The Thurgood Marshall School of Law Empirical Findings: A Report of the Relationship
between Graduate GPAs and First-Time Texas Bar Scores of February 2010 and July
2009

June 3, 2010

Kadhi, T., Holley, D., & A. Palasota



# Texas Southern University Thurgood Marshall School of Law 3100 Cleburne Avenue ~ Houston, Texas 77004

Tau Kadhi, PhD
Assessment
Office: (713) 313-1184; Fax: (713) 313-1049
E-mail: kadhit2@tsu.edu

June 3, 2010

To: Holley, D.

Interim Dean, Thurgood Marshall School of Law (TMSL)

From: Kadhi, T.

Director of Assessment, TMSL

Subject: Descriptive Statistics of Final GPAs of February 2010 and July 2009 TMSL

First-Time Bar Examinees

The following report gives statistical findings of the Grade Point Averages (GPAs) of the February 2010 and July 2009 TMSL First Time Bar Test Takers and their TMSL Final GPA. Data was pre-existing and was given to the Evaluator by email from the Dean and Registrar. Statistical analyses were run using SPSS 17 to address the following questions:

- 1. What are the statistical descriptors of the first-time February 2010 and July 2009 TMSL Bar students' GPAs in conjunction with their Bar scores?
- 2. What is the relationship of the Final GPA of the First Time Bar Test Takers and their GPAs?

After the presentation of the data findings, a summary section is written at the end of this report/study addressing the above research questions.

CC:

Asst. Dean of Academic Support Registrar

# Statistical Descriptors of GPAs of First-Time Bar Test Takers

#### **GPA Case Processing Summary**

	Cases					
	Inclu	ıded	Exclu	uded	То	tal
	N	Percent	N	Percent	Ν	Percent
Final * GPA Grp	164	100.0%	0	.0%	164	100.0%
Final GPA * GPA Grp	164	100.0%	0	.0%	164	100.0%

## **GPA Case Summaries**

GPA Groups		Final Bar Score	Final GPA
2.1-2.19	N	1	1
2.1 2.13		632.00	2.170000
	Mean		
	<mark>Median</mark>	<mark>632.00</mark>	<b>2.170000</b>
	Minimum	632	2.1700
	Maximum	632	2.1700
	Range	0	.0000
	N and % of	0	0%
	Total passed		
	% of Total Sum	.6%	.5%
	% of Total N	.6%	.6%
2.2-2.29	N	2	2
	Mean	624.00	2.255000
	<mark>Median</mark>	<mark>624.00</mark>	<mark>2.255000</mark>
	Minimum	591	2.2300
	Maximum	657	2.2800
	Range	66	.0500

	N and % of Total passed	0	<mark>0%</mark>
	% of Total Sum	1.1%	1.0%
	% of Total N	1.2%	1.2%
2.3-2.39	N	9	9
	Mean	639.56	2.347778
	<mark>Median</mark>	<mark>632.00</mark>	<mark>2.350000</mark>
	Minimum	565	2.3000
	Maximum	702	2.3900
	Range	137	.0900
	N and % of Total passed	1	11.1%
	% of Total Sum	5.0%	4.5%
	% of Total N	5.5%	5.5%
2.4-2.49	N	10	10
	Mean	651.90	2.439000
	<mark>Median</mark>	<mark>667.50</mark>	<mark>2.435000</mark>
	Minimum	537	2.4000
	Maximum	707	2.4800
	Range	170	.0800
	N and % of Total passed	5	<mark>50%</mark>
	% of Total Sum	5.7%	5.2%
	% of Total N	6.1%	6.1%
2.5-2.59	N	16	16
	Mean	658.38	2.551250
	<mark>Median</mark>	<mark>677.00</mark>	<mark>2.560000</mark>
	Minimum	537	2.5100
	Maximum	716	2.5900
	Range	179	.0800
	N and % of Total passed	9	<mark>56.3%</mark>
	% of Total Sum	9.2%	8.6%

Page **4** of **10** 

	% of Total N	9.8%	9.8%
2.6-2.69	N	13	13
	Mean	667.85	2.627692
	<mark>Median</mark>	<mark>665.00</mark>	<mark>2.630000</mark>
	Minimum	613	2.6000
	Maximum	744	2.6900
	Range	131	.0900
	N and % of Total passed	6	46.2%
	% of Total Sum	7.6%	7.2%
	% of Total N	7.9%	7.9%
2.7-2.79	N	20	20
	Mean	678.50	2.739000
	<mark>Median</mark>	<mark>673.00</mark>	<mark>2.730000</mark>
	Minimum	621	2.7000
	Maximum	758	2.7900
	N and % of Total passed	10	<mark>50%</mark>
	Range	137	.0900
	% of Total Sum	11.9%	11.6%
	% of Total N	12.2%	12.2%
2.8-2.89	N	16	16
	Mean	681.00	2.840000
	<mark>Median</mark>	<mark>686.00</mark>	<mark>2.840000</mark>
	Minimum	607	2.8000
	Maximum	747	2.8900
	N and % of Total passed	11	68.8%
	Range	140	.0900
	% of Total Sum	9.5%	9.6%
	% of Total N	9.8%	9.8%
2.9-2.99	N	13	13

 Mean		687.08	2.949231
Media Media		684.00	2.940000
Minim		632	2.9100
Maxir		726	2.9900
	l % of	10	<mark>76.9%</mark>
	passed		
Rang	е	94	.0800
% of <sup>-</sup>	Total Sum	7.8%	8.1%
% of <sup>-</sup>	Γotal N	7.9%	7.9%
3.0-3.09	N	19	19
Mean		712.16	3.038947
<mark>Media</mark>	<mark>an</mark>	<mark>704.00</mark>	<mark>3.030000</mark>
Minim	num	631	3.0000
Maxir	num	786	3.0800
	l % of passed	17	89.5%
Rang	е	155	.0800
% of <sup>-</sup>	Γotal Sum	11.9%	12.2%
% of <sup>-</sup>	Γotal N	11.6%	11.6%
3.1-3.19	N	13	13
Mean		730.38	3.140769
<mark>Media</mark>	<mark>an</mark>	<mark>725.00</mark>	<mark>3.130000</mark>
Minim	ıum	699	3.1000
Maxir	num	768	3.1900
	l % of passed	13	100%
Rang	е	69	.0900
% of <sup>-</sup>	Γotal Sum	8.3%	8.6%
% of <sup>-</sup>	Γotal N	7.9%	7.9%
3.2-3.29	N	8	8
J.E J.EJ			
Mean		743.13	3.258750

- Minimum	692	3,2100
		3.2900
N and % of Total passed	8	100%
Range	129	.0800
% of Total Sum	5.2%	5.5%
% of Total N	4.9%	4.9%
Ν	11	11
Mean	742.73	3.341818
<mark>Median</mark>	<mark>739.00</mark>	3.330000
Minimum	696	3.3100
Maximum	796	3.3900
N and % of Total passed	11	<mark>100%</mark>
Range	100	.0800
o, , , , , , o		7.00/
% of Total Sum	7.2%	7.8%
% of Total Sum % of Total N	7.2% 6.7%	7.8% 6.7%
% of Total N	6.7%	6.7%
% of Total N N	6.7% 6	6.7% 6
% of Total N N Mean	6.7% 6 780.17	6.7% 6 3.448333
% of Total N  N  Mean  Median	6.7% 6 780.17 <mark>786.00</mark>	6.7% 6 3.448333 <mark>3.450000</mark>
% of Total N  N  Mean  Median  Minimum	6.7% 6 780.17 <mark>786.00</mark> 742	6.7% 6 3.448333 <mark>3.450000</mark> 3.4000
% of Total N  N  Mean  Median  Minimum  Maximum  N and % of	6.7% 6 780.17 786.00 742 814	6.7% 6 3.448333 <mark>3.450000</mark> 3.4000 3.4800
% of Total N  N  Mean  Median  Minimum  Maximum  N and % of  Total passed	6.7% 6 780.17 <mark>786.00</mark> 742 814	6.7% 6 3.448333 3.450000 3.4000 3.4800 100%
% of Total N  N  Mean  Median  Minimum  Maximum  N and % of  Total passed  Range	6.7% 6 780.17 786.00 742 814 6	6.7% 6 3.448333 3.450000 3.4800 100% .0800
% of Total N  N  Mean  Median  Minimum  Maximum  N and % of  Total passed  Range  % of Total Sum	6.7% 6 780.17 786.00 742 814 6 72 4.1%	6.7% 6 3.448333 3.450000 3.4800 100% .0800 4.4%
% of Total N  N  Mean  Median  Minimum  Maximum  N and % of  Total passed  Range  % of Total Sum  % of Total N	6.7% 6 780.17 786.00 742 814 6 72 4.1% 3.7%	6.7% 6 3.448333 3.450000 3.4800 100% .0800 4.4% 3.7%
% of Total N  N  Mean  Median  Minimum  Maximum  N and % of  Total passed  Range  % of Total Sum  % of Total N  N	6.7% 6 780.17 786.00 742 814 6 72 4.1% 3.7%	6.7% 6 3.448333 3.450000 3.4800 100% .0800 4.4% 3.7%
% of Total N  N Mean Median Minimum Maximum N and % of Total passed Range % of Total Sum % of Total N  N Mean	6.7% 6 780.17 786.00 742 814 6 72 4.1% 3.7% 2 774.00	6.7% 6 3.448333 3.450000 3.4000 3.4800 100% .0800 4.4% 3.7% 2 3.550000
	Range % of Total Sum % of Total N  N  Mean  Median  Minimum  Maximum  N and % of  Total passed  Range	Maximum 811 N and % of Total passed Range 129 % of Total Sum 5.2% % of Total N 4.9% N 11 Mean 742.73 Median 739.00 Minimum 696 Maximum 796 N and % of Total passed Range 100

	N and % of Total passed	2	100%
	Range	64	.0400
	% of Total Sum	1.4%	1.5%
	% of Total N	1.2%	1.2%
3.6-3.69	N	3	3
	Mean	796 00	3.640000
	<mark>Median</mark>	799.00	3.640000
	Minimum	788	3.6000
	Maximum	801	3.6800
	N and % of Total passed	3	100%
	Range	13	.0800
	% of Total Sum	2.1%	2.3%
	% of Total N	1.8%	1.8%
3.7-3.79	N	1	1
	Mean	797.00	3.720000
	<mark>Median</mark>	<mark>797.00</mark>	<mark>3.720000</mark>
	Minimum	797	3.7200
	Maximum	797	3.7200
	N and % of Total passed	1	<mark>100%</mark>
	Range	0	.0000
	% of Total Sum	.7%	.8%
	% of Total N	.6%	.6%
3.8-3.89	Ν	1	1
	Mean	790.00	3.820000
	<mark>Median</mark>	<mark>790.00</mark>	3.820000
	Minimum	790	3.8200
	Maximum	790	3.8200
	N and % of Total passed	1	100%
	Range	0	.0000

Page **8** of **10** 

	— % of Total Sum	.7%	.8%
	% of Total N	.6%	.6%
Total	N	164	164
	Mean	695.82	2.886524
	<mark>Median</mark>	<mark>696.50</mark>	<mark>2.855000</mark>
	Minimum	537	2.1700
	Maximum	814	3.8200
	N and % of Total passed	<mark>114</mark>	69.5%
	Range	277	1.6500
	% of Total Sum	100.0%	100.0%
	% of Total N	100.0%	100.0%

## **Correlations**

		Final GPA	Final Bar Score
Final GPA	Pearson Correlation	1	.735**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000
	N	164	164
Final Bar	Pearson Correlation	.735**	1
Score	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	
	N	164	164

<sup>\*\*.</sup> Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

#### **Final Summary**

In conclusion, the findings addressed the following questions:

1. What are the statistical descriptors of the first-time February 2010 and July 2009 TMSL Bar students' GPAs in conjunction with their Bar scores?

The statistical descriptors in turquoise indicate the number of passing Bar students in each GPA group along with the passing percentage of that GPA group. The median measure for each group is highlighted in yellow.

In addition, further analysis of the descriptive data shows that the highest group median measure for the Bar according to the GPA was the 3.6-3.69 group. The group bar median was 799. Also, the highest Bar score came from that GPA group (801). These findings support a theory that there is a relatively negligent difference from the 3.6-3.69 GPA group and those higher GPAs (3.7-3.9). Although the highest GPA was 3.82, that student's Bar score was 790.

The largest numerical group was 2.7-2.79. There were 20 students in this group, representing 11.9% of the total N and a median score of 673 Bar and 2.73 GPA.

The lowest GPA group that attained a minimal median passing Bar Score (675 Score or greater) was the 2.5-2.59 GPA Group. Their median Bar score was 677. All of the GPA groups below (2.1-2.49) and 2 of the groups above (2.6-2.79) had failing median scores. This phenomenon can only be empirically explained with further investigation.

It should also be noted that 100% of students with a 3.1 or above successfully passed the Bar examination on their first attempt.

2. What is the relationship of the Final GPA of the First Time Bar Test Takers and their GPAs?

The analysis of the GPAs to the Bar Scores indicates a strong statistically significant correlational relationship between the two variables (R=.735, p<.001). This finding supports the simple theory that as GPAs are higher the Bar Scores for the First-Test Test takers get higher. It should be noted that a strong correlation does not mean causality, but there is rarely a statistical causality without a strong correlation.