DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 469 752 TM 034 543

AUTHOR Fenton, Ray

TITLE Alaska Developmental Profile, 2001-2002. Summary Report.

INSTITUTION Alaska State Dept. of Education and Early Development,

Juneau.; Anchorage School District, AK.

PUB DATE 2002-04-00

NOTE 8p.

PUB TYPE Reports - Descriptive (141)

EDRS PRICE EDRS Price MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *Child Development; *Elementary School Students; Grade 1;

Kindergarten; *Kindergarten Children; Primary Education;
*School Readiness; State Programs; *Student Evaluation

IDENTIFIERS *Alaska

ABSTRACT

This document presents a profile of the development of Alaska kindergarten and first grade students in fall 2001. Alaska teachers completed reports for 13,688 kindergarten and first grade students at that time. Most were found to exhibit important behaviors associated with school successes on the Alaska Developmental Profile Recording Form. Assessments came from 365 schools in 54 districts. There is no single district-to-district standard for the behaviors that meet developmental questions on the form, so criteria for, "fine motor development," for example, may differ among districts. A guide to good practice is distributed by the state. Differences among districts are substantial. In Anchorage, 25% of students were not considered to demonstrate "physical well-Being," but in Kenai, only 2% did not receive a "yes" in this category. These differences may be the result of not having a single standard. Overall, whatever the community or standard, most students were found by their teachers to be in the "yes" category when assessed on characteristics that are related to school activities in important ways. An improved Profile form could better identify differences that exist in the areas of academic skills. (SLD)



Summary Report

Alaska Developmental Profile 2001-2002

Prepared for the Alaska Department of Education and Early Development by the Assessment and Evaluation Department of the Anchorage School District based on data collected from Alaska Schools in fall 2001.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

R. Fenton

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

Ray Fenton Assessment and Evaluation Anchorage School District April 2002



Acknowledgements

This report could not have been completed without the support and assistance of hundreds of Alaska teachers and school staff members who assessed each of their young students. The staff of the Alaska Department of Alaska Department of Education and Early Development including particularly Ed McLain. Richard Smiley, and Paul Sugar spent a substantial amount of time organizing, editing, and scanning in an effort to assure the quality of the data collected and summarized. The staff of the Anchorage School District including Carol Comeau, Superintendent, and Dale Cope, Executive Director of Curriculum and Assessment, who supported the allocation district staff to the project. The Staff of the Anchorage School District Assessment and Evaluation Department including Sarah Hotchkiss, Cindy Goggins, Tom Straugh and, particularly, Neil O Leary who prepared summary reports for all school and districts in Alaska as well as the database for this summary report.



Alaska teachers completed reports for 13,688 kindergarten and first grade students during the fall semester of 2001. Most students were found to exhibit the important behaviors associated for school success identified on the Alaska Developmental Profile Recording Form (Appendix A).

Table 1
Students Assessed by Question
Alaska Developmental Profile 2001-2002

Profile Area	Students
·	Assessed
	13,688
Physical Well-Being	13.506
Fine Motor Development	13,532
Gross Motor Development	13,402
Speaking	13,534
Listening	13,532
Emergent Reading	13,553
Emergent Writing	13,528
Social Development	13,570
Approaches to Learning	13,555
Problem Solving	13,511
Number Concepts	13,543
Attended Pre-School	13,354
Health Data (Goes beyond State Requirements)	13,236
Special Education Individual Education Plan (IEP)	13,268

Student assessments were identified as coming from 365 schools located in 54 districts with only 12 students who could not be placed by school or district based on the information recorded on the forms submitted. Nineteen schools reported on only one student. There were also twenty-four sites that reported on more than 100 students. The average school in the larger urban districts (Anchorage, Fairbanks, Mat-Su) reported on more students than the dozen smallest school districts in the state reflecting the continuing diversity of Alaska schools and communities.

Teachers were asked to answer "Yes" for each element of the Alaska Developmental Profile where "The child exhibits the behaviors." Since no single standardized assessment is used across the state so the criteria for marking down a "Yes" differs from district-to-district. A guide is provided by the State of Alaska on good assessment practice and suggestions have been made for districts to model on the standardized approach taken in Fairbanks, Mat-Su, Kenai. and Anchorage but there is no single district-to-district standard or assessment.

Whatever the district, the answer is "Yes" for most students on every one of the elements of the Alaska Developmental Profile. Table 2 shows the percentage of students found to be able to display the behaviors associated with Physical Well-Being and Motor



Development, Language and Literacy Development, Personal Social Development. Thinking and Cognitive Development

Table 2 All Students Percentage of Students with a "Yes" Response For students with a "Yes" or "No"

Profile Area	Students Assessed	Percent Yes		
	,			
Physical Well-Being	13,506	91%		
Fine Motor Development	13,532	88%		
Gross Motor Development	13.402	91%		
Speaking	13,534	92%		
Listening	13,532	87%		
Emergent Reading	13,553	80%		
Emergent Writing	13,528	84%		
Social Development	13,570	89%		
Approaches to Learning	13,555	89%		
Problem Solving	13,511	86%		
Number Concepts	13,543	86%		
Attended Pre-School	13,354	56%		
Health Data (Goes beyond State Requirements)	13,236	56%		
Special Education Individual Education Plan (IEP)	13.268	9%		

As might be expected from a system where the assessment is based on local school or district standards, the differences among districts is substantial. Some districts have no students who were given a "No" in any category. Districts that have standardized the assessment practice within the district and require specific pre-defined behaviors to be exhibited to qualify a student for a "Yes" tends to have more students identified with a "No."

Physical Well-Being provides an example of where the community standards may be notably different. Looking at the urban areas of Alaska, the differences are substantial when you consider the number of "No" responses. Here we find that Anchorage reported 25% "No", Fairbanks reported less than 2% "No", Juneau reported less than 4% "No", Mat-Su reported almost 4% "No" and Kenai reported 2% as "No". It is hard to believe that the differences would be this large if all of the teachers were using the same standard in making a judgment that students are physically well nourished, well rested, and dressed for the environment.

In the more academic areas where students are asked to demonstrate that they have skills that are tied to success in school, the percentage of students with "No" translate into notable numbers of students who may be starting a little behind their peers. There are 1.621 students identified relative to Fine Motor Development, 1,190 students in Gross



Motor development, 1,116 students in Speaking, 1,700 students in listening, 2,704 students in Emergent Reading, 2,115 students in Emergent Writing, 1,498 students in Social Development, and 1,530 students in Approaches to Learning, 1,940 students in Problem Solving, and 1,881 in Number Concepts.

The majority of students, 7,536 of our 13,354, are reported to have some sort of preschool or daycare. The proportion is different from community to community with North Slope reporting that only 12 of 274 did not have pre-school while Anchorage reports that only 705 of 3,499 had pre-school. The differences reported suggest that students in various communities come to school with vastly different experiences in socialization.

Because of the differences in standards and expectations, it is hard to draw any but the most general conclusions:

- Most of the students in the State of Alaska were assessed on a number of behaviors at the start of Kindergarten.
- There are substantial differences in the number of Kindergarten students from school-to-school and community-to-community.
- There are notable and significant differences in how teachers rate students from school-to-school and community-to-community which is just as likely due to differences in standards and methods of assessments as it is due to differences in the students.
- Whatever the community or the standard, most of the students were found by their teachers to be in the "Yes" category when assessed on characteristics that are related to school activities in important ways.
- In general, there were more students who were not found to be in the "Yes" categories in the areas that are related to academic skills such as Emergent Reading

The Alaska Developmental Profile would have to be improved and standardized to allow more insightful conclusions and comparisons on the status of students in various communities and school districts. The current form of the Profile is detailed enough to suggest that there may be differences. An improved Profile could do more to pinpoint the differences particularly in the areas of emergent academic skills.



Appendix A

Alaska Developmental Profile Recording Form 2001-2002



		RATINGS	YES = The	the behaviors	-	NO = The child does	not yet exhibit the	hehaviors									Teachar:
CHILD	POOR SENT OF THE S			z				z	=								
244	IEP Chille of Gring le by Ming goes beyond		> -	> -	> <u>-</u>	≻	> -	>-	>	>	>	>	>	>-	>	>-	_ ≻
	A TTENDED DATE SHORT SHOT SHORT SHOR	6	Z.	Z	z	Z.	z	z	z	z	z,	z	=	~	z	z	z
GNITIVE NT	AEALTH CAND CASE HEAD SOL		>-	ž	⊁.	≿ .	Σ	. ≻ـِ	ج	≿	>-	>:	>-:	> -	≿	≻	>
THINKING AND COGNITIVE	AT FEAL OF SARES AT FEAL OF SARES A TEAL OF SARES A TE	5	Z	Z.	z	z	Z	Z	z	z	z	z	z	2	Z	z	Z
HINKING	Story of the series of the ser	<u> </u>	≻:	<u> </u>	>	≻_	<u>≻</u>	<u>~</u>	<u>→</u>			>	_ ≿	>-	>	>	<u> </u>
	ALM ESA CONNECTION OF SIGNAL STANDARD CONTROL OBJECTORS OF SIGNAL STANDARD CONTROL OBJECTORS OF SIGNAL STANDARD CONTROL OBJECTORS OF SIGNAL SI	(D)	Z	z .	Z	z :	2	z	z	Z)	z	*	z	z	=	z	Z
OF SOCIAL	SUIPLO DIVIN SWO PUE D		<i>≻.</i> .z.	×.	ž.	Æ Æ	ž Z	Si Zi	<u>ج</u> ع	% ≭	2	X: X:	> Z	×	>- Z `	≻- Z	> 2
OING FOR sent personal personal pevelopment	Wide Sames source Strong Service Strong Service Strong Service Strong Service Service Strong Service Strong Service Strong Service Strong Service Serv	e	×.	¥	<i>Σ.</i> . ≻Ξ	<u>~</u> . ≿	٤	٤	. Z	<u>۾</u> ج	ε	∑	<u>∠</u> <u>≥</u> .	Σ.	<u>∠</u> ∑	z ≽	<u>ک</u>
LE RECORDING FORM Early Development DLITERACY PERSONAL-SOCIAL MENT DEVELOPMENT	A Span Sourier is along the state of the sta	e	z		z		z	z	z		Z:	z.		Z:			z
ORI	SOCIAL DE VELOPINENT DISTURBS OF GRAS DICTURBS OF WORKS BEGINNS OF SECONDS OF	'	≻ .	>_	`≥≅	≻_	£	×Ē.	ڃ	æ	Σ	Æ	Æ	×	Σ	E	≥.
EC. Deve	A Segratively with Device	5	z	Z.	Z	z.	Z:	z	Z	Z	Z	Ż)	Z:	Z:	Z	Z)	z
Early De	Symbols (b) fell a slory diams pictures of swall vields a femiliar symbols (b) fell a slory diams pictures of supplementations		>-	₹.	ž	Ξ	€.	Σ	Æ.	Š	×	ێ	_ ⊱	8	æ>	\£	S
Eω	EMERS CAT WE SIMPLE MO SUP GINDIE ON SUP GIORIN SON SUP GENON WE SUP SELECTION OF THE SUP	.	z	Z.	z	z	Ξ.	Z	z	Z.	:2 :	2.	2.	z	Z	Z	Z
AL PROFI Education & LANGUAGE AND DEVELOP	SNOT SAMES INTO SAME SAMES	4	¥.	λ.	.₹	×	×.	Σ	Σ	Æ	Σ.	÷	Έ	> .	⊱	Σ.	æ
~	EMERSENT SENT SE	σ	=	≖	z ⊁	Z .	z	z .	z ∑	z 	Z	Z ` =.	2 .	Z '	z .	Z)	Z
TA of Eq	34-	'	<u>>-</u> =2	z	2	<u>≯</u> .	Z.	×	z	¥) × (z	Z .	Æ æ	<i>⊱</i>) z	<i>∑</i> : 2 .	E. 20	X)
TEN rent	Soen residence of the solution		>	≻ -	>-	>	> .	 <u>≻</u> :	>=	<u></u>	~ خ	 ×=		 Æ	æ	~	٤
DPM artm me A	AS SAIN A TO SAIN THE SAIN AND		z	z	Z.	z	z	Z.	z	Z.	Z	¥	z	Z.	Z.	Z	z
VELOP Rea Departi Well-neing Developmen	Spe EAK Co Sing Walking of hope		≻ .	>	>	×	≻ ¯	χ.	>-	ŗ.	Æ	Ē	Ξ	<u>52</u> .	Έ	×S.	Σ
ALASKA DIZVELOPMENTAL Alaska Department of Edu GENDER PHYSICAL WELL-BEING AND LA MOTORI DEVEL OPRIENT	Sack out of the solution of th	y !	z	. =	z	z	z	z	z	z	Z	Z.	z	z	.z	Z.	Z:
A DE Alasi PHYSICAL	Files and Glosses	_	>	Y .	≻.	⊁	>	٤	≿	×	¥	≽	يخ	≥.	Æ	Ě	Σ
SK/	TINE LIGHT SEASON OF THE	,	Z	Z	Z	z	z	Z	z	Z	Z	Z	Z	z	Z	Z	Z
ALAS Gender	MONTH OF SELVICE BOOK	-	,	>	> -	> 2	≻. Z	z	≿ z	≥ ≃	≻. Zv	<u>ج</u>	≻ .	> <u>-</u> 22:	Æ: æ	×	E)
	AN OF EN PART AND		 ≻ <u>:</u>	. ≅ . - '8	 جَ	۔ نیز ·	· >	<u>کے</u> .	 ≻-	<u>-</u> چ	<i>z.</i> ≿	z, ∑.	Z.	2. S	ڪ ج	Σ	Σ .
darken omplete	T		<u> </u>	<u>.</u>	· ·	ı	u <u> </u>	ت <u>ن</u>	<u></u>	<u>-</u>	<u> </u>	E.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u></u>	<u> </u>
Please darken blocks completely	SAMPLE WOOM SAMPLE AND SAMPLE AND SAMPLE AND SAMPLE WOOM SAMPLE AND SAMPLE AN	:	Σ	¥	Ξ	₹.	Σ	Σ	¥	Σ	Σ	×	×	. ₹	Σ	₹.	3 0
# VE ERIC 1	2.2.2.2. [9] [2, 2.2] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4	Ξ				BE	ST (COP	Υ Α'	VAIL	_ABI	LE					



U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

	(Specific Document)	
I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION	\:	
Title: Summary Rep Profile 2001 Author(s): Pay Fent	evi : Alaska Kinde	rgarten Development
Corporate Source: Assessum		Publication Date: April 2002
and electronic media, and sold through the ERI reproduction release is granted, one of the follow	timely and significant materials of interest to the ecsources in Education (RIE), are usually made avail C Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Creding notices is affixed to the document.	ducational community, documents announced in the able to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy lit is given to the source of each document, and, is a source of the following three options and sign at the bottom
The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to ell Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
Level 1	Level 2A	Level 2B
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction end dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction end dissemination in microfiche end in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only
I hereby grant to the Educational Resources indicated above. Reproduction from	reduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be process. The second content of the second content	sion to reproduce and disseminate this document sons other than ERIC employees and its system aproduction by libraries and other service agencies

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:		
Address:		
Price:		
	-	
	O COPYRIGHT/REPRODUC	CTION RIGHTS HOLDER: ressee, please provide the appropriate name and
If the right to grant this reproduction rele address:		
If the right to grant this reproduction rele		
If the right to grant this reproduction releaddress: Name:		

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

University of Maryland

ERIC Clearinghouse on Assessment and Evaluation

1129 Shriver Laboratory College Park, MD 20742

Attn: Acquisitions

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility

4483-A Forbes Boulevard Lanham, Maryland 20706

Telephone: 301-552-4200

Toll Free: 800-799-3742 FAX: 301-552-4700

e-mail: info@ericfac.piccard.csc.com

WWW: http://ericfacility.org

ERIC AFUITER PROJECTO STIC 3 (Rev. 9/97)

PREVIOUS VERSIONS OF THIS FORM ARE OBSOLETE.