However, even in the large schools approximately one-sixth of the junior and one-twelfth of the junior-senior high schools had no such service.

Guidance personnel, too, was unavailable to one-fifth of the large and over one-half of the small schools. A slightly higher proportion of the small junior-senior high schools (49 percent) than of the smaller junior high schools (41 percent) had such personnel. The schools having such specialists were likely to have them in their school buildings.

Some other professional personnel, including school physicians, dentists, psychologists, and teachers of exceptional children, were not available in each instance to more than 16 percent of the small schools. Where they were available, they were usually located in the central offices. As would be expected, higher percentages of the large schools were afforded the assistance of such professional people. Large junior high schools were most likely to make available these services. In fact, more than one-half of these schools had school psychologists and teachers of exceptional children. The type and size of school having the highest proportion of attendance officers and speech therapists was the large junior high school.



In summary, a higher proportion of large junior high schools than large junior-senior high schools had available services offered by all professional supervisory personnel except assistant principals, heads of departments, librarians, and guidance people. Much lower percentages of the small schools than of the large had such personnel to serve them. However, higher proportions of the small junior-senior than the small junior high schools provided wider services. While larger proportions of the small junior high schools had such personnel as curriculum directors, reading specialists, school nurses and psychologists, speech therapists, and teachers of exceptional children available, the small junior-senior high schools were more likely to have the services of assistant principals, department heads, gradelevel chairmen, general supervisors, librarians, guidance personnel, audiovisual specialists, attendance officers, and school physicians. Such personnel as curriculum directors, general and subject matter supervisors, attendance officers, school physicians, dentists, nurses, psychologists, and speech therapists were most often a part of the central office staffs in all groups of schools, while all others were likely to be on the staff of the reporting schools except reading and audiovisual specialists and teachers of exceptional children who were as likely to be a part of the central office staff as of the reporting school.

## Principals Teaching Classes

As would be expected, principals of small schools did classroom teaching more often than did the large school principals. In this survey only 4 percent of the large junior high school principals and 8 percent of the large junior-senior high school principals taught classes. (See table 39.) However, more than one-half of the small-school principals did some teaching. While a larger proportion of administrators in small junior-senior high schools taught one or two class periods daily, the proportion of those in the junior high schools teaching more than two periods was greater than for junior-senior high schools.

## Professional Experience of Principals

The questionnaire returns revealed that a higher proportion of principals in the junior high schools than in the junior-senior high schools had had experience in elementary schools, but a smaller proportion had gained experience in the senior high schools. (See table 40.) For example, 61 percent of the junior high school principals and 47 percent of the junior-senior had the advantage of elementary school



Table 39.—Percentage distribution of principals by number of periods taught daily, and type and size of school

-			Numb	er of peri	ods taugi	nt daily		Respons	analysis
Type and size (enrollment) of school	All schools	0	1	2	3	4	More than	Item respond- ents	Percent- age total response
				JUNIO	к ніон	8СНО	OLS	-	·
75 to 299	100. 0 100. 0 100. 0	8 <b>0, 1</b> 44. 0 96. 0	8,8 14.9 1.7	4, 1 11. 6 . 7	4, 1 11, 2 1, 0	4. 1 13. 2 . 2	1.7 5.0 .2	645 241 404	<b>92,</b> 6 89, 3 95, 3
			JUN	IOR-8E	NIOR H	IOH 8C	HOOLS	3	
Total	100, 0 100, 0 100, 0	81. 0 42. 0 92. 0	22.6 5.2	4.8 16.8 1.4	2.8 9.9 .8	1.7 6.7 .8	0,7 2.0 .3	882 345 348	84. 4 83. 2 87. 4

experience while 60 percent of those in the junior and 70 percent in the junior-senior high schools had experience in senior high schools.

In addition, a large proportion of the junior high school principals and a somewhat smaller proportion of the junior-senior high school principals indicated having had experience in combined elementary-junior high schools. Since this experience may have been gained at the elementary or at both the elementary and junior high school level it would appear very likely that a higher proportion of both groups of principals had had elementary experience than is shown in the elementary school category alone.

Table 40.—Percent of principals having professional experience in specified types of schools, by type and size of school

Orman and ata		Com- bined		Junior-				Respons	e analysis
Type and size (enrollment) of school	Elemen- tary schools	elemen- tary- junior high achools	Junior high schools	senior high schools	Senior high schools	12-year achools	Other	ltem respond- ents	Percent total response
·				JUNIO	R HIGH	8CHO	OL8		, x
75 to 299	81.2 64.1 60.7	<b>54.4</b> 71.9 47.5	80, 4 80, 3 93, 2	44, 8 39. 9 46. 9	<b>50, 6</b> 47, 4 65, 5	19, 5 22, 4 19, 1	15, 5 6, 8 19, 9	647 241 406	92, 1 89, 3 95, 5
• •			JUN	OR-SE	NIOR E	пон вс	CHOOL	3	
Total 125 to 499 500 and above	47, 3 50, 4 38, 3	44.0 48.5 38.0	<b>56, 2</b> 55, 6 56, 3	76.0 72.7 85.0	70.4 70.2 70.7	<b>54,9</b> 60.8 38.5	8, 1 7. 8 8. 6	905 344 351	84, 0 82, 9 89, 3

Similarly, large proportions of the junior-senior high school principals and much smaller proportions of the junior high school principals reported having had experience in schools which included senior high



schools, such as the junior-senior high schools and '12-year schools. Were principals who had had experience in the senior high school portion of their schools to be added to principals who reported senior high school experience, total proportions of junior-senior and junior high school principals who had senior high school experience would have been considerably greater. The proportion of junior-senior high school principals would most probably have been enlarged more, however, than would have the proportion of the junior high school principals.

#### **Teachers**

In order that one may understand the types of educational experiences pupils in junior high schools and junior-senior high schools obtain, much needs to be known about the teachers themselves. Four items are reported here which have a great influence upon the curriculums offered in the schools. These include the educational background of teachers, their attrition and supply rate, and the way in which they spend their time in school.

The principals were asked to indicate the number of full-time teachers in grades 7, 8, and 9 who had completed certain maximum amounts of higher education, as shown in table 41. A better response was obtained from the junior high schools than from junior-senior high schools. In fact, the low percent total response of the small junior-senior high schools makes returns from these schools rather unreliable.

Table 41.—Percentage distribution of teachers by maximum education completed, and type and size of school

•			Ma	vimum e	ducation	of teach	ers		Respons	eanalya
Type and size (enrollment) of school	Total	Less than bacca- laureate	Bacca- laureate	Orad- uate but not master's	Mas- ter's degree	A hove master's but not doctor's		Other	Item 1 respond- ents	Percent total response
				JUNI	OR HI	он вси	ools			
Total	100, 0 100, 0 100, 0	2, 5 6, 2 2, 1	30, 1 37, 7 29, 4	<b>32, 9</b> 30, 5 33, 1	21. 8 18. 2 21. 6	12.4 7.4 12.9	0, t .0 .2	0, 6 . 0 . 7	\$80 214 366	<b>N3.</b> ( 79 : <b>N</b> 6 (
			10	NIOR-8	ENIOR	нон	schoo	LS	<u> </u>	
Total	100.0 100.0 100.0	3, 3 .0 2.4	41, 8 45. 4 39. 0	27. 9 27. 5 28. 3	19, 2 17, 1 20, 9	7, 8 5, 5 9, 0	0, 2 . 0 . 2	0,1	499 217 282	\$7, 1 52.3 70.8

<sup>1</sup> Standard errors for percentages of teachers cannot be determined from the standard table in the appendix.

It is evident, however, that almost 10 percent more of the teachers in large junior high schools than large junior-senior high schools had earned more than a baccalaureate degree but approximately the same



proportion of each (3 percent) had earned less than this degree. In addition, a higher percentage of teachers in the large, as compared with the small, junior high schools had graduate degrees or, at least, graduate work, while a lower percentage had less than a baccalaureate degree.

Of the three groups of schools with a response rate of over 70 percent the large junior high schools had the highest proportion of teachers who had taken graduate work and also earned graduate degrees.

#### Levels of Teaching Experience of Teachers

Whether the teacher of grades 7, 8, and 9 in the junior or juniorsenior high school has a background of teaching in the elementary or secondary school certainly has an influence on the type of teaching he does. It is, therefore, important to learn what backgrounds teachers in these schools had and if these backgrounds were likely to differ in the various enrollment and school organization groups.

Table 42 indicates the level of teaching experience of each teacher, including previous and present teaching. It is significant that over two-fifths of the teachers in junior high schools had experience only in junior high schools. Since the proportion was higher in the large than the small junior high schools it may tend to indicate that teachers in these large schools were more likely to specialize in the junior high school area in their pre-service teacher education and, as a result, enter the area of their specialization.

As will be seen later in table 45, the percentage of teacher accession in the junior high schools from 1957 to 1959 was 33.6. If a large segment of this group of teachers, new to the junior high schools, was made up of inexperienced teachers this would, however, have accounted for at least a part of the rather high proportion of junior high school teachers in table 42 having only junior high school experience.

In addition, a higher percentage of the junior high school than of the junior-senior high school teachers had elementary combined with secondary school experience. On the other hand, almost three-fifths of the junior-senior high school teachers, which was twice as high a proportion as that of the junior high school teachers, had both junior and senior high school—experience. This is to be expected since teachers in the junior-senior high schools often teach both the junior high school and senior high school groups.

The findings tend to indicate, therefore, that teachers in the junior high schools were more likely to have a background of junior high school teaching alone or a combination of elementary and secondary teaching, while the teachers in the junior-senior high schools were more likely to have a background composed of junior and senior high school teaching.



Table 42.—Percentage distribution of teachers by level of teaching experience, and type and size of school

		Тея	chers with te experience in		Response	analysis
Type and size (enrollment) of school	Total teachers	Junior high schools only	Combined junior and senior high schools	Combined elementary and secondary schools	Item <sup>3</sup> respondents	Percent total response
	1	J	UNIOR HI	он вснос	LS	
Total	100. 0 100. 0 100. 0	43, 2 33. R 44. 3	27, 7 30. 2 - 27. 4	29, 1 36. 0 28. 3	522 202 321	84. 84. 84.
		JUNI	OR-SENIOI	в ніон вс	HOOLS	
Total	100. 0 100. 0 100. 0	19. 3 11. 7 25. 8	\$8, 6 60, 6 56, 9	22. 1 27. 7 17. 3	463 206 257	80, 4 80. 6 81. 3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Including the reporting school year, 1955–60.

<sup>2</sup> Standard errors for percentages of teachers cannot be determined from the standard table in the Technical Appendix.

## Percentage of Time Spent by Teachers on Out-of-Class Activities

In this item principals were asked to indicate the percentage of time spent in classroom teaching and in each of several out-of-class activities by the typical teacher of grades 7, 8, and 9 in his school. They were advised that percentages of time spent had to total 100. The item replies in which totals did not equal 100 for each type and size of school were discarded. In the item replies retained, absence of a reply to any portion of this item was interpreted as zero percent.

Table 43 shows that the median teacher in all schools spent approximately 20 to 29 percent of his time on activities outside the classroom. According to the principals' reports only a small proportion of the teachers' time was given to any one of the listed activities. (See table 44.)

Very little time was given to cooperative planning by teachers in the small schools and the large junior-senior high schools. For instance, 58 percent or more of teachers in all grades in the small schools and 53 percent or more in the large junior-senior high schools spent no time in conference or cooperative planning. In the large junior high schools, however, a lower percentage of the teachers gave no time to this activity. (42 to 43 percent.)



Table 43.—Percentage distribution of teachers by time devoted to classroom activities by grade, and type and size of school

[Boldface type indicates median intervals]

Grades-	Total			Percent of	time spent	in teachin	<b>g</b> .	5
		40 or less	41 to 50	51 to 60	61 to 70	71 to 80	81 to 90	91 to 100
		·	JUNIO	R HIGH	BCHOOLS	(total)	·	
Grade 7Grade 8Grade 9	100. 0 100. 0 100. 0	0. 4 . 4 . 5	1. 4 1. 4 1. 2	5. 9 5. 7 6. 5	23. 7 23. 3 22. 7	135, 2 34, 3 33, 5	26. 2 27. 5 28. 7	7. 2 7. 8 6. 9
			JUNIOR	HIGH 80	HOOLS	(75 to <b>299</b> )		
Orade 7	100. 0 100. 0 100. 0	0. 0 . 0 . 0	2. 2 2. 2 . 9	8. 8 8. 4 10. 2	24. 7 25. 8 23. 4	38, 8 36, 4 42, 1	20. 7 22. 7 18. 7	4. 8 4. 4 4. 7
		JU:	NIOR HI	он всно	OOLS (300	and abov	e)	•
Grade 7Grade 8Grade 9	100. 0 100. 0 100. 0	- 0.5 .5 .6	1. 1 1. 1 1. 3	4. 6 4. 5 5. 5	23. 3 22. 2 22. 6	23, 5 23, 2 31, 3	28. 7 29. 7 31. 3	8. 3 8. 8 7. 4
		JUL	NIOR-SE	NIOR HI	эн всно	OLS (tota	η)	
Orade 7 Orade 8 Orade 9	100. 0 100. 0 100. 0	0. 5 . 7 . 7	2. 5 2. 1 2. 5	7. 8 8. 1 7. 9	25. 8 24. 6 27. 1	35, 7 38, 6 38, 6	21. 7 21. 1 19. 7	5. 9 4. 8 3. 5
· •		JUNIO	DR-SENI	OR HIGH	8СНООІ	LS (125 to	499)	
Grade 7Grade 8Grade 9	100. 0 100. 0 100. 0	0.6	2. 6 2. 1 2. 8	7. 9 8. 6 8. 7	26. 4 24. 8 27. 0	32, 2 36, 4 36, 3	23. 1 21. 7 20. 2	6. 9 5. 5 4. 0
_	•	JUNIOR	SENIOR	ніон в	CHOOLS	(500 and	above)	
Prade 7 Prade 8 Prade 9	100. 0 100. 0 , 100. 0	0. 3 . 3 . 3	2. 4 2. 1 1. 8	6. 6 6. 6 5. 7	24. 0 24. 1 26. 8	45,5 44,6 44,9	17. 6 19. 3 18. 4	3. 0 3. 0 2. 1

<sup>1</sup> Indicates median interval.

NOTE: Item nonresponse could not be determined from the design of the questionnaire. For rate of survey nonresponse see Introduction.

Teachers in the large junior high schools were not as likely to spend as much time as were teachers in other schools in the supervision of study halls. For example, approximately 54 percent of the teachers in these large junior high schools and only 22 to 29 percent of those in the other schools spent no time on this activity.

The teacher in the small junior high school spent more time in lunch supervision than id teachers in other schools. The teacher in the 9th grade in all schools was more likely than were the teachers in the 7th and 8th grades to spend more time in sponsoring clubs and extraclass activities. This is to be expected since the 9th grade had more clubs requiring sponsors than did the 7th and 8th grades. (See table 35.)



		2	oldfac	type	indicat	rs medi	[Boldface type indicates median intervals]	vals]							
					Percen	t of time	Percent of time spent on activities outside of classroom	activitie	s outside	of classro	HO				
Grade and activity	Total	0	1 to 10	11 %	Over 20	Total	0	1 to 10	11 to 20	Over 20	Total	0	1 to 10	11 to 20	Over 20
	7	6	-	2		1-	œ.	•	9	=	12	13	=	15	92
	JUNI	OR HIGH	н всн	SCHOOLS (total)	total)	JUNIOR	R HIGH	я всно	8CHOOL8 (75 to 299)	to 299)	Dr.	NIOR	R HIGH SCH (300 and above)	JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOLS (300 and above)	80
GRADE 7															
study halls lunch	90.0	\$ <b>2</b>	##	<b>4</b> %	ж © О	88	\$2.7 1.12	X3	3.5	7.5	100.0	## ##	29.7	3.5	40.
activities dute and other cases Conference or cooperative planning Free from assigned duties Other	8888	**************************************	<b>≒##</b>	4 <b>4</b> 4	<u> </u>	8888	<b>46627</b>	₩ <b>₩</b> ₩ 4 <b>10</b> 10 10	မှ တွေ ⊖ - မာ ဆ ဗ	0004	88.00 0 0 0 0	2:44 <b>4</b>	<b>444</b> 5	8.55 9.95 4.95 7.95	
GRADE 8															
Supervising study halls. Supervising lunch and solves are also	100.0	44	#±	3.1	ы 0.0	100.0	27.6	¥.2.	34.7	7.1	100.0	<b>3</b> -3	8.2	15.2	4. 40.
r cooperative planning signed duties	<b>8888</b>	#### ####	<b>424</b> =	<b>ಪ್ಪಕ್ಷ</b> ಗ	q	0000	7.3% F	000 H 0	31.7	4044	00000	1.22.7	3342	ನೆ ಕ್ಷಣೆ ನೆಗೆ ನೆಗೆ ಕ್ಷಣೆ ನೆಗೆ ಕ್ಷಣೆ ನೆಗೆ ನೆಗೆ ನೆಗೆ ನೆಗೆ ನೆಗೆ ನೆಗೆ ನೆಗೆ ನೆ	
OBADE 9										:				ì	•
Supervising study halls Supervising lunch Subnording cubin and other series, these	28	\$3 6 10	84 0 ×	25 40	ж 70	100.0	6.7	**	86.44 60.80	8.0	100.0	23 22	29.7	55 20	20.
or cooperative planns	222	448	38 <b>8</b>	30.0		0.00	\$ 5.5 \$ 0.0 \$ 0.0	37.	9 1- 10 4 <del>4</del> 5	900	000	###	44	3.2	

		JUNIO	OR-SENIOR HIGH (total)	OR HIG (total)		SCHOOLS	JUNIO	JUNIOR-SENIOR HIGH (125 to 499)	OR HIC 25 to 499		SCHOOLS	JUNIO	JUNIOR-SENIOR (500 and	OR HIGH		SCHOOLS
68	GRADE 7															
1307	Supervising study halls Supervising lunch	100.0	83 83	## ##	0 8 % 8	4.0	100.0	<b>8</b> 3	8 45 5 5 5	35.6	8.0°	100.0	สส	<b>4</b> 4	33.0	5.7
062	activities and course said solutions and course cause cause activities conference or cooperative planning.  Free from assigned duties.	9000	3 <b>3</b> 8	348	444		0.00	4.0	<b>3</b> %;	44.	w o	0.00	83	30.4	44	90
	Other. GRADE 8	100.0	2	6	2.0			Z.	٠ 0	202	<u> </u>		42	i =	후 10 10	1.7
3 .	Supervising study halls Supervising lunch Supervising clubs and other arter	100.0	×a ×	81.8 42.4	တွ် က တွေ က	 8. I.	100.0	84	# 5 5 8 6 7 8	37.9	<b>9</b> 0	0.0	83	######################################	8 6 8 6	η'. 46
	activities Conference or cooperative planning Free from assigned duties.	000.00 000.00 000.00	044.0 044.0	30.45 80.45	4481-	2000	0000	4 <b>4</b> 5.4	866.4 87.80	444	wo.w.	9988	**********	<b>3</b> 43	÷€.	004
	ORADE 9										?		•		;	?
	Supervising study halls Supervising lunch Sponsoring clubs and other extra class	9.0 0.0 0.0	ង្គ	# ¢	ð.4 20	<u>.</u>	100.0	27	# <del>-</del>	3.1	80.	0.00	25. 25. 27.	<b>#</b> 8	86.4 4.4	4. 26.
	activities Conference or cooperative planning Free from assigned duties Other	8888 8888 8888 8888 8888 8888 8888 8888 8888	\$5.8 <b>5</b>	# 27. # 20. • 20. # 20.	9 9 9 9 9 9 9	6,6,00 to	00000	87.0 37.0 87.0	<b>484</b> 6	84 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	www	8888	244 244	31.35 ******	4.66. 4.64	. 00-4
		_	_	_	-	-		-	-	1	-		}	•	-	?

Note: Item nonresponse could not be determined from the design of the questionnaire. For rate of survey nonresponse see Introduction.

Teachers in large schools were given time free from assigned duties more often than were teachers in small schools. As an example, approximately 63 percent of the small junior-senior high schools gave teachers such time in all three grades, 63 percent of the small junior high schools made available time to their teachers in grades 7 and 8, and 50 percent gave it to them in the 9th grade. However, in approximately 75 percent of the large schools the teachers received free time from assigned duties in all grades. The data show, therefore, that even in large schools, a substantial proportion of the teachers were provided no free time during the school day.

In summary, it is evident that the median teacher in the junior or junior-senior high schools spent all but 20 to 29 percent of his time in teaching. He used small proportions of time in various other activities. These proportions differed very little between school organizations. In most instances the proportion of time spent on the various class and out-of-class activities did not vary greatly from grade 7 to grade 9.

In the large junior high schools the median teacher gave no time to the supervision of study halls or of lunch while in the small junior high schools he gave 1 to 10 percent of his time to each. In both the large and small junior-senior high schools he spent 1 to 10 percent of his time supervising study halls and even more time supervising lunch. Except in grades 7 and 8 of the small junior high schools he spent 1 to 10 percent of his time sponsoring clubs or other extraclass activities. In the large junior high schools he gave 1 to 10 percent of his time to conference or cooperative planning but in the other groups of schools he gave none.

The median teacher had 1 to 10 percent of his time free from assigned duties. However, in the small schools one-fourth to one-third of the teachers had no free time, while in the large schools it was approximately one-fourth.

#### Teacher Attrition and Increase

Although the total number of junior high school teachers in September 1957 was considerably larger than that of the junior-senior high schools, the two organizations differed little in the percentage of teachers leaving and the percentage added to the staff during the next two years. (See table 45.) An attrition of one-fourth of the teachers occurred during 1957-59. One-third of the teachers in the schools in 1959 had been added during this period. The number of teachers in each group of schools, therefore, increased during these two years. The greatest percentage increases in staffs were found in the small junior high schools and the large junior tenior high schools.



Table 45.—Number and percent of teacher departures and accessions in grades 7 to 9 over a 2-year period, 1957 to 1959, by type and size of school

	Number	Number of same	Total			Respons	analysis
Type and size (enrollment) of schools	Number of teachers in Sep- tember 1957	teachers remain- ing in grades 7 to 9 in Septem- ber 1959	number of teachers in Sep- tember 1959	Percent of teacher depart- ure	Percent of teacher accession	Item respond- ents	Percent total response
	,	9	JUNIOR	HIGH 8	CHOOLS	<u>'</u>	
<b>Total</b>	92, 700 9, 100 83, 600	68, 700 6, 600 62, 100	163,666 10,800 92,800	25.9 27.5 25.7	23.7 38.9 33.1	872 223 349	8 82.4 82.5 82.3
		JUN	IOR-SEN	IOR HIG	н всноо	LS	<u> </u>
<b>Total</b> 25 to <b>499</b> 00 and above	78, 200 42, 000 36, 200	58, 100 30, 800 27, 300	89, 000 45, 300 43, 700	25. 7 26. 7 24. 6	34. 7 - 32. 0 37. 5	610 301 309	73.9 72.6 77.6

## **VIII. Summary**

THIS STUDY tends to indicate that, in general, size has more to do with differences in educational practices at the junior high school level than does type of school.

## Administrative Arrangements

Between 6 and 7 percent of junior and junior-senior high schools—were using either double or staggered sessions in the spring of 1960. The smaller schools more often turned to double sessions, the larger schools to staggered or overlapping sessions.

A school year of 180 days or more was characteristic of 60 percent of the large schools of both types, and 40 percent of the small schools. Of the four groups of schools studied, the small junior-senior high school was the only one having a measurable percentage (4 percent)

of schools with a school year of fewer than 170 days.

The school day for pupils exclusive of the lunch period was typically 6 to 6½ hours. Variations by type and size of school were small. The most noticeable differences were by region. Forty-two percent of all junior high schools in the North Atlantic region had a school day of 4 to 5½ hours as compared with an average of 8 percent of these schools in the other three regions. A less-pronounced difference existed for the junior-senior high schools.

The school day for teachers including the lunch period was normally 7 to 8 hours. Here, also, variations by size and type of school were

small.

More schools reported a school day of six 55- to 59-minute periods than any other combination of number and length of periods. For the except the large junior high school the percentage is clear and unequivocal. Considering length of period apart from number of periods, the median length was 50 to 54 minutes for all except the small junior-senior high school, for which it was 55 to 59. Six was the median number of periods in the school day for all groups except the small junior high school for which it was seven.

78



### Organization for Instruction

Of all junior high schools having class sections, 74 percent used homogeneous grouping as a basis for assigning pupils, as compared with 60 percent of the junior-senior high schools. Size of schools also made a difference, more of the larger than the smaller schools tending to group homogeneously.

Criteria used in grouping related to factors of ability and achievement much more frequently than to interests, physical or social maturity, or special aptitudes. The large schools used more criteria than did the small schools. The criterion having greatest frequency of use in the junior high school was standardized achievement test results (almost 90 percent), and in the large junior-senior high school, intelligence quotients (almost 90 percent). In the small schools of this latter type there was considerable variability.

Large majorities of the 7th and 8th grades grouped pupils homogeneously in all four of the major subject fields—English, social studies, science, and mathematics. Even in the 9th grade larger proportions of pupils were grouped in a combination of all four subjects than in any one, two, or three fields.

Complete departmentalization as represented by a different teacher for each subject was found in roughly 50 percent of the 7th grades, 60 percent of the 8th grades, and 80 percent of the 9th grades, with variations occurring for both type and size groups. Another one-third to one-half of the schools assigned pupils to the same teacher for 2 to 3 periods in 7th and 8th grades. At the other extreme, pupils in 17 percent of the 7th grades in the small junior-senior high school and 9 percent of the 8th grades in those schools had one teacher for all or nearly all periods.

Planned block-time classes, increased during the 3-year period prior to the study from 31 percent to 40 percent in the junior high school, and in the junior-senior high school from 12 percent to 16 percent. Subjects most often combined in these classes were language arts and social studies. Also fairly common (11 to 30 percent in 7th and 8th grades) was the science-mathematics combination.

From 30 to 47 percent of the schools reported they had adopted no method for unifying learning experiences in grades 7, 8, or 9. Approximately 40 percent indicated that teachers planned together to correlate their teaching in two or more areas, and 15 percent, that teachers planned together to unify their teaching in the several fields around a central theme. Quite a few schools among the latter two groups also reported block-time classes organized to provide unified learning experiences.

An activity or homeroom period of at least 15 minutes was provided by one-half of the small junior high schools and two-thirds of the other three groups.

Eighty to 90 percent of the schools had guidance as a function of the homeroom or activity period. About half of them scheduled assemblies during this period. The percentage having extraclass activities as a function of the activity period ranged from 55 percent in grade 7 of the small junior high school to 78 percent in grade 9 of the large junior senior high school. Most common scheduling was on a oncea-week basis. However, a large percentage of the schools had guidance and extraclass activities two or three times weekly. Approximately half of the schools scheduled the period for 15 to 30 minutes and half for more than 30 minutes.

Study during the school day was provided to a considerable degree through study halls and during regular classes. Roughly, 50 percent of junior high schools and 70 percent of junior-senior high schools (85 percent in grade 9) provided study halls. Seventy-five percent of junior high schools and 65 percent of junior-senior high schools (55 percent in grade 9) used supervised study during classes. Fifteen to 30 percent of all schools in all grades provided both study halls and supervised study with the smaller schools tending to the higher percentage.

Except for the small junior high school group, more than 90 percent of the schools had a central library and more than 80 percent had a full- or part-time professional librarian in charge. Classroom libraries were provided in a third to a half of the schools at each grade level except grade 9 of the junior-senior high school.

Both junior and junior-senior high schools were fairly uniformly provided with certain types of audiovisual equipment: slide and film projectors, radios, and television receivers. The large schools of both types, of course, were generally better equipped than the small. This is especially noticeable for record players, television receivers, tape recorders, and opaque projectors.

## Pupil Evaluation, Orientation, and Services

Academic evaluation of pupils is the only type of evaluation included. All groups of schools used various types of tests for such evaluation. However, standardized achievement tests made up the most common evaluative instruments in each group of schools. Mental ability tests stood second in popularity; teacher-made tests, third; while interest and special aptitude tests were fourth and fifth. The most common combination of tests used by the schools was made up of the standardized achievement and mental ability tests. The proportions



of junior high schools and junior-senior high schools administering various kinds of tests differed but there was little consistency in these differences. Generally, single tests or combinations of tests, excepting interest and special aptitude tests, were administered by a higher proportion of schools to pupils in grades 7 and 8 than in grade 9.

Pupil promotions in the highest proportion of each type of school were held annually by grade levels in grades 7 and 8 and by subjects in grade 9. Size had little effect on the frequency with which promotions were held in the junior-senior high schools but the large junior high schools were more likely than the small to promote semi-annually. Also, in the highest percentage of schools promotions were determined on the basis of pupils meeting uniform standards. The criterion for pupil promotion was likely to vary as each school enrollment decreased or increased. The small schools were more likely than the large to promote according to uniform standards. Virtually none of the schools followed the policy of 100 percent promotion.

The policy of marking pupils according to their achieving uniform standards was followed by the highest percentage of each group of schools. This was even more evident in the small than in the large schools. There were relatively few differences between the junior and junior-senior high schools among grades in either organization in marking policy. From 3 to 9 percent of the schools had set no definite policy for teachers to follow.

Most of the parents received pupil progress reports from schools in letter or number symbols since more than 91 percent of the junior and 82 percent of the junior-senior high schools did this type of reporting. Most of the schools which did not use letters or numbers used percentage marks. Additional methods of reportings such as checklists of educational objectives or brief comments on such objectives, were also used. Personal conferences with parents were held in one-tenth of the junior and one-twentieth of the junior-senior high schools.

A majority of both types of schools sent progress reports to parents six times a year. However, a greater proportion of the junior-senior than junior high schools followed this practice while a greater proportion of junior high than junior-senior high schools notified parents in this manner only four times a year. The small schools also sent reports more frequently than did the large schools.

Small junior-senior high schools had guidance services more frequently than did the small junior high schools. Although there was not much difference between types of schools in the proportion of large schools employing guidance counselors, a higher proportion of the large junior than junior-senior high schools employed two or more counselors. The large schools had counselors to a greater extent than did the small.

Cumulative records for guidance of pupils were most likely to be housed in the principal's office in the small school and in the principal's or counselor's office or in some other central place in the large school. However, a large majority of such schools located these records in only one center.

Services in the area of health through the employment of nurses were available to higher proportions of junior high schools than junior-senior high schools. In the junior high schools nurses were most often employed by the school districts and in the junior-senior high schools,

by the health department.

The junior high schools were more likely than junior-senior high schools to make use of various techniques for articulating grades 7, 8, and 9 with elementary and senior high school grades. These included transferring cumulative records from elementary school grades to grades 7, 8, and 9 and from grades 7, 8, and 9 to senior high school; making program and curricular information available to teachers in elementary and senior high school grades; making visiting opportunities available to pupils and teachers; and holding teacher workshop or study groups with elementary and senior high school teachers. Of the four groups of schools, the large junior high schools used these techniques to greatest extent.

#### Curriculum

Language arts, social studies, mathematics, science, and physical education constituted the required program in grades 7 and 8 and to a large extent in grade 9 as well. Other subjects frequently required in the 7th and 8th grades were art, music, and industrial arts or homemaking. Health had high percentages of requirement at each grade level.

Size and type of school may make considerable difference in whether some subjects are offered as electives at the 9th grade level. For example, foreign language, art, business education, industrial arts (in the junior high school), and music are much more likely to be available in the large than the small schools. In general, differences by size are more pronounced between the large and small junior high schools than between the two size groups of the junior-senior high school. Differences by type of school are most pronounced in the fields of agriculture, vocational education, and art.

Similarly, for extraclass activities, large schools are able to provide a wider selection than are small schools. The only activity found more frequently in the small schools was interscholastic athletics. Junior high school offerings of extraclass activities generally exceeded those



of junior-senior high schools. Where differences existed between types of schools the difference usually favored the junior high school. Participation in extraclass activities was required by only 8 to 22 percent of the schools in 1959-60. Three-fourths of the schools placed no limit upon the number of activities in which a pupil might participate. Of those which did, two was the number most frequently reported.

#### Staff

Junior high schools and junior-senior high schools differed in regard to the professional supervisory and specialist personnel available to supply services. The large junior high schools were more likely than large jurior-senior high schools to have a great variety of personnel such as chairmen of grade levels, curriculum directors, general and subject matter supervisors, reading and audiovisual specialists, attendance officers, doctors, dentists, nurses, psychologists, speech therapists, and teachers of exceptional children. On the other hand, large junior-senior high schools were more likely than the large junior high schools to have assistant principals, heads of departments, librarians, and guidance personnel. As a rule, a high percentage of small schools, in comparison to large schools, were deprived of services because of a lack of professional supervisory and specialist personnel. While a considerable number of supervisors and specialists offered their services from central offices, others served on the staffs of the reporting schools.

Although principals were available to all schools, some could not devote full time to administration because of having to teach classes. While only 4 percent of those in large junior high schools and 8 percent in large junior-senior high schools taught classes, more than half of those in small schools did so.

The principals in a higher percentage of junior than junior-senior high schools had had elementary school experience and a higher percentage of those in the junior-senior high schools had had senior high school experience.

Teachers in a higher proportion of the large junior than juniorsenior high schools had more education than is represented by a baccalaureate degree. Of all schools the large junior high schools had the highest proportion of teachers who had earned graduate credits and graduate degrees.

While approximately two-fifths of the teachers in all junior high schools had had junior high school experience only, the proportion of



teachers in grades 7, 8, and 9 in junior-senior high schools who had junior high school experience alone was smaller. Also, a higher proportion of junior than junior-senior high school teachers had elementary school experience but a lower proportion had both junior and senior high school experience.

The average teacher in the junior and junior-senior high schools spent 71 to 80 percent of his time teaching in the classroom and the remainder on out-of-class activities. The proportions of time given to various activities differed little between school organizations and, in most instances, from grade 7 to grade 9. The proportion of time spent on various activities tended to differ by school size. In the large schools one-fourth of the teachers had no free time, while in the small schools the proportion was a little greater.

Approximately one-fourth of the teachers who had been in the junior and junior-senior high schools in September 1957 left by September 1959. However, a number equal to approximately one-third of those who had been there in September 1957 was added. Therefore, the staffs in all groups of schools were larger in 1959 than in 1957.

## **Technical Appendix**

### Sample Design

Administrative Requirements: The sample used as a basis for this survey was designed to meet certain administrative requirements. The number of schools included in the sample was to be kept fairly small, for the following reasons: (a) the available budget and staff was modest, (b) the survey was somewhat exploratory in nature, and (c) the survey was intended to be more descriptive than analytical. In addition, since the questionnaire was designed to obtain a sizable amount of information, it was felt that a small sample would permit. tighter controls with respect to editing and tabulation of the returns. Although the sample was designed primarily to obtain information as to the relative advantages and opportunities of pupils in grades 7 to 9 in junior high schools as compared to those in 6-year high schools, it was also intended to provide general information as to the organization and operation of a major segment of such schools. On the basis of these administrative requirements, it was decided to make use of a stratified sample design and to restrict the universe of inquiry to junior high schools with an enrollment of 75 or more and junior-senior high schools with an enrollment of 125 or more.

Principal Resources: Since there had been no previous study of this type, there was little information concerning the distributions and variances of the characteristics of major interest. For this reason, the sample was designed primarily to provide estimates of the proportion of schools with a given characteristic. Although at the time the sample was designed (in 1959) the most recent universe data were for the 1951-52 school year, by the time the sample was selected an updated universe (for the 1958-59 school year) was available. It was from this updated universe that the actual sample was selected.

Universe of Inquiry: The population studied in this survey consisted of junior high schools and junior-senior high schools with specified enrollments that were in operation in the fall of 1959. Within this universe of inquiry, the survey was further limited to those schools offering at least two of the three grades, 7 to 9.

Stratification: As a result of conversations with various persons in the Office of Education acquainted with the organization and operation of junior and junior-senior high schools, the authors felt that, for the characteristics of major interest, there may be considerable variation between junior high schools and junior-senior high schools. Moreover, it was felt that schools within a given size range are more homogeneous with respect to the characteristics of interest than those outside that size range. For these reasons, it was decided to stratify the universe of inquiry by junior and junior-senior high schools and by enrollment size groups within organizational type, i.e., into four strata.

Precision Requirements: The sample was designed to provide estimates of the proportion of schools belonging to a specified class for each of the four strata such that in only five such samples in 100 would the error associated with such estimates for any given stratum exceed 5 percentage points.

Determination of Sample Size: Since there were no available data from which to obtain variance estimates for any of the characteristics of interest, and since the sample was designed primarily to provide estimates of the proportion of schools belonging to a specific class, it was assumed that in each stratum the proportion of schools with any given characteristic was equal to 0.5. Under this assumption the variance (pq/n) is maximized. Thus, in determining the sample size for the various strata, it was assumed that for the characteristics of major interest the variance was at a maximum. The sample size required to meet the specified precision requirements for each stratum was determined as follows:

$$n_{h} = \frac{k^{3}N_{h}P_{h}Q_{h}}{N_{h}D_{h}^{3} + k^{3}P_{h}Q_{h}}$$

where: n<sub>h</sub>=required sample size for stratum h, where h=1, 2, 3, 4.

N<sub>b</sub>=number of schools in the universe of stratum h

PhQh=universe variance for stratum h

D<sub>h</sub>=desired precision for stratum h, i.e., .05

k—the factor which when multiplied by the standard error determines the probability that the maximum error in the sample result will not exceed ± D.

Sample Selection: The universe of inquiry for each of the four strata was arranged alphabetically by State within strata. After a random start within each stratum, every nth school was selected. The actual strata universe totals and sample sizes are shown in table A.

Table A.—Number of junior and junior-senior high schools in the universe and in the sample, by type and size of school

-	Universe		Sample		Inflation
Type and size (enrollment) of school	(1958–59)	Total	Respond- ents	Nonre- spondents	factor
		JUNIO	R HIGH 8C	HOOLS	
<b>Total</b>	4, 549 1, 377 3, 172	894 270 424	653 242 410	42 28 14	<b>0.0</b> 5.7 7.7
	π	JNIOR-SE	NIOR HIGH	schools	<u> </u>
Total	7, 841 8, 790 2, 051	813 415 398	706 363 355	166 62 43	0,0 16.4 5.8

Response Rate and Treatment of Nonrespondents: The instrument response rate for the survey as a whole was 90 percent. With respect to the individual strata, instrument response rates are not known precisely, but based on the best available estimates range from 85 to 97 percent—with the lowest rate being for junior-senior high schools with an enrollment of 125 to 499. In addition to instrument



nonresponse there were considerable instances of item nonresponse, that is, cases where a respondent did not answer one or more items on the questionnaire. Since there was no follow-up of either instrument or item nonrespondents (nor are there available any independent distributions that may be used for purposes of comparison), the order of magnitude and direction of bias due to nonresponse is not known precisely. However, for those items for which the overall level of response is relatively low, the bias may be moderately large. Therefore, in an effort to alert the reader to the potential order of magnitude of bias from this Source, "percent total response" figures are included in most tables. These "percent total response" figures were derived by adding the item nonresponse rates for each item to the instrument nonresponse rate for the stratum to which the instrument containing the item belongs and subtracting this total from 100. Evaluation of the precision of the estimates shown in the text should be based on the total potential error, i.e., the sampling error plus the potential bias. In view of the fact that the bias is not precisely known, the following rule of thumb was adopted: estimates based on items with an overall response rate of less than 80 percent are to be used with considerable caution sincé the bias may be sizable.

Other Possible Sources of Bias: Sample returns indicate that some schools changed type or size or both between the beginning of the 1958-59 school year and the 1959-60 school year. These schools were inflated by the inflation factor for the stratum to which they moved rather than the inflation factor for the stratum in which they were sampled. Although the resulting magnitude and direction of the bias is not known precisely, available information suggests that the magnitude of the bias from this source is relatively small. In addition, a few sample schools were declared out-of-scope for purposes of this survey; however, because of the very small number of such schools, no adjustment was made to the strata universe totals. Finally, it should be noted that no effort was made to include in the sample those schools that came into existence after the compilation of the 1958-59 universe list.

Preparation of Estimates: The parameters which the survey was designed to estimate are: the percentage of schools having a specified characteristic, universe totals and ratios of specified random variables. These were obtained by inflating sample data by strata, summing over the strata and then performing the indicated operations.

Because of the potential bias due to nonresponse, it was decided not to attempt variance calculations for specific characteristics, but rather to provide a table showing for selected sample sizes and percentages the corresponding standard errors. Table B is constructed as follows:

- 1. The numbers in the stub represent the number of schools replying to a given question, i.e., the "item respondents" shown in each of the text tables.
- 2. The numbers across the top of the table represent the estimated percentages shown in the text tables.
- 3. The numbers in the body of the table are the standard errors corresponding to the various percentages (shown across the top of the table) and sample sizes ("item respondents") shown in the stub of the table.
- 4. No effort was made to list all of the actual sample sizes ("item respondents") but rather to cover the range of sample sizes at intervals of 20. Consequently, interpolations must be made for those sample sizes falling between any two of the ones shown.



Table B.—Standard errors for estimates of percentages

Number of schools (item respondents)		•			P	creenta	ges		٤		
(teem respondents)	1.0 or 99. 0	5.0 or 95. 0	10. 0 or 90. 0	15, 0 or 85, 0	20. 0 or 80. 0	25. 0 or 75. 0	<b>3</b> 0. 0 or 70. 0	35. 0 or 65. 0	40. 0 or 60. 0	45. 0 or 55. 0	50.
0	2. 2 1. 6 1. 3 1. 1 1. 0 . 9 . 8 . 6 . 6 . 6 . 5 . 4 . 4	4.9 3.4 2.8 2.4 2.2 1.9 1.8 1.6 1.5 1.4 1.3 1.1	6.7 4.7 3.9 3.4 3.0 2.7 2.4 2.3 2.1 1.7 1.5 1.3 1.2	8.0 5.6 4.6 4.0 3.6 3.2 2.7 2.5 2.1 1.8 1.6 1.5 1.3	8.9 6.3 5.2 4.5 4.0 3.3 3.0 2.8 2.3 2.0 1.8 1.6 1.5	9.7 6.8 5.6 4.8 4.3 3.9 8.5 3.3 3.1 2.7 2.2 1.9 1.8 1.6	10. 2 7. 2 5. 9 5. 1 4. 6 4. 1 3. 7 3. 5 3. 2 2. 9 2. 6 2. 3 2. 0 1. 9 1. 7	10. 7 7. 5 6. 2 5. 3 4. 8 4. 3 7 3. 6 3. 4 8. 1 2. 8 2. 1 1. 9 1. 8	11. 0 7. 7 6. 3 5. 5 4. 9 4. 4 4. 0 3. 7 3. 5 3. 1 2. 8 2. 4 2. 2 2. 0 1. 9	11. 0 7. 9 6. 4 5. 6 5. 0 4. 4 4. 1 3. 5 3. 5 3. 1 2. 9 2. 2 2. 0 1. 9	11 7 6 5 5 4 4 3 3 3 2 2 2 2 1 1 1

In using this table, the reader is cautioned that it makes no allowance for bias and is applicable only to tables showing the percentage of schools belonging to a specified class.

SEC.11

Budget Bureau No. 51-5903.1 Approval expires 6/30/60

# DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE Office of Education Vashington 25, D. C.

## A SURVEY OF GRADES 7-8-9 IN JUNIOR AND JUNIOR-SENIOR HIGH SCHOOLS 1959-60

4			 	 
-				
٠				
,				
•				
١				
	1			
				,
٠				
۰				
		_		

Return this form to the U. S. OFFICE OF EDUCATION in the enclosed addressed envelope which requires no postage.

plete, please correct or complete it.).

Tokke Principal

This questionnaire is being sent to a random sample of junior and junior-senior high schools to obtain much needed data shout grades 7-8-9 in these types of schools. The data requested are generally for the school year 1959-60. They are of a same nature in the areas of administration, curriculum, school services, and staff. Analysis of the results by type of school southe junior-senior high school southe junior-senior high school.

An order that our results will be representative of the total population, we very much need your reply to this questiontable. Your cooperation in this important project is sincerely appreciated.

> J. DAN HULL Director, Instruction, Organization, and Services Branch

FE INTRUCTION. If your school is on double sessions, combine the data for both sessions. If on staggered sessions, comme pupils coming an bour or two earlier than others and leaving an bour or two earlier, include all pupils.

#### I. GENERAL INFORMATION

I theck below the grades, 1-12, in your school.

- s 1-12 s[] 7-12
- s(\_ j 7-9
- a 1-9 4(\_] 8-12 6(\_] 7-8
- · Other, specify \_\_

NOTE SCHOOL means an organization under one principal.

Brite in the current membership for each of the following states in the achool of which you are principal:

Grades	Number of Pupils	Grades	Humber of Pupils
•		10 .	
	i-	11	l
n	+	12	

NOTE In this questionnaire, CURRENT MEMBER-MID means the number of pupils on active roll; this is the sum of original entries plus reentries, minus withdrawals.

3. In the school currently	operating double	er seice s is
Blades 7 8 or 03		

(\_\_\_) Yes \_\_\_\_\_a[\_\_] No

If YES, check the grades involved.

12)7th 10118th

Is the school currently operating staggered sessions in grades 7, 8, or 9?

IC) Yes aL | No

If YES, theck the grades involved:

- 1[\_] 7th
- 1(1) 84
- 1 90

1 9ch

4. In what year were grades 7, 8, and 9 in your achool organized as a justice high school or as a part of a junior-senior high school?

19\_\_\_\_

						•
within the o	nezi iwo yeara so as	reorganize your school as to change the grouping erence to other grades?	P	besc <b>hoo</b> l period⊾ D	l day is dir Do not coun sless they	regular, class periods into which vided. Do not count the lunch it separate homeroom or activity are the name length an regular
If YES, plea	ase esplaia briefly.		,	(15	a <u> </u>	· •□] 9
			1 1	: 1	•=== / •== 1 B	*[_] 7
	•		i f			
the school is student body	in session, that is, o y as a whole was en	8-59 school year was days on which the mgaged in achool activi- rection of teachers?	1 1 4	That provi he school rade.)	isions are i day? (Cl Grado	made for pupils to atudy during neck as many an apply for each
				j	Grade	• 22 · • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	1	147 5		•	•	9 Study provisions
	•••••			(*)	(C)	( ) Supervised study dunag classes
NOTE: In ther	-Line the items in	the remainder of the	1	(1)	·CD	IL Study halls or rooms
questio	onnaire, please rela	the remainder of the late your answers to many of these three	١.	. 1	1(_)	1(_) Homeroom or activity perioda <sub>g</sub>
grades	o 7, 8, and 9, or as a sas are in your seco estionnaire directs o	ondary school, unless	'(	Lì	· 🗆	() No provision
			. 10	[]	ינו	( Other (specify)
fl. ADM	MINISTRATION AND	D ORGANIZATION	11. Do	o you hav	re during a or activity	chool hours a regularly acheduled
	A. Schoduli	ing	•(	] Yes	≥[] No	•
clock hours	r searest quarter how that pupils are re- rach school day, EX L	equired to be in	an fe	ed prima nd simulai inted to a	atily for re	short check periods which are cord taking, announcements, rative routines, sometimes re- inistrative homeroom.
4	•[],5-1/4		1 1	YES:	troub of	
a 🗀 4-1/4	>□] 5-1/2	11[[] 6-1/2	1 1			this period, in minutes?
<b>□</b> □] <b>4</b> 1/2	o[]] 5-3/4	12[] 6-3/4	i I			Grade 8 Grade 9
• <u>□</u> ] +3/4	•∰ 6	19[] 7	10	pometooi	number of	times PER WEEK the activity typically used for each activity
• C 5		,	"	ated,		
	nearest quarter hou			Gre		
	full-time classroom QUIRED to be in sc			7 8	•	Activity
	INCLUDING the fo			4 1		Guidance flad general home- room functions
1 5-1/4	6-1/4			11_		room functions Clubs and similar extra-
.#[] 5-1/2	•[] 61/2	10[] 7-1/2 •		<b>-</b>	- I I	Clubs and similar extra- class activities
<u>,</u> ∎ <u></u> [] 5-3/4	7 ☐ 6-3/4	11 7-3/4	, ⊢		<del>ا ا ا ا</del>	Assemblies
4[] 6	• <u> </u>	12[_] 8	•	activity	or pomeroe	T provide for assemblies through m period, (Item 11) check the
	sual length in miss , exclusive of time		fre per	riod.	vith which	the school schedules an assembly
40-44	∌ <u>(</u> 50-54	5 60 or mote	-	Do not As nee		4 Bi-weekly □ Mosthly
a[ 45-49	4[] 55-59		11 7	_ ∧s nee ' ☐ Veekly		• Montaly
			L	<u> </u>		



	_				
B. Grouping of Pupils in Class Sections		•□	•		Papils have the same teacher
13. Do you have class sections in grades 7, 8, or 9?					for all class periods, except one or more of the following:
1 Yes 2 No					fine and practical arts, physical education, typing, foreign
If YES.	1				langunge
Do you use homogeneous grouping as a basis for establishing these class sections?		•□	•		Same teacher for 2-3 consecu- tive class periods, and different
¹□ Yee a□ No					teachers for all other class
If you use homogeneous grouping for this purpose:	1	4		,	, <del>.</del>
a. Indicate by numbering in order of importance (1, 2, 3, etc.) all the criteria you use to determine such grouping.		•	<b>4</b>		Same teacher for 2-3 son- reseactaive class periods and different teachers for all other class periods
Grade		•-	•	•	Pupilo have a different teacher
7 8 9 Categoria				(	er each class period
Intelligence quotient		15. Does *	ch	al basa	planned block-time classes
Reeding level		(a class	remainis	ug with (	see teacher for two or more
Standardi zod achievement		'C Ye	•	/	40
test results				_	
Teacher estimates of popul ability		ie des	e classes	accord	grade the total membership ing to the combinations of
	-	<b>subject</b>	s ased. ( see <u>eal</u> y d	Count	each pupil in each block-
Special aptitudes					
Social materity			G.	rado .	-
Physical measurity	П	7		•	9 Subject combinations
Orber (specify)		-		<del></del>	Language arts -
<ul> <li>Check the subjects in which homogeneous group- ing is practiced,</li> </ul>	1.	1	1.1		Longuage arts -
Grade	П		<b>-</b>		social studies -
7 8 9					ecience
1 1 English			<b></b>		Language arts - social studies -
1 Secial studies	Н				mothematica
	П		4 F-		Longuage arts - social studies -
	П			•	ocience - mothematics
C. Departmental suites	11	<b>L</b>	4 L		Science -
14. Check for each grade the most common practice in your acheel concerning the time a class spends with one teacher.	П				an thomstics
Grade		<b>—</b>	4 —		Social studies -
4 1					
7 B 9' Proctice '	П	He-	4 L-	-11	Other (specify)

681807 0-68---



			_			
16. Check in pro	videg wifi	rade the plan(s) your school follows and learning experience.		19. Check	i for each No papila.	grade the policy of the achool for
	Grado				Grade	
,	•	f. Plans	1	,		9 Police for Markey
ū	Ō	Little or so planned unifi- cation in practiced	1		•	9 Policy for Marking
	·□	Block-time classes organ-		, O	Ö	· No uniform policy
		ited to provide unified learning experiences		**	**	Uniform standard of achieve- ment for all pupils except for special class
Ū	<b>1</b> 0	Teachers plan tegether to correlate their teaching in two or more areas		••	•□	Progress in relation to individual pupil potenti-
· •	ū	Teachers plan together to unify their teaching in the several fields around a control thems	П	, •O	•□	alities
		control (men)	П	20. How as	aby times	a year are reports of pupil progress
	D. P.	remotion and Harbing	П	sent be		mat o?
17. Indicate	by a chec	t for each grade BOTH WHEN and	11	Щс	rade 7	Grade B Grade 9
HOW po	mila are pro —		П	Check	for each gr	rade all types of regularly acheduled
•	Then	Now		. aparts		or grape.
Grade	Annually	Sami- By By grade cannolly subject level	Ш		Grado	
7	Ō		П	7	•	9 Type of report
•	ď	• <b>••</b> •• ••	П	Ō	ū	1 Report card with all or most
•	Ō		П			of reporting done through
18. Check d	he policy of	the echool for the promotion of	П	Ō	<b>'</b> O	1 Report card with all or most
	Grade					of reporting done through letter or slymbor marks
7	•	9 Policy for promotion.	Н	<u>'</u> _	Ō	( Report card with reak is grade or class
· <b>D</b>	Ō	1 No mileon policy		·C		( Report card with checkluse
••	***	a Meeting uniform standards, for subjects or grade level, except where retention seems unwise or when pupil		J		of educational objectives or brief comments concerning model than oracle receipts
		has already once repeated the grade		Ō	<b>'</b> O	Report card or letter in which the teacher describes
•	•••	a Achievement in relation to the child's shillties and needs		÷		in detail the child's growth
•□	•□	a Other (specify)		Ö	<b>י</b> ם	Regularly acheduled personal conferences with persons
				Ö	· 🔾	Other (specify)
						<del></del>



	) Holding study g stud	he technique culation or prompt and trivities joint worprompt and trivities joint 7, 8, and or higher gravailable y achools (pills have shools which alternation of study, its of the prompt and trivities of the prompt and trivities of the prompt and trivities of the prompt and trivities of the prompt and trivities of the prompt and trivities and trivi	to tencher; (those from come) and ch your pup or tentruct unior high opportunitie ry and semi c elemental the sext a alstive receipils coming	a your ac - 9 with teacher ag curric achers of schera of schera of schera of school	A	and/or for your 9th grade pupils to visit the school(s) they will enter the sext year  1 Providing opportunities for representa- tives from grades 7, 8, and 9 to visit feeder schools to acquaist elementary pupils with your school
						II. CURRICULUM
22. Chec	k in the est three	first three columns	columns b all subject	elew all	aubject	it matter areas required of all or nearly all pupils is each grade. Check is hich pupils in each grade may elect.
	EQUIRE			LECTIV		
	Grada			Grade		Subject matter was
,	•	•	7		•	
C	•	·Δ	<b>'</b>	•	<b>)</b>	Language arts (English)
i E	• 0 0	, O	٥	• 0 0	-	Language arts (English) Social studies
ت ت ت	• 0 0 0	i i	نَ نَ	<u> </u>	ÔÔ	Leaguage arts (Eaglish) Social studies Mathematics
ů ô ô û		ن ن ن	ָ הָ םָ םָ הַ	0 0 0 0 •	أ أ أ	Language arts (English) Social studies Mathematics Science
ت ت ت	00000	نَ نَ نَ نَ نَ	ن ن ن ن		ةُ وَ وَ وَ	Language arte (English)  Social studies  Mathematics  Science  Physical education (boys)
ចំ ចំ ចំ ចំ		ن ن ن		00000	ةُ وَ قَ قَ قَ	Language arts (English)  Social studies  Mathematics  Science  Physical education (boys)  Physical education (girls)
نَ نُ نُ نُ نُ فُ قُ فُ	00000	نَ نَ نَ نَ نَ نَ نَ	ن ن ن ن		ةَ وَ قَ قَ قَ قَ	Language arts (English)  Social studies  Mathematics  Science  Physical education (boys)  Physical education (girls)  Health
ចំចំចំចំចំចំ		نَ نَ نَ نَ نَ نَ نَ نَ			ةُ وَ قَ قَ قَ	Language arts (English)  Social studies  Mathematics  Science  Physical education (boys)  Physical education (girls)  Health  Foreign language
ចំចំចំចំចំចំចំ		ة ق ق ق ق ق ق			0 0 0 0 0 0	Language arts (English)  Social studies  Mathematics  Science  Physical education (boys)  Physical education (girls)  Health
ចំចំចំចំចំចំចំ		ة ق ق ق ق ق ق ق ق			000000	Language arts (English)  Social studies  Mathematics  Science  Physical education (boys)  Physical education (girls)  Health  Foreign language  Business education
ចំចំចំចំចំចំចំចំ		ة ق ق ق ق ق ق ق ق ق		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		Language arts (English)  Social studies  Mathematics  Science  Physical education (boys)  Physical education (girls)  Health  Foreign language  Business education  Agriculture
ចំចំចំចំចំចំចំចំចំ		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	, ô ô ô ô ô ô ô ô ô ô	Language arts (English)  Social studies  Mathematics  Science  Physical education (boys)  Physical education (girls)  Health  Foreign language  Business education  Agriculture  Vocational education
ចំចំចំចំចំចំចំចំចំចំទំ	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	وَ وَ وَ وَ وَ وَ وَ وَ وَ وَ وَ وَ وَ		Ó Ô Ô Ô Ô Ô Ô Ô Ô Ô Ô Ô O •	Ó Ó Ó Ó Ó Ó Ó Ó Ó Ó Ó	Language arts (English)  Social studies  Mathematics  Science  Physical education (boys)  Physical education (girls)  Health  Foreign language  Business education  Agriculture  Vécational education  4
ចិចិចិចិចិចិច្ចិច្	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ة ق ق ق ق ق ق ق ق ق ق ق ق		đ ố ố ố ố ố ố ố ố ố ố ố ố ·	Ó Ó Ó Ó Ó Ó Ó Ó Ó Ó Ó Ó	Language arts (English)  Social studies  Mathematics  Science  Physical education (boys)  Physical education (girls)  Health  Foreign language  Business education  Agriculture  Vocational education  Art  Munic (including band and orchestra)
មិចិចិចិចិចិចិច្ចិត្	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ة ق ق ق ق ق ق ق ق ق ق ق ق		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 •	Ó Ó Ó Ó Ó Ó Ó Ó Ó Ó Ó Ó Ó	Language arts (English)  Social studies  Mathematics  Science  Physical education (boys)  Physical education (girls)  Health  Foreign language  Business education  Agriculture  Vocational education  Art  Munic (including band and orchestra)  Art or munic



	ppie so be			<b></b>		11						
	Grade					11			Guide	100	and Counsells	*
7 	0 0		Activision    Student resocil   School government-informal		alerial	) X	Pomena Bromb	om traci	for co Her. bla	or c	e school for a sative years as time teacher, o ty columns as	the same
			barboos Ranbs	loraed for apo a	rcific			Toucher Block-	Coun		Same group	e of such
<u>'</u>	· 🗆		interest	service, speci , etc.	ial		·	Næ• '□	ed e		radeo 7. S. 9	
· 🗆	<u>-</u>			and dramatics	•	П	•	*0				
	0		Arts eac			П	•🗆	•	•□		irodeo 8, 9	
ים םי	, O		Recreati				•	•□	•□	1	Grade caly	
יִם	, <sub>-</sub> -			and, charus, : ablication	etc.	ın.	Orite in	60 mm	ber of	<b>1</b> -11-	cime counsels	NTS or
· · · ·	·O	Ō		inters chole	otic		2 seech	prades	Herac.		and 9. (Con eling half-from lent of one ful	
· 🗀	ū		Athletics (grds)	-interachola	acic .			•				·
Ö	ים		Adaleuce (boye)	⊢ιeα amural		1	Check d records filed.	be place of papils	or plac	es i	in which runs 7, 8, and/or	ilative 9 are
ים	יכו		Athletica (girlo)	- istremeral		.	(C) In (	be pasc	φal's		r office	
<b>'</b>	· 🗆	Ċ	Headr do	Cieties			· 🗀 🗀 (	he count	elere	offi	re	
•	·C	ū	Science (	eur s	ľ	1		ome oth				
<b>'</b>	ū	Ü	Social				In t	be baade :bera	of box	ero	on or block-ra	•
Ō	ū	Ü	Other (ap	ecity)		.	<u></u> □ 04	er ( <b>spe</b> ci	(y)			
estracia echool p semeste	os active olicy, a p r. If thee	ris no	which, a ly particu maximum	nm number of crording to pate to any or , write "none		29. (	ones gu	r each gr rea to al irado	adr th	tyj Iai	pes of tests as	ed sevre-
⊢— Gr	ade 7	Щ	rade 8	Grade	,		,	•	•		Tod	•
class ac	deat parti Livity? (	CIRALO		pich requires it day extra- te answer for				Ü			ndardized och	
esca Bra	de.)					1 "	$\supset$	· 🗀	Ġ	Mes	ital ability	
ı□] Yee		No	Grade 7				$\supset$	Ü	Ġ	iate	rest	
' Yes	_		Grade 8			۱۰ ا	$\supset$	Ü	ū	Spe	cial aptitude	
Yes	•		Grade 9					· 🗀				



B. Audio-Visuil, Equipment	1 2 Dairmen of grade levels
<ol> <li>Orch the audio-visual equipment which the achool or school system makes available to teachers.</li> </ol>	Corn culum director or coor disastos
Opaque projectora ( Television receivers	¹□ ,⁴□ □ General supervisor(s)
( Mide or filmstrip ( Record players projectors	* Subject matter super-
Tapé recorders	1 □ 8 □ Needing specialist(s)
(C) Coher (specify)	(L) (Littlerian(e)
	Guidance personnel
C. Library	1 0 Specialist ta audiovisual aids
ii. Does the school have a central library used by pupils in grades 7, 8, and 9?	Attendance officer(s) or wasting teacher(s)
, a[_] No	¹□ ²□ •□ School physician(s)
If YES, in there a full- or part-time professional (ibraian in charge)	1 □ 1 □ School destist(s)
	1 a School surse(s)
t_ l Yes sE_] No	1□ 1□ 5chool psychologist(a)
Check the grades for which the achool provides classroom libraties in some or all classes.	* Speech theraps st(s)
Grade 7 1 Grade 8 1 Grade 9	* Teachers of exceptional children
D. Heelth	¹□]- □□] •□] Other (specify)
h there tour achool have the services of a aurae?	
₹⊜ Yes e∰ No	33. Check the number of regular class periods the PRINCIPAL of your school trackes daily.
1017 1	1 1
II Yf 5, does she serve:	I I Nose act 2 ' act 3 A
If Yfs, does she serve:	1 Noor 0 2 0 4
Partene e Falltime	Noor   0   2   0   4   4   4   4   4   4   4   4   4
Parterme e Full-time  Is she employed by:  (_) School Board e Health Department	
Partitime e Full-time	a   1 a   3 a   More than 4
Partitime   a Full-time	8 4 6 More than 4  36. Check the levels of professional experience, including teaching, the principal of your school has had.
Paristime  Is the employed by:    School Board	a 1 a 3 a More than  4  36. Check the levels of professional experience, including teaching, the principal of your school has had.  Professional experience
Partitime  Is she employed by:	a   1 a   3 a   More than  4  36. Check the levels of professional experience, including teaching, the principal of your school has had.  Professional experience  None Some Levels
Paristime   E   Full-time	56. Check the levels of professional experience, including teaching, the principal of your school has had.  Professional experience  Mone Some Levels
Paristime  Is the employed by:  Staff :  Check in appropriate columns below the primary attitiation of professional personnel, both full-time and paristime, providing services to grades 8. and/or 9 in your school. When there is no such staff position, check "No personnel."  Control  No. Staff of affice.	2   1   a   3   a   More than  16. Check the levels of professional experience, including teaching, the principal of your school has had.  Professional experience  None Some Levels  1   a   Elementary school  1   a   Elementary-junior high school
Part-time  Is she employed by:    Staff   V. STAFF	56. Check the levels of professional experience, including teaching, the principal of your actual has had.  Professional experience  Mone Some Levels  1 a Elementary actual  1 a Elementary-junior high actual
Paritime  Is she employed by:    School Board   s   Health Department	26. Check the levels of professional experience, including teaching, the principal of your school has had.  Professional experience  None Some Lovels  1 = Elementary school  1 = Elementary-junior high school  1 = Junior-senior high school  2   Junior-senior high school
Part-time   e   Full-time	36. Check the levels of professional experience, including teaching, the principal of your actool has had.  Professional experience  Mone Some Levels  1 a Elementary actool  1 a Elementary-junior high actool  1 a Junior high actool  2 Junior-senior high actool  3 Senior high actool

TEACHERS	his school year the number of full-time in grades 7, 8, and 9 who have com-	39. To indicate stability of the teaching staff, write in
pleted the fo	llowing MAXIMUM AMOUNTS of higher Each teacher should be counted only	The number of full-time teachers in your school in SEPTEMBER 1957, teaching full-time or part-time in grades 7, 8, and 9.
•	1	
Number of ad- teachers	Maximum amount of college oducation completed	The number of these same teachers (reported above still teaching in grades 7, 8, and 9 in your school in
<b>F</b>	Doctor's degree	SEPTEMBER 1959.
<b>—</b>	Work above a master's but less than a doctor's degree	The number of full-time teachers in your achool in SEPTEMBER 1959, teaching full-time or part-time in
1	Master's degree	grades 7, 8, and 9,4,
<b>—</b>	Graduate work but less than a master's degree	40. Write in for a TYPICAL WEEK, one unaffected by
<del></del>	Baccalaureate degree	unusual events, the percentage of school time the typical teacher of grades 7, 8, and 9 performs each of the following activities. (PERCENTAGES MUST
<b>—</b>	Less than baccalaureate degree	TOTAL 100.)
<del></del>	Other (specify)	Grades
	10 X 30 A.	7
8. Including this	year's experience, indicate how many	% % Activity
teaching expe	ited in Item 37 have had the levels of tience listed below.	Classroom teaching
Number of		Supervising study hall
Toochers	Levels of teaching experience	Supervising lunch
<b>—</b>	Elementary school only	other estraclass ac-
<b>—</b>	Junior high school only	Conference or cooperative planning
	Senior high school only	Free from assigned duties
<b>—</b>	Combination of junior and senior high school	Other (opecify)
<b>├</b>	Combination of elementary and secondary school	
	arcondary school	100% 100% 100% TOTAL
•		
	Reject prepared by	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Title	
	Date	•
	V=14	
		OPE ALEMA

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1963 O-681307

