

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 466 995

CE 083 612

AUTHOR Munoz, Maria D.; Munoz, Marco A.
TITLE Recruitment and Selection in Business and Industry: Learning from the Private Sector Theory and Practice.
PUB DATE 1999-05-00
NOTE 10p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Training and Development (Atlanta, GA, May 22-27, 1999).
PUB TYPE Information Analyses (070) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)
EDRS PRICE EDRS Price MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS Attitudes; College Graduates; Comparative Analysis; Employment Opportunities; *Employment Practices; Guidelines; Information Sources; Job Applicants; *Labor Force Development; Literature Reviews; Minority Groups; Occupational Tests; Organization Size (Groups); Personality Measures; Personnel Management; *Personnel Selection; *Private Sector; *Recruitment; Sex Bias; Small Businesses; Theory Practice Relationship
IDENTIFIERS *Human Resource Specialists; Recruiter Role

ABSTRACT

Recruitment and selection practices in the private sector were examined through a literature review to identify strategies that human resource (HR) departments can use in designing new employee recruitment and selection processes or improving existing processes. The following were among the findings: (1) new employees recruited by using informal sources of recruitment such as employee referrals, rehires, and self-initiated walk-ins had a greater job survival within the organization than did new employees recruited through formal sources such as newspapers, radio advertisements, and posters; (2) small businesses tended to use more informal and unstructured recruitment and selection mechanisms than large organizations do; (3) job applicants did not have an adverse reaction toward organizations that administer personality inventories provided the inventories are applied in combination with ability tests during employee selection procedures; (4) different organizations preferred different selection strategies depending on the relative value they put on performance and minority representation at the organization; (5) for most positions requiring a college degree, organizations preferred hiring experienced people rather than new graduates; (6) some recruiters allowed personal biases to influence their selection decisions; and (7) female recruiters tended to evaluate female applicants more positively than male applicants, whereas male recruiters' evaluations were not influenced by sex similarities. (Contains 17 references.) (MN)

ED 466 995

Running Head: RECRUITMENT AND SELECTION

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS
BEEN GRANTED BY

M. Munoz

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

Recruitment and Selection in Business and Industry:
Learning from the Private Sector Theory and Practice

Maria D. Munoz

University of Louisville

Marco A. Munoz

Jefferson County Public Schools

Paper prepared for presentation at the Annual Meeting of
the American Society for Training and Development (ASTD).

CE 083 612



Recruitment and Selection in Business and Industry

Human Resource (HR) departments are being pressured by top managers to prove their effectiveness by demonstrating a direct contribution to the organization's bottom-line (Holton, 1996; Phillips, 1997). One of the most frequent and relevant responsibilities of HR is hiring and selecting new employees. The HR department has to guarantee that the organization hires the best person. Many organizations consider that any financial impact from recruitment and selection processes will be showed in high productivity rates from new employees. Currently, Humana Inc. has gone beyond this goal by proving that the recruiting process itself can have direct contribution to a corporation's bottom-line. Humana Inc. saved more than \$12 million by restructuring their recruitment function (Anfuso, 1999).

Considering the relevance of recruitment and selection processes for HR departments, this literature review focuses on some of those variables that researchers have found being connected with these processes. With this information, HR departments can design and apply improved recruitment and selection processes based on sound information. The first section of this literature review describes, in general terms, how the application of some recruitment and selection strategies are related with specific outcomes, namely applicant's job survival, level of job performance, hiring people from minority groups, and hiring experienced or inexperienced workers. The second section focuses on one specific strategy, the recruiter's role. Research findings state how the recruiter -as one of the actors in the hiring process, has a definite effect on results from the recruitment and selection process.

Saks (1994) studied the effects of using different recruitment sources and organization's information on new employees' job survival. The author found that new employees recruited by using informal sources of recruitment, namely employee referrals, rehires, and self-initiated

walk-ins, had a greater job survival within the organization compared with new employees recruited through formal sources, namely newspaper and radio advertisements, and posters. At the same time, the results supported that there was a relationship between receiving accurate job information and job survival by meeting new employees' expectations. Accordingly, Barber, Hollengeck, Tower, and Philips (1994) indicated that applicants would acquire more information from interviews focusing exclusively on recruitment. The authors stated that these results were stronger when applicants had low cognitive ability, high levels of anxiety, and low self-monitoring skills.

In contrast, the recruitment and selection procedures utilized by small businesses (ten or fewer workers) to hire young people are different from those procedures applied by large organizations. Unlike large organizations, small businesses tended to use more informal and unstructured mechanisms. In addition, employers from small businesses consider applicant's personality characteristics, namely honesty, integrity, and interest in the job, more important than ability, aptitude, or attainment (Bartram, Lindley, Marshall, & Foster, 1995).

Regarding the effect of applying tests during recruitment and selection processes, Rosse, Miller, and Stecher (1994) examined applicant's reactions to personality and cognitive ability testing. The authors found that job applicants will not have an adverse reaction toward the organization when personality inventories are applied in combination with ability tests during employee selection procedures.

Posterior research explored how the practice of different selection strategies would affect hiring people with different levels of performance and having minority representation at the organization. The study concluded that organizations would prefer some selection strategies depending on the relative value that they put on performance and minority representation.

Therefore, workers' levels of performance and minority representation are variables that can be controlled by the organization (Sackett, & Roth, 1996).

Another interesting issue is related to organizations' hiring decisions regarding experienced workers versus new graduates. Rynes, Orlitzky, and Bretz (1997) investigated that a majority of positions that required a college degree were filled with experienced workers. Organizations preferred experienced people because they tended to have higher business understanding, knowledge of competition, realistic expectations, technical skills, interpersonal skills, writing skills, work ethic, likelihood of success, and personal ethics. Besides, the fact of having more experienced employees is associated with organizational growth, short-term staffing strategies, older workforce, and less dynamic business environments. Therefore, organizations would rather have higher proportions of experienced people than new graduates, even though young people tended to be more open-minded and showed higher willingness and ability to learn new things.

Regarding the role of the recruiter, Brown and Campion (1994) discovered that, during the resumé screening process, recruiters were making judgements about bio-data like sources of both applicant's abilities (language, math, and physical) and other attributes, namely interpersonal skills, leadership, and motivation. Therefore, recruiters would rate resúmes depending on how much they considered the person had the attributes required by the job based on their interpretation of bio-data information during the resumé screening process.

In conformity to these findings, other researchers have suggested that recruiter's judgment could be biased. For example, Pingitore, Dugoni, Tindale, and Spring (1994) indicated that there is a bias against hiring obese women. Although personality attributions could influence the decision of not to hire an overweight job applicant, researchers consider that these results have to

do more with the influence of image on recruiters' personal judgements. Furthermore, another study demonstrated the effect of sex similarity on interviewers' evaluation of applicants. Graves, and Powell (1996) found that female recruiters tend to evaluate more positively those job applicants that are women, and report better interview experiences with female applicants. However, male recruiters' evaluations of applicants and interview quality were not influenced by sex similarities. The researchers proposed that the intervention of gender different communication styles, social identification processes, and reactions to organizational equal opportunity policies could explain these dissimilar results.

Adkins, Russell and Werbel (1994) mentioned early research that found that person-organization fit –defined as congruent work values, is related to job satisfaction, organizational commitment, reporting to work on time, and intention to remain with the organization. Therefore, the authors examined the role of congruent work values on recruiters' judgements regarding applicants fit within the organization. Findings showed that when applicants' and recruiter's work values were compatible, it influenced recruiter's judgement of general employability and applicant-organization fit. Authors did not find a relationship between recruiters' judgement of employability and organization fit with recruiters' perception of applicants' and organization's work value.

From another point of view, other researchers have focused on how recruiters influence applicants' decisions and organizations' perception. In 1995, Goltz and Giannantonio studied the relationship between recruiter friendliness and both applicants' attraction to the job and positive inferences about organizational characteristics. Findings indicated that a friendly recruiter made applicants having significant more positive inferences regarding organizational characteristics,

even though the recruiter did not provide this information. Moreover, friendly recruiters affected positively applicants' attraction to the job.

Connerley and Rynes (1997) studied the influence of recruiters' behavior and organizational recruitment support on applicant's perception of recruiter's effectiveness. In this research, applicants showed a variety of impressions. These impressions were related with applicants' perception of recruiters' concern for the applicant, the information given to applicants and enthusiasm showed regarding the job vacancy. Furthermore, recruiters' self-perceived interpersonal effectiveness affected recruiters' self-perceptions of overall effectiveness. However, reported organizational recruitment support was not found related to applicants' perception of recruiter effectiveness.

As it was stated before, organizations must attract and select the best applicants to employ superior employees. One strategy for attracting better employees is to improve the quality of the recruiters that applicants meet during the job choice process through training. Therefore, the authors studied the influence of training on applicants' perception of recruiters' interpersonal skills. Findings revealed that training influenced recruiters' self-perceptions of their interpersonal effectiveness. However, training had very little effect on applicants' perceptions of recruiter effectiveness (Connerly, 1997).

Finally, it is relevant to mention that Turban, Forret and Hendrickson (1998) did not find a direct influence of recruiter behavior on applicant's attraction to the job. However, authors found that recruiters' behavior, affected job attraction indirectly by influencing perceptions of the job vacancy and organizational characteristics. Besides, job and organizational attributes had a positive influence on applicants' attraction to the job.

In summary, HR managers have to consider several variables while designing and implementing recruitment and selection processes if they want to become a business partner inside the organization (Phillips, 1997). On one hand, some of these variables could have negative effect on results from recruitment and selection processes. For example, organizations should be aware that recruiters' judgement could be biased regarding applicants' sex, or obese women, and their own value structure. Therefore, recruiters' recommendations could lead to undesirable results for an organization. Besides, when applicants do not obtain accurate information they tend to stay with the organization for a short period of time. On the other hand, there are some recruiters' behavior and strategies that can impact positively on applicants' decisions. For example, friendly recruiters could influence applicants' positive perceptions toward the organization. Besides, by using informal sources of recruitment and providing precise job information, organizations could expect reducing turnover rates.

Considering the existence of high levels of competition, any organization can continue spending thousands of money without even looking for more efficient and effective ways to achieve their goals. For those HR departments that are willing to assure their financial contribution to the organizations, there are some relevant findings that can be taken into account to improve their job.

At the end, it is relevant to state the limitations of findings from this literature review. Most of the research has been implemented under specific characteristics and with particular subjects. Therefore, findings can not be generalized to any other setting without considering similarities and differences among situations.

References

Adkins, C. L., Russell, C. J., & Werbel, J. D. (1994). Judgments of fit in the selection process: The role of work value congruence. Personnel Psychology, *47*, 605-623.

Anfuso, D. (March, 1999). Humana pushes down recruitment costs –and raises quality. Workforce [On line]. Available: www.workforceonline.com

Barber, A. E., Hollenbeck, J. R., Tower, S. L., & Philips, J. M. (1994). The effects of interview focus on recruitment effectiveness: A field experiment. Journal of Applied Psychology, *79*, 886-896.

Bartram, D., Lindley, P. A., Marshall, L., & Foster, J. (1995). The recruitment and selection of young people by small businesses. Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology, *68*, 339-358.

Brown, B. K., & Campion, M. A. (1994). Biodata phenomenology: Recruiters' perceptions and use of biographical information in resume screening. Journal of Applied Psychology, *79*, 897-908.

Connerley, M. L. (1997). The influence of training on perceptions of recruiters' interpersonal skills and effectiveness. Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology, *70*, 259-272.

Connerley, M. L., & Rynes, S. L. (1997). The influence of recruiter characteristics and organizational recruitment support on perceived recruiter effectiveness: Views from applicants and recruiters. Human Relations, *50*, 1563-1586.

Goltz, S. M., & Giannantonio, C. M. (1995). Recruiter friendliness and attraction to the job: The mediating role of inferences about the organization. Journal of Vocational Behavior, *46*, 109-118.

Graves, L. M., & Powell, G. N. (1996). Sex similarity, quality of the employment interview and recruiters' evaluation of actual applicants. Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology, 69, 243-261.

Holton, E., III. (1996). The flawed four-level evaluation model. Human Resource Development Quarterly, 7, 5-21.

Phillips, J. J. (1997). Handbook of training evaluation and measurement methods. (3rd Ed.). Houston, TX: Gulf Publishing Co.

Pingitore, R., Dugoni, B. L., Tindale, R. S., & Spring, B. (1994). Bias against overweight job applicants in a simulated employment interview. Journal of Applied Psychology, 79, 909-917.

Rosse, J. G., Miller, J. L., & Stecher, M. D. (1994). A field study of job applicants' reactions to personality and cognitive ability testing. Journal of Applied Psychology, 79, 987-992.

Rynes, S. L., Orlitzky, M. O., & Bretz, R. D. (1997). Experienced hiring versus college recruiting: Practices and emerging trends. Personnel Psychology, 50, 309-340.

Sackett, P. R., & Roth, L. (1996). Multi-stage selection strategies: A Monte Carlo investigation of effects on performance and minority hiring. Personnel Psychology, 49, 549-572.

Saks, A. M. (1994). A psychological process investigation for the effects of recruitment source and organization information on job survival. Journal of Organizational Behavior, 15, 225-244.

Turban, D. B., Forret, M. L., & Hendrickson, C. L. (1998). Applicant attraction to firms: Influences of organization reputation, job and organizational attributes, and recruiter behaviors. Journal of Vocational Behavior, 52, 24-44.



U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
 (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



Reproduction Release

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: <i>Recruitment and Selection in Business and Industry</i>	
Author(s): <i>Maria D. Munoz and Marco A. Munoz</i>	
Corporate Source: <i>University of Louisville</i>	Publication Date: <i>1999</i>

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign in the indicated space following.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY _____ _____ TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY _____ _____ TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY _____ _____ TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
Level 1	Level 2A	Level 2B
↑ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	↑ <input type="checkbox"/>	↑ <input type="checkbox"/>
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g. electronic) and paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only
Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.		

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche, or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Signature: <i>Marco Munoz</i>	Printed Name/Position/Title: <i>Marco A. Munoz / Evaluation Specialist</i>	
Organization/Address: <i>3332 Newburg Rd Louisville, KY 40218</i>	Telephone: <i>(502) 485-6348</i>	Fax:
	E-mail Address: <i>mmunoz2@</i>	Date: <i>06/05/02</i>

jefferson.k12.ky.us

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse: <i>ERIC Clearinghouse on Educational Management 5207 University of Oregon Eugene, Oregon 97403-5207 6/6/2002</i>
