DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 474 082 IR 058 635

AUTHOR Buffington, James R.; Harper, Jeffrey S.

Effective Case Study Methodologies in the Management of IT TITLE

Courses.

2001-00-00 PUB DATE

10p.; In: Proceedings of the International Academy for NOTE

Information Management (IAIM) Annual Conference:

International Conference on Informatics Education & Research (ICIER) (16th, New Orleans, LA, December 14-16, 2001); see IR

058 630.

For full text: http://www.iaim.org. AVAILABLE FROM

Reports - Descriptive (141) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150) PUB TYPE

EDRS Price MF01/PC01 Plus Postage. EDRS PRICE

Business Education; *Case Studies; Cooperative Learning; **DESCRIPTORS**

Experiential Learning; Higher Education; Information

Management; *Information Technology; *Instructional Design;

Instructional Effectiveness; *Management Information Systems;

*Teaching Methods

ABSTRACT

Many Association to Advance Collegiate Schools of Business (AACSB) accredited schools require undergraduate Management Information Systems (MIS) majors to take a course in the management of information technology. Over half of these schools utilize case studies in the teaching of this course. Case studies are an important vehicle for teaching crucial information technology (IT) management issues, particularly in providing students with a real-world example of organizational issues. Case studies are best taught in an active, collaborative environment. Based upon an understanding of collaborative learning and collaborative teaching, this paper proposes a procedure for enhancing the effectiveness of this active learning methodology. Includes one table: results of case studies questionnaire. The Case Questionnaire is appended. (Contains 25 references.) (Author/AEF)



EFFECTIVE CASE STUDY METHODOLOGIES IN THE MANAGEMENT OF IT COURSES

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

T. Case

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

James R. Buffington Indiana State University

Jeffrey S. Harper Indian a State University

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

originating it.

Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy

ABSTRACT

Many AACSB accredited schools require undergraduates MIS majors to take a course in the management of information technology. Over half of these schools utilize case studies in the teaching of this course. We believe that case studies are an important vehicle for teaching crucial IT management issues, particularly in providing students with a real-world example of organizational issues. We believe that case studies are best taught in an active, collaborative environment. Based upon our understanding of collaborative learning and collaborative teaching, we propose a procedure for enhancing the effectiveness of this active learning methodology.

COLLABORATIVE LEARNING

Collaborative learning is defined as a learning process emphasizing group or cooperative efforts a mong faculty and students, stressing active participation and interaction on the part of both students and instructors (Brufee, 1984). Collaborative learning has long been stressed as an effective teaching methodology by theorists (Vygotsky, 1978). A review of the literature of peer/collaborative learning can be found in McK eachie (1999).

The importance of collaborative learning extends to the business environment, particularly in the use of teams to accomplish business tasks. The effectiveness of using teams to accomplish information systems tasks in the business environment is well recognized (El-Shinnawy and Vinze, 1998; Janz, 1999). Surveys of employers indicate that teamwork skills are among the most important when evaluating IS graduates for entry-level positions. Employers have rated teamwork skills as more important than systems analysis and design, database, or programming skills (Van Slyke, Kittner and Cheney, 1998).

Collaborative learning is recognized as an effective teaching methodology in MIS programs in the United States. Through collaborative learning, students learn to take advantage of each team member's expertise and to experience first-hand the problems of coordinating a team effort (Goyal, 1995/1996). Studies have shown that collaborative learning leads to a higher degree of satisfaction with the learning process, to a greater motivation to learn, and to better performance (Flynn, 1992).

Aram and Noble (1999) argue that the traditional lecture approach does not adequately prepare students to understand and cope with the levels of ambiguity and uncertainty they will inevitably face when assuming entry level positions. Collaborative learning can be utilized in a number of class settings, and it is particularly appropriate for system development projects. Collaborative learning can also be effectively used for research projects and simulations. However, it is our intention to focus on how teachers can utilize techniques of collaborative learning in the teaching of case studies in the Management of IT course.

49

COLLABORATIVE TEACHING

Instructors in a collaborative teaching environment can also realize some of the same advantages enjoyed in a collaborative learning environment. In particular, instructors can benefit from synergistic effects promoted by team dynamics. In addition, collaborative teaching can lead to a quicker development of best teaching practices.

Brabston, et al. (1999) proposed three models for collaborative team teaching.

- 1. The interactive model. Two or more instructors in front of the class at any one time.
- 2. The rotational model. Each member of the teaching team teaches in only that part of the course related to his or her area of expertise.
- 3. The participant-observer model. Each team member alternately takes the lead in teaching. The other team member primarily observes but also actively participates when appropriate.

We will later present a fourth model for collaborative team teaching.

CASE STUDIES

Case studies are an important tool for teaching MIS concepts. Romm and Pliskin (2000) cite a study by Lee, Trauth and Farwell (1995) showing four major clusters of knowledge/skills required of MIS personnel in the upcoming decades.

- 1. Technical Specialties Knowledge/Skills: including operating systems, programming languages, database management systems, networks, telecommunications, etc.
- Technology Management Knowledge/Skills: including issues such as where and how to deploy information technologies effectively for meeting strategic business objectives.
- 3. Business Functional Knowledge/Skills: including how to re-engineer business processes before the adoption of a new information system to produce maximum benefit from the system.

4. Interpersonal and Management Knowledge/Skills: which relate to the "boundary-spanning role of IS personnel. This role requires IS professionals to master interpersonal skills such as selling, negotiating, leading, and counseling.

Romm and Pliskin (2000) note that of these four skills, three of them technology management, business knowledge, and interpersonal skills are not the traditional "hard skills associated with an IS education, but rather can be classified as "soft skills because they emphasize an understanding and ability to work with people rather than machines. Romm and Wong (1997) persuasively argue that the best way of teaching these soft skills is through the use of case studies.

Case studies are routinely used in a number of MIS courses. In a content analysis of 34 electronic commerce course syllabi, Sendall (1999) found that 44 percent of ecommerce classes were incorporating case studies as part of the curriculum.

Our focus is on the IT management course at the undergraduate level. We believe that this course is critically important to the MIS major, and we share the surprise of O'Hara and Stephens (1999), who found that this course is not universally required at AACSB-accredited schools. In their study, O'Hara and Stephens content analyzed 39 undergraduate syllabi of the IT management course. They found that the most common assessment method of students in this course were exams, quizzes, case study analyses, research papers or topic studies, computer-based projects, reports, and assignments. Of the 39 courses, only 51 percent utilized case studies. Further, case analyses accounted for only 16 percent of the grade, on average.

TEACHING CASE STUDIES THROUGH COLLABORATIVE LEARNING

Case studies can be taught with many different methodologies. Romm and Mahler (1991) describe five methodologies.

- 1. <u>Individual processing</u> Students prepare for cases as individuals.
- 2. <u>Chronological group discussion</u>. Each case is presented via a team (and instructor) with the team intact throughout the interactive discussion.



Proceedings of the 16th Annual Conference of the International Academy for Information Management

- 3. <u>Simultaneous group discussion</u>. Each case is first discussed in sub-units, which later recombine as one large group.
- 4. <u>Chronological group dramatization</u>. Cases are dramatized with the all students serving either as actors or audience.
- 5. <u>Simultaneous group dramatization</u>. Students first break into sub-units which later recombine for case dramatization.

Each of these methodologies has its virtues, and each involves a certain amount of active learning. Annette Jones (2000) summarizes the argument for active learning.

Active learning is based on the assumption that learning is by nature an active undertaking, and that different people learn in different ways (Meyers & Jones, 1993); it presumes that students learn best by doing. Active learning provides opportunities for students to talk and listen, read, write and reflect on course content through problem-solving exercises, small group discussions, simulations, case studies and other activities. Biggs (1999) also suggests that active engagement in the learning process encourages the less academic student to employ high-level engagement techniques such as theorization, reflection, application, which are more naturally adopted by the more academic student even if the teaching method is more passive.

We believe that one of the greatest strengths of teaching cases is the flexibility which they provide the instructor. A teaching case allows an instructor to choose the level of depth for discussion of a topic, as well as which topics, theories, and practices are discussed. While many teachers have developed their own pedagogical methods for teaching cases, there is no generally accepted prescription for one "right way to teach cases.

As we continue to sharpen our teaching skills, several questions occur to those of us that teach cases in class. These questions generally center on inquiry as to whether our approach is the most appropriate. Generally, the purpose of case instruction is to provide a real-world example of the issues that organizations must face. Such exposure allows students the opportunity to identify issues and problems faced by a firm, to see vague, conflicting and often ill-structured

business scenarios, to evaluate decisions made by the principles, to relate theory and concepts to a specific instance, and/or to make recommendations about what should be done based upon the student's own knowledge of the subject matter. As such, it is always our hope that the material will "come alive for the students, generating high interest because of the fact that the issues are real and the companies are struggling to deal with them.

Most teachers who have been teaching cases for a while have developed his or her own particular method for conducting discussion of a case in class. We next sketch out the two methodologies we have most recently followed.

CASE STUDIES IN THE IT MANAGEMENT COURSE AT OUR UNIVERSITY

Each of the two authors of this study teach the IT Management course. For the past three years, one of us teaches the course in the fall semester, and the other in the spring. Both of us are proponents of active, collaborative learning in the teaching of cases. Both of us are interested in improving the effectiveness of our teaching. We have in the past informally discussed the teaching of cases in our respective classes, and have determined that a more formal approach to improving our teaching is in order.

As a result of our informal discussions we have developed a case study evaluation instrument. It was administered for the first time in Spring 2001, and our intention is to administer the same instrument in the fall class. The following two sections describe the case teaching methodologies employed by each instructor in his respective section.

The Fall 2000 IT Management Class

I assign a short, end-of chapter cases at least one week in advance. I ask the students to read the case and answer the questions included in the text after the case. The students' written (word processed) answers are turned in to me after the case is discussed in class. I make it very clear that their work is not graded in terms or "right or "wrong; instead, I simply look at each paper to determine whether a thoughtful and justifiable response has been formulated. Once the cases have been turned in, I grade each student's work by assigning a check mark (\checkmark) or minus (-) indicating whether I have deemed their work to be sufficient. Insufficient answers are



Proceedings of the 10th Annual Conference of the International Academy for Information Management

relatively rare. Those receiving a check mark are given credit for all of the points for the assignment, while those who receive a minus receive no credit.

The written answers to the case questions serve as reference for the students as we discuss the case in class. Because of the availability of some written guidance, many of the students seem more at ease when they are called upon to contribute to the discussion. Also, I have noticed that students seem to be more prone to add to the discussion voluntarily when they have a well-formulated response in writing at their disposal.

I purposefully don't read the questions accompanying the case before the class discussion. Instead, I work up my own set of questions. My reasoning for this approach is that if I read the questions prepared for the case, I may actually constrain my own thoughts about the issues. I prefer to lead the discussion on what I feel is most important to emphasize. Only after I have exhausted my own list of questions for the class will I ask for responses to the given case questions if, in fact, we have not already covered the question in our discussion.

When I have a classroom that allows for rearranging the student seating, I ask the students to move their chairs into a circle. I also sit in the circle. This arrangement seems to improve the informality of the setting and is very conducive to group discussion.

Student comments, both formally through instructor evaluation reports and informally through discussion with individuals, have been very positive about the value of teaching cases in my classes. The anecdotal evidence for the success of this approach is strong but indirect.

The Spring 2001 IT Management Class

Inspired by a workshop hosted by Larry K. Michaelsen (see Michaelsen, 1997-1998) prior to the beginning of the semester and disappointed by the negative feedback I received from my spring 2000 class, I made several sweeping changes to my case study methodology. In spring 2001, I divided my class of 22 students into seven teams. I consciously used principles of demographic diversity as advocated by Trimmer, Van Slyke and Cheney [1999] in comprising the teams. I also ensured that there would be at least one "high-performing student on each team. The teams endured throughout the semester. Although Michaelsen strongly advocates giving students all the class time they need to operate in

groups, I purpose fully composed teams whose members shared some free time at some point during the week. Further, I encouraged teams to conduct e-meetings to discuss case questions and to prepare the final report.

Five case studies were assigned at the beginning of the semester, with case discussion to begin on the fifth week of the semester. The cases came from Turban (1999). Text questions for each of the five cases were supplemented with my own questions.

On each case studies day, the period began with all students taking a short multiple-choice quiz on the details of the case. As advocated by Michaelsen in his workshop, students then immediately grouped together in their teams to discuss the quiz and to retake it, this time as a group quiz. The quizzes served to motivate students to read the case carefully.

I then led the discussion of the case questions in a question-answer format. Students frequently enlivened discussion with vigorous debate, as opposing points of view were enthusiastically presented. Invariably, discussion would fill the remainder of the class period, and the following class period was dedicated to tying up the loose ends of the case.

One week after the case discussion concluded, each team submitted a written analysis of the case. At the end of the semester, all students rated the relative contributions of their teammates. Students were forced to give at least one teammate more points than the rest, a practice advocated by Michaelsen. Altogether, the case work (quizzes and reports) was worth 25 percent of the course grade. Forcing students to allocate points unevenly led to several students having their course grade elevated or demoted a level.

Instrument Development and Administration

We developed a 12-item questionn aire administered in the spring semester in a collaborative fashion. The instrument itself (see Appendix A) is designed primarily as an exploratory tool to assess the effectiveness of our approach to teaching case studies. We believe a basic value of the case approach is in the teaching of soft skills (Romm & Pliskin, 2000), which led to the development of questions two and six. We believe that the case approach is an excellent vehicle for teaching the Bloom's (1965) higher levels of learning, hence questions five, seven, eleven, and twelve. Because many of the changes in approach were inspired by Michaelsen



Proceedings of the 10th Annual Conference of the International Academy for Information Management

(1997-1998), we developed questions four, eight, and nine. We developed question three to assess students' perceptions of cases as agents of active learning, as suggested by Horgan (1999). Both instructors felt that cases were an important tool for teaching key issues, resulting in question one. Finally, we wanted to learn whether students preferred our new approach to the more traditional case approach, which accounts for question ten. The instrument concludes with two open-ended questions to explore issues not sampled by the first twelve questions.

At semester's end, students anonymously evaluated the case studies on a five-point scale. The results of this survey are shown in Table 1. Questions have been

sorted from their original arrangement, to an order showing statements most strongly agreed with first.

The results of the survey indicate a widespread satisfaction with the approach to case studies. Our perception is that students like this case methodology much more than they did in the spring 2000 class. Students seem to be particularly satisfied with cases as tools for making abstract MIS principles concrete. Not surprisingly, the question which received the least support concerned the forcing of uneven ratings which led to some students receiving lower grades for the course than they otherwise would have. However, only two of the twenty-two students either disagreed or strongly disagreed with question nine.

TABLE 1
RESULTS OF CASE STUDIES QUESTIONNAIRE

Question	Question	Mean
Number		
7.	The cases provide students with a good means of applying information systems principles to	1.36
	real world situations.	
	The cases brought out important points about m anaging in formation systems, such as the role	1.41
1.	of IS in a global economy, the potential of e-commerce, the role of IT in strategic planning, IT ethics, etc.	
11.	The cases provide students with a good opportunity to synthesize; that is, identifying	1.45
	potential solutions to a case problem and choosing the most appropriate solution.	
12.	The cases provide students with a good opportunity to exercise evaluation skills, i. e.,	1.45
	appraising the extent to which particulars are accurate, effective, economic, or satisfying.	
4.	Having both an individual quiz and a group quiz is a good idea.	1.55
2.	The cases are a good way of teaching "soft skills; for example, interpersonal skills and	1.64
	management skills.	
3.	Cases increase the likelihood of student participation in class discussion.	1.64
6.	Because much of the case work involved team work, the cases served as a good vehicle for	1.68
	applying principles of team management.	
5.	Writing the case report aided in understanding the case principles.	1.73
10.	I prefer the approach to cases used this semester to the traditional case approach, i.e., when	1.73
	student teams are assigned the responsibility of presenting a particular case.	
8.	Requiring students to assess relative contributions of teammates is a good way to motivate	1.77
	individual efforts.	
9.	Requiring students to rate at least one teammate's contribution as better than average is a	2.41
	good idea.	



Even the response to question ten was gratifying. This question, which ranked tenth in order of agreement, asked students to compare the spring 2001 approach with the traditional approach. Although three students rated the question neutral, not one of the twenty-two either disagreed or strongly disagreed with the statement.

The results of this questionnaire must be interpreted with caution. Apparent student satisfaction with the 2001 methodology does not ensure that the methodology was more effective. There could have been contaminating factors the students may have found that pioneering a new methodology was a positive experience. Or the instructor may have done a more effective job for all aspects of the course pulling the case study results up by the bootstraps, as it were. Nevertheless, the evidence does point to the 2001 methodology being more effective.

In addition to the twelve questions above, students were also asked to respond to these two open ended questions.

- 1. What is the one thing you liked best about our approach to cases this semester?
- 2. If you could change one thing about our approach to cases this semester, what would it be? How would you change it?

As might be expected, a number of students rephrased one or more of the twelve statements to indicate the greatest strength. As proponents of active learning, we are pleased to note that six students indicated that active class discussions were the greatest strength of this approach to case studies.

Even responses to the "greatest weakness question tended to be positive. In fact, five students indicated that there was no weakness with the 2001 methodology. Typical responses to this question included:

More than five cases should be used (2)

More in-class time should be allocated to cases (2)

Class should be 75-minutes rather than 50 minutes

Complaints tended to be about the cases themselves rather than to the methodology.

• Cases should be more current (2)

Cases should be more detailed

LESSONS LEARNED

While Brabston, et al. (1999) proposed the three models for collaborative team teaching as described earlier in this paper, we would like to propose a fourth, less radical model. We suggest a more formal approach to procedures that good instructors are already doing informally. We propose that when two or more instructors are assigned responsibility for the teaching of a particular class using case studies as a teaching tool, that these instructors routinely perform the following steps.

- 1. Compile a list of generally agreed upon desired outcomes from teaching the cases.
- 2. Construct a questionnaire designed to evaluate the processes used to achieve the outcomes.
- 3. Administer the questionnaire at the conclusion of each semester.
- 4. Meet to discuss questionnaire results; identify methodologies that best meet desired outcomes.
- 5. Incorporate appropriate methodologies in future classes.

We believe that, while no "one-size-fits-all when it comes to teaching methodologies, this process can only result in more effective teaching. A structured approach to evaluating methodologies from multiple instructors teaching a common course, as we have begun to do, should lead to a fine-tuning of individual teaching performance.

The case study format presents an excellent opportunity for instructors to collaborate in the determination of which methods and desired outcomes are most appropriate for a course. In this paper, we have presented a combination of anecdotal and empirical evidence of the benefits instructors (and, ultimately our students) can derive from the above model.



We believe this process can only result in more effective teaching. We believe that there is no one-size-fits-all teaching methodology, but we do believe a structured approach to evaluating our methodologies, as we have begun to do, should lead to a fine-tuning of individual teaching performance.

Particularly given the AACSB's emphasis on assessment (Williams and Price, 2000), we believe that our model of collaborative teaching should serve as an excellent springboard for improving instruction. The responses to questionnaire items are valuable, but the discussion they provoke is invaluable. We do not believe it is enough to simply divide students into groups to discuss case studies. The devil is in the details.

For example, if many students indicate that "lively discussion is a great strength of one instructor's approach, the positive response is not necessarily due to the content of the questions. Discussion of this item may reveal that lively student participation is a product of the instructor's serving more as a facilitator than as a leader. Discussion of these and other questions lead to synergistic improvements. Good teaching is certainly as much art as science, but it is an art enhanced by our collaborative procedure.

REFERENCES

- Aram, E. and Noble, D. (1999). "Educating Prospective Managers in the Complexity of Organizational Life. *Management Learning*, 30 (3).
- Biggs, J. (1999). Teaching for Quality Learning at University: What the Student Does. Society for Research into Higher Education (SRHE) and Open University Press: Buckingham.
- Bloom B. S. (ed.) (1965). Taxonomy of Educational Objectives: The Classification of Educational Goals. New York: David McKay.
- Brabston, M. E., Henley, J. A., and White C. S. (1999). "To Team Teach or Not to Team Teach That Is the Question: A Faculty Perspective. Proceedings of the 15th Annual Conference of the International Academy for Information Management, Brisbane, Australia, December 8-10, 105-118.
- Bruffee, K. A. (1984). "Background and History of Collaborative Learning in American Colleges. College English 46 (7), 635-652.

- El-Shinnawy, M. and Vinze, A. S. (1998). "Polarization and Persuasive Argumentation: A Study of Decision Making in Group Settings. *Management Information Systems Quarterly* 22 (2), 165-198.
- Flynn, J. (1992). "A Cooperative Learning and Gagne's Events of Instruction: A Syncretic View. Educational Technology, 53-60.
- Goyal, A. (1995/1996). "Enhancing Student Creativity in Information Systems Eductation: The Active Learning Approach. *Journal of Information Systems Education*, 7 (3), 135-139.
- Horgan, J. (1999). "Lecturing for Learning. In Fry, H., Ketteridge, S., and Marshall, S. (eds.), A Handbook for Teaching and Learning in Higher Education: Enhancing Academic Practice (pp. 83-107), London: Kogan Page.
- Janz, B. (1999). "Self-directed Teams in IS: Correlates for Improved Systems Development Outcomes. *Information & Management* 35, 171-192.
- Jones, A. (2000). "Teaching for Learning in IS Education: Assessing the Effectiveness of Small Group Problem-solving/discussion Events in Large Class Teaching. Proceedings of the 15th Annual Conference of the International Academy for Information Management, Brisbane, Australia, December 8-10, 239-243.
- Lee, D., Trauth, E. M., and Farwell, D. (1995). "Critical Skills and Knowledge Requirements of IS Professionals: A Joint Academic/industry Investigation. MIS Quarterly, 19(3), 313-340.
- McKeachie, W. J. (1999). "Peer Learning, Collaborative Learning, Cooperative Learning. In Teaching Tips: Strategies, Research, and Theory for College and University Teachers, 10th Ed., 158-166. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- Meyers, C. and Jones, T. B. (1993). Promoting Active Learning: Strategies for the College Classroom, San Francisco: Josse Bass.
- Michaelsen, L. K. Professional and Organizational Development Network Essay Series Teaching Excellence: Toward the Best in the Academy, Vol. 9, 1997-1998. Ames, IA: POD Network.



Proceedings of the 16th Annual Conference of the International Academy for Information Management

- O'Hara, M. T. and Stephens, C. S. (1999). "The Required IT Management Course in AACSB Curriculums: A comparison of the Undergraduate and MBA Course. Proceedings of the 14th Annual Conference of the International Academy for Information Management, Charlotte, NC, December 10-12, 264-273.
- Romm, C. T. and Mahler, S. (1991). "The Case Study Challenge A New Approach to an Old Method. Management Education and Development Journal, 22, 305-314.
- Romm, C. T. and Pliskin, N. (2000). "How Can We Use Case Studies to Promote "Soft Skills in IS Education? Journal of Informatics Education and Research, 2 (3), 1-10.
- Romm, C. T. and Wong, J. (1997). "Internationalising the MBA core in MIS An Interactive, Integrative Approach. *International Conference on Decisions* Sciences, Sydney, Australia, July 20-23.
- Sendall, P. (1999). "A Survey of Electronic Commerce Courses. Proceedings of the 14th Annual Conference of the International Academy for Information Management, Charlotte, NC, December 10-12, 119-128.

5. Writing the case report aided in understanding the case principles.

- Trimmer, K., Van Slyke, C., and Cheney, P. (1999). "Impact of Team Composition and Conflict on Performance. Proceedings of the 14th Annual Conference of the International Academy for Information Management, Charlotte, NC, December 10-12, 28-35.
- Turban, E., McLean, E., and Wetherbe, J. (1999). Information Technology for Management: Making Connections for Strategic Advantage. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Van Slyke, C., Kittner, M. and Cheney, P. (1998). "Skill Requirem ents for Entry-level IS Graduates. *Journal* of Information Systems Education, 9 (3), 7-12.
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological Processes. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Williams, S. R. and Price, B. A. (2000). "Strengths and Weaknesses of an Information Systems Program: A Longitudinal Assessment of Student Perceptions. Proceedings of the 15th Annual Conference of the International Academy for Information Management, Brisbane, Australia, December 8-10, 260-269.

APPENDIX A CASE QUESTIONNAIRE

N	ame:		_			
Use the scantron to answerthe first twelve questions below. Do not put your name on the scan tron. You must write your name above in order to receive the ten points extra credit.						
	A: strongly agree	B: agree	C: neutral	D. disagree	E. strongly disagree	
1.	The cases brought out important points about managing information systems, such as the role of IS in a global economy, the potential of e-commerce, the role of IT in strategic planning, IT ethics, etc.					
2.	The cases are a good way of teaching "soft skills; for example, interpersonal skills and management skills.					
3.	Cases increase the likelihood of student participation in class discussion.					
4.	Having both an individual quiz and a group quiz is a good idea.					



- 6. Because much of the case work involved team work, the cases served as a good vehicle for applying principles of team management.
- 7. The cases provide students with a good means of applying information systems principles to real world situations.
- 8. Requiring students to assess relative contributions of teammates is a good way to motivate individual efforts.
- 9. Requiring students to rate at least one teammate's contribution as better than average is a good idea.
- 10. I prefer the approach to cases used this semester to the traditional case approach, i.e., when student teams are assigned the responsibility of presenting a particular case.
- 11. The cases provide students with a good opportunity to synthesize; that is, identifying potential solutions to a case problem and choosing the most appropriate solution.
- 12. The cases provide students with a good opportunity to exercise evaluation skills, i. e., appraising the extent to which particulars are accurate, effective, economic, or satisfying.
- 13. What is the one thing you liked best about our approach to cases this semester?
- 14. If you could change one thing about our approach to cases this semester, what would it be? How would you change it?





U.S. Department of Education



Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)

NOTICE

Reproduction Basis

X	This document is covered by a signed "Reproduction Release (Blanket)" form (on file within the ERIC system), encompassing all or classes of documents from its source organization and, therefore, does not require a "Specific Document" Release form.
	This document is Federally-funded, or carries its own permission to reproduce, or is otherwise in the public domain and, therefore, may be reproduced by ERIC without a signed Reproduction Release form (either "Specific Document" or "Blanket").

