DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 465 701 SO 033 904

AUTHOR Agbor-Baiyee, William

TITLE Problem-Based Learning Case Writing in Medical Science.

PUB DATE 2002-04-02

NOTE 8p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American

Educational Research Association (New Orleans, LA, April

1-5, 2002).

PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Course Content; Curriculum Research; Graduate Students;

Higher Education; *Medical Case Histories; *Medical
Education; *Problem Based Learning; Skill Development;

Student Reaction

ABSTRACT

It is common practice in problem-based learning for students to solve cases developed by faculty. Rare is the practice of creating learning environments in which students construct their own cases. This paper examines the design and implementation of a 15-week problem-based learning writing course for graduate students in medical science. The perceptions of the course participants also are reported (through self reports). The paper discusses some of the challenges encountered during the course. The case-writing course in medical science provided a forum for students to develop their communication, problem solving, and lifelong learning skills. (Author/BT)



Problem-Based Learning Case Writing in Medical Science.

William Agbor-Baiyee

SO 033 904

BESTCOPY AVAILABLE

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

W. Agbor-Baiyee

2

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION

CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

 Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.



PROBLEM-BASED LEARNING CASE WRITING IN MEDICAL SCIENCE

William Agbor-Baiyee

Indiana University School of Medicine

Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association, New Orleans, LA, April 2, 2002

ABSTRACT

It is common practice in problem-based learning for students to solve cases developed by faculty. Rare is the practice of creating learning environments in which students construct their own cases. This paper examines the design and implementation of a 15-week problem-based learning writing course for graduate students in medical science. The perceptions of the course participants are also reported. The paper discusses some of the challenges encountered during the course. The case writing course in medical science provided a forum for students to develop their communication, problem solving, and lifelong learning skills.

PURPOSE

The purpose of this study was to examine students' perceptions of problem-based learning (PBL) case writing in medical science in the Master of Science in Medical Science (MSMS) Program at Indiana University School of Medicine. The perceptions of the participants were collected, analyzed and reported using data from journal entries and a questionnaire. The paper discusses some of the challenges encountered during the course. Students' responses suggest the case writing course provided a forum for them to develop their communication, problem solving, and lifelong learning skills.

IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY

The case writing course furnished students an active learning environment in which they directed their learning in small groups facilitated by faculty. It allowed them to apply basic science to develop an interdisciplinary clinical case and to promote communication, problem solving and life-long learning skills in students. Using problem-based methodology as an instrument of learning, students worked in a small group to identify a significant medical problem, develop case objectives, research and assess the literature, identify possible learning issues, select and evaluate important data, and write a coherent case. These case writing learning activities seek to promote the development of higher-order cognitive outcomes (Braxton, 1993; Zoller, 1999) in the participants. Engaging students as case writers may expand their understanding of themselves not only as learners, but also as teachers. Such engagement may be critical to prepare graduate and professional students for the teaching role that is inherent in professional life.

PERSPECTIVES

An important goal of postsecondary education is the development of higher-order cognitive skills (Zoller, 1999). College classrooms must present challenging demands for application, analysis, synthesis, and evaluation of information (Braxton, 1993). Active learning in small groups places



responsibility on students to arrive at their own conclusions, rather than merely depend on the interpretations of faculty (Marsh & Roche, 1997). Problem-based learning as an educational approach has become an integral component of curricula in medical schools around the world (Norman & Schmidt, 1992).

According to Norman and Schmidt (1992), the PBL method can be characterized in the following manner: "a collection of carefully constructed problems is presented to small groups of students." The problems are usually embedded in a case typically developed by faculty. Students working in small groups are expected to discuss the problems generate hypotheses, identify and interpret important facts, formulate learning issues, make tentative explanations for the phenomena presented in the case and eventually solve the problem presented in a given case. Thus, students commonly solve cases developed by faculty rarely play and the role of case writers in PBL.

Employers, graduate and professional programs recognize the importance of students to communicate effectively in writing. Students must be prepared to communicate clearly with the public and in some cases contribute to deliberations regarding policy issues. Writing improves student learning, critical thinking and communication skills. Writing allows students to clarify their learning experiences and to articulate their thoughts. These cognitive features of writing apply to PBL case writing.

METHODS

Learning Context

A 15-week PBL case writing course was offered to second year graduate students in the Master of Science in Medical Science Program at Indiana University School of Medicine to enhance the learning, critical thinking and communication skills of students. A course syllabus was distributed to students at the start of the semester. The syllabus specified dates for completion of different components of the case.

Faculty facilitated all classroom sessions developing a PBL case on Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). The case is based on an actual patient case. The target audience of the case is first year MSMS and medical students.

The course was designed to promote the following objectives: (1) develop a clinical problem that includes concepts, facts and diagnostic test data; (2) communicate in writing and orally with peers; (3) evaluate and distinguish normal and abnormal findings in writing a case case; (4) apply and integrate basic science disciplines to write a coherent case; (5) formulate clear learning objectives; (6) consult with practicing physicians and other experts relevant to the case development process; and (7) research literature for information relevant to the case.

Participants spent 2 hours per session for 15 weeks developing a coherent PBL case. The breakdown of the sessions was as follows: (1) two sessions on course orientation; (2) two sessions on selecting the problem; (3) two sessions on developing course objectives; (4) three sessions on creating a narrative; (5) two sessions on generating data; (6) two sessions on editing and sequencing; (7) two sessions on formulating a facilitator guide; and (8) one session on presenting the case and evaluating the course.

Participants

Four students in the second year of the Master of Science in Medical Science Program at Indiana



University School of Medicine participated in this study. The students took two problem-based learning courses in medical science in the fall and spring semesters of their first year as graduate students in the MSMS Program. Students were required to consult with practicing physicians and researchers during the case development process.

DATA SOURCES

Students wrote and submitted two journal-entries during the fifth and tenth week of this fall semester course. Students provided verbal feedback throughout the course. A questionnaire was also used to collect student perceptions regarding the case writing course. Analysis for the study was based upon data from the journal entries and questionnaire.

RESULTS

Students perceived that case writing: (1) enhanced their understanding of the complexity of PBL; (2) is a time-intensive process requiring tolerance and collaboration between small group members; (3) is important to their professional development; (4) enabled them to know each other in ways they had not hitherto appreciated; and (5) promoted their skill at networking with faculty and physicians.

1. UNDERSTANDING PBL

The case writing experience deepened the participants' understanding of PBL as the following perceptions illustrate:

I got to see how a case is developed. I got to research ideas and bring different ideas together for the case.

I learned a lot about the case itself. The responsibility of defining our case and learning about the disease was great.

Overall, I learned a lot and was frustrated a lot, but the end justifies the means, and our case was completed and agreed on by everyone. I think it is an excellent experience and will teach you to have an appreciation for those who create the cases in medical school.

My experience developing the COPD case was a total learning process. I learned from every aspect of the case. For example, I learned about COPD and its diagnosis, mechanisms, exacerbates, treatments, etc. I also learned how to look at information from the physician's point-of-view. I realized that diagnosing a medical condition is not as easy as it seems.

Developing this case has been an extreme challenge, but a good one. It is important to learn how to complete projects when you are in a group setting and scooping the benefits of learning from others.

2. TIME ON TASK

Students in the case writing course observed writing a PBL case required more time than they anticipated. Students met during scheduled class sessions and outside of class to work on their case. The following comments reveal the time-consuming nature of the course:



Developing a case takes a lot of time outside of the scheduled class and group meetings that may have taken away from other course work, but I understand the necessity now, especially since I wasn't an expert on the topic.

The process is difficult and one has to have a ton of patience to get things done. Don't be afraid to show initiative in developing the case. Sometimes this is the only way to get things done.

3. PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT

An outcome of the case writing experience is that some participants thought that it contributed to an enhanced understanding of their career aspiration, medicine. The perceptions below shed light on this outcome:

I enjoyed the fun time we spent exploring the possibilities of developing a dramatic case. I also learned a lot about some issues I was unaware of. This process was definitely an integral part of my professional training.

Developing a case this semester was definitely a different experience, even from solving a case. The lessons, skills, and patience I learned during this developmental process will be a stepping-stone to my experiences as a professional.

4. GROUP DYNAMICS

The case development process provided a context to understand the nature of group dynamics. Although the process produced growth in the students, the group learning process frustrated some students. Small groups are as good as the members who make them. Group members must be willing to tolerate each other and synchronize their efforts and schedules in order to achieve group and individual objectives. The following perceptions shed light on the nature of group dynamics during case writing:

Being dependent on individuals to write an entire case and their dedication or attention was devoted to something else besides the writing process. I enjoyed writing this case, but the total dependency on other people to help contribute to the case was sometimes frustrating.

It was interesting to see what it takes to put together a medical case that integrates the basic sciences and clinic manifestations. Although, as a group we had some good times, there were times it got rough to work with other. I just decided to put personal issues aside and just focus on the task at hand. This made the experience a little less desirable.

Just as important, I learned that sometimes it is not possible to run from different personalities, especially those that are disagreeable. The forced coexistence was beneficial for helping gain attributes such as patience and adaptability that will certainly help me in the medical field. I also learned that even if two people instead of all of the members do it, the case would get done.

I learned how to work with the dynamic personalities of my classmates.



5. NETWORKING

The participants thought of their interactions with faculty and physicians to be beneficial. Through these interactions, they learned more about medicine. The following perceptions suggest that the student's networking skill was enhanced by the case development experience.

The responsibility of defining our case, learning about the disease, and collaborating with physicians and faculty members to help develop the case.

You learn how to use your resources to the maximum and collaborating with physicians and group members is also a key to the learning process.

Using resources like medical doctors and faculty members to develop this case made me better understand their work and medicine. That was a plus for me.

DISCUSSION

This study examined the use of a problem-based learning case writing course in medical science at Indiana University School of Medicine. Although previous studies have shown that students have a positive attitude towards PBL (Kaufman, 1996; Caplow, Donaldson, Kardash, & Hosokawa, 1997), this study showed that while participants benefited from the case writing experience, they were also frustrated by it.

In spite of the prior exposure of participants solving PBL cases as first year graduate students, some of their frustration may be attributed to a lack of experience with the case development process. This finding suggest a need to refine the orientation to case writing and increase the frequency of journal-entries in order to more closely monitor the performance of the PBL small group inside and outside of class sessions.

Writing a PBL case was challenging and required trust, collaboration and optimum contribution from team members. The absence of one or all of these factors may have affected the productivity of the group. A further investigation may be needed to shed more light on the nature of interactions of the participants especially outside the classroom.

CONCLUSIONS

The study attempted to further engage students in their own learning. Based on the perceptions of the participants, a PBL case writing course is useful in promoting student development. Beyond solving cases developed by faculty, case writing challenged students to take ownership of their learning in ways they hitherto had not experienced.

Case writing empowered the participants to construct their own knowledge and understandings. It challenged them to actualize their potential as learners and teachers. Given the intensity of absorption in the process to develop a case and the understanding about PBL, it is likely that some students may carry over that feeling to work done on their own beyond this PBL case writing experience. PBL case writing was an excellent vehicle to demonstrate learning and teaching to students.

The use of self-reports to limited this study. The participants in the study reported what they felt they learned from the case writing experience and not necessarily how well they learned.



REFERENCES

Braxton, J. M. (1993). Selectivity and rigor in research universities. <u>Journal of Higher Education</u>, 64, 657-676.

Caplow, J., Donaldson, J., & Kardash C., and Hosokawa, M. (1997). Learning in problem-based learning medical curriculum: student's conceptions. <u>Medical Education</u>. <u>31</u>, 440-7.

Haufman, D., & Mann K. (1996). Comparing students' attitudes in problem-based learning and conventional curricula. <u>Academic Medicine</u>, <u>71</u>, 10096-9.

Marsh H. W., & Roche, L. A. (1997). Making students' evaluations of teaching effectiveness effective. The critical issues of validity, bias, and utility. <u>American Psychologist</u>, <u>52</u>, 1187-1197.

Norman, D., & Schmidt H. (1992). The psychological basis of problem-based learning: a review of the evidence. Academic Medicine, 67, 557-65.

Zoller, U. (1999). Scaling-up of higher-order cognitive skill-oriented college chemistry teaching: An action-oriented research. <u>Journal of Research in Science Teaching</u>. <u>36</u>, 583-596.



8



U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI) National Library of Education (NLE) Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION	N:		
Title:			
PROBLEM - BASED LEARNIN	JU CASE WRITING IN MEDI	CAL SCIENCE	
Author(s): W.IIIAM &GBOR	BAIYEE		
Corporate Source: INDIANA UNIMERSITY		Publication Date:	
II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE: In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document. If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.			
The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents	
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	
sample	Sample	sample	
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	
1	2A	2B	
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting	Level 2A Check here for Level 2A release, permitting	Level 2B Check here for Level 2B release, permitting	
reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.	reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only	

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.	for non-profit reproduction by libranes and other service agencies
Signature: W.11. Six Agrby (7. 1).	Printed Nemerosition Title: William Sabor Baiyee Disector, Master of Science in Medical Science Pro
Organization/Address: Indiana University School by Medicine 635 Barnhill Orive Indianapolis, IN 46202	Telephone: 317-278-7941 FAX: 317-278-5211
Indianapolis, IN 46202	E-Mail Address: wabai yez @ lupi 'edy Date: April 4, 2002

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:	
Address:	· ·
Price:	
IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/F If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone of address:	
Name:	
Address:	

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

ERIC CLEARINGHOUSE ON ASSESSMENT AND EVALUATION UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND 1129 SHRIVER LAB COLLEGE PARK, MD 20742-5701 **ATTN: ACQUISITIONS**

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

> **ERIC Processing and Reference Facility** 4483-A Forbes Boulevard Lanham, Maryland 20706

> > Telephone: 301-552-4200 Toll Free: 800-799-3742 FAX: 301-552-4700

e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov WWW: http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com

