A Prevention 101 Series Publication

Problem Analysis The First Step in Prevention Planning

The Higher Education Center for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse and Violence Prevention Funded by the U.S. Department of Education

This publication was funded by the Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools at the U.S. Department of Education under contract number ED-04-CO-0137 with Education Development Center, Inc. The contracting officer's representatives were Richard Lucey, Jr., and Tara Hill. The content of this publication does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the U.S. Department of Education, nor does the mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations imply endorsement by the U.S. government. This publication also contains hyperlinks and URLs for information created and maintained by private organizations. This information is provided for the reader's convenience. The U.S. Department of Education is not responsible for controlling or guaranteeing the accuracy, relevance, timeliness, or completeness of this outside information. Further, the inclusion of information or a hyperlink or URL does not reflect the importance of the organization, nor is it intended to endorse any views expressed, or products or services offered.

U.S. Department of Education

Arne Duncan *Secretary*

Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools

William Modzeleski
Acting Assistant Deputy Secretary

May 2009

This publication is in the public domain. Authorization to reproduce it in whole or in part is granted. While permission to reprint this publication is not necessary, the citation should be: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools, Higher Education Center for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse and Violence Prevention, *Problem Analysis: The First Step in Prevention Planning*, Washington, D.C., 2009.

To order copies of this publication,

write to: The Higher Education Center for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse and Violence Prevention Education Development Center, Inc.

55 Chapel Street

Newton, MA 02458-1060

or call: 1-800-676-1730; TDD Relay-friendly, Dial 711

or **fax:** 617-928-1537

or e-mail: HigherEdCtr@edc.org

This publication and other resources are available on the Web site for the U.S. Department of Education's Higher Education Center for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse and Violence Prevention: http://www.higheredcenter.org.

Problem Analysis

The First Step in Prevention Planning

by William DeJong, Ph.D.

Student alcohol and other drug abuse and violence (AODV) still reign as the most serious social problems faced by U.S. institutions of higher education.¹ To tackle these problems, campus administrators—working in conjunction with partners from the surrounding community—need to put in place an integrated set of strategies that address the mix of individual, group, institutional, community, and societal factors that lead to student substance abuse and violence.² This type of comprehensive approach—called environmental management—cannot be implemented without using a systematic planning and evaluation process.3

This publication outlines the first step of that process: *problem analysis*. This step, often referred to as *needs assessment*, is an essential feature of the U.S. Department of Education's principles of effectiveness for AODV prevention programs.

Conducting a problem analysis involves (1) gathering objective data on the nature and scope of the problem at both national and local levels; (2) examining available resources and assets in the campus community; and (3) analyzing and summarizing this information to clarify needs and opportunities. Note that the process outlined here is suitable for both two- and four-year institutions, including both residential and commuter campuses.

Working from the problem analysis, a planning group can move on to the next steps of the planning process to decide on long-range goals and objectives, identify potential strategies, and create a strategic plan for reducing AODV-related problems.⁴

Principles of Effectiveness for Prevention Programs

The U.S. Department of Education's Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools promotes principles of effectiveness for prevention programs, as codified in the *No Child Left Behind Act of 2001*. A subset of the principles of effectiveness that are most applicable to institutions of higher education can be summed up as follows:

- Design programs based on a thorough needs assessment using objective data.
- Establish a set of measurable goals and objectives linked to identified needs.
- Implement prevention activities that research or evaluation have shown to be effective in preventing high-risk drinking or violent behavior.
- Use evaluation results to refine, improve, and strengthen the program and refine goals and objectives as appropriate.

Problem analysis is frequently a neglected step in prevention planning. Campus and community leaders often underestimate how much they still need to learn about the multiple factors that contribute to student risk behavior, and they are therefore eager to sketch out new programs or policies right away. But acting in haste can lead to prevention efforts that are off target, not fully developed, or poorly integrated. In contrast, a careful problem analysis will result in a more thorough and detailed overview of problem behaviors and their consequences; a more complete understanding of their contributing causes; and an analysis of how current programs and policies match up against what is needed. Moreover, completing this exercise will help a planning group reach consensus on the priority concerns that should be the focus of their planning efforts, a crucial first step toward creating buy-in for the final prevention plan.

Assessing the Nature and Scope of the Problem

A key aspect of the problem analysis is a review of the nature, scope, consequences, and underlying causes of student AODV-related problems on campus and in the nearby community. Clearly, without a thorough understanding of the problem, a planning group is far less likely to develop a strategic plan that effectively meets local needs.

There are multiple information sources to draw on, including student surveys, key informant interviews, focus groups, field observations, and campus and community archival data. To the extent possible, this review of local conditions should be informed by both regional and national trend data, plus reviews of the research literature on the causes of AODV-related problems.

Surveys can be a vital source of information about student behavior. The first step in crafting a survey is to decide on its scope. Some campuses administer dedicated surveys on either alcohol and other drug use or violence, while others administer comprehensive surveys covering multiple health issues, depending on their institutional needs.

To obtain valid and reliable data, the planning group should administer its survey to a randomly drawn sample of students. It is important to obtain a response rate of at least 50 percent: small payments or other modest incentives (e.g., store coupons, giveaway items) should be offered to encourage student participation.⁵ In addition, students should complete the survey either anonymously or under conditions of confidentiality.⁶ Paper-and-pencil surveys can be a good option, but Web site-based surveys are easier and faster to administer and can be less expensive.⁷

There are several issues that can be covered in student surveys, including:

Personal Characteristics

- Demographic factors, such as gender, age, racial and ethnic background, relationship status, and current employment.
- Academic standing, including year in school, full- or part-time status, grade point average.
- Current residence, including living situation (alone, with roommates, with family), location of residence (on vs. off campus), and type of residence (fraternity or sorority house, residence hall or dormitory, house or apartment, or other).
- Participation in various student activities (e.g., community service, religious group, fraternity or sorority, intercollegiate athletics).

Prevalence of AODV Behavior

- Alcohol and Other Drug Use
 - Frequency and quantity of alcohol and other drug use (e.g., annual, 30-day).
 - Alcohol use in specific contexts (defined by occasions and settings).
 - Consequences due to own substance use (e.g., missed class, had unprotected sex).
 - Consequences due to other students' substance use (e.g., interrupted sleep, personal property damaged).
- Violent Behavior and Victimization
 - Victimization experiences—hazing, stalking, partner violence, hate crimes, assault, sexual violence (life time, in college).

The Strategic Planning Process

The following process is excerpted from Experiences in Effective Prevention: The U.S. Department of Education's Alcohol and Other Drug Prevention Models on College Campuses Grants (p. 58):

- 1. Conduct a problem analysis.
 - Gather data on the nature and scope of the problem.
 - Examine existing resources and assets.
 - Analyze and summarize the information to clarify needs and opportunities.
- 2. Establish long-term goals and objectives.
- 3. Consult research, program experience, and theory to identify potential strategies.
- 4. Create a strategic plan.
 - Choose the strategies that seem most likely to produce the desired outcomes.
 - Translate the selected strategies into specific activities.
 - Create a "logic model" that describes the intervention components and explains how they are expected to work.
 - Create a work plan.
- 5. Execute an iterative evaluation plan.
 - Monitor implementation of the work plan.
 - Evaluate programs and policies.
 - Use the findings to guide improvements.
- Perpetration of specific types of violence.
- Bystander experiences (e.g., witnessing violence, intervening).
- Consequences of being victimized, perpetrating, and witnessing violence.

Predictive Factors

- Knowledge (e.g., effect of alcohol on learning, knowledge of which acts define sexual assault or hazing).
- Beliefs and attitudes regarding alcohol and other drug use and violence (e.g., expectancies, perceived benefits).
- Perceived AODV risks (seriousness and personal susceptibility).
- Perceptions of campus substance use norms, perceptions of peer support for aggressive behaviors and hazing.

Protective Behaviors

- Efforts made by the student to avoid heavy drinking (e.g., pacing alcohol consumption, alternating nonalcoholic and alcoholic beverages, planning in advance how much to drink).
- Strategies used by the student to avoid driving after drinking (e.g., safe ride program, designated driver).

- Efforts made by the student to resolve conflicts nonviolently.
- Strategies used by the student to deal with unsafe situations (e.g., safe escort program, buddy system).

These issues can also be explored with students in focus groups or one-on-one structured interviews. Equally important for identifying local contributing factors and AODV dynamics are key informant interviews with campus administrators, counseling staff, faculty, residence hall assistants, campus and local law enforcement officials, student health and hospital emergency room (ER) staff, and community residents. As noted previously, the research literature should also be reviewed, especially regarding risk and protective factors associated with AODV problems.⁸

Written records are also an important source of information about student AODV problems and their consequences. Such records might show, for example, the number of students seen in local emergency rooms or the student health center for AODV-related injuries

or illnesses; the number of students arrested for AODV-related infractions; building and equipment damage reports; and the number of residence hall complaints due to AODV-related bedata over several semesters, they found havior. To protect that the number of students enrolled in student privacy, Friday classes Was inversely correlated it is essential that these data summaries not include

information received.

With the numbers of students with blood alcohol levels greater than .05 percent BAC. 11 any information that would allow individual students to be identified. On some campuses, an evaluation team, perhaps involving faculty, will need to work with various campus departments (e.g., campus police, student health services) and community agencies (e.g., local police, hospital ER) to develop forms and record-keeping procedures to improve the quality of

Another vital part of the problem analysis is an assessment of environmental factors that contribute to AODV problems. Regarding violence, important aspects of the environment include policies and laws; monitoring and enforcement; the physical environment; weapon availability; and support for diversity.9 Regarding alcohol and other drug use, key factors include the availability of substance-free options, the normative environment, alcohol and other drug availability, alcohol marketing and promotion, and policy development and enforcement.¹⁰

Again, student self-reports are an essential source of information about the campus and community environment. For example, survey, focus group, and interview questions can focus on sources of alcohol and other drugs (e.g., retail alcohol outlets, off-campus parties, Internet drug providers); perceptions of the campus and community environment (e.g., ease of access to low-cost or free

Late-night Breathalyzer Tests alcohol, exposure to student-oriented alcohol advertising, fear of Structured field observations, with

ness and support of campus violence prevention policies; and perceptions of law enforcement activity.

crime); aware-

Archival records and field observations are important here, too. Some example measures that capture important aspects of the campus and community environment

include the following:

locations and times of days selected at

random, can be used to assess student

intoxication, including breathalyzer

tration (BAC). When faculty members

at a liberal arts college collected such

measurements of blood alcohol concen-

- Substance-free options: (1) number of substance-free recreational venues near campus and (2) number of substance-free concerts, film festivals, lectures, and other cultural events listed on community Web sites.
- Normative environment: (1) availability of alcohol and other drug-related paraphernalia in campus and community stores and (2) number of student newspaper stories and editorials that appear to glorify alcohol use.

- Alcohol availability: (1) number of liquor licenses within one, two, and three miles of campus and (2) average price paid for standard alcohol products (e.g., six-pack of beer).
- Alcohol marketing and promotion: (1) number of on-campus kiosk messages that promote high-risk drinking and (2) number of alcohol-industry sponsored events at local bars and restaurants.
- Policy development and enforcement: (1) number of students cited for AODV-related conduct violations and (2) number of calls by community residents to a complaint hotline.

Similar environmental measures related to other drugs (e.g., cost and availability) and violence (e.g., safety-oriented campus design and maintenance) also can be examined.

With these various sources of data in hand, the planning group will be able to identify specific AODV problems on their campus; discover high-risk environments on campus and in the community; and stimulate a broader discussion of the institutional, community, and societal factors that contribute to these problems. As the planning group implements its strategic plan, environment assessment should be an ongoing activity.

The College Alcohol Risk Assessment Guide (CARA) provides several tools and resources for scanning the environment and analyzing alcohol-related problems.¹² The guide's recommended procedures can be adapted to analyze problems related to other types of substance use or violence (e.g., buildings and grounds safety audit).

The CARA provides forms to document the nature and scope of alcohol-related problems; to identify high-risk environments; to monitor the contents of campus bulletin boards and kiosks; to monitor the print media and radio stations that target student audiences; to document pricing information for alcohol and nonalcoholic beverages; to identify high-risk alcohol service practices at onand off-campus social events; and to analyze the alcohol environment at bars, taverns, pubs, and restaurants frequented by students.

This publication is available at the Web site of the Higher Education Center for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse and Violence Prevention (http:// www.higheredcenter.org).

Examining Available Resources and Assets in the Campus Community

The next phase of the problem analysis is to assemble information on existing AODV resources and initiatives. It is important to think not only about agencies, programs, and policies that have a direct and obvious connection to AODV problems but also about other administrative, scholastic, and extracurricular initiatives that contribute to a safe and healthy academic environment and foster personal resilience. How existing programs and policies line up with the planning group's problem assessment will determine the direction of the

strategic plan, moving toward a comprehensive and well-integrated prevention effort.

Resources include the on- and off-campus personnel available to work on prevention-related tasks as paid staff, consultants, or volunteers. A broad range of content expertise and skills is necessary, including coalition leadership, community organizing, strategic planning, risk management, formative research, intervention development, health communications, curriculum design, and evaluation. A critical issue is how much time people can devote to this work given their other duties and responsibilities. Funding is another critical piece. If the prevention work is to be a collaborative

effort, then many institutional divisions and departments will need to have a budget line item to support that work.

The planning group can broaden its review of assets to assess the campus community's readiness to develop, implement, and evaluate a comprehensive strategic plan for AODV prevention that has a specific set of goals, a feasible plan of action, and a manageable timeline. Signs of readiness can be placed in six categories:

- Support for the coalition;
- Structure of the coalition (e.g., lines of authority, committees);
- Support for data collection and evaluation;

Assessing a Campus Community's Readiness for AODV Prevention

Support for the Coalition

- The campus and community coalition has the full support of the college president.
- The coalition's efforts are supported by community leaders.

Coalition Structure

- The coalition has an effective leader and a supportive committee structure.
- Key stakeholders from the campus and the surrounding community participate in the coalition.
- Coalition members are active and value high-performance team functioning.
- Members of the coalition are working toward a common goal.
- There are established channels for communication among coalition members.

Support for Data Collection and Evaluation

- There are ongoing student surveys and other data collection efforts to monitor the nature and scope of AODV problems.
- There is a long-term commitment to evaluate and improve the prevention effort.

Support for Prevention

- There is widespread recognition of AODV problems on campus.
- Community norms support action against student alcohol and other drug abuse and violence.
- There is a strong belief that prevention efforts can succeed.

Reliance on Evidence-based Approaches

- Members of the coalition see the value in a comprehensive approach that features environmental
 prevention strategies.
- Members of the coalition are committed to using evidence-based approaches.
- The coalition relies on current data and research to plan prevention activities.

Resources for Effective Action

- Adequate funds and other resources are available.
- The institution's divisions and departments are encouraged to collaborate and share resources to develop alcohol abuse prevention initiatives.
- The staff members responsible for implementing the prevention effort are highly trained and experienced.

- Support for prevention;
- Reliance on evidence-based approaches; and
- Resources for effective action.

Progress in designing and implementing an effective strategic plan will be stymied if these elements are not in place.

Also needed is a list of prevention efforts currently under way. Existing AODV programs and policies can be categorized using a typology matrix developed by the U.S. Department of Education's Higher Education Center for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse and Violence Prevention (see table 1 on pp. 6 and 7).¹⁴

The matrix has two dimensions. The first divides programs and policies into one of five social ecological levels: individual, group, institution, community, and societal influences, with a special focus on state and federal public policy. The second dimension divides the programs and policies into areas of strategic intervention. For alcohol abuse prevention there are four areas to consider as part of a comprehensive *environmental management* approach:

- Changing people's knowledge, attitudes, skills, self-efficacy, and behavioral intentions regarding reduced alcohol use;
- 2. Eliminating or modifying environmental factors that contribute to the problem (i.e., environmental change);
- 3. Protecting students from the shortterm consequences of alcohol consumption ("health protection"); and
- Intervening with and treating students who are addicted to alcohol or otherwise show evidence of problem drinking.

Each category might include several program and policy efforts. Note in the matrix that the environmental change category is further divided into five subcategories, each focused on a strategic objective that addresses a problematic facet of the typical campus community environment.

Five Strategic Objectives Focused on Environmental Change for AOD Prevention

Substance use problems are driven by five environmental factors that increase both the availability and the appeal of alcohol and other drugs, each of which can be addressed by a set of *environmental management* strategies.

- 1. Provide Alcohol-free Options: Many students, especially at residential colleges, have few adult responsibilities like jobs and family, a great deal of unstructured free time, and too few social and recreational options that they access on the spur of the moment. The strategic objective: offer and promote social, recreational, extracurricular, and public service options that do not include alcohol and other drugs.
- 2. Create a Normative Environment: Many people accept drinking and other drug use as a "normal" part of the college experience. The strategic objective: create a social, academic, and residential environment that supports health-promoting norms.
- 3. Restrict Alcohol Availability: Alcohol is abundantly available to students and is inexpensive. The strategic objective: limit alcohol availability both on and off campus.
- 4. Restrict Alcohol Marketing and Promotion: Local bars, restaurants, and liquor stores use aggressive promotions to target underage and other college drinkers. The strategic objective: restrict marketing and promotion of alcoholic beverages both on and off campus.
- 5. Strengthen Policy Development and Enforcement: Campus policies and local, state, and federal laws are not enforced consistently. The strategic objective: develop and enforce campus policies and enforce local, state, and federal laws.

Consider the subcategory of providing substance-free options. The central problem is that many students, especially those attending residential colleges, have few adult responsibilities like jobs and family, a great deal of unstructured free time, and too few social and recreational options that they can access on the spur of the moment. The strategic objective is to offer and promote social, recreational, extracurricular, and public service options that do not include alcohol and other drugs. In practice, there are numerous program and policy options that an institution might put in place:

- Promote consumption of nonalcoholic beverages and food at events.
- Create and promote alcohol-free events and activities.
- Open a student center, gym, or other alcohol-free settings, or extend the hours.

- Create and promote student service learning opportunities.
- Create and promote volunteer opportunities.
- Require community service work as part of the academic curriculum.
- Provide greater financial support to student clubs and organizations that are substance-free.

Some of these tactics can be implemented at more than one level of the social ecological model. For example, efforts to create and promote alcoholfree events might be done at a group, institutional, or community level.

The matrix can be used later in the strategic planning process to highlight missing program elements and to facilitate the development of a comprehensive and well-integrated plan.

TABLE 1. Typology matrix of program and policy options for alcohol and other drug abuse and violence interventions

Alcohol and Other Drugs	Program and Policy Levels (Social Ecological Framework)						
Areas of Strategic Intervention	Individual	Group	Institution	Community	State and Federal*		
Prevention							
Knowledge, Attitudes, Skills, Self-efficacy, Behavioral Intentions							
Environmental Contributors to Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse 1. Substance-free Options 2. Normative Environment 3. Alcohol and Other Drug Availability 4. Marketing and Promotion 5. Policy Development and Enforcement							
Health Protection							
Intervention and Treatment							

Clarifying Needs and **Opportunities**

The planning group should conclude the problem analysis phase by preparing and distributing a report of its findings. Major sections can include the following:

- The most prevalent and harmful types of AODV behavior on the campus;
- Characteristics of the students and settings involved;
- A list of individual and environmental factors that contribute to those problems;
- An inventory of the campus's existing efforts (including their goals and objectives), resources, and personnel to address the problem; and
- Major gaps in the campus's programs and policies.

A so-called gap analysis will look at whether current programs and policies are addressing the identified problems and their underlying causes. Lehigh University's problem analysis provides

Program and Policy Options

Program and policy options can be found in two publications available through the Web site of the Higher Education Center for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse and Violence Prevention (http://www.higheredcenter.org): Safe Lanes on Campus: A Guide for Preventing Impaired Driving and Underage Drinking and Alcohol and Other Drug Policies for Colleges and Universities: A Guide for Administrators (in review). Additional guidance can be found in recent reviews of the research literature focused on individual-level¹⁶ and environmental prevention strategies. 17

a good example.18 The institution's alcohol task force discovered the following: students had easy access to inexpensive or free alcohol; there was a lack of substance-free recreational options; the university's "work hard, play hard" reputation was reinforced by "mixed messages" from faculty and staff that sometimes appeared to con-

done substance abuse; university rules were inconsistently enforced; many students reportedly used alcohol to relieve stress; and students did not believe it was acceptable to complain about other students' drinking. A review of campus and community policies revealed a need to make substance-free housing available and to eliminate alcohol advertising in university publications. Many desired policies already existed, but there was inadequate enforcement both on and off campus.¹⁹

The problem analysis report is the planning group's best opportunity to make its case for a greater commitment of resources to address its campus's AODVrelated problems. Thus, it is important to demonstrate how the identified problems compromise the institution's ability to fulfill its core mission—to provide a safe and healthy educational environment where students can develop their full potential.

TABLE 1. Typology matrix of program and policy options for alcohol and other drug abuse and violence interventions (continued)

Violence	Program and Policy Levels (Social Ecological Framework)						
Areas of Strategic Intervention	Individual	Group	Institution	Community	State and Federal*		
Prevention							
Knowledge, Attitudes, Skills, Self-efficacy, Behavioral Intentions 1. Risk of Perpetration 2. Vulnerability to Victimization							
Peer and Bystander Norms and Behaviors 1. Perceived 2. Actual							
Environmental Contributors to Violence 1. Policies and Laws 2. Monitoring and Enforcement 3. Physical Environment 4. Social Inequalities/Oppression 5. Cultural Influences 6. Weapon Availability							
Environmental Contributors to Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse (see above)							
Early Intervention							
Student Distress, Early Signs of Aggressive or Problem Behavior							
Response and Treatment							
Effective Response to Survivors							
Effective Response to Offenders							

^{*}This level corresponds to the policy and societal influences of the social ecological framework.

Note: This typology matrix is provided as an aid to help alcohol and other drug abuse prevention and violence prevention practitioners and their community partners in considering program and policy options. It is a useful tool for categorizing existing efforts, identifying missing program elements, and guiding new strategic planning.

Final Note

A well-conducted problem analysis will provide a compelling case for making AODV prevention a priority, articulating the need for action while making clear that substantial progress is achievable.

Continuing through the strategic planning process, the planning group can work from this report to establish its long-term goals and objectives, identify potential strategies, and create a strategic plan that has the right mix of programs and policies.

William DeJong, Ph.D., is a professor of social and behavioral sciences at the Boston University School of Public Health and a senior adviser to the Higher Education Center for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse and Violence Prevention.

References

- Hingson, R.W.; Zha, W.; and Weitzman, E. R. "Magnitude of and Trends in Alcohol-Related Mortality and Morbidity Among U.S. College Students Age 18–24, 1998–2005. *Journal of* Studies on Alcohol and Drugs, forthcoming.
- DeJong, W., and Langford, L. M. "A Typology for Campus-Based Alcohol Prevention:
 Moving Toward Environmental Management Strategies." *Journal of Studies on Alcohol*, supplement no. 14: 140–147, 2002.
- Langford, L., and DeJong, W. Strategic Planning for Prevention Professionals on Campus (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Education, Higher Education Center for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse and Violence Prevention, 2008). Available at http://www. higheredcenter.org.
- DeJong, W. Experiences in Effective Prevention:
 The U.S. Department of Education's Alcohol
 and Other Drug Prevention Models on College
 Campuses Grants (Washington, D.C.: U.S.
 Department of Education, Higher Education
 Center for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse
 and Violence Prevention, 2007). Available at
 http://www.higheredcenter.org.
- DeJong, W. Methods for Assessing College Student Use of Alcohol and Other Drugs. (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Education, Higher Education Center for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse and Violence Prevention, 2008). Available at http://www. higheredcenter.org.
- 6. Ibid.
- 7. Pealer, L.; Weiler, R.; Pigg, R.; Miller, D.; and Dorman, S. "The Feasibility of a Web-Based Surveillance System to Collect Health Risk Behavior Data from College Students." Health Education & Behavior 28: 547–559, 2001; Miller, E. T.; Neal, D. J.; Roberts, L. J.; Baer, J. S.; Cressler, S. O.; Metrik, J.; and Marlatt, G. A. "Test-Retest Reliability of Alcohol Measures: Is There a Difference Between Internet-Based Assessment and Traditional Methods?" Psychology of Addictive Behaviors 15: 56–63, 2002.
- 8. For example, see DiFulvio, G., and Akinola, O. (eds.). *Annotated Bibliography of Alcohol, Other Drug, and Violence Prevention Resources 2001–2005* (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Education, Higher Education Center for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse and Violence Prevention, 2007). Available at http://www.higheredcenter.org.

- Langford, L. Preventing Violence and Promoting Safety in Higher Education Settings:
 Overview of a Comprehensive Approach
 (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Education, Higher Education Center for Alcohol and Other Drug Prevention, 2004).
 Available at http://www.higheredcenter.org.
- 10. DeJong, W.; Vince-Whitman, C.; Colthurst, T.; Cretella, M.; Gilbreath, M.; Rosati, M.; and Zweig, K. Environmental Management: A Comprehensive Strategy for Reducing Alcohol and Other Drug Use on College Campuses (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Education, Higher Education Center for Alcohol and Other Drug Prevention, 1998). Available at http://www.higheredcenter.org.
- 11. McIntosh, K. G., and Craig, D. "Creating New Data for Social Norms: Results from Late Night Breathalyzer Testing." (Presentation at the Social Norms on College/University Campuses Conference, Montclair State University, Montclair, N.J., April 17, 2007).
- Ryan, B. E.; Colthurst, T.; and Segars, L. College Alcohol Risk Assessment Guide: Environmental Approaches to Prevention (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Education, Higher Education Center for Alcohol and Other Drug Prevention, 1997). Available at http://www.higheredcenter.org.
- Bartholomew, L. K.; Parcel, G. S.; Kok,
 G.; and Gottlieb, N. H. Planning Health Promotion Programs: An Intervention Mapping Approach (San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 2006).
- 14. DeJong and Langford, Strategic Planning.
- 15. Stokols, D. "Translating Social Ecological Theory into Guidelines for Community Health Promotion." American Journal of Health Promotion 10: 282–298, 1996.
- Larimer, M. E., and Cronce, J. M. "Identification, Prevention, and Treatment Revisited: Individual-Focused College Drinking Prevention Strategies." *Addictive Behaviors* 10: 1999–2006, 2007.
- 17. Toomey, T. L.; Lenk, K. M.; and Wagenaar, A. C. "Environmental Policies to Reduce College Drinking: An Update of Research Findings." *Journal of Studies on Alcohol and Drugs* 68: 208–219, 2007.
- 18. Smeaton, J. W.; Eadline, M. C.; Egolf, B.; and DeJong, W. "Lehigh University's Project IMPACT: An Environmental Management Case Study." *Journal of Drug Education and Awareness* 1: 59–75, 2003.

19. Ibid.

Resources

Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools (OSDFS)

U.S. Department of Education http://www.ed.gov/osdfs; 202-245-7896 OSDFS supports efforts to create safe schools, respond to crises, prevent alcohol and other drug abuse, ensure the health and well-being of students, and teach students good character and citizenship. The agency provides financial assistance for drug abuse and violence prevention programs and activities that promote the health and well-being of students in elementary and secondary schools and institutions of higher education.

The U.S. Department of Education's Higher Education Center for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse and Violence Prevention

http://www.higheredcenter.org; 1-800-676-1730;

TDD Relay-friendly, Dial 711
The Higher Education Center considers strategic planning and evaluation to be an important component of a comprehensive prevention approach. The Higher Education Center has several publications and other materials, including literature reviews, to help campus administrators develop and evaluate prevention programs. These materials can be accessed for free from its Web site.

The Network Addressing Collegiate Alcohol and Other Drug Issues

http://www.thenetwork.ws; see Web site for telephone contacts by region
The Network Addressing Collegiate Alcohol and Other Drug Issues (Network) is a national consortium of colleges and universities formed to promote healthy campus environments by addressing issues related to alcohol and other drugs. Developed in 1987 by the U.S. Department of Education, the Network comprises member institutions that voluntarily agree to work toward a set of standards aimed at reducing AOD problems at colleges and universities. It has more than 1,600 members nationwide.

Acknowledgments

We wish to thank the individuals listed below for reviewing draft manuscripts of this publication. We appreciate the comments they provided to help us assure that this publication has a solid scientific foundation and contains clear messages. To the extent that we achieved that goal, the credit is theirs. To the extent we did not, the fault is ours.

- Diane Berty, East Central University
- John D. Clapp, San Diego State University
- Frances Harding, Center for Substance Abuse Prevention, SAMHSA, DHHS

TITLES IN THE PREVENTION 101 SERIES

THE APPROACH AND FRAMEWORK

- 1. Environmental Management: A Comprehensive Strategy for Reducing Alcohol and Other Drug Use on College Campuses
- 2. Environmental Management: An Approach to Alcohol and Other Drug Prevention
- 3. Experiences in Effective Prevention: The U.S. Department of Education's Alcohol and Other Drug Prevention Models on College Campuses Grants
- 4. Preventing Violence and Promoting Safety in Higher Education Settings: Overview of a Comprehensive Approach

THE BUILDING BLOCKS

- 1. Getting Started on Campus: Tips for New Prevention Coordinators
- 2. Strategic Planning for Prevention Professionals on Campus
- 3. Problem Analysis: The First Step in Prevention Planning
- 4. *Setting Goals and Choosing Evidence-based Strategies* [In review]
- 5. College Alcohol Risk Assessment Guide: Environmental Approaches to Prevention (CARA)
- 6. *Methods for Assessing College Student Use of Alcohol and Other Drugs*
- 7. Evaluating Environmental Management Approaches to Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse Prevention
- 8. *Alcohol and Other Drug Policies for Colleges and Universities: A Guide for Administrators* [In review]



Our Mission

The mission of the U.S. Department of Education's Higher Education Center for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse and Violence Prevention is to assist institutions of higher education in developing, implementing, and evaluating alcohol, other drug, and violence prevention policies and programs that will foster students' academic and social development and promote campus and community safety.

How We Can Help

The U.S. Department of Education's Higher Education Center offers an integrated array of services to help people at colleges and universities adopt effective prevention strategies:

- Resources, referrals, and consultations
- Training and professional development activities
- Publication and dissemination of prevention materials
- Assessment, evaluation, and analysis activities
- Web site featuring online resources, news, and information
- Support for the Network Addressing Collegiate Alcohol and Other Drug Issues

Get in Touch

Additional information can be obtained by contacting:

The Higher Education Center for Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse and Violence Prevention

Education Development Center, Inc.

55 Chapel Street

Newton, MA 02458-1060

Web site: http://www.higheredcenter.org

Phone: 1-800-676-1730; TDD Relay-friendly, Dial 711

E-mail: HigherEdCtr@edc.org

