

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 478 892

CE 085 324

AUTHOR Smith, Donald

TITLE Adult Numeracy Teaching--An Australian Focus on Social Contexts.

PUB DATE 2001-00-00

NOTE 7p.; In: Schmitt, M. J. and K. Safford-Ramus (Comps.) (2001). Adults Learning Mathematics-7: A Conversation Between Researchers and Practitioners. Proceedings of ALM-7, the International Conference of Adults Learning Mathematics (7th, Medford, MA, July 6-8, 2000) p. 87-92. The conference was hosted by the National Center for the Study of Adult Learning and Literacy (NCSALL) at Harvard University in conjunction with the Tufts University Department of Education and the NCTM-affiliated Adult Numeracy Network.

AVAILABLE FROM Peppercorn Press, P.O. Box 693, Snow Camp, NC 27349 (Papers not sold individually, for complete volume: ISBN 1-928836-10-0, \$25). Tel: 877-574-1634 (Toll Free).

PUB TYPE Opinion Papers (120) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE EDRS Price MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Academic Achievement; Achievement Tests; Adult Basic Education; Basic Skills; Concept Teaching; *Context Effect; Educational Needs; *Educational Objectives; Foreign Countries; High School Equivalency Programs; Information Skills; Information Utilization; *Mathematics Instruction; Mathematics Skills; *Numeracy; Outcomes of Education; Portfolio Assessment; *Social Environment; Student Evaluation; Thinking Skills

IDENTIFIERS Australia; Certificates of General Educ Adults (Australia); *Contextualized Instruction; General Educational Development Tests; *Practical Knowledge

ABSTRACT

In the context of math education, students should achieve transferable understanding and skills that allow students to use math flexibly (such as understanding a percentage in a newspaper article), functional performance (such as being able to take medicine or use public transportation), and social knowledge (concepts necessary to interpret and make sense of the world). Social knowledge is based on mathematical concepts and important to know, regardless of whether the math is understood. Recognizing the importance of social knowledge has consequences for numeracy frameworks, teaching practices and student assessment. Measuring the learner's achievement can be done by testing or by performance of holistic tasks often presented in a portfolio of student work. Both the General Educational Development (GED) certificate in the United States and the Australian Certificate of General Education for Adults (CGEA) are used as measures of knowledge, but the GED and other certifications can distort good numeracy instruction. In numeracy education, the teacher has a responsibility to pass on the most significant mathematical social understanding of the world through real world examples. (Contains a chart, an example mathematical exercise, 3 teaching web sites and 13 references.) (SLR)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made
from the original document.

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS
BEEN GRANTED BY

D. Smith

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

Adult Numeracy Teaching – An Australian Focus on Social Contexts

Donald Smith

Victoria University of Technology (VUT), Australia

Abstract

There are three interconnected aspects to this presentation:

- *Teaching numeracy in social context is well embedded within both Australian numeracy frameworks and teaching practices. Some of the guiding documents and their origins are referred to. The purposes of accreditation are questioned. Testing (regardless of which calculator you use) is compared unfavorably with ongoing assessment integrated into students' learning activities.*
- *A schema is proposed of what we desire students to achieve. In addition to functional performance (such as catching public transport, banking, household measuring) and transferable understanding and skills, an additional category of social knowledge or understanding is described.*

It is questioned whether there is particular socially important knowledge, based on understanding of mathematically encoded information, which numeracy teachers ought to teach explicitly. Examples will be given such as, in the U.S. context, the importance of teachers supplying numerical facts about the correlation between gun availability and gun death.

- *Various teaching activities are offered to contribute to the direct practical usefulness of the Conference. They feature the Olympics, fitness, kitchen design, gambling, measurement sampling using alternative units (but there is only space for one here), and some Australian Internet sites are indicated.*

This presentation introduces approaches to numeracy teaching in Australia, particularly emphasising the social contexts of what is learnt, and indicating my own position on some pertinent issues.

Contents

This presentation refers to:

- A schema of what numeracy teaching involves.
- A common statement of numeracy knowledge domains.
- The Australian attempt to portray numeracy in genres similar to those used for literacy.
- Some reflection on assessment, accreditation, and course outcomes.
- Particular socially important knowledge based on understanding of mathematically encoded information which numeracy teachers ought to teach explicitly (which is the key point).
- Professional development and teaching materials created by the leading group of Australian numeracy developers.

What Should Students Achieve?

To know how we ought to teach we need to answer the question "What should students achieve?" Plato thought it was *arete* (virtues/excellence), then wondered what constituted *arete*. We have more limited aims here.

A number of precepts will be taken for granted; the gamut of adult learning principles which render the whole enterprise learner-focused. Within that, my schema of numeracy achievement, and hence numeracy teaching, involves:

- Transferable understanding & skills.
- Functional performance.
- Social knowledge.

The idea of **transferable understanding & skills** is well understood. As the U.S. National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (NCTM, 2000, p. 5) puts it in their Principles and Standards: “When students understand Mathematics, they are able to use their knowledge flexibly.” In a social context an example would be for a student to understand the meaning of a percentage when they come across it in a newspaper article.

Functional performance is similarly familiar to the adult numeracy field. Relevant social examples of functional performance include being able to take medicines, follow recipes, check change when shopping, catch public transport, and use automatic banking machines.

These first two categories have been well discussed, most recently by Ciancone and Tout at ALM7, but the third, **social knowledge**, though widespread in the practice of low-level numeracy teachers, has not been articulated, recognised, and developed as it could be. This formal overlooking hitherto has consequences for the governing frameworks describing what we want students to *know* in addition to what they are able to *do*.

Social Knowledge

This category of knowledge is important information, which numerically skilled people, such as numeracy teachers, know about the society and world they live in. It includes the good advice teachers typically give their students. A state of partial understanding, of knowing without totally knowing, is exemplified when we may understand some of the precepts and consequences of theories of relativity or quantum mechanics without ever having followed the mathematics. Many professionals who regularly apply statistical tests in their line of work do so without ever having comprehended the mathematical derivation of those tests. There is much about the world, both natural and social, which is based on mathematical understandings, and important to know regardless of whether the mathematics is itself understood. Numeracy teachers regularly teach their students such facts, and it is worth recognising this as part of the adult numeracy curriculum.

Before further developing this idea, we will examine briefly other conceptions of numeracy teaching, quickly surveying the development and strength of the “social” in numeracy teaching in Australia, and some issues in reporting student achievement.

As an example of how well established the “social” approach is in Australia, these quotes are taken from an end-of-course report by a teacher about her class:

These skills are required to interpret and make sense of the world, and so should be taught not in isolation, but as part of other contexts and applications.

Mathematical literacy is essential for full participation in Australian society. Adults need to be able to interpret graphs, tables and leaflets. The ability to talk about and describe different shapes, to follow and give directions and to measure are everyday skills which require maths to be seen as part of our language and culture. (CARWP, 1994)

In Australia socially-contextualised numeracy teaching practices have been developed through the numeracy stream of the Certificate of General Education for Adults (CGEA). The first version of the CGEA divided mathematics into five strands: number, space and shape, data, measurement, and algebra. Such arrays of mathematics topics are standard worldwide. We find similar categorisations used in Canada (Ciancone, 2000) and in school mathematics in the U.S. (NCTM, 2000). Such lists of topics are useful for checking that your teaching is covering a breadth of mathematics fields. In Australia a rather different schema is now being tried.

Five ways to make meaning in mathematics were put forward by Betty Johnson (1994, p. 32, quoted in Tout, 1999). These are through:

- **ritual**, where meaning is acquired by rote learning of atomised content;
- **conceptual engagement**, where mathematical meaning is constructed through problem-solving, process, and cognitive dissonance;
- **use**, where meaning is developed through use in everyday contexts;
- **historical and cultural understanding**, where meaning is enhanced by an understanding of the genesis and cultural use of specific mathematics; and
- **critical engagement**, where meaning is generated by asking “in whose interest?” and also questions about the appropriateness and limits of the maths model in the real situation.

Adult literacy teaching in Australia has been strongly influenced by systemic functional linguistics and the genre theorists. A schema for the practical teaching of reading and writing has been provided in the work of Rob McCormack et al. (Bradshaw, 1993, p. 137). They used genres of literacy as procedure, as public debate, as self-expression, and as knowledge to describe the literate repertoire. Stressing the purposes of everyday mathematics Beth Marr et al. have tried to mirror this categorisation in numeracy, yielding Numeracy for Practical Purposes, for Interpreting Society, for Personal Organisation, and for Knowledge.

- **Practical Purposes** addresses aspects of the physical world to do with designing, making, and measuring.
- **Interpreting Society** relates to interpreting and reflecting on numerical and graphical information of relevance to self, work, or community.
- **Personal Organisation** focuses on the numeracy requirements for personal organizational matters involving money, time, and travel.
- **Knowledge** deals with mathematical skills needed for further study in mathematics, or other subjects with mathematical underpinnings and/or assumptions. There are learning outcomes to do with problem solving, and algebraic and graphical techniques.

This was a bold vision. In hindsight it seems neither entirely successful, nor necessary. The rubric associated with description of performance becomes overly specific, and potentially bureaucratic in its application to teaching and assessment. In practice, these genres simply do not fit as well in numeracy as they do for describing writing.

Measuring Learner Achievement Testing? Or Performance of Holistic Tasks?

In Australia, and increasingly in some other countries (Ireland, for instance), assessment is based on a portfolio of student work in which the student evidences competence with the numeracy in question. The new NCTM Principles and Standards for School Mathematics (2000, p. 5) hold that “Assessment should be an integral part of instruction that guides teachers and enhances student learning. Teachers should be continually gathering information about their students.”

What distortion does the GED test in the U.S. bring to good numeracy teaching?

Indeed, assessment is an integral part of teaching processes (though not of learning processes). But the rationale of assessment for certification ought to be carefully considered. What is the accreditation for? The learner, the teacher, the teaching institution, third parties? Who actually uses the Certificate? What difference does the Certificate actually make? The answers differ markedly from one country to another.

In the U.S. a high school certificate or its equivalent, the General Educational Development Certificate (GED), plays a large role in adult access to further study, acting as a hurdle to be overcome. Lacking one has consequences. Yet most research shows negligible economic consequences of GED success (NCSALL Research Briefs).

In Australia, with the notable exception of entry to the armed forces, the CGEA is almost entirely without consequence, except psychologically to the learner, and to the bean-counters in funding bodies who want to be assured that courses achieve outcomes. Adults (over 23) can usually gain access to further study without specifically obtaining high school equivalence.

The CGEA was created to give strategic legitimacy to an education field in danger of being overlooked, because it was not part of the school and vocational mainstream.

The U.S. National Center for the Study of Adult Learning and Literacy (NCSALL) has begun good work identifying what it is that students gain from our courses. Bingman (2000, p. 14) informs us that learners reported

changes in their lives that were varied, contextual, and inter-related. Measuring changes in educational levels with standardized tests will not give programs and policy makers information about these outcomes. The tests are neither broad enough nor sensitive enough to capture the changes that matter to learners or to measure the performance of programs in supporting these changes.

Bingman et al. (1999, p. 1) had previously pointed out that “the other positive changes occurring in students’ lives, especially those outside the classroom, generally are not assessed, perhaps because they are difficult to track and to measure.”

Whom do we teach? Mostly legally competent adults, who themselves are the individuals best placed to decide whether a course is beneficial to them. Anecdotal reporting by learners can be a valid measure of course success.

Social Knowledge: Actual “Mathematical” Information about the Student’s World

The excellent new adult numeracy TV series being produced in New York, TV411, has a segment in which rent-to-buy (hire-purchase) is shown to be much more expensive than straight-out purchase. This is an example of the sort of knowledge we are advocating be taught directly to our students **whether or not they understand the mathematics behind it**. Numeracy teachers are in a position to provide introductions to more complex mathematical ideas of particular relevance, without teaching the mathematics in full.

The teacher has a responsibility to pass on the most significant **mathematical** social (and natural) understandings of the world that they have. Examples of this are:

- If you gamble in a net loss game, it is increasingly likely over time that you will lose, and lose more (see teaching example below).
- In Australia, each withdrawal from a cheque account is taxed. So if you use a cheque account as your every day account, say, making frequent small withdrawals from automated teller machines, you pay a lot of tax.

In the U.S. context appropriate social facts to teach may be that

- The rate of gun death in the U.S. is four times that of Australia, and more than 20 times that of Britain. The table below illustrates this, but a bar graph would be much more effective, though setting appropriate scales would be difficult for students, with the U.S. disappearing off the page and Japan hardly visible (CSGV, 2000).
- With new gun restrictions in Victoria, Australia, domestic gun murders of women fell (Halloran, 1993).

Such numerical facts do not replace debates about freedom and gun availability, but they do inform them significantly.

DEATHS DUE TO FIREARMS
(rates are per 100,000 people)

	Total Firearm Deaths		Firearm Homicides		Suicides		Fatal Accidents	
	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number
United States (1995)	13.7	35,957	6.0	15,835	7.0	18,503	0.5	1,225
United Kingdom (1994)	0.57	277	0.13	72	0.33	193	0.02	12
Australia (1994)	3.05	536	0.56	96	2.38	420	0.11	20
Canada (1994)	4.08	1,189	0.6	176	3.35	975	0.13	38
Germany (1995)	1.47	1,197	0.21	168	1.23	1,004	0.03	25
Japan (1995)	0.07	93	0.03	34	0.04	49	0.01	10

**A Class Activity with Sampling/Statistical Content:
Principles of Gambling, or the Immorality of Unfair Games**

All commercial gambling activities (that are not completely fraudulent) run on a basis similar to this game.

Take **three** coins. Bet one dollar. You toss the coins.

If you get two heads exactly (tails if you prefer), I'll give you back two dollars, i.e., you would win a dollar.

Do you want to play?

How about this one then? Take **two** coins. Bet one dollar. Throw two heads, and I'll give you back 3 dollars! Roll up! Roll up!

Now we play until most of you are convinced that you are losers at this game, and in (almost) any case we can see that there are more people who have lost more money than a lucky winner may have won.

This may be thought to be a scheme to supplement casual teachers' paltry wages, but the main aim is to have you understand how gambling games work. They are set up as net loss games for the players.

Using a decision tree we can construct a plan of all possibilities and then compare the numbers of winning outcomes with losing outcomes to work out how the payout differs from what would be paid out if these were fair games.

Can you construct a simple game (simple enough for us to later demonstrate the mathematics of it) that looks attractive to players on first glance, but fleeces them nevertheless?

Australian Resources

In Australia the triumvirate of Beth Marr, Betty Johnson, and Dave Tout have played towering roles in the creation of the field of adult numeracy teaching. Their teaching resources and professional development materials are highly regarded for their specific application in teaching adults.

Finally, some numeracy teaching sites which may be beneficial:

<http://www.staff.vu.edu.au/mcaonline>

This site, created by Syed Javed and colleagues at VUT, provides interactive assistance with mathematics learning at a wide range of levels, but lacks the social contextualisation distinctive of the Australian contribution in this field.

<http://sunsite.anu.edu.au/language-australia/numeracy>

This site is managed by Dave Tout. It includes a bank of numeracy lessons written by teachers from around Australia.

<http://www.vicnet.net.au/~acenet/newspaper.html>

This site is managed by Dale Pobega. It has a range of CGEA online numeracy courses.

References

- Bingman, M. B. (2000). *Outcomes of participation in adult basic education: The importance of learners' perspectives*. Cambridge, MA: National Center for the Study of Adult Learning and Literacy.
- Bingman, M. B., Ebert, O., & Smith, M. (1999). *Changes in learners' lives one year after enrollment in literacy programs*. Cambridge, MA: National Center for the Study of Adult Learning and Literacy.
- Bradshaw, D. (1993). Curriculum model for reading and writing. *Certificates in General Education for Adults*. Melbourne: Adult, Community and Further Education Board (ACFEB).
- Carlton Adult Reading & Writing Program (CARWP). (1994). Unpublished course reports.
- Ciancone, T. (2000). Learning outcomes: Skills or function. *ALM Newsletter*, 9.
- Ciancone, T., & Tout, D. (2001). Learning outcomes: Skills or function. In *ALM7 Conference Proceedings*. Cambridge, MA: National Center for the Study of Adult Learning and Literacy.
- Coalition to Stop Gun Violence (CSGV). (2000, January). Available: <http://www.gunfree.org/csgv/intchart.htm>
- Halloran, P. (1993). The changing face of homicide. In H. Strang (Ed.), *Homicide: Patterns, prevention and control*. Canberra: Australian Institute of Criminology.
- Johnson, B. (1994). Critical numeracy. *Fine Print*, 16(4). Melbourne: VALBEC.
- Marr, B., et al. (1996). Numeracy and mathematics stream. *Certificates in General Education for Adults*. Melbourne: ACFEB.
- National Council of Teachers of Mathematics. (2000). *Principles and Standards for School Mathematics*. Reston, VA: NCTM.
- Plato. *Meno*.
- Tout, D. (1999). *What is numeracy?* Unpublished conference paper.



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: <i>Adult Numeracy Teaching - an Australian focus on social contexts</i>	
Author(s): <i>Donald Smith</i>	
Corporate Source: <i>ADULTS LEARNING MATHEMATICS</i>	Publication Date: <i>2001 2.9.00</i>

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2A

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2B

Level 1



Level 2A



Level 2B



Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.

Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only

Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits.
If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Sign here, →
please

Signature: <i>Donald Smith</i>		Printed Name/Position/Title: <i>Donald Smith - / -</i>	
Organization/Address: <i>10 Cornwall Rd. Sunshine, Australia 3020</i>		Telephone:	FAX:
E-Mail Address: <i>Donald.Smith@vu.edu.au</i>		Date:	<i>2/9/00</i>



, au

(over)

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:

Address:

Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:

Address:

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility

4483-A Forbes Boulevard
Lanham, Maryland 20706

Telephone: 301-552-4200

Toll Free: 800-799-3742

FAX: 301-552-4700

e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov

WWW: <http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com>