

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 462 705

CS 510 772

AUTHOR Praetorius, Pete
TITLE Professional and Technical Communication: The Humanities Degree for the 21st-Century.
PUB DATE 2002-03-00
NOTE 8p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Conference on College Composition and Communication (53rd, Chicago, IL, March 20-23, 2002).
PUB TYPE Opinion Papers (120) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS *Academic Education; *Degrees (Academic); Higher Education; *Humanities; *Technical Writing
IDENTIFIERS Analytical Reasoning; *Professional Communication; *Technical Communication; University of Montana

ABSTRACT

Although a degree in Professional and Technical Communication (PTC) is a "practical" degree, its hands-on nature does not preclude it from providing students with a sound liberal education. For one educator, those who major in professional/technical communication are better prepared to fill many of the jobs that are currently going to students majoring in traditional humanities programs. Unless traditional humanities majors wish to pursue a career in academia, many of them would be better served by the more user-centered PTC degree, "user-centered" meaning that students learn how to produce material--documents, Web pages, videos, interactive CDs and DVDs--for people who need to accomplish a task or learn more to make an informed decision. Technical communication can be seen as a hybrid form created from that traditional user-centered discipline called rhetoric and new technologies. Like their counterparts in humanities programs, PTC majors leave college with a sound education in writing and analytical thinking--two attributes that employers value highly. When PTC majors take philosophy and history classes in their own department they learn how technologies such as computers have influenced people's lives or how environmental degradation from mining has long term social consequences. Rather than a degree that trains students to be specialists in a particular software tool or documentation method, the PTC degree educates students in a broad appreciation of how to make difficult concepts or equipment understandable. The PTC degree trains students in the fine art of flexibility. (NKA)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made
from the original document.

Professional and Technical Communication: The
Humanities Degree for the 21st-Century.

Pete Praetorius

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN
GRANTED BY

P. Praetorius

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

Pete Praetorius
Professional and Technical Communication Department
Montana Tech of the University of Montana

**"Professional and Technical Communication:
The Humanities Degree for the 21st-Century"**

I teach in a department of Professional and Technical Communication at Montana Tech of the University of Montana. Although the “Tech” is not short for anything, I probably still hail from the institution and possibly the department with the longest name on the 4Cs program. Fortunately we have abbreviations: we call the school “Montana Tech,” and we call my department and our degree “PTC,” which is what I call it in this paper.

Although the PTC degree is a “practical” degree, its hands-on nature does not preclude it from providing students with a sound liberal education. Frequently thought of by the academic community as “merely” training in technical writing, those who graduate with a PTC degree often go on to fill a wide variety of positions—both in the private and public sectors. In fact, I believe that those who major in professional and technical communication are better prepared to fill many of the jobs that are currently going to students majoring in traditional humanities programs. Unless traditional humanities majors wish to pursue a career in academia, many of them would be better served by the more user-centered PTC degree.

So what do I mean by “user-centered”? This means that students learn how to produce material—documents, Web pages, videos, interactive CDs and DVDs—for people who need to accomplish a task or learn more in order to make an informed decision. Although I don’t wish to push for overly rigid categories, I think that because technical communication is a “user-centered” discipline, it has a fundamentally different goal than other traditional humanities disciplines. Rather than being concerned with analyzing aesthetic texts, art, or ideas, technical communicators are concerned with producing material for people who need to get something done. When technical communicators do analyze, they do so from the standpoint of rhetoricians and measure effectiveness.

Just as new media can be seen as hybrid form created from established methods of communication and new technologies, technical communication can be seen as a hybrid form created from that traditional user-centered discipline called rhetoric and new technologies. As in the traditional field of rhetoric, technical communication, as a user-centered discipline, is concerned less with discovering truths or identifying objects of aesthetic concern and more with understanding how to convey truths or aesthetic concerns. Although my drawing such a rigid distinction between rhetoric, philosophy, science, and art may be too inflexible for some here, my point is to illustrate the distinction between a PTC degree and a

traditional humanities degree. In short, the PTC degree is designed to help students learn the ways of negotiating practical (vs. aesthetic) ideas.

As a way of educating students about the many possibilities open to those trained in professional and technical communication, those who market such programs could learn from those who market traditional English programs. English departments often recruit students by claiming that English majors can “do most anything.” (We’ve all heard this line I’m sure.) A typical recruitment line is as follows: “An employer can train you to do a specific job, but an employer cannot teach you to think critically, to respond intelligently, to analyze and synthesize, to communicate your thoughts and ideas, especially in writing. Having these skills—which you develop as an English major—gives you the opportunity to do almost anything” (All that said in just two sentences, by the way.) (Florida Southern College). PTC majors are similarly educated, but rather than assume that they will undergo a pragmatic shift in how they think once they graduate, PTC majors are also educated in how to address user-centered practical everyday concerns.

Like their counterparts in traditional humanities programs, PTC majors leave college with a sound education in writing and analytical thinking—two attributes that employers value highly. Unlike traditional humanities majors, however, the education of PTC majors is user- rather than academic-centered. Sure, PTC majors take humanities courses in such disciplines as philosophy and history, but these

courses focus more on ethical and practical concerns than on concerns of aesthetics or universal truths. We in our department refer to these courses as “social context” courses because they provide students with a social context for why and how communication takes place. In our department we have a philosopher/historian of science and technology studies. When PTC majors take philosophy and history classes from this professor they learn such things as how technologies such as computers have influenced our lives or how environmental degradation from mining often has long term social consequences. I think what is important to realize here is that the user-centered courses offered in a PTC degree—whether they be courses in writing, production, or social context—educate students in how to contribute to the decisions that are made in both their workplaces and in their communities.

Thus, rather than be a degree that trains students to be specialists in a particular computer software tool or documentation method, the PTC degree educates students in a broad appreciation of how to make difficult concepts or equipment understandable to decision makers and users. It therefore is ironic that although the field of technical communication was forged in the crucible on 19th and 20th Century industrial specialization, the PTC degree is one that trains students in the fine art of flexibility.

The flexibility of the PTC degree can be compared to the liberal education provided to American college students during the 19th-Century. As Robert Connors points out, the curriculum of American colleges during the 1800's (particularly before the Civil War) "worked against specialization" ("Rhetoric in the Modern University" 57). It was not until the formation of public colleges following the Civil War, which were intent on educating students in practical or what was called "useful knowledge," that we begin to see a specialization in academic disciplines. Just as the practical/liberal education of the 19th-Century before the Civil War prepared students of that era for life as civic leaders (primarily as lawyers and members of the clergy), the PTC degree prepares students for 21st-Century civic concerns.

These civic concerns are becoming increasingly complicated on both the technological and rhetorical fronts: The PTC degree addresses the use and understanding of technology; students learn *how* to effectively communicate technical ideas to a larger audience. Moreover, students learn not only *how* to use, say, a new-media software, but *how* to best apply the effects that such software has to offer, and *how* to determine whether, or even, to use such software at all.

From a standpoint of flexibility, PTC students also learn what rhetorical strategies are best suited for advancing ideas in an increasingly technologically competitive world. Because an increasing number of civic concerns are centered

around technological issues, the successful rhetors of the 21st-Century will require the capacity not only to form solid well-grounded arguments, but they will also need to have a good understand how technologies work as well.

Works Cited

Connors, Robert J. "Rhetoric in the Modern University: The Creation of an Underclass."

in *The Politics of Writing Instruction: Postsecondary*. Eds. Richard Bullock and John

Trimbur. Portsmouth: Boynton, 1991. 55-84.



**U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
(OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)
Reproduction Release (Specific Document)**



I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: <i>Professional and Technical Communication: The Humanities Degree of the 21st Century</i>	
Author(s): <i>Pete Praetorius</i>	
Corporate Source: <i>CCCC</i>	Publication Date: <i>3/21/02</i>

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign in the indicated space following.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents
<p>PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY</p> <p><i>SAMPLE</i></p> <p>_____</p> <p>TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)</p>	<p>PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY</p> <p><i>SAMPLE</i></p> <p>_____</p> <p>TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)</p>	<p>PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY</p> <p><i>SAMPLE</i></p> <p>_____</p> <p>TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)</p>
Level 1	Level 2A	Level 2B
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g. electronic) and paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits.
If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche, or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Signature: <i>Pete Praetorius</i>	Printed Name/Position/Title: <i>Pete Praetorius, Ass. Prof.</i>
Organization/Address: <i>Montana Tech PTC Dept Butte, MT 59701</i>	Telephone: _____ Fax: _____
E-mail Address: _____	Date: <i>4/6/02</i>

ppraetorius@mttech.edu