DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 476 925 TM 034 976

AUTHOR Castano, Marianne S.

TITLE Researcher's Voice in Web-Based Course Management Systems.

SPONS AGENCY National Science Foundation, Arlington, VA.

PUB DATE 2003-04-00

NOTE 13p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association (Chicago, IL, April 22-24, 2003).

PUB TYPE Reports - Descriptive (141) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE EDRS Price MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *Data Collection; *Database Management Systems; Mathematics Teachers; Middle School Teachers; *Online Systems; *Research Methodology; *Researchers

ABSTRACT

This paper describes the experiences of researchers conducting research using an online course for the professional development of middle school mathematics and science teachers. The paper also offers recommendations to developers of course management systems (CMS). The online course focused on strategies that promoted gender equitable pedagogy and practice to classroom instruction. The paper suggests that if a researcher, or someone knowledgeable about what researchers require and do with data, referred to in this paper as "researcher's voice," is made an integral part of the creative team of a CMS, researchers would be more likely to trust the accuracy of the data yielded by a CMS and rely on the stability of a system to collect and present data in its original format. The paper highlights three experiences that speak to this need. The first one deals with loss of data, the loss of presurvey data collected through an online survey but not stored, for unknown reasons, in the system's database. The second concerns the accuracy of course statistics offered by the system, and the third discusses the importance of keeping the original formatting and text of threaded messages where the data is exported to an external site. (SLD)

RESEARCHER'S VOICE IN WEB-BASED COURSE MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS

Written by

Marianne S. Castano, Ed.D.
Research and Development Associate
GEMS (Gender Equity in Mathematics and Science)
A Project of the Education Development Center, Inc.
Funded by the National Science Foundation (NSF)

AERA 2003 Annual Meeting
Chicago, IL

Session 36.053: Effective Online Learning and Reflective Practice: Integrating Gender Equity into Teacher Professional Development

The opinions expressed herein are those of the author's and do not necessarily reflect the opinion of NSF. The author can be reached via email at drmarcastano@yahoo.com or call 781-367-7080.

Abstract

The use of Web-based course management systems (CMS) for the design and delivery of online courses is increasing. While these kinds of online courses provide course statistics, teacher grade books, and other tools and features that yield data that can be used for research and evaluation purposes, these data are not necessarily accurate or reliable. At the same time, the system for collecting and storing these data may not be stable, leading to loss of data. Further, discussion board threads, when exported to another site, do not always follow the order of the threaded messages and include junk-text (including meta-tags); thus, requiring a great deal of cleaning up and reformatting of the text prior to conducting any kind of analysis.

There are various kinds of voices that are integral to the development and implementation of a course management system. These voices include those of a programmer, art director, and others. What seems to be missing is the researcher's voice, that is, someone knowledgeable about what researchers require and do with data. I posit that when the creative team of the CMS also includes a researcher's voice, there is better likelihood that the data generated by this system are accurate, reliable, and reflect the original format when the data was first presented, as well as the system for collecting and storing data is made more stable.

This paper highlights our experiences when we conducted research using an online course for the professional development of middle school math and science teachers. It also offers recommendations to CMS developers. The online course focused on strategies that promoted gender equitable pedagogy and practice to classroom instruction.

Introduction

The use of the World Wide Web as an educational platform is increasing. We see a plethora of online courses from various academic institutions, many of which are being offered towards either an undergraduate or graduate degree. We also see online courses geared for professional development of teachers and employees, some offering professional development points or college credit.

These online courses are usually delivered through course management systems (CMS) such as WebCT and Blackboard. Most CMS include tools and features for instructors and participants to use. For instance, there are templates for instructors to upload their course syllabus and readings, as well as post announcements. There are other templates that instructors and participants can avail of to design their individual homepages. Moreover, there is a discussion board where instructors and participants can post their messages regarding the issues raised in the readings and other relevant topics, as well as for participants to post their projects. There is also email capability for public as well as private correspondence. Further, with such course management systems, instructors are able to design and implement surveys, as well as collect the data from these surveys. In addition, instructors are provided with a grade book they can use to record and evaluate student progress.

While these course management systems include research and evaluation[1] instruments such as surveys, these programs are not necessarily yielding accurate data and the system for collecting the data is not always stable. At the same time, when data, such as the messages in the discussion board, are exported onto an external database, the

[1] The term "research" will be used in this paper to include research and evaluation; and "researcher" to include researcher and evaluator.

formatting of the threaded messages is usually lost and the imported data contain junk-text (such as meta tags) which necessitates cleaning up the data before any coding and eventual analysis could be done. This was our experience while doing research on an online course on gender equity geared for the professional development of middle school math and science teachers. This paper discusses these experiences and the lessons we learned, underscoring the need for a researcher's voice within the creative team of the course management system, and offers suggestions to CMS developers, who, as a team, have collective and individual input to the final design of these systems.

Researcher's Voice

Computer-based software applications are usually created by a team composed of designers and programmers (Bowers, 1998; Matthis, 1997, 1999; Provenzo, 1996). This creative team has individual and collective voices such as those of user interface designers, instructional designers, and programmers. Matthis (1999) referred to voice as the "expression of thoughts, feelings, desires, opinions, will, personality, philosophy, values and choices, spoken or unspoken, of the software designer(s) or programmer(s), in the software program" (p. 3). Similarly, Web-based course management systems are also developed by a creative team composed of several voices.

I posit that, if a researcher, or someone knowledgeable about what researchers require and do with data—which I refer to as researcher's voice—is made an integral part of the creative team of a CMS, researchers would be more likely to trust the accuracy of the data yielded by a CMS and rely on the stability of the system to collect and present the data in its original format. Researchers would be more willing to conduct a study that

is based on quality data from online courses. Thus, if the researcher's voice was also present and serious attention paid to it, the CMS could be made to ensure that only accurate and reliable data are available to both the instructor and anyone interested in doing research with the data. Researchers rely on these kinds of data and need to feel confident about the results of their analyses from such data.

The need for this researcher's voice was made apparent in the course of our research. As a brief background, our research investigated how participants (mostly middle school teachers of math and science from around the U.S. and other parts of the world) of an online course on gender equity learned about gender equity and whether they have integrated equitable pedagogy and practice into their classroom instruction as a result of taking the course. Our sample included participants who agreed to be part of our research and who participated in one of the four offerings of the online course given by our partner-organizations: the Women's Educational Equity Act Resource Center at Education Development Center, Inc., TERC, and the Eisenhower National Clearinghouse. Our research, called "Gender Equity in Math and Science" (GEMS), was funded by the National Science Foundation.

Our Experience

Before I start a discussion of our experiences with the Web-based CMS, I want to underscore that this paper is not meant to lambaste the CMS used for this online course[2]. Rather, I would like to use our experience to demonstrate the need for CMS developers to

[2] Based on our conversations with other users of various kinds of course management systems, our narratives and the lessons we have learned are fairly similar to their own experiences. Thus, we are not mentioning the CMS used for the online course as we would rather focus on the issues being raised in this paper instead of on a particular CMS.

pay more attention and interest in ensuring that a researcher's voice becomes a major part of their creative enterprise.

I highlight three experiences that speak to this need. The first one deals with loss of data and thus opens up the question of stability of the system. The second focuses on the accuracy of course statistics offered by the system. The third discusses the importance of keeping the original formatting and text of threaded messages when the data is exported to an external site.

When we began our research project, we used the survey template of the CMS to design a pre- and post-survey. We asked the participants of our first offering of the online course to complete the pre-survey prior to actively engaging with the online course. Almost all of the participants submitted their responses, and our expectation was that the data were going to be deposited to a database that we could then access within the course management system. By the time we accessed the database, we could not find the survey responses. I telephoned the technical assistance/help desk of the CMS developer and was told that they would look into our problem. The technical assistant (TA) informed me at that time that "that should not have happened." I was optimistic that they would come back to me with the data intact. However, this was not the case. While the TA apologized profusely for losing our data, there was nothing else he and other staff members could do to retrieve the data.

Thus, we lost all of our pre-survey responses. We could not ask our participants to complete our pre-survey again since, by the time we found out about the lost data, they had already started taking the online course. Because of this experience, we decided to hire an outside consultant to design a Web-based survey template and database for us and

who made sure that we could access the database with no time restrictions. I told the consultant that we needed a very stable system for our research and shared our experience with him. Hiring such a consultant was not anticipated and therefore affected our project budget. From then on, all of our participants, including those who were part of our three other offerings, used our consultant's website to view and answer the other surveys that were part of our research. In addition, loss of data affected the design of our research. At the start of our research, we were keen to analyze pre- and post-survey conditions of the participants and make the results of that analysis an integral part of our study. Now, we had to rethink our research design, and one of the changes to our methodology was the use of case studies. Thus, we had to narrow our official sample from many participants to a selected few.

While ensuring the stability of the system is crucial for any CMS, the availability of accurate data is equally important. The CMS for the online course offered course statistics that reflected the number of times participants entered an area within the course (e.g., readings, discussion boards), at what time of day and day of the week they accessed these areas, and the totals for each participant. While this feature is useful, it does not necessarily convey precisely what each participant did. Some specific examples are of value here.

There were three main areas within the course itself, namely, communication, main content, and student. Each area had several features and tools. The communication area, for instance, included the discussion boards and email system that instructors and participants could use. The main content area had course readings, case studies, and web

links to additional resources. The ability to design individual web pages and similar student tools were available in the student area.

When we collected all the statistics for the first two sessions of the first offering of the course, we noticed that there were numbers that did not seem to make sense. On one occasion, a participant had a total of 156 hits in a single day, another individual had 140, and two others had 0 and 11. We then started to ask ourselves where those numbers came from. Could it be from the number of clicks a participant had when s/he was in a certain area? If so, what does that tell us about what the participant did? Unless the individual with 156 hits for a particular day spent a huge amount of time navigating the course, that number seemed to make no sense. Given that participants were expected to spend three hours on average for each nine-day session, this number seemed too high for a single day.

Because the numbers we saw were suspect, I called the course management system's TA to find out what these numbers were supposed to capture. I was told that they reflected the number of times that an individual entered a particular area, regardless of what they did in that area. So it is possible that a participant clicked on a case study within the main content area in Session 1 ten times; however, we do not know if that person really did the reading. The only conclusion we can draw from this statistic is that a participant clicked several times within that area; nothing more substantive can be gleaned from these data. So, are these data helpful at all to researchers? Perhaps for some, but definitely not for our purposes.

To find out for myself how accurate the data offered by the course statistics feature of the CMS were, I tracked myself while I entered the different course areas. I

carefully wrote down where I went and what I did. I counted that I entered the communication area twice: to read the description of the session's discussion and one posted message. In the main content area I read descriptions for Sessions 1 and 2, as well as the readings and case studies for both sessions. I counted 11 clicks. In the student area, I clicked once on a user tool that allowed me to write some personal information. Thus, I counted a total of 14 clicks. However, when I accessed the course statistics feature and highlighted the results for my activity, I found a discrepancy in my numbers and what the system gave me. I was given a total of 21 hits, 5 for the communication area, 14 for main content area, and 2 for student. I wondered if I should have counted the number of times I clicked on the other buttons within that area additionally, including the 'Back' button and close box. To gain some insight into the discrepancy, I telephoned the TA. He tried to give me a logical explanation, but there was really nothing he could tell me that could help me to understand what these numbers revealed.

Thus, I could not rely on the available data to make any conclusive statements about what the participants did within the course itself. As a researcher, I could not afford to have inaccurate or unexplainable data. The results of my analysis would be suspect as well.

On a different note, we exported the messages from the discussion board onto an external database so we could conduct an analysis of the discourse. We expected the order of the threaded messages to be reflected in the order of the exported text messages. In other words, all the responses to a posting would be grouped together. They were not. Instead, the exported data followed the order of the postings as though there were no threads. So, in order to follow the threaded interaction, we had to rely on a hard copy

that showed the order of the threads and the messages for each thread. Further, the exported data contained meta tags and other junk-text that were not part of the original postings. In light of this, we had to manually delete these junk-text, as any global replacement of text was unfeasible.

The challenges we faced to collect and understand the data yielded by the course management system of the professional development offering were many. However, they provided us with good insights into how course management systems can be developed that support research undertakings.

Conclusion and Recommendations

It would be reasonable for researchers like us to want a Web-based CMS for our online courses that could provide robust data that are both useful and accurate. Moreover, we would like to expect that we could at least access the database at any point in the course of our research—we rarely find humor in lost data. At the same time, we would like the original formatting of data to be preserved while transferring the data onto an external database so we can conduct further analysis of the data, including the use of software programs designed specifically for either quantitative or qualitative analysis.

We offer a few recommendations to CMS developers as they engage in the creation of their programs. First, when putting together the creative team, make sure that someone knowledgeable about conducting research is made an integral part of the team. This researcher's voice is important for any education-related activity. Second, pay attention to the bugs and other technical problems that could result in the loss or reformatting of data. If at all possible, come up with a back-up database system that can

restore responses to surveys. Even though only certain data might be recovered, in many cases this is better than losing all the data. Moreover, find a way to ensure that meta tags and other programming codes are simultaneously eliminated while in the process of exporting data. Third, while course statistics are an important feature, it would benefit educators and researchers alike if these statistics tell more than just the number of clicks a participant had while navigating through the course. Course statistics could also include accurate data on what participants did for *each session* of the course, and not just an accumulative account of what they did within the course. With this session-by-session information, instructors would be able to monitor student participation and progress, and could provide certain interventions to help a student stay on track such as personal email to check-in with a student, provide some hand-holding when necessary, and motivate a student to stay with the program. These interventions, done early on, could help reduce the drop-out rate. Fourth, when technical assistants or help desk consultants answer the phones, it would be helpful to those on the other side of the line if these individuals were able to give some clear explanation as to why certain things are happening with the CMS and the data. It is frustrating for educators and researchers to be in the dark as to why their data are lost, for example, or what certain numbers might tell them.

We understand that CMS continue to be updated, with some of the kinks in the system reduced, if not eliminated. I believe that we have gone a long way in designing Web-based user interfaces for online courses. I foresee that if CMS developers pay closer attention to our experiences and the hard lessons we have learned, the education and research fields will be better served. This mutual support between CMS developers

and education/research practitioners could lead to a major advance in the way we use Web-based tools for teaching, learning, and research.

References

Bowers, C. A. (1998). The Cultural Dimensions of Educational Computing: Understanding the Non-neutrality of Technology. New York: Teachers College Press.

Matthis, B. G. (1997). Authorship in Software: How Review Publications Examine Software Designers' Narratives and the Implications of Their Use in Selecting Education Software for Children. Qualifying Paper. Harvard Graduate School of Education.

Matthis, B. G. (1999). Voices from the Other Side of the Screen: Software Designers Reveal Their Stories that Reside Within Their Creations. Doctoral Dissertation. Harvard Graduate School of Education.

Provenzo, E. F. (1996). The Educator's Brief Guide to Computers in the Schools. Princeton, NJ: Eye on Education.

U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)

REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

TM034976

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: Researcher's Voice in Web-based Course Management Systems	
Author(s): Marianne S. Castano, Ed.D.	
Corporate Source: Education Development Center, Inc.	Publication Date: April 2003

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

Level 1

☑

Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) *and* paper copy.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2A

Level 2A

☐

Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2B

Level 2B

☐

Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits.
If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Signature: Marianne S. Castano	Printed Name/Position/Title: MARIANNE S. CASTANO, ED.D.
Organization/Address: EDC, Newton, MA 02458	Telephone: 781-367-7080 FAX:
	E-Mail Address: DRMARCASTANO@YAHOO.COM Date: 5/21/03