

## DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 463 624

EC 308 922

AUTHOR Lombardi, Thomas P.; Lombardi, Estelle J.  
TITLE Assessing Special Education in Portugal and the United States: A Comparative Study.  
PUB DATE 2002-00-00  
NOTE 8p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Council for Exceptional Children (New York, NY, April 3-6, 2002).  
PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)  
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.  
DESCRIPTORS \*Administrator Attitudes; \*Cross Cultural Studies; \*Disabilities; Educational Finance; Elementary Secondary Education; Financial Support; Foreign Countries; Individualized Education Programs; Paraprofessional School Personnel; \*Special Education; \*Teacher Attitudes; Teacher Education  
IDENTIFIERS \*Portugal; United States

## ABSTRACT

A survey investigated special educators' and special education administrators' perceptions of special education within Portugal. Using a 4-point Likert scale, a 15-item questionnaire written in Portuguese was given to 106 participants attending inservice training in Benavente and Seixal, Portugal. Items dealt with attitudes, money, support, curriculum, and preparation. The same questionnaire was given to 107 special educators and administrators from West Virginia, Ohio, Maryland, and Pennsylvania. Results from the questionnaires indicate that the Portuguese administrators' attitudes, teachers' attitudes, development of Individualized Education Programs (IEPs), classroom management, and implementation of the IEP were rated highest, although all were within the "fair" categorical range. The lowest Portuguese scores were for monetary support, number of related services, number of classroom aides, community support, and number of special education teachers tied with teacher preparation. Similar findings were identified within the United States sample. Classroom management, development of the IEP, implementation of the IEP, and teachers' attitudes were the highest scores. Low scores were number of teacher aides, community support, monetary support, number of special education teachers, and number of related services. Samples differed on teacher preparation, with the United States respondents viewing this as a strength and the Portuguese respondents as a weakness. (CR)

# Assessing Special Education in Portugal and the United States: A Comparative Study

Drs. Thomas P. and Estelle J. Lombardi

West Virginia University

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND  
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS  
BEEN GRANTED BY

T. Lombardi

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES  
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement  
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION  
CENTER (ERIC)

☒ This document has been reproduced as  
received from the person or organization  
originating it.

☐ Minor changes have been made to  
improve reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this  
document do not necessarily represent  
official OERI position or policy.

## Assessing Special Education in Portugal and the United States: A Comparative Study

Drs. Thomas P. and Estelle J. Lombardi  
*West Virginia University*

A study comparing the perceptions of educators and administrators regarding special education was conducted in Portugal and the United States. Results indicated that although the United States participants had generally higher ratings in 14 of the 15 categories assessed, relative strengths and weaknesses noted from both countries were very similar. Implications are provided.

A report from the World Conference on Special Needs, held in Salamanca, Spain in 1994 affirmed the principle of education for all with specific recognition of the concept of inclusion (Lombardi and Ludlow, 1996). Representative from 92 countries and 265 international organizations encouraged collaborative efforts by general educators and special educators in meeting the needs of students at risk for failure, as well as students who have been identified as having special education needs. Even the People's Republic of China, in which educators stress group instruction as opposed to individualized instruction, has witnessed growth in special education over the past 15 years (Li and Altman, 1997). The European Agency for Development in Special Needs Education (1996) study of 10 European countries describes each country's legislation, facts and figures on children with special needs, overall organization, types of provisions and future trends. One of the trends noted is to assess not only the quantity but also the quality of special education in their respective countries. The Council for Exceptional Children recently released its study about special education teaching in the United States at a press conference on October 23, 2000 (CEC, 2000). Some of the obstacles to quality special education practices included overwhelming paper work, high caseloads, lack of time for individualized instruction, lack of resources, and lack of qualified special educators.

As part of a Fulbright teaching/research experience in Portugal, Drs. Tom and Estelle Lombardi conducted a survey of special educators' and special education administrators' perceptions of special education within their country. Stimulation to conduct the study was provided by two overview articles on special education (daFonseca, 1996; Lombardi, 1999).) Using a 4 point Likert scale, a 15 item questionnaire written in Portuguese (see English translation in Table 1) was given to 106 participants attending inservice training in Benavente and Seixal, Portugal. Items dealt with attitude, money, support, curriculum, and preparation. Upon return to the United States

in the Fall, 2000, the same questionnaire was given to 107 special educators and administrators from West Virginia, Ohio, Maryland, and Pennsylvania. The majority of participants were from West Virginia attending a special education conference, classes at West Virginia University, and inservice training sessions. However approximately 20% were from the neighboring states of Ohio, Pennsylvania, and Maryland.

## **Results**

A tally of the 106 Portuguese participant scores, in order, indicate that the administrators' attitude, teachers' attitude, development of the IEP, classroom management, and implementation of the IEP were rated highest although all were within the "fair" categorical range (see Table II). The lowest Portuguese scores were: monetary support, number of related services, number of classroom aides, community support, and number of special education teachers tied with teacher preparation. All of these scores were in the "poor" category. Interestingly, very similar findings were identified when tallying the 107 scores provided by the United States sample. Classroom management, development of the IEP, implementation of the IEP, and teachers' attitude were the highest scores in sequential order. The only difference was the substitute of teacher preparation (United States) for administrators' attitude (Portugal) which was the third highest score. It should be noted the first three of the five areas were rated in the "good" category. Low scores were number of teacher aides, community support, monetary support, number of special education teachers, and number of related services. With the exception of teacher preparation, these were the same five categories as those cited by the Portuguese special educators and administrators. Only one of the categories (teacher aides) was listed in the "poor" category, all others were in the "fair" category. It would appear that although overall educators and administrators sampled in the United States feel somewhat more positive about special education than their Portuguese counterparts, none of the categories assessed achieved an "excellent rating". In fact only three categories received a "good" rating, eleven received a "fair rating" and one received a "poor" rating. Relative to the Portuguese, nine categories had "fair" ratings, and the other six had "poor" ratings.

## **Implications**

Although thousands of miles apart and with different laws, educators and administrators from Portugal and the United States perceived very similar strengths and weaknesses in their special education programs. One major difference seems to be in terms of teacher preparation where the United States respondents viewed this as a strength; Portuguese as a weakness. Preparing teachers for special education certification has been available in the United States for a longer period of time. Results from both countries seem to indicate that respondents feel they are doing a

good job in what they can control; however supports in terms of numbers and kind needed are lacking. Unlike the United States, there are no extra funds for special education in Portugal. Each school based upon enrollment, is allocated funds to operate that school. Inclusion, whether responsible or not, is assumed for most students with special education needs. Almost all the special education teachers function as consultants with fairly large case loads. It may well be that the concerns for both quantity and quality in special education programming can best be corrected by an international agenda championed by groups such as the International Association of Special Education. Special education continues to be a world concern.

Table 1

Survey of special education professionals

Please rank the following by circling the corresponding number according to your perceptions of the strengths and weaknesses of the special education in Portugal (United States).

|   | Excellent | Good | Fair | Poor |
|---|-----------|------|------|------|
| 1. Development of the IEP               | 4         | 3    | 2    | 1    |
| 2. Implementation of the IEP            | 4         | 3    | 2    | 1    |
| 3. Teachers' attitude                   | 4         | 3    | 2    | 1    |
| 4. Administrators' attitude             | 4         | 3    | 2    | 1    |
| 5. Monetary support                     | 4         | 3    | 2    | 1    |
| 6. Parent support                       | 4         | 3    | 2    | 1    |
| 7. Community support (business, etc.)   | 4         | 3    | 2    | 1    |
| 8. Teacher preparation                  | 4         | 3    | 2    | 1    |
| 9. Classroom management                 | 4         | 3    | 2    | 1    |
| 10. Individual student management       | 4         | 3    | 2    | 1    |
| 11. Curriculum modifications            | 4         | 3    | 2    | 1    |
| 12. Lesson modifications                | 4         | 3    | 2    | 1    |
| 13. Number of related services          | 4         | 3    | 2    | 1    |
| 14. Number of sp. ed. teachers          | 4         | 3    | 2    | 1    |
| 15. Number of classroom aides (helpers) | 4         | 3    | 2    | 1    |

Table 2

## SURVEY OF SPECIAL EDUCATION PROFESSIONALS

Perceptions of the strengths and weaknesses of the special education programs in the United States and Portugal.

Ranking: 4=Excellent, 3=Good, 2=Fair, 1=Poor

|                                | United States (n=107) | Portugal(n=106) |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| 1. Development of the IEP      | 2.96*                 | 2.56*           |
| 2. Implementation of the IEP   | 2.84*                 | 2.38*           |
| 3. Teachers' attitude          | 2.68                  | 2.66*           |
| 4. Administrators' attitude    | 2.57                  | 2.68*           |
| 5. Monetary support            | 2.13**                | 1.20**          |
| 6. Parent support              | 2.26**                | 1.80**          |
| 7. Community support           | 2.11* *               | 1.47**          |
| 8. Teacher preparation         | 2.94*                 | 2.06            |
| 9. Classroom management        | 3.04*                 | 2.39*           |
| 10. Individual management      | 2.73*                 | 2.23            |
| 11. Curricular modifications   | 2.63                  | 2.11            |
| 12. Lesson modifications       | 2.61                  | 2.15            |
| 13. Number of related services | 2.42                  | 1.30**          |
| 14. Number of sp. ed. teachers | 2.28**                | 1.80**          |
| 15. Number of classroom aides  | 2.02**                | 1.31**          |

\* Highest scores

\* \* Lowest scores

## REFERENCES

Council for Exceptional Children (2000). *Bright futures for exceptional learners: An action agenda to achieve quality conditions for teaching and learning*. CEC Today, 7(4) 1-15.

da Fonseca, V. (1996). Assessment and treatment of learning disabilities in Portugal. *Journal of Learning Disabilities* 29(2) 114-117.

European Agency for the Development in Special Education Needs (1996). *Enhancing co-operation between mainstream and special education*. Middelfart, Denmark. Clearinghouse No. EC306992.

Li, Y & Altman, A. (1997). Comparison of special education legislative mandates in the United States, China, and Taiwan. *Education and Training in Mental Retardation and Developmental Disabilities*, 32(2) 154-162.

Lombardi, T.P. & Ludlow, B.L. (1996), *Trends shaping the future of special education*. Bloomington, Ind.: Phi Delta Kappa Educational Goundation. no. 409, 7-51.

Lombardi, T.P. (1999). Inclusion: Better education for all students. In T.P. Lombardi (Ed.). *Inclusion: Policy and practice* (pp. 91-99). Bloomington, Ind.: Phi Delta Kappa Educational Foundation.





U.S. Department of Education  
Office of Educational Research and  
Improvement (OERI)  
National Library of Education (NLE)  
Educational Resources Information Center  
(ERIC)



**Reproduction Release**  
(Specific Document)

**I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:**

|  |                        |
|--|------------------------|
| Title: <i>Assessing Special Education in Portugal and United States: A Comparative Study</i> |                        |
| Author(s): <i>Dr. Tom Lombardi and Dr. Estelle Lombardi</i>                                  |                        |
| Corporate Source:<br>?   | Publication Date:<br>? |

**II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:**

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign in the indicated space following.

|  |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents  | The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents  | The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 3 documents   |
| <p>PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY</p> <p>_____</p> <p>_____</p> <p>TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)</p> <p><b>Level 1</b></p> <p>↑</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g. electronic) and paper copy.</p> | <p>PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY</p> <p>_____</p> <p>_____</p> <p>TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)</p> <p><b>Level 2A</b></p> <p>↑</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only</p> | <p>PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY</p> <p>_____</p> <p>_____</p> <p>TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)</p> <p><b>Level 3</b></p> <p>↑</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Check here for Level 3 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only</p> |
| <p>Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.</p>   |   |   |

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche, or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

|                                     |  |                              |
|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|
| Signature: <i>Thomas P Lombardi</i> | Printed Name/Position/Title: <i>Dr. Thomas P Lombardi, Professor Emeritus - West Virginia University</i> |                              |
| Organization/Address:               | Telephone: <i>304-594-0765</i>   | Fax: <i>N.A.</i>             |
|                                     | E-mail Address: <i>TPL1435@aol.com</i>   | Date: <i>January 3, 2002</i> |

### III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

|     |                        |
|-----|------------------------|
| * ? | Publisher/Distributor: |
| 8   | Address:               |
| 7   | Price:                 |

**IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:**

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address: *NA*

|          |
|----------|
| Name:    |
| Address: |

**V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:**  
Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

Acquisitions Coordinator  
ERIC Clearinghouse on Disabilities and Gifted Education (ERIC EC)  
1110 N. Glebe Rd.  
Arlington, VA 22201-5704

\* This paper has not been published. It follows the presentation my wife and I will be presenting at the 2002 CEC Conference in New York City. Both paper and my oral report are the product of my Fulbright Research/Teaching Award presented by the U.S. Education Program. My visit to Portugal was in the Spring of 2000.