#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 472 961 CG 032 165

AUTHOR Reddy, Linda; Braunstein, Dania; Springer, Craig; Bartik,

Celina; Hauch, Yvonne; Hall, Tara; Benisz, Becky; Gioia,

Lauren

TITLE Randomized Trial of Three Child/Parent Training Groups for

ADHD Children.

PUB DATE 2002-08-00

NOTE 17p.; Paper presented at the Annual Conference of the

American Psychological Association (110th, Chicago, IL,

August 22-25, 2002).

PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE EDRS Price MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS \*Attention Deficit Disorders; \*Behavior Modification;

\*Hyperactivity; \*Outcomes of Treatment; Parent Education;

Program Effectiveness; \*Young Children

#### ABSTRACT

The present study examined the effectiveness of a multimodal intervention for young children with ADHD. Fifty families were randomly assigned to three treatment conditions: (1) child group training only (N=18); (2) child and parent group training only (N=14); and (3) child and parent group training and parent/teacher consultation (N=18). Child, parent and teacher measures were administered at baseline, program completion, and 4-month follow-up. Repeated measures ANOVAs and preplanned comparisons (i.e., paired t-tests) with Dunn-Bonferroni adjustment were computed to test between group and within group effects. Effect sizes and Jacobson and Traux's (1991) standard of error of difference statistic were computed. C3 participants exhibited greater behavioral improvements on several parent-completed measures than C2 and C1 participants at program completion and four-month follow-up. C3 participants also exhibited more reduction in internalizing distress and transfer of treatment gains to the school at program completion and follow-up than C2 and C1 participants. (Author)



#### Randomized Trial

#### RANDOMIZED TRIAL OF THREE CHILD/PARENT TRAINING

Randomized Trial of Three Child/Parent Training Groups for ADHD Children Linda Reddy, Ph.D., Dania Braunstein, B.A., Craig Springer, B.A., Celina Bartik, B.A., Yvonne Hauch, M.A., Tara Hall, M.A., Becky Benisz, M.A., Lauren Gioia Fairleigh Dickinson University

Reddy@FDU.EDU

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

- ☐ This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

D. DRAUNSTEIN

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

#### **Abstract**

The present study examined the effectiveness of a multimodal intervention for young children with ADHD. Fifty families were randomly assigned to three treatment conditions: (1) child group training only (N=18); (2) child and parent group training only (N=14); and (3) child and parent group training and parent/teacher consultation (N = 18). Child, parent and teacher measures were administered at baseline, program completion, and 4-month follow-up. Repeated measures ANOVAs and preplanned comparisons (i.e., paired t-tests) with Dunn-Bonferroni adjustment were computed to test between group and within group effects. Effect sizes and Jacobson and Truax's (1991) standard error of difference statistic were computed. C3 participants exhibited greater behavioral improvements on several parent-completed measures than C2 and C1 participants at program completion and four-month follow-up. C3 participants also exhibited more reductions in internalizing distress and transfer of treatment gains to the school at program completion and follow-up than C2 and C1 participants.



#### Introduction

Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD), a neurologic disorder, present in 3% to 5% of all school-aged children, accounts for more referrals to mental health and pediatric professionals than any other childhood disorder (Barkley, 1990). Children with ADHD exhibit severe developmentally inappropriate attention skills, impulsivity, hyperactivity, poor peer and family relations, and school difficulties. Because of the chronic and severe nature of this disorder, early intervention services are essential for both children and their parents.

Parents and teachers play a potentially critical role in promoting and generalizing treatment gains by promoting and reinforcing positive social behavior in children's environments. Group training offered to parents and children is an important component of treatment effectiveness. Behavioral consultation, a collaborative problem solving approach, is a particularly effective and efficient method for assisting families and educators to promote children's learning and social behavior (Bergan & Kratochwill, 1990). The efficacy of behavioral consultation with school-aged children has been well documented (Reddy, L., Barboza-Whitehead, S., Files, T., & Rubel, B., 2000). However, only one study has investigated the impact of parent/teacher behavioral consultation on children with ADHD (Sheridan & Colton, 1994).

The present study examined the effectiveness of an innovative program that incorporates child and parent group training and parent/teacher consultation for young children (age 4 to 8.5 years) with ADHD. This study also assessed the generalizability of child outcomes in the home and school.

#### Method

#### Sample

A total of 50 families were randomly assigned to three treatment groups. The child mean age was 75 months (6 years and 3 months, SD= 14) and a range from 49 to 96 months. Condition

4



1 families (N=18) received child group training only. Thirteen boys and five girls participated.

The child mean age was 80 months (6 years and 8 months, SD= 16) and a range from 52 to 102 months. Fifteen of the children were Caucasian, one was African American, one was Asian, and one was Hispanic. Subjects were enrolled in kindergarten through third grade. Maternal education level ranged from some college to Master's degrees, while paternal education ranged from less than high school to doctorate.

Condition 2 families (N=14) received child and parent training. Three girls and eleven boys participated. The child mean age was 86 months (7 years and 2 months, SD= 15) and a range from 61 to 103 months. Twelve of the children were Caucasian, one was African American, and one was Hispanic. Subjects were enrolled in kindergarten through third grade. Maternal and paternal educational levels ranged from less than high school to doctorate level.

Condition 3 families (N = 18) received child training group, parent training group, and home and school consultation. Condition 3 was composed of 17 boys and 1 girl, 15 Caucasian and 1 Hispanic. Subjects were enrolled in preschools through second grade. Maternal and paternal educational levels ranged from high school diploma to doctorate level.

#### Instrumentation

The ADHD Clinic Parent Interview (Barkley, 1991), a semi-structured interview composed of 88 items, was administered to all parents. This interview collects information on the child's developmental, medical, academic, and social histories as well as information on paternal and maternal history. Child, parent, and teacher assessment instruments were administered at Time 1 (i.e., screening for the program), Time 2 (i.e., at program completion), and Time 3 (i.e., 4 month follow-up).

#### Procedures

Parents that contacted Fairleigh Dickinson University's Child and Adolescent ADHD Clinic were administered a 1.5-hour interview. Parents were given several child and parent measures to complete. Doctoral students were trained on the administration/scoring of the



assessment instruments, group curriculum, and consultation model. Families included in the study met the following criteria: (1) the child was 4 to 8.5 years of age, (2) enrolled at a preschool or elementary school, (3) the child was diagnosed ADHD by a pediatric neurologist, 4) met the DSM-IV criteria for ADHD, and 5) exhibited clinically elevated scale scores (1.5 SD above the mean) on child measures.

#### **Treatment**

Parent Training Group. Parents participated in a 1.5-hour weekly training group for 10 consecutive sessions. A modified version of Barkley's (1998) Parent Training Curriculum was used. Weekly homework assignments, which paralleled the skills taught in the child training group, were assigned. Educational/behavioral interventions were taught to improve social interactions at home, school, and in public settings.

Child Training Group. Children participated in a 1.5 hour weekly training group for 10 consecutive sessions. The children's training group included three goals: (1) improve children's social skills, (2) increase self control, and (3) enhance anger management. Skill development was fostered through positive reinforcement, symbolic and in vivo modeling, role-playing, and behavioral rehearsal. A token economy system was used to encourage the children to participate in group activities.

Parent/Teacher Consultation. Parents and teachers participating in Condition 1 were provided home and school behavior consultation. Consultation was provided by four doctoral students who were certified school psychologists. Consultants were trained for 8 hours on Bergan's Behavioral Consultation Model (1990) and received weekly group supervision. Parents and teachers were given 4 to 6 sessions each.

Method of Analysis. Six data analytic techniques were used: 1) descriptive statistics were computed between groups and across time; 2) repeated measures ANOVAs; 3) preplanned

6



.

comparisons with Dunn-Bonferroni correction; 4) gain score effect sizes (ES; Glass, McGaw, & Smith, 1981); and 5) within group ES (Smith & Glass, 1977).

#### **Summary of Findings**

As shown on Tables 1 and 2, time main effects revealed that all three groups exhibited significant behavioral improvements across informants at program completion and 4-month follow-up. These results are noteworthy since research has indicated that untreated children with ADHD exhibit increasingly complex behavioral patterns over time (Ingram et al., 1999).

As hypothesized, C3 participants displayed greater behavioral improvements (e.g., CBCL, Conners' Rating Scale, SSRS) than C2 and C1 participants at program completion and follow-up. C3 participants exhibited greater reductions in internalizing distress in the home and school than C2 and C1 participants (see Tables 1, 2, 5, 6). In comparison to C2 and C1 participants, C3 yielded medium between group gain score ES values for decreasing parental stress as measured by the PSI-III's Child Domain and Parent Domain at program completion.

Generalizability of treatment gains to the school environment were found. In comparison to C1 and C2 participants, C3 produced large between group ESs on the TRF Total and Externalizing Scales and the CTRS-Social Problems Scale and medium ESs on the SSRS Problem Behaviors Scale and CTRS-Oppositional Scale at program completion. At 4-month follow-up, C3 participants maintained large between group ESs on the TRF Total and Externalizing Scales and the CTRS-Social Problems Scale and large to medium ESs on the SSRS Problem Behaviors Scale and CTRS-Oppositional Scale.

Overall, findings of the present study provide strong support for the superiority of C3.

Home- and school-based behavioral consultation offers a robust addition to a multimodal treatment package for young children with ADHD.



#### **References**

- Barkley, R. A. (1998). <u>Defiant children: A clinician's manual for parent training.</u> New York: Guilford Press.
- Bergan, R. J., & Kratochwill, T. (1990). <u>Behavioral consultation and therapy.</u> New York: Plenum.
- Glass, G.V., McGaw, B., & Smith, M.L. (1981). Meta-analysis in Social Research. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Reddy, L.A., Barboza-Whitehead, S., Files, T. M., & Rubel, B. (2000). Clinical focus of consultation outcome research with children and adolescents. <u>Journal of Special Services</u> in the Schools, 16 (1/2) 1-22.
- Sheridan, S. M., & Colton, D. L. (1994). Conjoint behavioral consultation: A review and case study. Journal of Educational and Psychological Consulting, 5, 211-228.
- Smith, M.L., & Glass, G.V. (1977). Meta-analysis of psychotherapy outcome studies. <u>American</u>

  Psychologist, 32, 752-760.



#### **Outcome Assessment Measures**

#### Parent Measures

- 1. Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL; Achenbach, 1991)
- 2. Conners' Parent Rating Scale Revised (Conners, 1997)
- 3. FACES III (Olson, Portner, Lavee, 1985)
- 4. Home Situations Questionnaire Revised (HSQ-R; DuPaul & Barkley, 1992)
- 5. Social Skills Rating System Parent Form (SSRS; Gresham & Elliot, 1990)
- 6. Parental Expectancy Scale (PES; Eisen, 1997)
- 7. Parental Efficacy Scale (Reddy, 1998)
- 8. Parent Stress Index III (PSI-III; Abidin, 1995)

#### **Teacher Measures**

- 1. Conner's Teacher Rating Scale Revised (Conners, 1997)
- 2. Social Skills Rating System Teacher Form (SSRS; Gresham & Elliot, 1990)
- 3. School Situations Questionnaire Revised (SSQ-R; DuPaul, 1990)
- 3. Teacher Efficacy Scale (Emmer & Hickman, 1991)
- 4. Teacher Report Form (TRF; Achenbach & Edelbrock, 1980)

#### **Child Measures**

 Pictorial Scale of Perceived Competence and Social Acceptance for Young Children (Harter & Pike, 1983)

#### **Consultant Measures**

1. Consultant Evaluation Form (Erchul, 1987)



Table 1

Results of Repeated Measures ANOVAs for Parent and Teacher Measures from Times 1 to 2

	Time	Time x Group
Measure	F <sup>a</sup>	Fª
Parent - completed		
Parental Stress		
PSI-III Child Domain	17.82***	0.72
PSI-III Parent Domain	1.69	0.24
PSI-III Adaptability	4.82**	0.36
PSI-III Reinforces Parents	3.46**	3.24**
PSI-III Total Score	5.97***	2.00*
Externalizing Problems		
CBCL Externalizing	9.51***	0.84
CBCL Aggression	17.28***	2.72**
CBCL Total	10.98***	3.04**
CPRS-R Oppositional	20.71***	2.56**
CPRS-R Hyperactivity	0.02	2.29*
SSRS Problem Behaviors	1.85*	2.38*
Social Skills		
SSRS Social Skills	2.05*	0.23
CBCL Social Prob.	8.73***	3.97***
CPRS-R Social Prob.	5.35***	0.33
Internalizing Problems		
CBCL Internalizing	2.22*	7.74***
CBCL Anxious/Depressed	5.52***	12.04***
CPRS-R Anxious/Shy	8.73***	6.72***
CPRS-R Somatic Complaints	3.51**	2.64**
Teacher – completed		
Externalizing Problems		
TRF Externalizing	2.69*	.78
TRF Aggression	15.40***	1.65*
TRF Total	2.64*	1.53
CTRS-R Oppositional	2.51*	0.46
CTRS-R Hyperactivity	8.51***	0.17
SSRS Problem Behaviors	1.53	0.98
Social Skills		
SSRS Social Skills	11.44***	0.44
TRF Social Prob.	6.91***	1.95*
CTRS-R Social Prob.	1.34	0.98
Internalizing Problems		
TRF Internalizing	0.02	2.81**
TRF Anxious/Depressed	4.03**	2.63**
CTRS-R Anxious/Shy	0.02	2.29*

Note.  $^{a} = * p \le .10, ** p \le .05, *** p \le .01$ 



Table 2
Results of Repeated Measures ANOVAs for Parent and Teacher Measures from Times 1 to 3

	Time	Time x Group
Measure	F <sup>a</sup>	F <sup>a</sup>
Parent - completed		
Parental Stress		
PSI-III Child Domain	13.42***	0.00
PSI-III Parent Domain	1.69*	0.24
PSI-III Adaptability	6.13***	0.25
PSI-III Reinforces Parents	7.03***	1.18
PSI-III Total Score	10.23***	0.14
Externalizing Problems		
CBCL Externalizing	9.82***	0.57
CBCL Aggression	4.90**	1.01
CBCL Total	15.18***	0.63
CPRS-R Oppositional	19.15***	1.18
CPRS-R Hyperactivity	6.78***	0.01
SSRS Problem Behaviors	1.21	2.16*
Social Skills		
SSRS Social Skills	13.62***	0.04
CBCL Social Prob.	5.56**	0.57
CPRS-R Social Prob.	9.50***	0.70
Internalizing Problems		
CBCL Internalizing	3.53*	2.27
CBCL Anxious/Depressed	0.97	2.87
CPRS-R Anxious/Shy	5.92***	2.78**
CPRS-R Somatic Complaints	2.13	2.00
Teacher – completed		
Externalizing Problems		
TRF Externalizing	0.00	1.54
TRF Aggression	0.07	1.01
TRF Total	0.08	1.18
CTRS-R Oppositional	0.05	0.21
CTRS-R Hyperactivity	0.41	1.39
SSRS Problem Behaviors	0.41	0.60
Social Skills		
SSRS Social Skills	4.12**	0.20
TRF Social Prob.	0.56	0.37
CTRS-R Social Prob.	0.09	0.85
Internalizing Problems		206
TRF Internalizing	0.05	2.06
TRF Anxious/Depressed	0.25	1.15
CTRS-R Anxious/Shy	0.03	1.36

Note.  $a = p \le .10$ ,  $p \le .05$ ,  $p \le .01$ 



Table 3
Paired t-tests for Parent and Teacher-Completed Measures from Times 1 to 2

					C3	
Subtest	t(df)	p <sup>a</sup>	t(df)	p <sup>a</sup>	t(df)	p <sup>a</sup>
Parent - completed						
Parental Stress						
PSI-III Child Domain	1.36(16)	.10*	2.32(10)	.02**	5.42(16)	.00***
PSI-III Parent Domain	02(16)	.98	2.76(10)	.01***	2.95(16)	.01***
PSI-III Adaptability	.29(16)	.39	1.53(10)	.08*	1.89(16)	.04**
PSI-III Reinforces Parents	1.36(16)	.10*	.32(10)	.38	2.46(16)	.03**
PSI-III Total Score	.48(13)	.32	2.95(10)	.01***	1.67(16)	.06*
Externalizing Problems						
CBCL Externalizing	1.22(15)	.12	1.61(11)	.07*	-2.86 (16)	.01***
CBCL Aggression	99(15)	.17	2.16(11)	.03**	-4.10(16)	.00***
CBCL Total	0.47(15)	.33	1.99(11)	.04**	-3.32(16)	.00***
CPRS-R Oppositional	1.63(16)	.06*	5.70(11)	.00***	3.62(16)	.00***
CPRS-R Hyperactivity	1.25(16)	.11	3.72(11)	.00***	3.32(16)	.00***
SSRS Problem Behaviors	.15(16)	.50	43(11)	.34	-2.40(16)	.02**
Social Skills						
SSRS Social Skills	48(16)	.32	-2.95(11)	.01***	37(16)	.36
CBCL Social Prob.	.52(15)	.61	1.14(11)	.14	-3.96(16)	.00***
CPRS-R Social Prob.	.27(16)	.40	-1.15(11)	.28	4.05(16)	.00***
Internalizing Problems						
CBCL Internalizing	75(15)	.46	.80(11)	.22	-2.26(16)	.02**
CBCL Anxious/Depressed	.81(Ì5)	.43	.49(11)	.32	-4.65(16)	.00***
CPRS-R Anxious/Shy	1.79(16)	.05**	.83(11)	.21	3.17(16)	.00***
CPRS-R Somatic	.78(16)	.22	2.86(11)	.01***	.73(16)	.24
Complaints	1,0(10)		( )			
Teacher-completed						
Externalizing Problems						
TRF Externalizing	.47(15)	.32	.26(8)	.20	3.07(15)	.00***
TRF Aggression	.33(15)	.38	2.75(8)	.01***	3.94(15)	.00***
TRF Total	.33(15)	.38	05(8)	.96	3.63(15)	.00***
CTRS-R Oppositional	33(15)	.38	5.70(11)	.00***	3.96(15)	.00***
CTRS-R Oppositional CTRS-R Hyperactivity	2.50(15)	.01***	3.72(11)	.00***	4.75(15)	.00***
SSRS Problem Behaviors	.45(15)	.33	10(8)	.93	1.87(15)	.04**
	- ,					
Social Skills	2 06(15)	.03**	-1.03(8)	.17	-4.17(15)	.00***
SSRS Social Skills	-2.06(15)	.65	2.24(8)	.03**	2.76(15)	.01**
TRF Social Prob.	47(15)	.65 .36	-2.47(8)	.03	2.73(15)	.01**
CTRS-R Social Prob.	.38(15)	٥٤.	-2.47(0)	,∪-r	2.75(15)	
Internalizing Problems	07(15)	47	-1.19(8)	.27	1.00(15)	.17
TRF Internalizing	.07(15)	.47		.27 .05**	1.90(15)	.04**
TRF Anxious/Depressed	.05(15)	.48	1.93(8)	.60	1.46(15)	.04*
CTRS-R Anxious/Shy	-1.5(15)	15	55(8)	.00	1.40(13)	

<u>Note.</u>  $^{a} = ^{*}p \le .10, ^{**}p \le .05, ^{***}p \le .01$ 



Table 4
Paired t-tests for parent-completed Measures from Times 1 to 3

	•	Cl	С	2	(	C3
Subtest	t(df)	$p^a$	t(df)	p <sup>a</sup>	t(df)	$p^a$
Parent - completed	```					•
Parental Stress						•
PSI-III Child Domain	1.31(13)	0.11	2.10(7)	0.04**	3.08(8)	0.01***
PSI-III Parent Domain	-0.63(13)		1.61(7)	0.08*	1.70(8)	0.06*
PSI-III Adaptability	0.58(13)	0.29	2.41(7)	0.02**	1.59(8)	0.08*
PSI-III Reinforces Parents	0.53(13)	0.30	0.67(7)	0.29	3.08(8)	0.08*
PSI-III Total Score	0.21(13)	0.42	3.00(7)	0.01***	2.90(8)	0.01***
Externalizing Problems						
CBCL Externalizing	1.32(12)	0.11	0.78(7)	0.23	4.05(8)	0.00***
CBCL Aggression	0.04(12)	0.48	0.26(7)	0.40	4.01(8)	0.00***
CBCL Total	1.13(12)	0.14	1.39(7)	0.10*	4.09(8)	0.00***
CPRS-R Oppositional	2.46(12)	0.02**	1.65(7)	0.07*	3.52(8)	0.00***
CPRS-R Hyperactivity	0.59(12)	0.28	1.98(7)	0.04**	9.51(8)	0.00***
SSRS Problem Behaviors	1.31(11)	0.11	1.87(7)	0.05**	0.16(8)	0.44
Social Skills						
SSRS Social Skills	-1.71(11)	0.06*	-3.31(7)	0.01***	-2.29(8)	0.05*
CBCL Social Prob.	0.20(12)	0.42	0.92(7)	0.20	3.19(8)	0.07*
CPRS-R Social Prob.	1.59(12)	0.07*	0.53(7)	0.31	4.34(8)	0.00***
Internalizing Problems						
CBCL Internalizing	-0.30(12)	0.77	1.09(7)	0.21	1.63(8)	0.07*
CBCL Anxious/Depressed	-0.41(12)	0.69	1.96(7)	0.05**	0.39(8)	0.70
CPRS-R Anxious/Shy	1.97(12)	0.07*	0.78(7)	0.23	1.96(8)	0.04**
CPRS-R Somatic	0.08(12)	0.57	0.41(7)	0.40	2.47(8)	0.02**
Complaints	0.00(12)	0.57	0.17(7)	0.10	2.17(0)	0.02
Teacher – completed						
-						
Externalizing Problems	0.00(11)	0.24	0.52(0)	0.61	2.10(12)	0.02**
TRF Externalizing	-0.99(11)	0.34	-0.53(9)	0.61	2.10(13)	0.03**
TRF Aggression	-0.93(11)	0.37	-0.43(9)	0.67	2.41(13)	0.02**
TRF Total	-0.85(11)	0.41	1.41(9)	0.19	2.55(13)	0.01***
CTRS-R Oppositional	3.06(12)	0.01***	-1.17(8)	0.28	3.76(13)	0.00***
CTRS-R Hyperactivity	0.00(11)	1.00	-1.07(8)	0.32	2.42(13)	0.02**
SSRS Problem Behaviors	-0.91(11)	0.38	-1.58(8)	0.15	2.03(13)	0.06*
Social Skills						0.04444
SSRS Social Skills	-1.97(11)	0.04**	-0.30(8)	0.39	-3.02(13)	0.01***
TRF Social Problems	-1.71(11)	0.12	-1.61(9)	0.14	1.38(13)	0.10*
CTRS-R Social Problems	-0.94(11)	0.37	-1.93(8)	0.09*	2.73(13)	0.01***
Internalizing Problems						
TRF Internalizing	-0.42(11)	0.68	-0.73(9)	0.48	1.92(13)	0.04**
TRF Anxious/Depressed	-1.35(11)	0.21	<b>-</b> 0.68(9)	0.51	1.41(13)	0.09*
CTRS-R Anxious/Shy	-1.01(11)	0.34	-0.26(8)	0.80	3.04(13)	0.01***

<u>Note.</u>  $^{a} = ^{*}p \le .10, ^{**}p \le .05, ^{***}p \le .01$ 



Table 5
Gain score Between Group Effect Sizes (ES) for Parent and Teacher Completed Outcome
Measures for C1, C2, and C3 from Times 1-2

Measure	C1-C2	C2-C3	C1-C3
Parent - completed			
Parental Stress			
PSI-III Child Domain	.10	.47	.54
PSI-III Parent Domain	.18	.37	.47
PSI-III Adaptability	.54	06	.47
PSI-III Reinforces Parents	55	.79	01
PSI-III Total Score	.22	.24	.39
Externalizing Problems			
CBCL Externalizing	.01	1.03	.80
CBCL Aggression	.39	2.46	1.93
CBCL Total	.86	1.15	1.79
CPRS-R Oppositional	.14	.38	.38
CPRS-R Hyperactivity	52	.62	.13
SSRS Problem Behaviors	.96	78	.31
Social Skills			
SSRS Social Skills	.44	.68	.01
CBCL Social Prob.	1.04	2.32	3.55
CPRS-R Social Prob.	31	1.83	1.63
Internalizing Problems			
CBCL Internalizing	.94	.54	1.51
CBCL Anxious/Depressed	.74	1.51	2.27
CPRS-R Anxious/Shy	34	.32	.05
CPRS-R Somatic	.05	44	20
Complaints	.00	.,,	.20
Teacher – completed			
Externalizing Problems			
	20	07	00
TRF Externalizing TRF Aggression	20	.87	.89
TRF Aggression TRF Total	1.37	.27	1.61
	22	1.36	1.40
CTRS-R Oppositional	04	.66	.61
CTRS-R Hyperactivity	61	.78	.27
SSRS Problem Behaviors	21	.85	.66
Social Skills	1.4	20	1.16
SSRS Social Skills	.14	.38	1.16
TRF Social Prob.	1.83	.11	1.99
CTRS-R Social Prob.	42	1.33	.80
Internalizing Problems			
TRF Internalizing	49	.77	.25
TRF Anxious/Depressed	.53	.14	.64
CTRS-R Anxious/Shy	.12	.58	.53



Table 6
Gain Score Between Group Effect Sizes (ES) for Parent and Teacher Completed Outcome for Times 1-3

Measure	C1-C2	C2-C3	C1-C3
Parent - completed			
Parental Stress			
PSI-III Child Domain	21	.36	.16
PSI-III Parent Domain	.08	.41	.44
PSI-III Adaptability	05	.06	.01
PSI-III Reinforces Parents	06	.61	.33
PSI-III Total Score	.21	05	.15
Externalizing Duckland			
Externalizing Problems	0.7	0.1	1.21
CBCL Assertation	.07	.81	1.31
CBCL Aggression	.01	1.88	1.65
CBCL Total	.36	.68	1.12
CPRS-R Oppositional	19	.68	.46
CPRS-R Hyperactivity	35	1.08	.69
SSRS Problem Behaviors	.23	.15	.36
Social Skills			
SSRS Social Skills	.23	.06	.30
CBCL Social Prob.	.39	1.03	1.69
CPRS-R Social Prob.	.26	.73	.92
Internalizing Problems			
CBCL Internalizing	.40	.72	1.14
CBCL Anxious/Depressed	.28	.25	.51
CPRS-R Anxious/Shy	.71	.39	15
CPRS-R Somatic	.10	1.19	.28
Complaints	.10	1.17	.20
- TO 1			
Teacher-completed			
Externalizing Problems	<b>60</b>	0.4	1.02
TRF Externalizing	.68	.94	1.93
TRF Aggression	03	1.18	.49
TRF Total	41	1.77	1.75
CTRS-R Oppositional	12	.62	.55
CTRS-R Hyperactivity	47	.79	.36
SSRS Problem Behaviors	49	1.50	.60
Social Skills			
SSRS Social Skills	34	.30	.20
TRF Social Prob.	20	1.75	1.07
CTRS-R Social Prob.	78	1.08	.42
Internalizing Problems			
TRF Internalizing	38	.71	.52
TRF Anxious/Depressed	08	.88	.59
CTRS-R Anxious/Shy	06	.53	.40



Table 7
Within Group Effect Sizes (ES) for Parent and Teacher Completed Outcome Measures Times 1-2

Measure	C1	C2	C3
Parent - completed			
Parental Stress			
PSI-III Child Domain	.37	.71	.97
PSI-III Parent Domain	.05	.26	.73
PSI-III Adaptability	.10	.50	.46
PSI-III Reinforces Parents	.41	.08	.44
PSI-III Total Score	.22	.52	.58
Externalizing Problems			
CBCL Externalizing	.38	.53	.72
CBCL Aggression	.35	.70	1.49
CBCL Total	.22	.76	1.49
CPRS-R Oppositional	.44	.85	.83
CPRS-R Hyperactivity	.33	.02	.93
SSRS Problem Behaviors	.11	1.18	.15
Social Skills			
SSRS Social Skills	.16	.61	.19
CBCL Social Prob.	13	.44	.15
CPRS-R Social Prob.	.13	05	1.34
Internalizing Problems			
CBCL Internalizing	11	.46	.97
CBCL Anxious/Depressed	11	.37	1.57
CPRS-R Anxious/Shy	.48	.13	.60
CPRS-R Somatic	.36	.60	.27
Complaints	.50	.00	.27
Teacher completed			
Externalizing Problems			
TRF Externalizing	.09	.00	.51
	.09	.68	1.01
TRF Aggression TRF Total	.04	.03	
			.81
CTRS-R Oppositional	.44	12	.85
CTRS-R Hyperactivity	.33	04	.92
SSRS Problem Behaviors	.13	02	.55
Social Skills	40	22	
SSRS Social Skills	.43	.32	1.50
TRF Social Prob.	04	.57	.74
CTRS-R Social Prob.	.13	27	.64
Internalizing Problems			
TRF Internalizing	.11	11	.25
TRF Anxious/Depressed	.03	.55	.48
CTRS-R Anxious/Shy	.48	03	33_



16

Table 8
Within Group Effect Sizes (ES) for Parent and Teacher Completed Outcome Measures Times 1-3

Measure	C1	C2	C3
Parent - completed			
Parental Stress			
PSI-III Child Domain	.46	.50	.77
PSI-III Parent Domain	.10	.22	.76
PSI-III Adaptability	.31	.31	.37
PSI-III Reinforces Parents	.20	.25	.48
PSI-III Total Score	.29	.60	.49
Externalizing Problems			
CBCL Externalizing	.35	.53	.95
CBCL Aggression	.18	.25	1.02
CBCL Total	.52	.92	1.84
CPRS-R Oppositional	.48	.49	.95
CPRS-R Hyperactivity	.29	.11	1.54
SSRS Problem Behaviors	.41	.91	.33
Social Skills			
SSRS Social Skills	.44	.86	.73
CBCL Social Prob.	.25	.57	.83
CPRS-R Social Prob.	.38	.53	1.22
Internalizing Problems			
CBCL Internalizing	.13	.40	.95
CBCL Anxious/Depressed	.16	.36	.59
CPRS-R Anxious/Shy	.76	00	.67
CPRS-R Somatic	.04	.14	.58
Complaints		• • •	
Teacher – completed			
Externalizing Problems			
TRF Externalizing	31	04	.50
TRF Aggression	.03	.00	.70
TRF Aggression TRF Total	.03 13	.00 22	.62
	13 .48	22 36	.66
CTRS-R Oppositional			.62
CTRS-R Hyperactivity	.29	28	
SSRS Problem Behaviors	05	35	.41
Social Skills		0.0	1.07
SSRS Social Skills	.64	.09	1.27
TRF Social Prob.	<b>-</b> .13	23	.31
CTRS-R Social Prob.	.38	69	.37
Internalizing Problems		- <del>-</del>	20
TRF Internalizing	.01	17	.30
TRF Anxious/Depressed	13	32	.22
CTRS-R Anxious/Shy	.76	01	38





### U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)

National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)

# REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:			
Title:		ADHO	Children _
Randomized Trial of	Three Child Par	ent Traini	ng Groups for
Author(s): Linda Reddy, Ph. D. Da Corporate Source: Yvonne Hauch, n	nia Braunstein, BA., C	raig Springer	, B.A., Celina Bartik, B
Corporate Source: Yvonne Hauch, n	M.A., Tara Hall, M.A., Be	CKYBENISZ, MA,	Publication Date:
Fairleigh Dickinson University	1	en Giora	Aug, 2002
II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:  In order to disseminate as widely as possible time abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in Emedia, and sold through the ERIC Document Reprogranted, one of the following notices is affixed to earlif permission is granted to reproduce and dissent of the page.	ducation (RIE), are usually made availa duction Service (EDRS). Credit is give ch document.	able to users in microfice on to the source of each	he, reproduced paper copy, and electronic n document, and, if reproduction release is
The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below wil affixed to all Level 2A documents		The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY  Linda Reddy, Ph.D.	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIA MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONI FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBE HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	L IN C MEDIA	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN CROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURT INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	RCES 2B	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic ERIC archival collection subscribers	nic media for	Level 2B  Level 2B  ck here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only
	ents will be processed as indicated provided repro eproduce is granted, but no box is checked, docum		el 1.
as indicated above. Reproduction from to	he ERIC microfiche or electronic media holder. Exception is made for non-pro	by persons other than E	produce and disseminate these documents RIC employees and its system contractors ries and other service agencies to satisfy
Sign Signature:	2	Printed Name/Position/Title:	and air Massaches
here, → AMB Danie please organization/Address		Telephone: 201-191	-164 FAX: 201-692-2164
Fairleigh Dickinsonlln	IVERSITY FANECK, NJ 07666	E-Mail Address:	on Date: 0/1/03
	sychological Association August 22		<del> </del>

## III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of these documents from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of these documents. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:	
Address:	
Price:	
	RIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER: someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and
Name:	
Address:	
V.WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:	
Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:	ERIC Counseling & Student Services University of North Carolina at Greensboro 201 Ferguson Building PO Box 26171 Greensboro, NC 27402-6171

