ABSTRACT
        Group processing after an outdoor experience provides
feedback and the opportunity for critical reflection, which can enhance the
benefits of the experience. This paper outlines some common problems in
processing and suggestions to help outdoor leaders improve their facilitation
skills. Common pitfalls that hinder effective processing include
over-analyzing the experience, negative comments and feedback that offend
other group members, too much talking by the facilitator, waiting too long
after the experience, strong emotions, group members divulging too much
personal information, and avoiding silence. Facilitators should be aware of
their role, remind participants to speak only for themselves, respect each
person's right to "pass" on discussion, help learners take responsibility for
their own learning, and focus on group needs. Theories and models of
processing include the "mountains speak for themselves" model, Outward Bound
Plus, types of transfer of learning, and the metaphoric model of processing.
The appropriate sequencing of the debriefing is discussed, and various
techniques and activities of processing are listed that draw on multiple
intelligences and diverse learning styles. (SV)

Processing for Picasso and Other Masters

Tammie Stenger
Beth Ryan

14th Annual International Conference on Outdoor Recreation & Education

ICORE 2000 Conference Proceedings
Miami University
Oxford, Ohio
November 8-12, 2000

1

2

PROCESSING FOR PICASSO AND OTHER MASTERS

Tammie Stenger
Beth Ryan

"Art is not the sideline: it's the essence."- Diane Montgomery

ABSTRACT: Everyone who has worked in the outdoors has seen both successful and unsuccessful group processing sessions. While it is relatively easy to successfully lead a group through technical outdoor skills, leading a group successfully through a processing session takes a little more finesse and artistry. Jane Panicucci (1999) said, "Artist facilitators are very conscious about their next brush stroke, considering what type of activity or framing or direction might be appropriate." This paper outlines some common difficulties in processing, and adds some suggestions to help outdoor leaders to develop into one of the great masters of processing.

Common Pitfalls

The group you are working with just got the entire group over a sixteen-foot wall. The participants are celebrating - all smiles and high-fives! So you gather everyone together and start debriefing this fantastic accomplishment. In less than three minutes, the general mood has turned from elation to boredom, indifference, or nervousness. What happened?

One possible culprit is ANALYSIS PARALYSIS (Gass, 1993), over analyzing the experience. The facilitator, who asks too many detail-oriented questions, generally causes this. At other times, the participants start going too deep on their own and the facilitator neglects to keep the discussion on track.

A second suspect is DISCOUNTING or KILLER STATEMENTS (Rohnke, 1989). Occasionally, participants will sell themselves or one another short and make comments that hurt or offend one of the other group members. As a general rule, only constructive feedback should be allowed.

Facilitators sometimes suffer "DIARRHEA OF THE MOUTH" and manipulate the entire discussion. When the leader does too much talking and doesn't allow group input, the participants tend to "tune out," leading to minimized ownership on the part of the group.

If you WAIT TOO LONG after the experience, the group may forget something, or they may have already dealt with a situation and have no desire to relive that experience. Try to process the experience as soon as possible after it ends, so that important issues are not neglected. If however, the group needs to satisfy more primary needs (e.g. bathroom, food, sleep) it may be beneficial to wait a little while so that the group can focus on the processing, not the rumbling in their stomachs.

If EMOTIONS ARE TOO HIGH, occasionally it is a good idea to give the group an emotional time-out, so that no one says anything that they will regret later. By waiting a little while, the participants can put the experience into a different perspective before

102

discussing it. An important thing for facilitators to remember is that if you do take an emotional time-out, there are most likely some important issues that the group will need to resolve. Avoiding talking about the experience may cause problems to grow and fester, so gently guide the discussion.

In your quest to develop a team, sometimes we accidentally push the group members to divulge TOO MUCH PERSONAL INFORMATION early on in group development. Sometimes, when the group is working well together, they feel comfortable with each other and share personal information. Sometimes, this information leads the person who shared it to regret it later on. At other times, the facilitator or other group members may not feel prepared to deal with that news. Either way, it could lead to tension between group members.

In the United States, we are used to sound and are relatively UNCOMFORTABLE WITH SILENCE (Wyman Center, 1996). Because we are uncomfortable, we either ask a lot of questions or start rambling, or we move on to something else too quickly. Try waiting just a little while in silence after you ask a question.

Developing the art of facilitation requires patience and practice. Pay attention to the pitfalls and avoid them, but focus your attention closely on what you can do to improve your skills.

The Facilitator's Role in Processing

It is important to understand your role. When you are working closely with people, leading and teaching them, know what you can, should, and should not do. When you are setting the stage for processing, remind the participants to only SPEAK AS AN INDIVIDUAL (Wyman, 1996) not for the group. Each person will have a slightly different perspective on the activity, so it is important to respect each perspective. An important aspect of this is CHALLENGE BY CHOICE (Rohnke, 1989). We remember it well during physical challenges, but sometimes we forget the psychological challenge that comes with expressing an opinion. Always remember that each individual has the right to "pass".

When processing make sure that the LEARNERS TAKE RESPONSIBILITY for learning and for what they take from the experience (Wyman, 1996). You can help doing this by asking OPEN-ENDED QUESTIONS periodically during the debrief. Remember to wait after you ask questions. SILENCE can be your best friend, because it gives the participants time to think about the question. Remember during processing to keep your FOCUS ON THE GROUP'S NEEDS.

> Processing can be a useful tool. It can be used to:
> - prompt thought
> - keep the group on task
> - intervene as needed

- ensure inclusion of all group members.

One of the hardest parts to developing into an artistic facilitator is keeping your own needs separate from the group's needs. Try to take care of your own needs before or after the experience, because it is hard, if not impossible to be responsible for others when worrying about yourself.

Once a facilitator has paid attention to avoiding pitfalls and understands his/her role, the next step is to understand a little more of the How's and Why's of processing.

Theories of Processing

Some people may wonder why experiences are processed. Experiential learning suggests that after an experience, if we critically reflect on the activity, we can derive some meaning from that activity, which can be changed or adapted and then applied to other experiences (Kolb, 1984).

The processing is often designed in multiple ways. Some people use the MOUNTAINS SPEAK FOR THEMSELVES (MST) model (Gass, 1993), which essentially means that the participants reflect on the experience on their own and may or may not derive a lot of learning from the experience.

The most common processing model is the OUTWARD BOUND PLUS (OBP) model. This process involves the facilitator leading the group through a discussion after the activity (Gass, 1993). Although this type of processing is more reactive than proactive and can be difficult for entry-level facilitators, it does have many benefits and can serve as a basis for debriefing the Metaphoric Model that will be discussed later in this paper.

Learning tends to occur in the context in which it happens, which means that there are occasional difficulties with the TRANSFER OF LEARNING. Gass (1985) indicates that there are three major types of transfer: SPECIFIC, NON-SPECIFIC, AND METAPHORIC. Specific transfer means that skills learned in one situation can be used in closely related situations. Non-specific transfer involves learning general concepts that are used as "a basis of learning or behavior in a new, not-necessarily-parallel situation" (Hood & Lane, 2000). Metaphoric transfer also refers to learning principles and ideas for use in a new situation, but adds that these concepts are not the same in structure, but are similar or metaphorical.

In the METAPHORIC Model of processing, a good deal of effort is expended in framing or briefing the experience before it happens (Gass, 1993). This model suggests framing a concept to be learned by identifying parallels or similarities between the task at hand and tasks the participants face on a regular basis. Essentially this means, frame the concept to be learned into the context in which it will be transferred (Cross & Laidlaw, 1999).

Sequencing the Debrief

Debriefing is an important part of processing, and in many cases it is the only part of processing that is implemented. Closely reflecting the experiential learning cycle, is the most common debriefing technique, the "WHAT? SO WHAT? NOW WHAT?" method (Rohnke, 1989). The first portion, "What?" is a chance for the participants to reflect on WHAT happened during the experience. The second section allow the participants to answer the question, "SO WHAT did that experience mean?" At this point they look for insights, patterns, and personal meaning from the experience. Once the participants have derived meaning, NOW WHAT do they do with their new knowledge? This is the chance for participants define for themselves how they can apply their knowledge to future experiences.

Processing Activities

Gardner (1983) recognized that there are many ways of knowing and understanding. He identified the following seven MULTIPLE INTELLIGENCES so that teachers could understand more about their students:
- Verbal/linguistic – words and language
- Musical/rhythmic – sensitivity to rhythm, beats, and tonal patterns
- Body kinesthetic – physical movement and body awareness
- Interpersonal – person-to-person communication and relationships
- Intrapersonal – inner states of being, self-reflection, metacognition
- Visual/spatial – visualizing objects, creating mental images
- Logical/mathematical – inductive and deductive thinking, patterns

Most processing models primarily use verbal/linguistic, and interpersonal strategies. Because people learn and understand in a variety of ways, and have different strengths and abilities, consider trying other techniques during processing. A few ideas include:
- Newspaper headline – have each person write a headline for an imaginary newspaper that is covering the experience you just finished
- Journal writing – give everyone a few minutes of quiet time alone to write in their journals with the option to share what they wrote with the group
- Use processing cards – have a variety of picture cards and let the students draw their own meaning from the activity
- Track pack - use specific objects to guide the processing
- Instant replay – break the group into smaller groups and have them pantomime or act out one small portion of the experience
- Drawing a picture - let the participants draw a picture depicting the experience through their eyes
- Sing a song - Have the participants think of a song that reminds them of the experience (either sing or speak the words)
- Hi and low of the day – participants share what they thought were the best and worst parts of the day

105

6

- The whip - short round robin - each person ends a statement such as "I really liked the way we..."

In order to for the participants to get new things out of the experiences, it's important for the facilitator to try some new things as well. While developing technique keep a few things in mind. Some groups take a while to open up, so there may be some resistance. Try to make the processing activity-centered. In other words make the experience connect with the debrief. Listen and observe closely, to stay in tune with the participants needs. Sequence the debrief to start with easy issues and work toward more difficult issues. In this way facilitators are more likely to get the group thinking and discussing and deriving more from their experience.

Facilitation is an art form, not an exact science. The techniques in this paper are tools designed to help train great Masters. Good luck, we hope your next art show is a huge success!

References

Cross, R. & Laidlaw, S. (1999). Can You Take it With You?. Paper presented at ICORE 1999, Jackson, WY.

Gardner, H. (1983). Frames of Mind. New York: Basic.

Gass, M. (1985). Programming the transfer of learning in adventure education. Journal of Experiential Education, 14(2), 6-13.

Gass, M. (1993). Enhancing metaphor development in adventure therapy programmes. In M.A. Gass (Ed.) Adventure Therapy: Therapeutic Implications of Adventure Programming (pp. 248-258). Dubuque, IA: Kendall-Hunt.

Hood, C.D. & Lane, S.W. (2000). Metaphors: The key to success in TR!. Paper presented at the American Therapeutic Recreation Association 2000 Annual Conference. Cincinnati, OH.

Kesgen, E. J. Processing Group Experiences.

Kolb, D.A. (1984). Experiential learning: Experience as the Source of Learning and Development. Englewood Cliff, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Panicucci, J. (1999). Facilitating with Artistry. Ziplines, 38(Winter) 35-38.

Rohnke, K. (1989). Cowstails and Cobras II: A Guide to Games, Initiatives, Ropes Courses, & Adventure Curriculum. Dubuque, IA: Kendall-Hunt.

Schoel, J., Prouty, D., & Radcliffe, P. (1988). Islands of Healing: A Guide to Adventure-based Counseling. Hamilton, MA: Project Adventure, Inc.

Wyman Center, Inc. (1996). Growing a Group: Guiding Successful Group Experiences – Participant's Guidebook. Eureka, MO: Wyman Center, Inc.

7

Biographical Sketches:

Tammie Stenger is a doctoral student in the Leisure Studies Department at Oklahoma State University. Her outdoor experience includes summer camp administration, school camp program planning, youth development programming and leading adventure and environmental educational activities.

Beth Ryan is a master's student in Therapeutic Recreation at Oklahoma State University. She has worked in a variety of recreation settings: camps, courses, etc., with clients from diverse populations. Her passion is working with youth at risk in adventure settings.

For further information, contact:

Tammie Stenger
Oklahoma State University
OSU Department of Leisure Studies
115A Colvin Center
Stillwater, OK 74078
Phone: (405) 744-5507
Fax: (405) 744-6507
Email: **bg_tls@yahoo.com**

8

U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)

ERIC REPRODUCTION RELEASE

I. Document Identification:

Title: Proceedings of the 14th Annual International Conference on Outdoor Recreation + Education.

Author: n/a

Corporate Source: Association of Outdoor Recreation + Education

Publication Date: 2001

II. Reproduction Release:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials
of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly
abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in Education (RIE), are usually
made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic
media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit
is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is
granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document,
please check one of the following three options and sign the release form.

____✓ Level 1 - Permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other
      ERIC archival media (e.g. electronic) and paper copy.

____ Level 2A - Permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in
      electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only.

____ Level 2B - Permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only.

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits.
If permission to reproduce is granted, but no option is marked, documents will
be processed at Level 1.

Sign Here: "I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center
(ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as
indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by
persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires
permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit
reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information
needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

Signature: [signature]   Position: President, Board of Directors
Printed Name: Steve Hutton   Organization: Association of Outdoor Recreation
Address: 861 Riverland Drive   + Education
         Charleston, SC 29412   Telephone No: 843-762-8031
                                        Date: 6/11/02

III. Document Availability Information (from Non-ERIC Source):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC
to cite the availability of the document from another source, please
provide the following information regarding the availability of the

document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly
available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should
also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more
stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor: AORE
2705 Robin Street

Address: Bloomington, IL 61704

Price per copy: $25   Quantity price: Same/ $25

IV. Referral of ERIC to Copyright/Reproduction Rights Holder:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other
than the addressee, please complete the following:

Name:

Address: N/a

V. Attach this form to the document being submitted and send both to:

Velma Mitchell, Acquisitions Coordinator
ERIC Clearinghouse on Rural Education and Small Schools
P.O. Box 1348
1031 Quarrier Street
Charleston, WV 25325-1348

Phone and electronic mail numbers:

800-624-9120 (Clearinghouse toll-free number)
304-347-0467 (Clearinghouse FAX number)
mitchelv@ael.org