#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 472 937 EF 006 229

AUTHOR Crader, Allan B.; Holloway, Kenneth; Stauffacher, Alan

TITLE Perceptions of Successful Strategies and Passage of School

Bond Issues.

PUB DATE 2002-03-07

NOTE 9p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American

Education Finance Association (Albuquerque, NM, March 7-9,

2002).

PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE EDRS Price MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS \*Bond Issues; Predictor Variables; School Construction;

\*Success

#### **ABSTRACT**

This study examined strategies that could assist public school administrators and school boards with the passage of bond issues. It specifically looked at such issues as the time of year that an issue was presented to voters, the nexus between community relations and successful passage, and leadership structure of bond campaigns. A survey instrument was developed and sent to 100 school districts in Missouri that had sought a bond issue. The results revealed little correlation between the researched aspects and success; while most superintendents readily indicate that they have a successful technique, the process may in fact involve only luck. The study calls for additional research. (EV)



# Presented at American Education Finance Association Annual Meeting March 7-9 2002 Albuquerque, New Mexico

Allan B. Crader, Professor Southwest Missouri State University Kenneth Holloway, Associate Professor Southwest Missouri State University Alan Stauffacher, High School Principal Stockton. Mo.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

originating it.

Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Allan Crader Kenneth Holloway

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)



#### **Abstract**

Perceptions of Successful Strategies and Passage of School Bond Issues

Modern educational facilities that provide students with the best environment for learning should be a priority in .America. Much is being discussed across the ration regarding the crumbling of school buildings in general, and the perplexities of how to correct the situation. The necessity of paying for facilities falls on the backs of the taxpayer who is reluctant to accommodate increasing his or her tax burden. This often leads to conflict between the taxpayer and the public schools' mandate to provide high quality educational facilities. Consequently administration and school boards must seek winning bond strategies without alienating their constituents.

This study was conducted to research what strategies existed that would assist public school administrators and school boards with the passage of bond issues. It specifically looked at such issues as the time of year that an issue was preserved to voters. Other issues such as the nexus between community relations and successful passage were also considered. Leadership structure of bond campaigns and its implications were also a part of the study

A survey instrument was developed and sent to one hundred out of one hundred eighty school districts m Missouri that had sought aboard issue A Pearson r was used for statistical purposes to analyze the relationship between each of the research questions using the significance level of .005 to indicate sufficient relationship.

Little correlation occurred in the study, raising a number of questions about how to assist school districts in the successful passage of a bond issue. Most superintendents will readily indicate that they have a successful technique when m fact the whole process may be an act of pure luck. It is obvious from this study that additional research must be conducted to identify those successful strategies.



Perceptions of Successful Strategies and Passage of School Bond Issues

Modern educational facilities that provide students with the best environment for learning should be a priority in America. Each student should have the opportunity to obtain an education from facilities that stimulate growth for the future. Throughout the United States, the predominant way to improve facilities is to pass bond issues that require citizens to bear the burden through increased property taxes. Increasing populations, deteriorating older structures and modern technology have created in many schools an immediate need for new facilities. Because of this critical issue schools must find ways to encourage voters to support bond issues through tax levy increases.

The importance of this study is to inform researchers and other interested educators of the most successful methods used to pass bond issues. Many school districts are in need of a successful plan of action to submit to school boards, students, parents and community members. "For many school districts already struggling to pay for essential budget items, such repair and building costs cannot come out of the usual tax revenues, and in many cases opposition to such projects is too great to overcome" (Boschee & Holt, 1999, p. 12).

The purpose of this study is to assess the relationship of strategies used by schools and their success with bond issues. The following research questions were posed in this study:

- 1. Is there a positive relationship between strategies used and a school bond's success?
- 2. Is there a positive relationship between the time of year a bond issue is placed on a ballot and its success"
- 3. Is there a positive relationship between community relations and a school bond's success?



- 4. Are there common negative factors associated with a school bond's failure'
- 5. Is there a positive relationship between die leadership structure of a bond campaign and its success

Two critical components in most school districts involve the senior citizen and parental vote. As the age of the average vote continues to climb the school district must find selling points to the population of the community Framer (2001) noted: Older voters gel out to the polls and vole self interest. They vote more often than younger citizens and education isn't at the top of their priority list. Will the growing elderly population pass school budgets and bond issues? The challenge of school districts is how to get this segment of the population active in the schools so that they see the needs of the future.

A strategy developed by the superintendent of Lake Washington Schools to get senior citizen interest in the schools' activities. Scarf (1995) suggested that senior voters' lack of support comes from not having school age children. Offering them passes good for free admission to all sports events, plays and other school activities allows them to become involved. Keeping the older community involved and enthusiastic helps prevent levies from being voted down.

Carter (1995) considers parents as the focus of bond issues. Parents should be the target of bond issues because their children have the most to gain from its passage. Teachers should get the word out through flyers with the children. They must see what is at stake if the bond fails and how a vote against the proposal will be a vote against the future of their children. Parents need to see what benefits their children will receive so they will be getting the most return from their tax dollars. If the parents are not convinced the bond will benefit their children, they will not support the bond.



#### Instrumentation

The survey instrument was divided into eight sections. The first section sought information from superintendents about being a part of bond issues, reasons why bond issues failed and what was the optimal tine for preparation for a bond issue. The next section was devoted to the planning stages of bond issues. The third section sought answers to the use of community meetings used m bond issues. The fourth section was devoted to the use of the newspaper and radio. Section rive was devoted to campaign strategies. Questions about the campaign structure were asked about in the next section and the last section was devoted to community relations.

#### **Administration Procedures**

Superintendents in the study were selected based cm their years in administration and from knowledge obtained on recent bond issues having been proposed m their school districts. Front a total of 180 high schools, 100 were randomly chosen to participate m the survey Analysis of the Data

The Pearson r was used for statistical purposes to analyze the relationship between each of the research questions and the passage of a bond issue. A relationship of 1.00 would be considered a perfect correlation. A significance factor at the 005 level was determined to indicate a sufficient relationship between variables. The variable of "Percentage of Yes Votes" and six sub-divisions of questions were analyzed. None of the variables had a sufficient relationship to meet the criteria of significance as the 005 level



From this information the researcher selected the top five strategies as viewed by the superintendents surveyed. The percentage of times the strategy was viewed as 'very good or good" was the criteria for the selection of the top five strategies

The strategy to "provide senior citizens with free admission to activities" was viewed by the superintendents surveyed as a popular technique on a five-point Likert scale 4.07 indicated that superintendents perceived this technique would help pass a bond issue. This technique had a response of fifty seven percent in the "good, very good" categories.

The "use of senior citizens in schools" had an average response of 4 13 on a five point Likert scale. Sixty seven percent of the respondents felt this was a "very good" at "good" strategy.

The superintendents surveyed responded that the "use of the newspaper to report school needs" was effective The response was 4.24 on a five-point Likert scale with respondents selecting "very good" or "good" seventy three per cent of the time.

Allowing "community use of facilities" was the second most popular technique wish a score of 4.33 on a five-point Likert scale. Sixty Seven percent viewed this strategy as "very good" or "good"

The respondents selected "using influential community members" as the most effective strategy in passing bond issues. Their score on a five-point Liken scale was J 62 with eighty five percent of those surveyed indicating that this technique was "very good" or "good" Recommendations

This study did not produce significant correlation between the strategies used and the passage of bad issues. The ability of school districts to determine what significant factors affect the



outcome of a bond is difficult to understand. There are many confounding factors that are difficult to distinguish when determining voter out-comes. Districts contemplating a hand issue should engage in data gathering through surveys and meetings prior to any bond issue.

- 1. Is there a positive relationship between strategies used and a school bond's success?
- 2. The use of paid consultants to provide resources, plan a campaign, and foster at understanding of the district demographics should be considered.
- 3. Make every effort to involve senior citizens in the public schools through programs of volunteerism, free admittance to activities, and service on school committees
- 4. Seek out those community members who display leadership abilities and keep them involved in the schools.
- 5. Sub-divide the responsibilities of the campaigning into manageable units.



#### SELECTED REFERENCES

Boschee, F., Holt (1999) <u>School Bond Success: A strategy for Building America's Schools</u>.

Lancaster. Technomic

Carter. M.A. (1995). How to blow a bond issue-or not, if you'd prefer Clearinghouse 68,289.

Frazier, I. A1 (1993) Will the growing elderly population pass school budgets

and bond Issues www.aasa.org/issues/leadership/trends/tsld006.htm

Scarr, B. (1995). How to get Senior Citizens Votes for Pricey School Levies: Buy them Curriculum Review. 34 No.7, p.6



FROM : EDUCATIONAL ADMINISTRATION PHONE NO. : Oct. 08 2002 10:55AM P2



### U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



## REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

Authority Condon Allon Molle	way Kon Stauffachen Alan	
Author(s): Crader, Allan; Hollo	way, ken; Stauttacher, Alan	
Corporate Source:		Publication Date: 2002
I. REPRODUCTION RELEASE	:	
nonthly abstract journal of the ERIC system. Re electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Doc elease is granted, one of the following notices i	the timely and significant meterials of interest to the edu- sources in Education (RIE), are usually made available current Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to is afficied to the document.	to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, o the source of each document, and, if reproduc
The compte sticker shown below will be affect to all Level 1 deputhents	The sample sticker shown polow will be affined to all Level 2A documents	The compre sticker attout before will be affixed to an Level 20 documents
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, MAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED R
Sattlyle		sample
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
1.	2A	2B
	Level 2A	Level 2B
Check here for Level 1 referese, permitting repreduction and discemination in microfiche or other ERIC gratified media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and is electronic modile for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	Chack hare for Level 28 release, permitting reproduct and discombiguism in meterians only
Do If permission	ocuments will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality pa to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be produ	emile. 1980S at Level 1.
ita system contractors requires	nal Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclush Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic n permission from the copyright holder. Exception is mad matten needs of educators in response to discrete inc	nedia by persons other than ERIC employees a to for non-coall reproduction, by liberies and of
Sign Sem Alle X	land Gala Renneth	Continuity is social a for

OCT-15-2002 08:00

please

3015524700

5MSU, Department of Educational Asm

National. Springfield, 100. 65304

,

99%

(Over)

ERIC FACILITY

PHONE NO. :

#1179 P.003/003

Oct. 08 2002 10:57AM P1

P RT

# III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more etringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

<del></del>
Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:
/.REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:
he right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name address:
dress:
Name:
Addresse:
worest.
•
WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:
iend this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:
vaver, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility 4483-A Forbes Boulevard Lanham, Maryland 20708

> Telephone: 301-552-4200 Toll Free: 800-799-3742 FAX: 301-552-4700 e-mall: ericfac@inet.ed.gov WWW: http://eriofacility.org

88 (Rev. 2/2001)