

ED478408 2003-07-00 Indicators of Teacher Quality. ERIC Digest.

ERIC Development Team

www.eric.ed.gov

Table of Contents

If you're viewing this document online, you can click any of the topics below to link directly to that section.

Indicators of Teacher Quality. ERIC Digest.....	1
TEACHER DEGREE LEVELS.....	2
TEACHER PREPARATION: PEDAGOGICAL VERSUS CONTENT KNOWLEDGE.....	2
TEACHER LICENSURE.....	3
TEACHER YEARS OF EXPERIENCE.....	3
TEACHERS' ACADEMIC PROFICIENCY.....	4
REFERENCES.....	4



ERIC Identifier: ED478408

Publication Date: 2003-07-00

Author: Goldhaber, Dan - Anthony, Emily

Source: ERIC Clearinghouse on Urban Education New York NY.

Indicators of Teacher Quality. ERIC Digest.

THIS DIGEST WAS CREATED BY ERIC, THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER. FOR MORE INFORMATION ABOUT ERIC, CONTACT ACCESS ERIC 1-800-LET-ERIC

The No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states employ only "highly qualified" teachers by the end of the 2005-2006 school year, and, indeed, research has demonstrated teacher quality is the most important educational factor predicting student achievement (Ferguson, 1998; Hanushek, Kain, & Rivkin, 1999). Although studies have

produced contradictory findings about which attributes of teachers are most likely to translate into effective classroom performance, some information on how specific teacher attributes correlate with teacher quality is available, and it can help guide administrators' hiring decisions. This digest briefly reviews this knowledge.

TEACHER DEGREE LEVELS

The research on the value of a teacher's advanced degree is mixed: some studies show that while additional teacher education has a positive correlation with student achievement in some cases, others find that it negatively affects achievement (Greenwald, Hedges, & Laine, 1996; Hanushek, 1986). Goldhaber and Brewer (1997) found that a teacher's advanced degree is not generally associated with increased student learning from the eighth to the tenth grade, but having an advanced degree in math and science for math and science teachers appears to influence students' achievement. The same results were not found to be true for teachers of English or history.

Goldhaber and Brewer (1997) suggest that the findings of other studies about the impact on student achievement of teachers' advanced degrees are inconclusive because they considered only the level of the degree and not the subject of the degree, which may affect student achievement in different ways than the degree level.

Nevertheless, results from all the studies seem to imply that there is not a positive correlation between teachers having advanced degrees in subjects other than those they teach and student achievement.

TEACHER PREPARATION: PEDAGOGICAL VERSUS CONTENT KNOWLEDGE

Here, too, there is no strong consensus about the value of pedagogical preparation for teachers, the teaching of how to teach. In addition, because the quality and content of teacher training programs vary greatly, the impact is not always clear (Wilson, Floden, & Ferrini-Mundy, 2001). Some teacher education courses focus on content specific teaching methods (for certain school or student types), while others teach subject specific teaching methods. Few studies directly link how the type of education courses taken by teachers affects student achievement. Discussions about pedagogical preparation focus instead on secondary measures like the relationship between student achievement and teachers' scores on standardized tests measuring pedagogical knowledge, and the relationship between student achievement and teacher certification status, considered an indication that the teacher completed some kind of pedagogical training.

Because content knowledge is also not clearly defined or measurable in all content areas, studies often rely on an individual's undergraduate coursework as proxies for content preparation. Coursework, however, varies across institutions as does an individual's mastery of content. Whereas Goldhaber and Brewer (1997) found that

students who had teachers with subject-related advanced degrees in math and science performed better than students of teachers without subject training, Monk and King-Rice (1994) found that even in subjects where subject-specific training may make a difference (e.g., math), its impact depends on the context of the classes taught: the number of college math courses taken by teachers had an impact on high school students' math achievement, but additional teacher coursework beyond that only mattered if the teacher was teaching an advanced course.

Given that additional studies had similar findings, it can be concluded that teachers with advanced degrees in specific subjects can have an impact on student learning in those subjects in certain settings. There is too little research available to conclude whether non-subject-specific degrees are correlated with student outcomes.

TEACHER LICENSURE

Traditionally, state teacher licensure has helped ensure at least a minimal standard of teaching competence. Licensing typically requires that prospective teachers complete a standard set of college level courses in pedagogy or in the subject they wish to teach, and that they pass one or more standardized tests. Because of a tighter teacher labor market, many states now permit schools to employ non-traditionally-licensed teachers. Some believe that such teachers are not prepared to teach, while others feel that alternative licensing may attract better candidates to teaching.

A recent study (Goldhaber & Brewer, 2000) comparing achievement levels of high school students taught by teachers with different types of licensure found that students taught by fully-licensed teachers tended to have higher levels of performance in math and science on average. When measuring achievement growth, though, there were few differences in achievement between students with teachers who held standard state certification and those with emergency certification in subjects. Their findings illustrate the importance of measuring student achievement gains instead of levels.

A review of about 150 studies on teacher certification by the Abell Foundation (Walsh, 2001) concluded that they did not show that certified teachers are more effective than uncertified teachers, touching off a heated debate about both the Foundation's findings and the quality of the studies reviewed (see, for example, the rejoinder by Darling-Hammond, 2001). Additional studies have also found that students of alternatively certified teachers do at least as well as students whose teachers are fully state-certified (e.g., Miller, McKenna, & McKenna, 1996), while others found that that fully licensed teachers are more effective (e.g., Hawk, Coble, & Swanson, 1985).

Thus, we believe that there is not a strong enough research base from which to draw definitive conclusions about the value of state regulation of the teacher labor market.

TEACHER YEARS OF EXPERIENCE

There is a wide range of findings on the relationship between years of teaching experience and student outcomes. Hanushek (1986) found that fewer than half of the 109 previous studies on the estimated effects of teacher experience showed that experience had any statistically significant effect on student achievement; of those, 33 studies found that additional years of experience had a significant positive effect, but seven found that more experience actually had a negative impact on student achievement. Other studies show a stronger positive relationship between teacher experience and student outcomes in some, but not all, cases they reviewed (e.g., Greenwald et al., 1996). Murnane (1995) suggests that the typical teaching learning curve peaks in a teacher's first few years (estimated at year two for reading and year three for math).

It is also plausible that a positive finding on experience actually results from the tendency of more senior teachers to select higher-level classes with higher achieving students (Hanushek, 1986). Thus we might reasonably infer that the magnitude of the experience effect, should it exist, is not terribly large.

TEACHERS' ACADEMIC PROFICIENCY

Researchers have also considered the relationship between student outcomes and teachers' general academic proficiency. Measures such as performance on tests of verbal ability, teacher licensure, or college entrance exams, and the selectivity of the undergraduate institutions attended by teachers, are used as reflections of intelligence and motivation. The research predicting student achievement that includes measures of teacher academic proficiency is not plentiful, but it consistently shows a positive relationship between the two (e.g., Strauss & Vogt, 2001). However, the studies were all conducted at the school or school district level, as opposed to teacher or student level, casting some doubt on them. Measurement issues and issues of causality leave unanswered the question of whether higher-scoring teachers lead to higher-scoring students or whether affluent districts, which tend to have higher achieving students, hire teachers with higher scores.

A few studies conducted at the individual student level found that teachers who attended more selective undergraduate colleges are more effective (Ehrenberg & Brewer, 1994; Summers & Wolfe, 1975). Greenwald et al. (1996) found a total of only nine studies that analyzed the effects of teacher academic proficiency on student achievement, but positive relationships between teachers' academic proficiency and student achievement were found in the overwhelming majority of them. Thus, taken as a whole, the above literature suggests that measures of teacher academic proficiency represent one of the best predictors of teacher quality.

REFERENCES

Darling-Hammond, L. (2001). The research and rhetoric on teacher certification: A response to "Teacher certification reconsidered." Unpublished paper, Stanford University, Palo Alto, CA.

Ehrenberg, R., & Brewer, D. (1994, March). Do school and teacher characteristics matter? Evidence from "High School and Beyond." *Economics of Education Review*, 13(1), 1-17. (EJ 483 386)

Ferguson, R. (1998). Can schools narrow the Black-White test score gap? In C. Jencks & M. Phillips (Eds.), *The Black-White test score gap* (pp. 318-374). Washington, DC: The Brookings Institution. (ED 423 765)

Goldhaber, D., & Brewer, D. (1997). Evaluating the effect of teacher degree level on educational performance. In W. Fowler (Ed.), *Developments in school finance, 1996* (pp. 197-210). Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics. (ED 409 634)

Goldhaber, D., & Brewer, D. (2000, Summer). Does teacher certification matter? High school teacher certification status and student achievement. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 22(2), 129-145. (EJ 615 883)

Greenwald, R., Hedges, L., & Laine, R. (1996, Fall). The effect of school resources on student achievement. *Review of Educational Research*, 66(3), 361-396. (EJ 596 389)

Hanushek, E. (1986, September). The economics of schooling: Production and efficiency in public schools. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 24(3), 1141-78.

Hanushek, E., Kain, J., & Rivkin, S. (1999). Do higher salaries buy better teachers? Working Paper No. 7082. Cambridge: National Bureau of Economic Research.

Hawk, P., Coble, C., & Swanson, M. (1985, May-June). Certification: It does matter. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 36(3), 13-15. (EJ 320 453)

Miller J., McKenna, B., & McKenna, M. (1996). A comparison of alternatively and traditionally prepared teachers. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 49(3), 165-176. (EJ 572 744)

Monk, D., & King-Rice, J. (1994). Multi-level teacher resource effects on pupil performance in secondary mathematics and science: The role of teacher subject matter preparation. In R. Ehrenberg (Ed.), *Choices and consequences: Contemporary policy issues in education* (pp. 29-58). Ithaca, NY: ILR Press. (ED 377 756)

Murnane, R., Willett, J., & Levy, F. (1995, May). The growing importance of cognitive skills in wage determination. *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 77(2), 251-66.

Strauss, R., & Vogt, W. (2001, March). It's what you know, not how you learned to teach it: Evidence from a study of the effects of knowledge and pedagogy on student achievement. Paper presented at the annual meeting of American Educational Finance Association, Cincinnati.

Summers, A. & Wolfe, B.L. (1975). Which school resources help learning? Efficiency and equity in Philadelphia public schools. Business Review. Philadelphia, PA: Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia, Department of Research. (ED 102 716)

Walsh, K. (2001). Teacher certification reconsidered: Stumbling for quality. Baltimore, MD: Abell Foundation. (ED 460 100)

Wilson, S., Floden, R., & Ferrini-Mundy, J. (2001). Teacher preparation research: Current knowledge, gaps, and recommendations. A research report prepared for the U.S. Department of Education. University of Washington, Center for the Study of Teaching and Policy, Seattle.

This Digest summarizes a portion of an ERIC Clearinghouse on Urban Education monograph, Teacher Quality and Student Achievement. Paper copies are available from the Clearinghouse for \$5.00 each. It is also available for no charge in full text on the Clearinghouse's web site.

This Digest was developed by the ERIC Clearinghouse on Urban Education, with funding from the Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education, under contract no ED-99-CO-0035. The opinions in this Digest do not necessarily reflect the position or policies of IES or the Department of Education.

Title: Indicators of Teacher Quality. ERIC Digest.

Note: Digest Number 184. For the monograph upon which this Digest is based, see UD 035 698.

Document Type: Information Analyses---ERIC Information Analysis Products (IAPs) (071); Information Analyses---ERIC Digests (Selected) in Full Text (073);

Available From: ERIC Clearinghouse on Urban Education, Institute for Urban and Minority Education, Box 40, Teachers College, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027. Tel: 212-678-3433 or 800-601-4848 (Toll-Free); Fax: 212-678-4012; e-mail: eric-cue@columbia.edu; Web site: <http://www.eric-web.tc.columbia.edu>.

Descriptors: Academic Achievement, Educational Quality, Elementary Secondary Education, Higher Education, Knowledge Base for Teaching, Pedagogical Content Knowledge, Preservice Teacher Education, Teacher Certification, Teacher Competencies, Teacher Effectiveness, Teaching Experience, Teaching Skills

Identifiers: ERIC Digests, Out of Field Teacher Assignment

###



[\[Return to ERIC Digest Search Page\]](#)