# Survey of Middle Grades Reading Issues



Middle Grades Reading Network University of Evansville September 2009

## Survey of Middle Grades Reading Issues

Jack W. Humphrey

September 2009

**Middle Grades Reading Network** 

### **Survey of Middle Grades Reading Issues**

There is abundant evidence that schools with strong reading programs have successful students. But building strong reading skills is a complex task, particularly by the time students reach the middle grades. Developing strong middle grades readers requires time, access, emphasis, skilled reading teachers, and a supportive administration.

Copies of *Becoming a Community of Middle Grades Readers: A Blueprint for Indiana* were provided to all Indiana middle grades schools in the spring of 2009. Developed by middle grades reading stakeholders including teachers, principals, superintendents, library media specialists, public librarians, community-based agency youth professionals, parents, business leaders, and members of the Indiana Department of Education, the *Blueprint* provided the goals, current status, and actions needed for the following areas: access to books, encouragement to value reading, time to read, skilled reading leaders, public library support, community agency support, family support, and reading role models.

The Blueprint guided the explanation of middle grades reading concerns in the spring 2009 issue of *NetWords*. The seven issues are reading classes through the eighth grade for all students, reading course descriptions, reading teachers as part of the regular staff, reading licenses for middle grades teachers, reading test results in state testing, middle grades reading materials, and strong school library book collections. Issues specifically related to middle grades schools were developed and became the basis for the survey.

Four copies of the *Survey of Middle Grades Reading Issues* were sent to all Indiana middle grades schools in May 2009. The counselor, library media specialist, principal, and a teacher from each school were asked to complete the survey and return it in an addressed and stamped envelope. A copy of the survey is included in the Appendix.

Two types of data analysis were utilized in preparing the report. Quantitative results were based on the rating by counselors, library media specialists, principals, teachers, and others (curriculum coordinator, English department chair, instructional aide, library aide, media aide, and Title I aide). The 15 topics addressed were the following: 1) Classes for struggling readers, 2) Contacts with feeder schools about reading achievement, 3) Employment of licensed reading teachers, 4) Increasing high school graduation rates by attention to reading skills, 5) Library book acquisitions growing to keep collections current, 6) Library book circulation, 7) Professional development for reading teachers, 8) Reading classes available, 9) Reading promotion within the school, 10) Reading skill materials available to reading teachers, 11) School district reading leadership, 12) School reading environment, 13) School-wide reading programs, 14) Summer reading promotion, and 15) Use of public libraries by students. Comments provided qualitative results in all the above areas.

### **Quantitative and Qualitative Results**

Table 1 illustrates that respondents were nearly equally divided about whether or not struggling readers have been identified and are receiving appropriate reading instruction. A total of 43.1 percent of all respondents disagreed, while 49.4 percent agreed that their struggling readers were receiving appropriate reading instruction. Most counselors and principals agreed that struggling readers have been identified and are receiving appropriate reading instruction, while most library media specialists and teachers disagreed. Most of the comments in Table 2 stated that reading classes are needed.

 Table 1. Classes for Struggling Readers

		88	1	1	1		
	STRONGLY	DISAGREE	UNDECIDED	AGREE	STRONGLY	NO	Total
	DISAGREE				AGREE	ANSWER	
Counselors	2 (6.5%)	6 (19.4%)	1 (3.2%)	15 (48.4%)	7 (22.6%)	0 (0.0%)	31
Library MS	4 (7.8%)	19 (37.3%)	6 (11.8%)	16 (31.4%)	6 (11.8%)	0 (0.0%)	51
Principals	1 (2.4%)	14 (34.1%)	3 (7.3%)	20 (48.8%)	3 (7.3%)	0 (0.0%)	41
Teachers	12 (8.6%)	55 (39.6%)	8 (5.8%)	46 (33.1%)	17 (12.2%)	1 (0.7%)	139
Others	1 (14%)	2 (29%)	1 (14%)	2 (29%)	1 (14%)	0 (0.0%)	7
Totals	20 (7.4%)	96 (35.7%)	19 (7.1%)	99 (36.8%)	34(12.6%)	1(0.3%)	269

 Table 2. Comments Concerning Classes for Struggling Readers

Theme	Frequency	Sub Themes
Classes for		<u>Counselors</u>
Struggling	1	Struggling readers are placed in Read 180 class.
Readers		<u>Library Media Specialists</u>
	3	Reading classes are needed.
	1	Not all struggling readers have been identified.
		<u>Principals</u>
	1	All struggling readers have been identified but not all are
		receiving the degree of services needed.
		<u>Teachers</u>
	7	Reading classes are needed.
	1	Classes are available for struggling readers in 7th and 8 <sup>th</sup> grades.
	1	Reading 180 is used with struggling readers.
	Total 15	•

Table 3 shows that only 25.2 percent of respondents agreed that the staff of the school is aware of feeder school reading programs and works closely with feeder school staffs while 55 percent disagreed. The highest level of disagreement was by teachers. The comments listed in Table 4 focused on the lack of working closely with feeder schools.

**Table 3**. Contacts with Feeder Schools About Reading Achievement

	STRONGLY	DISAGREE	UNDECIDED	AGREE	STRONGLY	NO	Total
	DISAGREE				AGREE	ANSWER	
Counselors	1 (3.2%)	14 (45.2%)	4 (12.9%)	9 (29%)	3 (9.7%)	0 (0.0%)	31
Library MS	10 (19.6%)	15 (29.4%)	10 (19.6%)	13 (25.5%)	2 (3.9%)	1 (2.0%)	51
Principals	6 (14.6%)	13 (31.7%)	6 (14.6%)	15 (36.6%)	1 (2.4%)	0 (0.0%)	41
Teachers	31 (22.3%)	54 (38.8%)	30 (21.6%)	19 (13.7%)	4 (2.9%)	1 (0.7%)	139
Others	2 (28.6%)	2 (28.6%)	1 (14.3%)	1 (14.3%)	1 (14.3%)	0 (0.0%)	7
Totals	50 (18.6%)	98 (36.4%)	51 (18.9%)	57 (21.2%)	11 (4%)	2 (0.4%)	269

Table 4. Comments Concerning Contacts with Feeder Schools About Reading Achievement

Theme	Frequency	Sub Themes
Contacts with		<u>Counselors</u>
feeder schools	2	The elementary program is strong, but reading is neglected in
about reading		middle school.
achievement		Media Specialists
	1	We do not work closely with feeder schools.
	Total 3	-

Table 5 shows that the issues of hiring reading teachers and encouragement of teachers to obtain reading licenses yielded about equal responses. A total of 39.4 percent disagreed while 44.2 percent agreed. Most counselors, library media specialists, and principals agreed while most teachers disagreed. The comments listed in Table 6 were from teachers and concerned the lack of licensed reading teachers.

**Table 5**. Employment of Licensed Reading Teachers

	STRONGLY	DISAGREE	UNDECIDED	AGREE	STRONGLY	NO	Total
	DISAGREE				AGREE	ANSWER	
Counselors	1 (3.2%)	5 (16.1%)	8 (25.8%)	7 (22.6%)	10 (32.3%)	0 (0.0%)	31
Library MS	5 (9.8%)	16 (31.4%)	7 (13.7%)	20 (39.2%)	3 (5.9%)	0 (0.0%)	51
Principals	4 (9.8%)	12 (29.3%)	5 (12.2%)	12 (29.3%)	8 (19.5%)	0 (0.0%)	41
Teachers	14 (10.1%)	46 (33.1%)	22 (15.8%)	43 (30.9%)	14 (10.1%)	0 (0.0%)	139
Others	0(0.0%)	3 (42.9%)	2 (28.6%)	1 (14.3%)	1 (14.3%)	0 (0.0%)	7
Totals	24 (8.9%)	82 (30.5%)	44 (16.4%)	83 (30.8%)	36 (13.4%)	0 (0.0%)	269

**Table 6.** Comments Concerning Employment of Licensed Reading Teachers

Theme	Frequency	Sub Themes
Employment of		<u>Teachers</u>
licensed reading	2	Only one licensed reading teacher is available in the school.
teachers	Total 2	-

Table 7 shows that most respondents agreed that they are increasing high school graduation rates by providing attention to reading skills. A total of 66.2 percent agreed while only 17 percent disagreed. The comment in Table 8 calls for more attention to reading skills.

**Table 7**. Increasing High School Graduation Rates by Attention to Reading Skills

	STRONGLY	DISAGREE	UNDECIDED	AGREE	STRONGLY	NO	Total
	DISAGREE				AGREE	ANSWER	
Counselors	0 (0.0%)	2 (6.5%)	8 (25.8%)	14 (45.2%)	7 (22.6%)	0 (0.0%)	31
Library MS	2 (3.9%)	12 (23.5%)	9 (17.6%)	25 (49.0%)	3 (5.9%)	0 (0.0%)	51
Principals	1 (2.4%)	4 (9.8%)	5 (12.2%)	24 (58.5%)	6 (14.6%)	1 (2.4%)	41
Teachers	5 (3.6%)	18 (12.9%)	21 (15.1%)	78 (56.1%)	16 (11.5%)	1 (0.7%)	139
Others	0 (0.0%)	2 (28.6%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (57.1%)	1 (14.3%)	0 (0.0%)	7
Totals	8 (2.9%)	38 (14.1%)	43 (15.9%)	145 (53.9%)	33 (12.3%)	2 (0.7%)	269

**Table 8**. Comments Concerning Increasing High School Graduation Rates by Attention to Reading Skills

Theme	Frequency	Sub Themes
Increasing high		Library Media Specialists
school	1	More attention is needed to reading skills.
graduation rates		
by attention to		
reading skills	Total 1	

Table 9 shows that most respondents believe that their schools are purchasing enough new books each year for their school libraries. A total of 59.1 percent agreed while only 30.8 percent disagreed. Most counselors, principals, and teachers agreed. Most library media specialists disagreed. More comments were received in this area than in any other area. Table 10 lists 26 comments from counselors, library media specialists, principals, and teachers concerning inadequate funding.

**Table 9.** Library Book Acquisitions Growing to Keep Collection Current

Tuble 3. Blothing Book inequisitions growing to need concentrate anient							
	STRONGLY	DISAGREE	UNDECIDED	AGREE	STRONGLY	NO	Total
	DISAGREE				AGREE	ANSWER	
Counselors	1 (3.2%)	4 (12.9%)	5 (16.1%)	10 (32.3%)	11 (35.5%)	0 (0.0%)	31
Library MS	11 (21.6%)	19 (37.3%)	3 (5.9%)	13 (25.5%)	5 (9.8%)	0 (0.0%)	51
Principals	4 (9.8%)	9 (22.0%)	1 (2.4%)	16 (39.0%)	11 (26.8%)	0 (0.0%)	41
Teachers	11 (7.9%)	22 (15.8%)	18 (12.9%)	51 (36.7%)	37 (26.6%)	0 (0.0%)	139
Others	1 (14.3%)	1 (14.3%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (57.1%)	1 (14.3%)	0 (0.0%)	7
Totals	28 (10.4%)	55 (20.4%)	27 (10.0%)	94 (34.9%)	65 (24.2%)	0 (0.0%)	269

**Table 10**. Comments Concerning Library Books Acquisitions Growing to Keep Collection Current

Theme	Frequency	Sub Themes
Library book		Counselors
acquisitions	1	Funding is inadequate.
growing to keep		<u>Library Media Specialists</u>
collection	12	Funding is inadequate.
current	3	Funding is adequate.
	1	Books are needed for every level of reading. Some middle schoolers are
		reading at a second grade level.
		<u>Principals</u>
	2	Funding is inadequate.
	1	The media center's budget was increased.
		<u>Teachers</u>
	11	Funding is inadequate.
	1	Books are not always at the appropriate level.
	1	Funding is adequate.
	1	More copies of the Young Hoosier Book Award books are needed.
	1	The Accelerated Reading Program is emphasized, but the media center
		does not purchase needed books.
	1	The library is outdated and rarely purchases new books.
	1	We need high interest below grade level books.
	1	We need higher level reading books.
	1	We spend our own money for books.
	Total 39	

Most respondents said that independent reading is supported by a satisfactory circulation of school library books. Table 11 shows that a total of 82.2 percent agreed while only 11.9 percent disagreed. Comments shown in Table 12 concerned reasons why the circulation was high (such as our school program supports use of school library books) or low (such as the school library was eliminated).

Table 11. Library Book Circulation

	STRONGLY	DISAGREE	UNDECIDED	AGREE	STRONGLY	NO	Total
	DISAGREE				AGREE	ANSWER	
Counselors	0 (0.0%)	2 (6.5%)	3 (9.7%)	11 (35.5%)	15 (48.4%)	0 (0.0%)	31
Library MS	1 (2.0%)	5 (9.8%)	2 (3.9%)	22 (43.1%)	21 (41.2%)	0 (0.0%)	51
Principals	2 (4.9%)	5 (12.2%)	3 (7.3%)	16 (39.0%)	15 (36.6%)	0 (0.0%)	41
Teachers	3 (2.2%)	12 (8.6%)	8 (5.8%)	66 (47.5%)	50 (36.0%)	0 (0.0%)	139
Others	1 (14.3%)	1 (14.3%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (57.1%)	1 (14.3%)	0 (0.0%)	7
Totals	7 (2.6%)	25 (9.3%)	16 (5.9%)	119 (44.2%)	102 (38.0%)	0 (0.0%)	269

 Table 12. Comments Concerning Library Book Circulation

Theme	Frequency	Sub Themes
Library book		Media Specialists
circulation	1	Circulation is high but could be higher.
	1	Many students read for pleasure.
	1	Our school library was eliminated.
	1	Our school program supports use of school library books.
	1	School-wide sustained silent reading increases library book circulation.
	1	Teachers are not sending students to school library.
	1	We are not purchasing enough new books.
		<u>Teachers</u>
	1	Accelerated Reading Program increases circulation.
	1	Independent reading is a requirement.
	1	Our school has a summer reading requirement with tests in the fall.
	1	School-wide sustained silent reading increases library book circulation.
	1	Students complain that new books are needed.
	Total 12	

Most respondents agreed that reading teachers have opportunities to work with other reading teachers, attend corporation professional development reading meetings, participate in college reading classes, and attend state and/or national reading meetings. Table 13 shows that 55.3 percent agreed while 24.8 percent disagreed. Most of the comments in Table 14 concerned the lack of professional development opportunities.

**Table 13**. Professional Development for Reading Teachers

	STRONGLY	DISAGREE	UNDECIDED	AGREE	STRONGLY	NO	Total
	DISAGREE				AGREE	ANSWER	
Counselors	0 (0.0%)	4 (12.9%)	10 (32.3%)	8 (25.8%)	9 (29.0%)	0 (0.0%)	31
Library MS	2 (3.9%)	8 (15.7%)	14 (27.5%)	19 (37.3%)	7 (13.7%)	1 (2.0%)	51
Principals	4 (9.8%)	7 (17.1%)	4 (9.8%)	19 (46.3%)	7 (17.1%)	0 (0.0%)	41
Teachers	12 (8.6%)	29 (20.9%)	22 (15.8%)	56 (40.3%)	20 (14.4%)	0 (0.0%)	139
Others	1 (14.3%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (14.3%)	3 (42.9%)	1 (14.3%)	1 (14.3%)	7
Totals	19 (7.0%)	48 (17.8%)	51 (18.9%)	105 (39.0%)	44 (16.3%)	2 (0.7%)	269

 Table 14. Comments Concerning Professional Development for Reading Teachers

Table 14. Commi	Table 14. Comments Concerning Professional Development for Redding Teachers				
Theme	Frequency	Sub Themes			
Professional		Media Specialists			
development for	1	We need more professional development opportunities.			
reading teachers	1	Professional development for reading in the content areas was provided.			
		<u>Teachers</u>			
	1	Professional development is limited due to location.			
	1	There are no funds for professional development.			
	Total 4				

Table 15 shows that class schedules provide for reading and language arts classes. A total of 74.3 percent agreed while 22.7 percent disagreed. The comments listed in Table 16 target the

lack of a full service reading program. They reveal that reading classes are not provided in some cases or provided for some but not all students. Some comments listed the lack of reading teachers, while another mentioned that there was a reading specialist on the school staff.

 Table 15.
 Reading Classes Available

	STRONGLY	DISAGREE	UNDECIDED	AGREE	STRONGLY	NO	Total
	DISAGREE				AGREE	ANSWER	
Counselors	0 (0.0%)	3 (9.7%)	1 (3.2%)	9 (29.0%)	18 (58.1%)	0 (0.0%)	31
Library MS	4 (7.8%)	7 (13.7%)	2 (3.9%)	26 (51.0%)	12 (23.5%)	0 (0.0%)	51
Principals	3 (7.3%)	6 (14.6%)	1 (2.4%)	22 (53.7%)	9 (22.0%)	0 (0.0%)	41
Teachers	12 (8.6%)	23 (16.5%)	3 (2.2%)	58 (41.7%)	43 (30.9%)	0 (0.0%)	139
Others	0 (0.0%)	3 (42.9%)	1 (14.3%)	1 (14.3%)	2 (28.6%)	0 (0.0%)	7
Totals	19 (7.1%)	42 (15.6%)	8 (3.0%)	116 (43.1%)	84 (31.2%)	0 (0.0%)	269

Table 16. Comments Concerning Reading Classes Available

Table 16. Comm	ents Concernin	g Reading Classes Available
Theme	Frequency	Sub Themes
Reading classes		Media Specialists
available	3	Only sixth grade has reading classes.
	3	We have no reading teachers.
	2	Only seventh grade has reading classes.
	1	One teacher in school has a reading class
	1	Only sixth and seventh grades have reading classes.
		<u>Others</u>
	1	We have no reading teachers.
		<u>Principals</u>
	1	All students have a full year of language arts and ½ year of reading.
	1	All students in the school have a reading class.
	1	Reading classes provided on rotating basis.
	1	Sixth graders have a reading class, seventh graders one semester,
		eighth graders elective classes.
	1	We have no reading teachers.
		<u>Teachers</u>
	1	Many students come to building with severely deficient reading skills,
		but we have no reading program.
	1	Scholastic Read 180 system used for struggling readers.
	1	Vocabulary is weak in our reading program.
	1	We have a class for eighth grade struggling readers.
	1	We have a reading specialist.
	1	We use Read 180 for struggling readers.
	Total 22	

Table 17 shows that most respondents think that reading promotion similar to that in elementary schools is evident throughout their schools. A total of 58.4 percent agreed while 24.9 percent disagreed. Teachers were lower in agreement than counselors, library media specialists, and principals. Most of the comments listed in Table 18 were about the efforts of schools to promote reading.

**Table 17**. Reading Promotion within the School

	STRONGLY	DISAGREE	UNDECIDED	AGREE	STRONGLY	NO	Total
	DISAGREE				AGREE	ANSWER	
Counselors	1 (3.2%)	6 (19.4%)	4 (12.9%)	8 (25.8%)	12 (38.7%)	0 (0.0%)	31
Library MS	2 (3.9%)	3 (5.9%)	10 (19.6%)	26 (51.0%)	10 (19.6%)	0 (0.0%)	51
Principals	1 (2.4%)	10 (24.4%)	4 (9.8%)	20 (48.8%)	5 (12.2%)	1 (2.4%)	41
Teachers	7 (5.0%)	34 (24.5%)	25 (18.0%)	60 (43.2%)	13 (9.4%)	0 (0.0%)	139
Others	0 (0.0%)	3 (42.9%)	1 (14.3%)	1 (14.3%)	2 (28.6%)	0 (0.0%)	7
Totals	11 (4.1%)	56 (20.8%)	44 (16.4%)	115 (42.8%)	42 (15.6%)	1 (0.3%)	269

Table 18. Comments Concerning Reading Promotion within the School

Theme	Frequency	Sub Themes
Reading		Counselors
promotion	2	Our reading promotion surpasses our elementary schools'.
within the school		Media Specialists
	1	Reading is our school-wide improvement goal.
	1	We made our own reading posters to promote reading.
		<u>Others</u>
	1	Teachers insist that student have two books at all times.
		<u>Teachers</u>
	1	School provides different reading opportunities on school-wide basis
		using short time periods.
	1	Teachers rather than the school promote reading.
	Total 7	

Table 19 shows that most respondents believe that teachers are aware of and have access to reading skill materials appropriate for the reading levels of all students. A total of 62.5 percent agree and 23.7 percent disagree. Teachers had a lower percent of agreement and a higher percent of disagreement than counselors, library media specialists, and principals. The comments listed in Table 20 were mainly from teachers and indicated that such materials were not available.

**Table 19**. Reading Skill Materials Available to Reading Teachers

	Two is it it want & sinin it would be it want & it want is						
	STRONGLY	DISAGREE	UNDECIDED	AGREE	STRONGLY	NO	Total
	DISAGREE				AGREE	ANSWER	
Counselors	0 (0.0%)	5 (16.1%)	4 (12.9%)	14 (45.2%)	8 (25.8%)	0 (0.0%)	31
Library MS	0 (0.0%)	11 (21.6%)	7 (13.7%)	26 (51.0%)	7 (13.7%)	0 (0.0%)	51
Principals	0 (0.0%)	8 (19.5%)	7 (17.1%)	19 (46.3%)	7 (17.1%)	0 (0.0%)	41
Teachers	7 (5.0%)	31 (22.3%)	18 (12.9%)	67 (48.2%)	16 (11.5%)	0 (0.0%)	139
Others	1 (14.3%)	1 (14.3%)	1 (14.3%)	3 (42.9%)	1 (14.3%)	0 (0.0%)	7
Totals	8 (2.9%)	56 (20.8%)	37 (13.8%)	129 (48.0%)	39 (14.5%)	0 (0.0%)	269

 Table 20.
 Comments Concerning Reading Skill Materials Available to Reading Teachers

Theme	Frequency	Sub Themes
Reading skill		Media Specialists
materials	1	Teachers have access to materials but don't recognize the need for
available to		differentiated materials.
reading teachers		<u>Teachers</u>
	1	Funds are not available for reading skill materials.
	1	Materials are available only for low readers.
	Total 3	

Table 21 shows that 51.3 percent agree, while 26.4 percent disagree that middle grades reading is an important part of the corporation's reading program and that sufficient help and encouragement are provided to reading teachers. Teachers had a higher percent of disagreement than counselors, library media specialists, and principals. Table 22 listed concerns about lack of reading leadership from the school district.

**Table 21**. School District Reading Leadership

Tuble 21. Sensor Bistrict reading Leadership							
	STRONGLY	DISAGREE	UNDECIDED	AGREE	STRONGLY	NO	Total
	DISAGREE				AGREE	ANSWER	
Counselors	0 (0.0%)	4 (12.9%)	9 (29.0%)	9 (29.0%)	9 (29.0%)	0 (0.0%)	31
Library MS	2 (3.9%)	11 (21.6%)	12 (23.5%)	18 (35.3%)	7 (13.7%)	1 (2.0%)	51
Principals	1 (2.4%)	8 (19.5%)	10 (24.4%)	15 (36.6%)	7 (17.1%)	0 (0.0%)	41
Teachers	10 (7.2%)	33 (23.7%)	27 (19.4%)	51 (36.7%)	18 (12.9%)	0 (0.0%)	139
Others	1 (14.3%)	1 (14.3%)	1 (14.3%)	3 (42.9%)	1 (14.3%)	0 (0.0%)	7
Totals	14 (5.2%)	57 (21.2%)	59 (21.9%)	96 (35.7%)	42 (15.6%)	1 (0.3%)	269

 Table 22.
 Comments Concerning School District Reading Leadership

Theme	Frequency	Sub Themes
School district		Media Specialists
reading	1	The district says reading is important, but not all buildings have
leadership		effective programs.
		<u>Teachers</u>
	2	Little leadership is provided from administration.
	1	Elementary reading is the primary concern rather than middle school
	Total 4	reading.

Table 23. Most respondents agreed that their schools feature an environment in which reading is valued, promoted, and encouraged. A total of 83.6 percent agreed and only 7.8 percent disagreed. Most of the comments listed in Table 24 concerned the lack of time in reading classes and emphasis on reading.

Table 23. School Reading Environment

	STRONGLY	DISAGREE	UNDECIDED	AGREE	STRONGLY	NO	Total
	DISAGREE				AGREE	ANSWER	
Counselors	0 (0.0%)	1 (3.2%)	1 (3.2%)	10 (32.3%)	19 (61.3%)	0 (0.0%)	31
Library MS	0 (0.0%)	5 (9.8%)	5 (9.8%)	25 (49.0%)	16 (31.4%)	0 (0.0%)	51
Principals	1 (2.4%)	3 (7.3%)	4 (9.8%)	16 (39.0%)	17 (41.5%)	0 (0.0%)	41
Teachers	1 (0.7%)	8 (5.8%)	13 (9.4%)	77 (55.4%)	40 (28.8%)	0 (0.0%)	139
Others	0 (0.0%)	2 (28.6%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (42.9%)	2 (28.6%)	0 (0.0%)	7
Totals	2 (0.7%)	19 (7.1%)	23 (8.6%)	131 (48.7%)	94 (34.9%)	0 (0.0%)	269

Table 24. Comments Concerning School Reading Environment

Theme	Frequency	Sub Themes
School reading		<u>Others</u>
environment	1	Reading is not strongly promoted or valued by many teachers.
		<u>Teachers</u>
	1	Hard to promote reading when students in class only 9 weeks.
	1	Teachers rather than the school promote reading.
	1	The Young Hoosier Book Award books available for students.
	Total 4	

Table 25 shows that most respondents agree that their schools provide programs such as the Young Hoosier Book Award Program from the Indiana Library Federation, commercial programs such as Accelerated Reader and Reading Counts, or a reading incentive program developed by the school. A total of 79.9 percent agreed while 13.8 disagreed. The comments listed in Table 26 concern funding, help for struggling readers, and the need for reading teachers.

**Table 25**. School-Wide Reading Programs

	STRONGLY	DISAGREE	UNDECIDED	AGREE	STRONGLY	NO	Total
	DISAGREE				AGREE	ANSWER	
Counselors	1 (3.2%)	2 (6.5%)	4 (12.9%)	10 (32.3%)	14 (45.2%)	0 (0.0%)	31
Library MS	1 (2.0%)	2 (3.9%)	0 (0.0%)	20 (39.2%)	27 (52.9%)	1 (2.0%)	51
Principals	3 (7.3%)	6 (14.6%)	1 (2.4%)	18 (43.9%)	12 (29.3%)	1 (2.4%)	41
Teachers	9 (6.5%)	11 (7.9%)	10 (7.2%)	65 (46.8%)	44 (31.7%)	0 (0.0%)	139
Others	1 (14.3%)	1 (14.3%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (57.1%)	1 (14.3%)	0 (0.0%)	7
Totals	15 (5.6%)	22 (8.2%)	15 (5.6%)	117 (43.5%)	98 (36.4%)	2 (0.7%)	269

**Table 26**. Comments Concerning School-Wide Reading Programs

Theme	Frequency	Sub Themes
School-wide		<u>Counselors</u>
reading	1	More students at lower reading levels are coming into our school.
programs	1	Some teachers do not utilize the Accelerated Reader Program.
		Media Specialists
	1	Because of funding, we are dropping Accelerated Reader and
		purchasing fewer Young Hoosier Book Award books.
		<u>Others</u>
	1	We have good elementary programs but not at junior high.
		<u>Teachers</u>
	1	Accelerated reader has helped put a focus on reading independently.
	1	Our school is starting a summer reading program.
	1	Teachers create and implement their own reading curriculum as we
		have no reading teachers.
	1	There are no specific reading teachers for our students.
	1	We have many poor readers and no system in place to help them.
	1	We have many students needing reading attack skills.
	Total 10	

Table 27 shows that most respondents do not believe that their schools promote summer reading and keep track of the results. A total of 56.2 percent disagreed while 28.6 percent agreed. Teachers had the highest percent of disagreement with 60.4 percent disagreement and 25.9 percent agreement. The comments in Table 28 were from library media specialists and show a lack of summer reading promotion.

**Table 27**. Summer Reading Promotion

	STRONGLY	DISAGREE	UNDECIDED	AGREE	STRONGLY	NO	Total
	DISAGREE				AGREE	ANSWER	
Counselors	2 (6.5%)	13 (41.9%)	8 (25.8%)	4 (12.9%)	4 (12.9%)	0 (0.0%)	31
Library MS	9 (17.6%)	19 (37.3%)	6 (11.8%)	11 (21.6%)	6 (11.8%)	0 (0.0%)	51
Principals	6 (14.6%)	14 (34.1%)	7 (17.1%)	10 (24.4%)	4 (9.8%)	0 (0.0%)	41
Teachers	26 (18.7%)	58 (41.7%)	19 (13.7%)	27 (19.4%)	9 (6.5%)	0 (0.0%)	139
Others	1 (14.3%)	3 (42.9%)	1 (14.3%)	1 (14.3%)	1 (14.3%)	0 (0.0%)	7
Totals	44 (16.4%)	107 (39.8%)	41 (15.2%)	53 (19.7%)	24 (8.9%)	0 (0.0%)	269

 Table 28. Comments Concerning Summer Reading Promotion

Theme	Frequency	Sub Themes
Summer reading		Media Specialists
promotion	1	Our school does nothing about summer reading.
	1	We promote summer reading but don't keep track of results.
	Total 2	-

Table 29 shows a mixed reaction concerning the use of public libraries by students and whether or not they have library cards and use them during the school year and summer. A total of 27.5 percent agreed, 30.8 percent disagreed, and 41.3 percent were undecided. The comments in Table 30 show that many students do not have access to a public library, do not use a public

library because of lack of transportation or family support, and that use of the public library by students is not known by school personnel.

Table 29. Use of Public Library by Students

	STRONGLY	DISAGREE	UNDECIDED	AGREE	STRONGLY	NO	Total
	DISAGREE				AGREE	ANSWER	
Counselors	1 (3.2%)	6 (19.4%)	16 (51.6%)	5 (16.1%)	3 (9.7%	0 (0.0%)	31
Library MS	2 (3.9%)	15 (29.4%)	16 (31.4%)	16 (31.4%)	2 (3.9%)	0 (0.0%)	51
Principals	3 (7.3%)	12 (29.3%)	16 (39.0%)	7 (17.1%)	3 (7.3%)	0 (0.0%)	41
Teachers	3 (2.2%)	39 (28.1%)	62 (44.6%)	29 (20.9%)	5 (3.6%)	1 (0.7%)	139
Others	1 (14.3%)	1 (14.3%)	1 (14.3%)	3 (42.9%)	1 (14.3%)	0 (0.0%)	7
Totals	1 0 (3.7%)	73 (27.1%)	111 (41.3%)	60 (22.3%)	14 (5.2%)	1 (0.3%)	269

**Table 30**. Comments Concerning Use of Public Library by Students

Table 30. Comm	ents Concernin	g Use of Public Library by Students
Theme	Frequency	Sub Themes
Use of public		Counselors
library by	1	Many students have library cards, but we don't know who does and
students		does not have a card.
		Media Specialists
	5	Most of our students do not have access to a public library.
	3	Many students have library cards, but we don't know who does and
		does not have a card.
	1	Many students have transportation problems.
	1	Most students do not use the public library.
	1	Public and school libraries work together to promote each other's
		programs.
	1	We have a book mobile that regularly visits the school.
	1	We previously checked out books over the summer, but many were
		lost.
	1	We promote but are not sure if students use public library.
		<u>Principals</u>
	3	Our public library is excellent and used by most students.
		<u>Teachers</u>
	1	Most of our students do not have a public library
	1	Parents do not encourage their child to use the public library.
	1	We have a book mobile that regularly visits the school.
	Total 21	

Table 31 shows the percents of responses from counselors, library media specialists, principals, teachers, and others (curriculum coordinator, English department chair, instructional aide, library aide, media aide, and Title I aide). Counselors and principals had the highest percent of strongly agree responses and the lowest percent of strongly disagree responses. Teachers had the lowest percent of strongly agree responses, while others had the highest percent of strongly disagree responses.

**Table 31.** Percents of Responses by Position

	Strongly	Disagree	Undecided	Agree	Strongly	No Answer
	Agree				Agree	
Counselors	2.2%	16.6%	18.5%	30.8%	32.0%	0.0%
Library MS	7.2%	21.8%	14.2%	38.7%	17.5%	0.5%
Principals	6.5%	21.3%	12.5%	40.5%	18.7%	0.5%
Teachers	7.8%	22.7%	14.7%	38.0%	16.6%	1.2%
Others	10.5%	24.8%	10.5%	36.2%	17.1%	1.0%
Total	6.9%	21.7%	14.6%	37.6%	18.9%	0.3%

Table 32 shows that all respondents agreed or strongly agreed that the top five reading issues were school reading environment, library book circulation, school-wide reading programs, reading classes available, and increasing high school graduation rates by attention to reading skills. The five reading issues that most respondents disagreed or strongly disagreed with are summer reading promotion, contacts, with feeder schools about reading achievement, classes for struggling readers, employment of licensed reading teachers, and use of public libraries by students.

**Table 32.** Rank and Percent of Disagree and Strongly Disagree Responses and Agree and

Strongly Agree Responses by All Respondents

Strongly rigide responses by the respondents	Disagree	Rank	Agree	Rank
	and		and	
	Strongly		Strongly	
	Disagree		Agree	
	Percent			
Classes for struggling readers	49.4%	11	43.1%	3
Contacts with feeder schools about reading achievement	25.2%	13	55%	2
Employment of licensed reading teachers	44.2%	12	39.4%	4
Increasing high school graduation rates by attention to reading	66.2%	5	17%	12
skills				
Library book acquisitions growing to keep collection current	59.1%	7	30.8%	5
Library book circulation	82.2%	2	11.9%	14
Professional development for reading teachers	55.3%	9	24.8%	9
Reading classes available	74.2%	4	22.7%	11
Reading promotion within the school	58.4%	8	24.9%	8
Reading skill materials available to reading teachers	62.5%	6	23.4%	10
School district reading leadership	51.3%	10	26.4%	7
School reading environment	83.6%	1	7.8%	15
School-wide reading programs	79.9%	3	13.8%	13
Summer reading promotion	28.6%	14	56.2%	1
Use of public library by students	27.5%	15	30.8%	5

### **Study Limitations**

The results of this study should be interpreted with caution. Counselors, principals, school library media specialists, teachers, and others voluntarily completed and returned the survey. It does not represent the views of every Indiana middle grades public school.

### **Conclusions**

Most middle grades school counselors, library media specialists, principals, and teachers agree with the following:

- Schools feature an environment in which reading is valued promoted, and encouraged.
- Independent reading is supported by a satisfactory circulation of school library books.
- Schools provide programs such as the Young Hoosier Book Award Program from the Indian Library Federation, commercial programs such as Accelerated Reader and Reading Counts, or a reading incentive program developed by the school.
- Class schedules provide for reading and for language arts (English) classes.
- Schools are ensuring that students' reading skills are appropriate for high school work.
- Teachers are aware of reading skill materials appropriate for the reading levels of all students and have access to them.
- Reading promotion similar to that in elementary schools is evident throughout schools.
- Reading teachers have opportunities to work with other reading teachers, attend corporation professional development reading meetings, participate in college reading classes, and attend state and/or national reading meetings.
- Middle grades reading is an important part of corporations' reading programs, and sufficient help and encouragement are provided to reading teachers.

Most middle grades school counselors, principals, and teachers, but not school library media specialists, agree that:

• Schools purchase enough new books each year to keep collections current.

Most middle grades school counselors, library media specialists, principals, and teachers disagree or are undecided about the following:

- All struggling readers have been identified and are receiving appropriate reading instruction.
- The school has licensed reading teachers or is encouraging teachers to obtain reading licenses.
- The school staffs are aware of feeder school reading programs and work closely with feeder school staffs.
- The school promotes summer reading and keeps track of results.
- Most students have library cards and use them during the school year as well as in the summer.

### Recommendations

Indiana middle grades schools should continue their efforts to build strong readers by featuring a good reading environment, promoting circulation of school library books, providing programs that focus on school-wide reading, providing reading classes, increasing reading skills, promoting reading commitment similar to elementary schools', providing reading professional development, and providing corporation leadership and support. The *Middle Grades Reading Assessment*, available from the Middle Grades Reading Network, will help schools assess their reading programs.

Six areas should receive special attention. These are: 1) Identify and provide appropriate instruction for struggling readers, 2) Add licensed reading teachers to the school staff, 3) Increase awareness of feeder school reading programs and work more closely with feeder school staffs, 4) Promote summer reading and keep track of results, 5) Work more closely with public libraries, and, 6) Help the staff understand the need to purchase enough new books each year for the school library.

- Schools should provide norm-referenced reading tests in addition to ISTEP tests to identify struggling readers. These can be administered school-wide or by reading teachers. Norm-referenced reading tests provide comprehension and vocabulary grade level results showing clearly those studens who need special help with reading skills and provide specific information about needed instruction. Also useful are report card marks and teacher recommendations. Classes for struggling readers should be taught by licensed reading teachers equipped with appropriate reading materials.
- Many Indiana colleges and universities have programs leading to reading licenses. Contact local colleges and universities to seek student teachers working on reading licenses. Help teachers become aware of graduate programs that lead to reading or reading specialist licenses. Add licensed reading teachers when filling vacancies.
- Provide opportunities for middle grades reading teachers and school library media specialists to interact with feeder school reading teachers and library media specialists. Visit feeder schools and invite their reading teachers to visit middle grade schools. Share information about reading test results, reading materials and methods, time devoted to reading activities, and school-wide reading incentive programs.
- Promote summer reading with Summer Reading Logs, lists of recommended books, posters, Web site information, letters to and meetings with parents, and provide fall recognition for students who read during the summer.
- Share information about the funding for school library books with the staff. Share information found in the 2008 Trend Analysis of Indiana K-8 Library Services Since the School Library Printed Materials Grant provided to all schools or available at <a href="http://mgrn.evansville.edu/Library2008.pdf">http://mgrn.evansville.edu/Library2008.pdf</a>. Help them understand the need for purchasing two books per student per year to keep collections current and to understand the positive effect of new books on circulation. Share the need for students to practice their reading using current, appealing, high-interest, and useful books and other reading materials

### References

Humphrey, J. (2009). Middle grades reading issues. NetWords, Spring, 6-7.

Humphrey, J. (2005). Middle *grades reading assessment*. Evansville, IN: Middle Grades Reading Network.

Middle Grades Reading Network. (2009). *Becoming a community of middle grades readers*. Evansville, IN

Plucker, J., Beld, A., Humphrey, J., & Spradlin, T. (2008). 2008 trend analysis of Indiana K-8 library services since the school library printed materials grant. Bloomington, IN; Center for Evaluation & Education Policy.

### Appendix A

### Middle Grades Reading Network University of Evansville 1800 Lincoln Avenue Evansville, IN 47722

### Survey of Middle Grades Reading Issues

If you wish to be eligible for a drawing for one of five sets of the 2009-2010 Young Hoosier Book Award books, please provide the following information so that the books can be mailed to the winners of the drawing. No school or individual will be mentioned in the report of the survey results.

Name: Address:
Please mark each item in the survey and return in the enclosed envelope.
<u>Position</u>
○Counselor
OLibrary Media Specialist
○Principal
OReading Teacher
○Teacher
Other

### Classes for struggling readers

All struggling readers in our school have been identified and are receiving appropriate reading instruction.

- Strongly Disagree
- oDisagree
- Undecided
- oAgree
- oStrongly Agree

### Contacts with feeder schools about reading achievement

The staff of our school is aware of feeder school reading programs and works closely with feeder school staffs.

- Strongly Disagree
- ∘ Disagree
- ○Undecided
- oAgree
- oStrongly Agree

### **Employment of licensed reading teachers**

We have hired licensed reading teachers or encouraged existing teachers to obtain reading licenses.

- Strongly Disagree
- ○Disagree
- oUndecided
- oAgree
- Strongly Agree

### Increasing high school graduation rates by attention to reading skills

What is happening in our school is helping to ensure that students' reading skills are appropriate for high school work.

- Strongly Disagree
- ∘ Disagree
- oUndecided
- oAgree
- Strongly Agree

### Library book acquisitions ensure that collection is current

We are purchasing enough new books each year for our school library.

- Strongly Disagree
- ○Disagree
- oUndecided
- Agree
- Strongly Agree

### **Comments:**

### Library book circulation

Independent reading is supported by a satisfactory circulation of our school library books.

- Strongly Disagree
- ○Disagree
- Undecided
- oAgree
- oStrongly Agree

### <u>Professional development for reading teachers</u>

Our reading teachers have opportunities to work with other reading teachers, attend corporation professional development reading meetings, participate in college reading classes, and attend state and/or national reading meetings.

- Strongly Disagree
- oDisagree
- oUndecided
- oAgree
- Strongly Agree

### Reading classes are available

Our class schedule provides for reading and for language arts (English) classes.

- Strongly Disagree
- ○Disagree
- oUndecided
- oAgree
- Strongly Agree

### Reading promotion within the school

Reading promotion similar to that in elementary schools is evident throughout our school.

- Strongly Disagree
- ∘ Disagree
- oUndecided
- oAgree
- Strongly Agree

### Reading skill materials available to reading teachers

Our teachers are aware of reading skill materials appropriate for the reading levels of all students and have access to them.

- Strongly Disagree
- ∘ Disagree
- oUndecided
- Agree
- Strongly Agree

### Comments:

### School district reading leadership

Middle grades reading is an important part of our corporation's reading program and sufficient help and encouragement are provided to reading teachers.

- Strongly Disagree
- oDisagree
- Undecided
- oAgree
- Strongly Agree

### School reading environment

Our school features an environment where reading is valued, promoted, and encouraged.

- Strongly Disagree
- ∘ Disagree
- Undecided
- oAgree
- Strongly Agree

### School wide reading programs

The school provides programs such as the Young Hoosier Book Award Program from the Indiana Library Federation, commercial programs such as Accelerated Reader and Reading Counts, or a reading incentive program developed by our school.

- Strongly Disagree
- ○Disagree
- oUndecided
- oAgree
- Strongly Agree

### **Summer reading promotion**

Our school promotes summer reading and keeps track of the results.

- Strongly Disagree
- ∘ Disagree
- oUndecided
- Agree
- Strongly Agree

### **Use of public library by students**

Most of our students have library cards and use them during the school year and in the summer.

- Strongly Disagree
- ∘ Disagree
- oUndecided
- Agree
- Strongly Agree

### Comments:

