

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 480 343

CS 512 415

AUTHOR McConnell, Deidre L.
TITLE Reading Intervention for Struggling Adolescents.
PUB DATE 2003-05-07
NOTE 9p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the International Reading Association (48th, Orlando, FL, May 4-8, 2003).
PUB TYPE Reports - Evaluative (142) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)
EDRS PRICE EDRS Price MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS Case Studies; Decoding (Reading); Grade 6; *Instructional Effectiveness; Intermediate Grades; Intervention; Reading Comprehension; Reading Difficulties; *Reading Improvement; *Remedial Reading; Student Attitudes; Word Recognition
IDENTIFIERS Explicit Instruction

ABSTRACT

This research presents the results of a 10-week qualitative case study that followed the journey of a limited literacy, grade six student as he progressed through a 10-week, one-on-one intensive literacy intervention. A limited literacy student is defined as a student, who has been identified as functioning two or more years behind their peers in reading and writing. This paper focused on changes in reading behaviors, such as word recognition, decoding, comprehension and metacognition. The results show that responsive, explicit and meaningful instruction, targeted to meet student needs does have a positive impact on student learning. Significant improvements were observable not only in reading, but also in writing, spelling, attitude towards literacy learning and student self-confidence. (Author/RS)

Reading Intervention For Struggling Adolescents

Research Poster Session
May 7, 2003
International Reading Association
Orlando, Florida
May 2003

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS
BEEN GRANTED BY

D.L. McConnell

Deidre McConnell, B.Ed., M.A.
Calgary Board of Education
Calgary, Alberta
Canada

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

ABSTRACT

This research presents the results of a ten-week qualitative, case study. This case study followed the journey of a limited literacy, grade six student as he progressed through a ten week, one-on-one intensive literacy intervention. A limited literacy student is defined as a student who has been identified as functioning two or more years behind their peers in reading and writing.

This paper focused on changes in reading behaviors such as word recognition, decoding, comprehension and metacognition. The results show that responsive, explicit and meaningful instruction, targeted to meet student needs does have a positive impact on student learning.

Significant improvements were observable not only in reading, but also in writing, spelling, attitude towards literacy learning and student self-confidence.

RESEARCH QUESTION

What impact will a 10 week, daily (40 minute), one-on-one reading intervention have on the *literacy/reading skills* of a limited literacy middle school student?

- What demonstrated growth will the student show in fluency decoding and word recognition?
- What demonstrated growth will the student show in comprehension?
- What demonstrated growth will the student show in awareness and use of metacognition?

METHODOLOGY

My research was a qualitative, action based, single subject case study.

Data was primarily reported in a qualitative form:

- daily interactions and audiotapes
- daily lesson logs
- entrance and exit interviews with student parents and classroom teachers

Deidre L. McConnell, International Reading Association 2003

- in-class observations.
- Many of the assessments were also designed to be more qualitative in nature.

Quantitative measures were used for entrance and exit assessment using a combination of standardized and diagnostic assessments.

TASK

The intervention used in my research was the *Later Literacy* © (1995). This program was developed and field-tested in Scarborough, Ontario, Canada by Joyce MacDonald and her colleagues. The program was designed for use with students in grades 4 - 9 who are at-risk for academic failure as a result of limited literacy skills (achieving 2 or more grade levels behind their peers).

The framework for the program was based on Marie Clay's, *Reading Recovery* © with appropriate adaptations to address the literacy needs of older students.

The program is based on current research by educators such as Donald Graves, Judith Irvin and Marie Clay.

RESULTS: Standardized Assessments

Jerry John's Informal Reading Assessment (1997)

	Entrance	Exit
Word Recognition		
Independent	Grade 2	Grade 3
Instructional	Grade 3	Grade 6
Frustration	Grade 4	Grade 7
Oral Reading		
Independent	Grade 4	Grade 5
Instructional	Grade 5	Grade 6
Frustration	Grade 6	----

The Jerry John's Basic Reading Inventory (1997) was selected as a standardized assessment tool because it is a well known reading inventory and it would be useful as a comparison with the assessments designed for specific use with the Later Literacy © program.

RESULTS: Later Literacy © Assessments

	Entrance	Exit
Fluency -Holistic Rating Scale	1	4
Retelling -Holistic Rating Scale	2	4-5
Writing: Holistic Rating Scale		
• Content	2	4
• Conventions	3	4
Spelling Accuracy	61 %	93 %
DON Word Test (age band)	8.5-8.11	10.6-11.00
DON Spelling Test (grade level)	2.9	3.7
Word Solving:		
* Reading Words (decoding)	20%	48%

These assessments were designed, piloted and normed by J. MacDonald and her colleagues at the English Language Centre in Scarborough, Ontario for exclusive use with the Later Literacy © program.

LATER LITERACY©ASSESSMENTS and RUBRICS

- Holistic Rating Scale for Oral Reading Fluency
- Holistic Rating Scale for Oral Retelling
- Holistic Rating Scale for Writing
- DON Word Test & Scale
- DON Spelling Test & Spelling Scale
- Appellations *
- Analysis of Onsets and Rimes *
- Onset & Rime Segments *
- Root Words & Affixes - Decoding

Deidre L. McConnell, International Reading Association 2003

- Root Words & Affixes - Encoding

** Indicates diagnostic assessment tasks administered at entrance.*

DAILY LESSON FRAMEWORK

Each lesson includes the following 4 inter-related components. Each component is 10 minutes.

- Reading and responding to Familiar Text
- Word Work
- Expository Reading
- Written Expression

Daily lesson plans are an important part of tutorials. They are prepared to guide the lesson and are also used for recording strategies used by the student and strategies prompted by the teacher.

PART ONE: Familiar Narrative text (10 minutes)

- Retelling of independent reading from previous night
- Running record for 1 page - teacher provides clear and specific feedback about observed reading behaviors:

Praises: Reinforcement of 2 effective reading behaviors

Prompts: Prompted correction of miscues (2)

Teaching Points: One thing the teacher observed in the reading that requires explicit instruction

- Teacher poses a deep thinking question

DID YOU KNOW that the student commitment to read 25 minutes per night, at least 5 nights a week, adds up to a **MINIMUM** of 1250 minutes over the ten weeks?

PART TWO: Word Work (10 minutes)

Deidre L. McConnell, International Reading Association 2003

- **1-50:** The areas for instruction in this part of the lesson are taken directly from the diagnostic entrance assessments and from areas of need identified through daily instruction. 1-50 target items may include high frequency sight or spelling words or word parts.
- **Pronounceable Units (Phonograms):** Students work with 3 different word families each session. First they read a row of 'short' rhyming words, then read 'longer' words containing the pronounceable unit.
- **Affixes:** One prefix or suffix is introduced each day.

** Words are referred to as short or long words, this prevents reinforcing failure if students can't read an EASY word.*

*** Shift from 'sounding' out to 'working' out words... many polysyllabic words CAN'T be sounded out.*

PART THREE: Expository Text

- Text used is at the student's *instructional* level and is read orally and in short chunks
- Teacher activates background knowledge, introduces new vocabulary and key concepts as part of pre-reading discussion
- Strategies for reading non-fiction text are introduced, modeled and supported
- After reading teacher provides praises, prompts and a teaching point

Texts can be selected based on student interest or a curriculum connection.

PART FOUR: Writing

Deidre L. McConnell, International Reading Association 2003

- Teacher guides the writing process with 3-5 questions directly related to the expository reading.
- Student orally rehearses response to the question.
- Student writes his response saying each word as they write it.
- Student checks by flipping over pencil then reads the answer out loud while pointing to each word with the pencil.
- Any uncorrected errors are addressed with teacher support - *All errors are corrected.*

CONCLUSIONS

The results of this research showed that an intensive, one-on-one, responsive and explicit literacy intervention can have a significant impact on the literacy learning of a middle school student. Rusty, the student in this case study, showed improvement in all areas of learning. His fluency, decoding, word recognition, comprehension, spelling written expression, spelling and metacognition (in reading and writing) all demonstrated considerable improvements.

The gains were not only measured by entrance and exit scores but also in Rusty's improved confidence and attitude towards learning and positive feedback from his parents and teachers.

*"I have improved in my reading because I have a lot more strategies to use. I can read way harder books now. I just like to read. Last year I was so-so in my reading and this year I just don't want to put a book down."
Rusty, Exit Interview 11/23/00*

RESOURCES

Deidre L. McConnell, International Reading Association 2003

MacDonald, J. (1995). Later Literacy: Teacher's Guidebook. Scarborough, Ontario, Canada.

MacDonald, J. (1995). Later Literacy: Informal Assessment for Later Literacy. Scarborough, Ontario, Canada.

McConnell, D.L., (2001). The Promise of One-On-One Literacy Intervention With a Limited Literacy Middle School Student. University of Calgary.

Morris,D., Ervin,C. & Conrad,K. (1996). A case study of middle school reading disability. The Reading Teacher,49, 368-377.

Deidre McConnell can be reached by
email at
dlimcconnell@cbe.ab.ca



U.S. Department of Education
 Office of Educational Research and Improvement
 (OERI)
 National Library of Education (NLE)
 Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



Reproduction Release

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: <i>Reading Intervention For Struggling Adolescents</i>	
Author(s): <i>DEIDRE L. McCONNELL</i>	
Corporate Source: <i>INTERNATIONAL READING ASSOC.</i>	Publication Date: <i>MAY 2003</i>


II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign in the indicated space following.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents
<p>PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY</p> <p>_____</p> <p>_____</p> <p>TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)</p>	<p>PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY</p> <p>_____</p> <p>_____</p> <p>TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)</p>	<p>PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY</p> <p>_____</p> <p>_____</p> <p>TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)</p>
Level 1	Level 2A	Level 2B
<p>↑</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>↑</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>↑</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/></p>
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g. electronic) and paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only
<p>Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.</p>		

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche, or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Signature: 	Printed Name/Position/Title: Deidre McConnell	
Organization/Address: 59 - 5019 - 46 Ave SW Calgary, Ab. CANADA T3E 6R1	Telephone: 403-245-8264	Fax: N/a
	E-mail Address: dlmcconnelle	Date: Sept. 2/03

cbe.ab.ca

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

http://www.indiana.edu/~eric_rec/www/submit/specform/index.html

