DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 462 667 CG 031 613

AUTHOR Lane, Mildred D.

TITLE Counselors' Use of Functional Assessment: A Survey of

Pennsylvania Counselors.

PUB DATE 2002-03-00

NOTE 37p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American

Counseling Association (New Orleans, LA, March 22-26, 2002).

PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *Ability Identification; Adults; Cognitive Ability;

*Counseling; Counseling Techniques; *Counselor Role; *Disabilities; Evaluation Methods; *Mainstreaming; Measurement Techniques; *Measures (Individuals)

IDENTIFIERS *Functional Assessment; Pennsylvania

ABSTRACT

As people with disabilities are becoming more included in society, professional counselors are required to develop skills and identify tools to assess, counsel, and advocate for these clients. This article presents Pennsylvania counselors' definition of functional assessment and procedures, techniques, and instruments currently in use. Most counselors (70.5%) who responded to a survey questionnaire reported they do not use functional assessments. Counselors who did use functional assessments provided 182 definitions. A preliminary classification for the term "functional assessment" establishes two predominant domains that answer the questions "What" and "Why". Sub-categories established include: cognitive, social, emotional, activities of daily living, behavioral, academic, vocational, leisure, physical, and progress and change. Appendix A contains the Functional Assessment Survey and Appendix B presents a Preliminary List of Functional Assessments identified in the survey. (Contains 4 tables and 31 references.) (Author/JDM)



Counselors' Use of Functional Assessment:

A Survey of Pennsylvania Counselors

Mildred D. Lane

Duquesne University

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Abstract

As people with disabilities are becoming more included into society, professional counselors are required to develop skills and identify tools to assess, counsel and advocate for these clients. This article presents Pennsylvania counselors' definition of functional assessment and procedures, techniques, and instruments currently in use. Most counselors (70.5%) who responded to a survey questionnaire reported they do not use functional assessments. Counselors who did use functional assessments provided 182 definitions. A preliminary classification for the term "functional assessment" establishes two predominant domains that answer the questions "What" and "Why". Sub-categories established include: cognitive, social, emotional, activities of daily living, behavioral, academic, vocational, leisure, physical, and progress and change.



Counselors' Use of Functional Assessment

Ignorance, prejudice, segregation and seclusion experienced by persons with disabilities have diminished their quality of life and impeded their abilities to self actualize. The impact of this mistreatment and neglect must be factored into the functional assessment process, as the manner in which others respond to a person with a disability greatly influences his or her ability to perform. The American society has, for legal and social reasons, become more willing to accept persons with disabilities into all aspects of life (i.e., families, communities, education, employment, and transportation). Due to this acceptance, it becomes increasingly important for professional counselors within schools, hospitals, community agencies and private practice to provide valid prescriptions of services and suggest relevant environmental accommodations. Valid functional assessments will allow for accurate diagnoses, prescription of services, and appropriate environmental modifications enabling clients to increase their probability of living more independently.

Defining Functional Assessment

It is apparent from the literature that the terms "functional assessment, functional analysis, functional behavioral analysis, and behavioral assessment" are used interchangeably. This interchangeable use of terminology is confusing to professionals and consumers. The need for standard definitions and clarification of functional assessment methodology has been well documented (Granger, 1997; Joe, 1984; Miller, Tansy, & Hughes, 1998).

Currently functional assessments are used in psychology, rehabilitation and education (Cohen, Farkas, & Cohen, 1999; Dial, 1986; Foster-Johnson & Dunlap, 1993; Koyanagi & Goldman, 1991; Mansfield, 1997; National Association of State Directors of Special Education, 1998; Olsson, 1994; Payne, 1994; Reisberg, 1988; Rush, 1999; and Wright, 1999); however, there is little information concerning functional assessment in professional counseling literature.



Pennsylvania Act 136, the Social Workers, Marriage and Family Therapists and Professional Counselors Act of 1998 Section 3 states: "The practice of counseling includes: the evaluation and assessment of normal and abnormal mental, emotional, social, educational, vocational, family and behavioral functioning throughout the life span; individual, group, family counseling and psychotherapy; crisis intervention, career counseling and educational and vocational counseling; functional assessment of persons with disabilities; and professional consulting." However, the term "functional assessment" used in Pennsylvania Act 136 has not been specifically defined (Hall, D. W., personal communication, June 10, 1999). The undefined term is also used in the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) of 1997. Lack of clear and consistent definitions in legislation causes confusion among professionals.

Functional assessment is a measurement to describe abilities and limitations that individuals experience when performing the necessary activities of daily living. The term "functional assessment" originated in the field of geriatrics. Lawton (1971) defined functional assessment as "any systematic attempt to measure objectively the level at which a person is functioning in any of a variety of areas" (p.465).

The National Association of State Directors of Special Education (Tilly, Kovaleski, Dunlap, Knoster, Banbara, & Kincaid, 1998) state four common uses of functional assessment. The policy guide outlines the following:

Functional assessments in education may describe: (1) how well an individual is 'functioning' in an environment or area of performance.

Assessments based on this definition help describe student strengths and areas of concern. In the context of IDEA 1997, this type of assessment is focused on determining an individual's 'functional needs'. It answers the question "What does an individual need in order to function in their school program?":...(2) Functional assessment in education may describe the status of some intact characteristic of the individual. This type of



assessment serves a descriptive or diagnostic purpose (it helps describe problems and classify them)....(3) Functional assessment in education may describe the relationship between a skill or performance problem and variables that contribute to its occurrence. This type of assessment both helps describe a problem and helps explain why it is occurring.... (4) Functional assessments in education may describe the function or purpose that is served by an individual's behavior. This description is directly compatible with definition (3), as it both describes the problem and helps understand why it is occurring. Understanding the function that an impeding behavior serves for the student assists directly in designing educational programs with a high likelihood of success. (pp. 19-20).

Cohen, Farkas, & Cohen (1999) specified that functional assessment is different from traditional diagnosis in that skills are evaluated rather than pathological symptoms or personality. Functional assessment evaluates consumers' spontaneous use, prompted use, and performance of critical skills in relation to their needed use in a particular environment (p. 1). It should be noted, though that behavioral assessment was defined by Jones (1977) as: "assessment done by researchers, clinicians, and other practitioners whose goal is to measure aspects of people's overt, publicly observable behavior, rather than covert, private events or constructs like thoughts, attitudes, or personality attributes (pp. 331-332)".

More specifically, Haynes and O'Brien (1990) presented a definition of functional analysis as "the identification of important, controllable, causal functional relationships applicable to a specified set of target behaviors for an individual client" (p. 654). They, also, report inconsistencies in the use of the term "functional analysis" in the behavior therapy literature.



Legislation

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania law now requires licensed professional counselors to perform functional assessments of individuals with disabilities, and the United States law mandates the institutional acceptance of differences with equal opportunities for all citizens. In 1975, Public Law 94-142, the Education of All Handicapped Children Act provided that all students receive a free and appropriate education within the least restrictive environment. Reauthorization of P.L. 94-142 occurred in 1990 and resulted in a name change to Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The act was again reauthorized as P.L. 105-17 in 1997 (Federal Resource Center for Special Education, 1999). Major tenets of IDEA include: a free and appropriate education for all students; least restrictive environment where students with disabilities receive their education with non disabled peers to the maximum extent appropriate; related services such as transportation and such developmental, corrective and other supportive services as required; nondiscriminatory assessment; and an Individualized Educational Plan. The term "individuals with disabilities" is defined as those who exhibit one of several specific conditions that result in their need for special education and related services to facilitate academic, social, and emotional development. Disability categories recognized by the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA, 1997), the federal mandate to provide appropriate educational programs for individuals with disabilities, include: specific learning disabilities, speech or language impairments, mental retardation, emotional disturbance, multiple disabilities, auditory impairments, orthopedic impairments, other health impairments, visual impairments, autism, deaf-blindness, traumatic brain injury (TBI).

The Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (ADA), P.L. 101-336, defines a disability as, "A physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more of the major life activities, a record of such an impairment, or being regarded as having such a condition." The act provides "clear, strong, consistent, enforceable standards addressing



discrimination against individuals with disabilities" (Kinder, 1999). Major life activities encompass seeing, hearing, speaking, walking, breathing, performing manual tasks, learning, caring for oneself, and working (National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research, 1992; Streett & Smith, 1996). Major life activities also encompass sexuality and play.

The Rehabilitation Act Amendments, Section 504, (1973) protect individuals with a disability and define a disability as, "A physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more of the major life activities, a record of such an impairment, or being regarded as having such a condition."

Purpose of the Study

The purpose of this study was to:

- 1. Report the current working definition of the term functional assessment of persons with disabilities for counselors in training and professional counselors in Pennsylvania.
- 2. Identify the tools and/or procedures currently utilized to measure functional assessment of persons with disabilities among counselors in Pennsylvania.

Research Questions

The following research questions are posed:

- 1. What is the current working definition of functional assessment in the field of counseling in use in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania?
- 2. What measures of functional assessment are in use among counselors in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania?



Method

Participants

A survey was conducted over a 15-week period from April 6 to July 27, 2000, by use of a single stage sampling design. Within this 15-week period, a pilot study with doctoral-seeking cohort members of Duquesne University Executive Counselor Education and Supervision (ExCES) Program and a survey of Pennsylvania Counseling Association (PCA) members were conducted. A quantitative study of ability to define and use functional assessments of individuals with disabilities was conducted from information self-reported on the survey. The survey population consisted of 916 current and former members of the Pennsylvania Counseling Association (i. e., 634 current paid members for the 1999-2000 fiscal year, 159 members in arrears whose dues expired July 1998, but whose names remained included on the PCA's mailing list).

Procedure

Dillman's (2000) Tailored Design Method was utilized in order to obtain voluntary participation in the survey and a high response rate. Participants were assured of confidential treatment of their responses. The questionnaire was mailed to participants and self-administered. Four contacts were made by First Class Mail with a fifth and final contact by Priority Mail. The first contact was a personalized prenotice letter sent to 916 respondents a few days prior to the questionnaire. The second contact was a six-page questionnaire mailed to 916 respondents on May 1, 2000. Included with the survey was a personalized, detailed cover letter that contained real names on the inside address and was individually signed in contrasting blue ink. Token incentives of a ball point pen and



a preaddressed, stamped return envelope were included in the mailout. The third contact, a postcard "thank you and reminder" was mailed one week after the questionnaire to all participants.

Non-respondents (N=411) received the fourth contact, a personalized, follow-up letter and replacement questionnaire mailed twenty-three days after the original mailing. The follow-up letter contained real names on the inside address and was individually signed in contrasting blue ink. A preaddressed, stamped return envelope was included in the mailout with the questionnaire and follow-up letter, but a pen was not included. Four weeks after the fourth mailing, the remaining non-respondents (N=270) were sent the fifth and final contact which consisted of a letter of request, a replacement questionnaire, and a preaddressed, stamped return envelope sent by priority mail

After 15 weeks, when responses stopped coming in, the completed sample (all returned, completed questionnaires) of 586 participants or about 64% of the population comprised the data set for statistical analysis.

Survey Instrument

The survey instrument used in the study was the investigator's self-designed questionnaire (Appendix A) containing 21 items. Two open-ended questions pertained to functional assessments and examined (a) the definition of functional assessment and (b) the types of procedures, techniques, and instruments used to assess the function of clients in the counseling profession. One open-ended question pertained to assessments in general and asked participants to: "list the procedures, techniques and/or instruments you use to assess clients." Two opened questions asked all participants to "(a) list the certifications you hold; and (b) list the licenses you hold". Two questions were based on a



five-point scale (one or more times a day, one to four times per week, 2-3 times per month, once a month, and less than once a month) and asked, "On average how often do you assess the function of individuals with disabilities?" and "On average how often do you assess clients?" Five questions required dichotomous answers, "yes or no". Other closed-ended questions asked for information such as access to information about functional assessment, access to Pennsylvania Act 136, the Social Workers, Marriage and Family Therapists and Professional Counselors Act of 1998, type of membership in PCA, educational level, current full and/or part-time employment, number of years in counseling practice, gender, and age range.

Data Analysis

٠,

To establish a training sample and to relate a global sense of the functional assessment domain to the raters, a table was compiled that listed the definitions of functional assessment provided by the pilot study (n=7) and the early returns of the PCA Survey (n=3). These ten definitions were (a) grouped by content identification of key words and (b) coded by the researcher in collaboration with a professor who had research interest in multimodal functional behavioral assessment. Coding of definitions was based upon the following:

Code 1 was based upon observations and diagnosis. These definitions answered the question, "What?" based upon Lawton's (1971) definition of functional assessment as "a systematic attempt to measure objectively the level at which a person is functioning in any of a variety of areas". Subcategories included (a) cognitive, (b) social/interpersonal, (c) emotional, (d) activities of daily living, (e) academic, (f) vocational, (g) leisure, (i) progress and change, and (j) ambiguous.



- Code 2 was based upon background and issues and answered the question. "Why?" based upon Haynes and O'Brien's (1990) definition of functional analysis as "identification of important, controllable, causal functional relationships applicable to a specified set of target behaviors for an individual client". Subcategories included (a) cognitive. (b) social/interpersonal. (c) emotional, (d) behavioral, (e) academic, (f) physical, and (g) ambiguous.
- Code 3 was test instrument focused or based upon normative measures. Instruments and measures in this category could be classified as (a) cognitive, (b) social, (c) emotional, (d) academic, (e) vocational, (f) physical, and (g) ambiguous.
- Code 4 was based on statements about the formative assessment of counseling and the importance of client feedback.
- Code 9 was established for definitions that were uninterpretable.

Two raters then independently coded a sample of ten definitions chosen from the PCA survey responses. Coded forms were compared and discrepancies were identified and resolved. Five more definitions (every fifth one listed) were chosen from the PCA survey responses and independently coded. The raters reached consensus on these five definitions and then worked independently to code definitions (n=167) provided by PCA participants.

Results

Concerning the term "functional assessment", definitions (N-167) were listed and coded; however, the majority of participants reported that they did not use functional assessments in their counseling practice (Lane, 2000). Twenty-nine and one-half percent



(29.5%; \underline{n} =173) of the participants answered "yes"; seventy and one-half percent (70.5%; \underline{n} = 413) answered "no" to the question, "Do you use functional assessments in your counseling practice?" Approximately thirty-nine percent (38.9%; n=228) of the participants were National Certified Counselors (NCC). Table 1 indicates that a significant relationship was found between the use of functional assessment and counselors who did not have the National Certified Counselor credential.

Table 1 Chi-square Analysis on a Cross-tabulation of 2 Variables: Use of Functional Assessment by National Certified Counselor

Use of FA				
NCC		Yes	No	Total
Yes	Count	78	150	228
No	Count	95	263	358
Total	Count	173	413	586
	% of Total	29.5%	70.5%	100.0%

Note. NCC = National Certified Counselor.

Chi-square = 3.943, df = 1, $p \le 0.05$, $\phi = .082$.

Global Categories

Table 2 shows the coding of definitions at the global level. Half of the definitions. (n=83, 49.7%) were given a single Code 1 based upon observations and diagnosis. They answered the question, "What?" based upon Lawton's (1971) definition of functional assessment as "a systematic attempt to measure objectively the level at which a person is functioning in any of a variety of areas". Thirteen (7.8%) definitions were given a single



Code 2 based upon background and issues and that answered the question, "Why?" based upon Haynes and O'Brien's (1990) definition of functional analysis as "identification of important, controllable, causal functional relationships applicable to a specified set of target behaviors for an individual client". Twenty-two (13.2%) definitions were given Code 3 because they were test instrument focused or based upon normative measures. Other definitions were based upon formative assessment and combinations of observations, diagnosis, normative measures, and/or formative assessment with client input.

Table 2 Global Categories of Functional Assessment Definitions

Code	Frequency	Description
1 What	(<u>n</u> =83;	Example 1: An evaluation of multiple domains
	49.7%)	(cognitive, language, motor, social/emotional and
		self-help) across settings.
		Example 2: A description of a client's use of skills
		and abilities in domains such as daily living, social
		and marital (relationship) interactions and
		discovering leisure time activities, vocational
		activities, and decision making.
2 Why	(<u>n</u> =13; 7.8%)	Example 1: Identifying areas of problem behavior
		and dysfunctional responses to one's environment.
		Example 2: An assessment of antecedents, behaviors
		and consequences done by the whole team (parents,



		teachers, counselors, specialists) that is used to
		develop a working behavioral support plan within the
		I.E.P.—also uses global general hypothesis as to
		determine the function of the behavior and its
		consequences.
3 Instrument	(<u>n</u> =22;	Example 1: A standardized means of observing,
	13.2%)	collecting data, and recording information to gain
		comprehensive view of a given area of functioning in
		a client.
		Example 2: A paper and pencil assessment tool to
		determine a person's functioning in one of a number
		of areas (i.e., D/A, depression, etc.
4 Formative	(<u>n</u> =1; 0.6%)	Example: A 360° evaluation from peers,
		subordinates, and supervisory personnel. Also
		request client input into my practice of counseling
		(i.e., likes or dislikes)
1 & 2	(<u>n</u> =13; 7.8%)	Example 1: Evaluation of a client's cognitive and
What & Why		physical functioning to the extent that it impacts on
		the client psychologically and has contributed to the
		problems which led to seeking counseling; also the
		extent to which that functioning may influence the
		course and outcome.
		Example 2: Tool to determine how an individual is





9 Uninterpretable	(<u>n</u> =4; 2.4%)	Uninterpretable
	N=167; 100%	Total

Sub-categories

Sub-categories identified within the 167 definitions totaled 299. Table 3 shows that most of the definitions (41.9%; \underline{n} =70) were sub-categorized as ambiguous. Other sub-categories of importance were: emotional (25.1%; \underline{n} =42), cognitive (21%; \underline{n} =35), social (20.4%; \underline{n} =34), physical (18%; \underline{n} =30), activities of daily living (16.8%; \underline{n} =28), and academic (14.4%; \underline{n} =24).

Table 3
Summary of Sub-categories for Functional Assessment Definition

Sub-category	Frequency	Percent
Ambiguous	70	41.9
Emotional	42	25.1
Cognitive	35	21.0
Social	34	20.4
Physical	30	18.0
Activities of Daily Living	28	16.8
Academic	24	14.4
Vocational	21	12.6
Progress and change	9	5.4
Instrument Identified/Unclear	4	2.4
Leisure	2	1.2



Instruments, Procedures and Techniques Used for Functional Assessment

Functional assessment procedures, techniques and/or instruments (N=238) were identified in the survey (Appendix B). While not central to the findings of the study, the preliminary classification of functional assessment instruments and procedures contributes heuristic value to understanding results. Future study will be necessary to develop a more valid categorization system. Table 4 provides preliminary classification of 238 functional assessment procedures, techniques and/or instruments.

Table 4 Preliminary Classification of Functional Assessment Instruments/Procedures

Classification	Frequency	Percent
Cognitive	32	14
Social/Interpersonal	10	4
Emotional	22	9
Activities of Daily Living/Behavior	23	10
Academic	17	7
Vocational	10	4
Physical	33	14
Other	91	38
Total	238	100

Discussion

There is an obvious need for regulatory boards to establish guidelines and maintain minimal standards for counseling practice, course work, and supervision and for



educators to train students. The inclusion and acceptance of persons with disabilities into all aspects of American society has increased the obligation of professional counselors to perform valid functional assessments of their clients.

Reliability

Interrater reliability was determined on two levels (a) the agreement of global categories (Codes 1, 2, 3, 4, and 9) and (b) on the specific characteristics of each category (A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, K). The kappa coefficient was chosen to measure interrater agreement. Values of kappa greater than 0.75 indicate excellent agreement beyond chance, values between 0.40 to 0.75 indicate fair to good agreement (SPSS, 1998). Excellent agreement among raters was obtained at the global level. Rater agreement was triangulated by two independent raters and the investigator. At the global level, the value of kappa for the independent raters, Rater 1 and Rater 2, was .78 (t = 17.67, p = .000). The value of kappa for Rater 1 and Rater 3 was .91 (t = 20.57, p = .000). The value of kappa for Rater 2 and Rater 3 was .81 (t = 18.63, p = .000).

At the subcategory level, individual kappa coefficients were determined on independent rater's (Raters 1 and 2) decisions for each grouping. The value of kappa for the definition subcategories of Code 1, What, was .84 (\underline{t} =15.27, = .000); subcategories of Code 2, Why, was .31 (\underline{t} =1.60, \underline{p} .= .110). Kappa values could not be determined for other subcategories.

Validity

Internal validity may be limited to convergence of terminology, definitions, and instruments used in the fields of counseling, psychology, and education. An audit may be conducted by another researcher to provide validation of the key decisions made during



the research process. External validity may be limited to interpretation of the terminology, definitions, and instruments by the raters.

Implications for Practice

This study implies that professional counselors need to develop the knowledge and skill to perform functional assessments of persons with disabilities. All counselors need to be cognizant of the types of disabilities inherent or acquired by clients. Not only should counselors develop awareness of disabilities in clients, they should perform valid functional assessments to accurately diagnose their clients, prescribe appropriate services and appropriate environmental modifications, and make appropriate referrals when necessary.

This study also implies that there is a critical need for continued education of counselors at all levels of involvement (i.e., private practice, schools, community agencies, colleges and universities, and professional counseling organizations) throughout the nation. This education should emphasize the recognition of diversity, its importance in society, and legislation mandating rights of persons with disabilities. There is also a serious call for systems change to include persons with disabilities into the field of counseling.

The use of functional assessments is noticeably absent from counseling practice in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Further, National Certified Counselors (NCCs) in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania do not use functional assessments. The statistical significance that has been shown to exist in the relationship between National Certified Counselors in Pennsylvania and their lack of use of functional assessments needs to be further explored. It may be that participants in this study simply lacked the exposure to



information, professional experience, or personal interaction with persons who have disabilities. It also may be that the lack of the functional assessment concept is developmental in the field of counseling, or it may be a lack of motivation on the part of counselors and counselor educators. More research and exploration is needed to understand and define the terms and processes of functional assessment, functional analysis, functional behavioral assessment, and behavioral assessment that are currently used interchangeably.



References

- Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C.A. & Sect. 2, 104 Stat. 328 (1991).

 American Psychiatric Association (1994). Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (4th ed.), Washington, D. D.: Author.
- Cohen, M., Farkas, M., & Cohen, B. (1999) Functional assessment training technology.

 <u>Center for Psychiatric Rehabilitation.</u> Retrieved August 19, 1999 from the World Wide Web: http://bu.edu/sarpsych/CATALOG/traintech/traintech2.html
- Cone, J. D., & Hawkins, R. P. (1977). Current status and future directions in behavioral assessment. In J. D. Cone & R. P. Hawkins (Eds.), <u>Behavioral assessment: New directions in clinical psychology.</u> New York: Brunner/Mazel.
- Dial, J. (1986). Survey of Functional Adaptive Behaviors. [On-line]. Abstract from:Educational Testing Service: ETS Tracking Number: TC017872. ERICClerainghouse on Assessment and Evaluation.
- Federal Resource Center for Special Education (1999, March 12). Final IDEA '97

 Regulations. Retrieved September 5, 1999 from the World Wide Web:

 http://www.dssc.org/frc/regs99.htm
- Foster-Johnson, L., & Dunlap, G. (1993, Spring). Using functional assessment to develop effective, individualized interventions for challenging behaviors. Behavior Modification, 44-50.
- Granger, C. V. (1994). A conceptual model for functional assessment. In C. V. Granger & G. E. Gresham (Eds.). <u>Functional assessment in rehabilitation medicine.</u>

 Baltimore, MD: Williams & Wilkins.
- Granger, C. V. (1994). Forward. In S. S. Dittmar & G. E. Gresham, <u>Functional</u>

 <u>assessment and outcome measures for the rehabilitation health professional</u> (p.
 ix). Gaithersburg, MD: Aspen.
- Haynes, S. N., & O'Brien, W. H. (1990). Functional analysis in behavior therapy. Clinical Psychology Review, 10, 649-668.



- Individuals with Disabilities Education Act of 1997, Federal Register, 42, 42474-42518.
- Joe, T. (1984). Forward in C. V. Granger & G. E. Gresham (Eds.) <u>Functional assessment</u>
 in rehabilitation medicine (pp. vii-viii. Baltimore: Williams & Wilkins.
- Jones, R. R. (1977) Conceptual versus analytic uses of generalizability theory in hegavioral assessment. In J. D. Cone & R. P. Hawkins (Eds.), <u>Behavioral</u> <u>assessment: New directions in clinical psychology.</u> New York: Brunner/Mazel.
- Kinder, D. C. (1999, August 29). The Americans with Disabilities Act. <u>ADA Document</u>

 <u>Center.</u> Retrieved August 29, 1999 from the World Wide Web:

 http://janweb.icdi.wvu.edu/kinder/
- Koyanagi, C., & Goldman, H. (1991) Disability assessment at the Social Security

 Administration. <u>Earth Operations Central.</u> Retrieved November 2, 1999 from the

 World Wide Web: http://earthops.org/box_1-1.html
- Lane, M. D. (2000). Professional Counselors' use of functional assessment: A survey of Pennsylvania counselors. (Doctoral dissertation, Duquesne University, 2000).
 <u>Dissertation Abstracts International</u>, UMI ProQuest Digital Dissertations, AAT 9993765.
- Lawton, M. P. (1971). The functional assessment of elderly people. <u>Journal of the</u>

 <u>American Geriatrics Society</u>, 19, 465-491.
- Mansfield, J. (1997). <u>JCAHO recreational therapy standards of practice</u>. Retrieved March 6, 1999 from the World Wide Web:

 http://www.ceap.wcu.edu/hhp/students/Jeffmansfield/JCAHO.html
- Miller, J. A., Tansy, M. & Hughes, T. L. (1998). Functional behavioral assessment: The link between problem behavior and effective intervention in schools. <u>Current Issues in Education</u>, 1. Retrieved August 29, 1999 from the World Wide Web: http://cie.ed.asu.edu/fall98/miller tansy hughes/index.html
- National Association of State Directors of Special Education (1998). Functional

 Behavioral Assessment State Policies and Procedures: Quick Turn Around (QTA)



- Forum. Alexandria, VA (From ERIC: First Search, 1999, Abstract No. ED420958, Clearinghouse No. EC306530)
- National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research (1992). The Americans with Disabilities Act: Questions and answers (EEOC-BK-15). Washington, D.C.: U. S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.
- Olsson, R. H. (1994). Ohio Functional Assessment Battery: Standardized Tests for
 Leisure and Living Skills. [On-line]. Abstract from: Educational Testing Service:
 ETS Tracking Number: TC019115. ERIC Clerainghouse on Assessment and
 Evaluation.
- Payne, J. C. (1994). Communication profile: A functional skills survey. [On-line].

 Abstract from: Educational Testing Service: ETS Tracking Number: TC019472.

 ERIC Clerainghouse on Assessment and Evaluation.
- Pennsylvania Counseling Association Bylaws. (1999).
- Public Law 94-142 (1975). Federal Register, 42, 42474-42518.
- Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (Section 504). Retrieved August 29, 1999 from the World Wide Web: http://www.aristotle.net/~hantley/hiedlegl/statues/rehab73.htm
- Reisberg, B. (1988). Functional assessment staging (FAST). <u>Psychopharmacology</u>

 <u>Bulletin, 24.</u> 653-659. Retrieved June 30, 1999 from the World Wide Web:

 http://www.geocities.com/Heartland/7015/alz fast.html
- Rush, T. (1999). Functional assessment systems, methods, and instruments. Retrieved

 June 30, 1999 from the World Wide Web:

 http://www.ssa.gov/DPRT/functional_assessment.html
- SPSS Marketing Department (1998). SPSS® Base 8.0 applications guide. Chicago, IL: SPSS Inc.
- Stock, W. A. (1994). Systematic coding for research synthesis. In H. Cooper & L. Hedges (Eds.), <u>The Handbook of Research Synthesis.</u> (pp. 126-138). New York: Russell Sage Foundation.



- Streett, S., & Smith, T. E. C. (1996) <u>Section 504 and public schools: A practical guide.</u>
 Little Rock, AR: The Learning Group.
- Tilly, W. D., Kovaleski, J., Dunlap, G., Knoster, T. P., Banbara, L., & Kincaid, D. (1998). Functional behavioral assessment: Policy development in light of emerging research and practice. Alexandria, VA: National Association of State Directors of Special Education.
- Wright, B. D. (1999) Fundamental measurement for psychology. In Embretson, S. E. & Hershberger, S.L. (Eds.) The new rules of measurement: What every psychologist and educator should know. Mahwah, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.



Appendix A

Functional Assessment Survey

Counselor Questionnaire 2000

Directions: Complete the following questions according to the individual directions stated. Mark your answer in the box \boxtimes with a blue or black pen.

START HERE:

1.	you use functional assessments in your counseling practice? (MARK ☒ ONE BOX.)
	Yes → SKIP to 3 No Ψ
	2. (If No) List the procedures, techniques and/or instruments you use to assess clients. (Use the back of this form if additional space is required.)
	♦ (Skip to Question 6)
3.	Yes) Define the term "functional assessment" as you use it in your unseling practice.



Functional Assessment Survey	Page 2
4. List the procedures, techniques and/or instrume use to conduct functional assessments. (Use the this form if additional space is required.)	
5. On average how often do you assess the function individuals with disabilities? (MARK ☑ ONE I	
☐ One or more times a day	
☐ One to four times per week	
2-3 times per month	
Once a month	
☐ Less than once a month	
6. On average how often do you assess clients? (MARK ☒ ONE BOX.)	
☐ One or more times a day	
One to four times per week	
2-3 times per month	
Once a month	
☐ Less than once a month	
7. Do you plan to apply to become a Licensed Professional Couthe Commonwealth of Pennsylvania? (MARK ☑ ONE BOX	
☐ Yes ☐ No	



Functional Assessment Survey	Page 3
8. Do you know the requirements to become a Lice Counselor in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvan BOX.)	
☐ Yes ☐ No	
9. Have you read Pennsylvania Act 136, the Social and Family Therapists and Professional Counse (MARK ☒ ONE BOX.)	
☐ Yes ☐ No	
10. Where would you look for a copy of Pennsylvan (MARK ☑ ONE BOX.)	nia Act 136?
 □ Internet □ Harrisburg □ Local Legislator □ A Counselor Education Program □ Pennsylvania Alliance of Counseling Profe □ Pennsylvania Counseling Association (PCA) 	
11. Would you like to know more about functional a (MARK ☒ ONE BOX.)	assessment?
☐ Yes	



Functional Assessment Survey	Page 4
12. How would you prefer to access information about functi assessment? (MARK ☒ ONE BOX.)	onal
☐ Internet☐ Library☐ Conference Workshop	
Special TrainingCourse Taught at a University or CollegeOther	
13. What type of membership with the Pennsylvania Counse Association do you possess? (MARK ☒ ONE BOX.)	ling
 □ Professional □ Associate □ Student □ Emeritus □ Honorary □ None (Former member whose dues have expired) 	
14. What is your highest earned degree? (MARK ☒ ONE BO	OX.)
 □ Doctorate □ Masters □ Bachelors □ Associate □ High School Diploma □ Other	
15. List the certifications you hold. (Use the back of this form if additional space is required.)	





Functional Assessment Survey	Page 6
20. What is your gender? (MARK ☒ ONE BOX.)	
☐ Male ☐ Female	
21. What is your age range? (MARK ☒ ONE BOX.)	
☐ 20-30 ☐ 31-40 ☐ 41-50 ☐ 51-60 ☐ 61+ Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. You assistance in providing this information is very much appreciate anything else you would like to tell me about this survey, please space provided below.	d. If there is

Please return your completed questionnaire in the envelope provided to:

Mildred D. Lane, M.S.Ed., NCC

Duquesne University
School of Education
Department of Counseling, Psychology and Special Education
Pittsburgh, PA 15282



Appendix B

Preliminary List of

Functional Assessments Identified in the PCA Survey

A. Cognitive

- 1. Adult Basic Learning Exam (ABLE)
- 2. Beck Cognitive Theory Program
- 3. BETA Nonverbal Intellectual Ability (Ages 16-89)
- 4. Blessed Dementia Scale
- 5. Brief Cognitive Rating Scale
- 6. Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale
- 7. Child Dissociative Checklist (CDC)
- 8. Clock Test (Cognitive Impairment)
- 9. Cognition Assessment
- 10. Columbia Impairment Scale
- 11. Columbia Mental Maturity Scale
- 12. Concentration Assessment
- 13. Dementia
- 14. Draw a Clock
- 15. Folstein Mini Mental Status Exam
- 16. Galveston Orientation and Amensia Test (GOAT)
- 17. Gifted Assessment
- 18. House Tree Person (HTP)
- 19. Intelligence Tests
- 20. Kaufman Brief Intelligence Test (K-BIT)
- 21. Memory
- 22. Mental Status Exam
- 23. Mini Mental Status Exam
- 24. Problem Solving
- 25. Psychiatric Intakes/Evaluations
- 26. Raven Progressive Matrices
- 27. Slosson Full Range Intelligence Test
- 28. Stanford Binet Intelligence Test
- 29. Test of Memory
- 30. Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-Revised (WAIS-R)
- 31. Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children (WISC III R)
- 32. Wechsler Subtests of Information



B. Social/Interpersonal

- 1. BASIS 32 Environmental Attitudes
- 2. Family Environment Assessment
- 3. Global Assessment of Relational Functioning (GARF)
- 4. Marriage
- 5. Multnoma Community Ability Scqale

(Targets severely mentally ill community mental health)

- 6. Parent Skills Inventory
- 7. "Scale" Assessments with Families
- 8. Social Behavior Tests
- 9. Social History/Judgment
- 10. Vineland Social Maturity Scale

C. Emotional

- 1. Anger Inventory
- 2. Anxiety Sensitivity Index (ASI)
- 3. Anxiety Status Inventory (ASI)
- 4. Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI)
- 5. Beck Depression Inventory (BDI)
- 6. Beck Hopelessness Scale (BHI)
- 7. Burns Depression Checklist
- 8. Child Depression Inventory (CDI)
- 9. Depression
- 10. Depression Inventory
- 11. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual, IV (DSM IV)
- 12. Dysfunctional Attitude Scale (DAS)
- 13. Emotional Tests
- 14. Geriatric Depression Scale
- 15. Global Assessment of Functioning (GAF)
- 16. Hamilton Depression Inventory
- 17. IPAT Anxiety Scale
- 18. IPAT Depression Scale
- 19. Kiersey Bates Temperament Sorter
- 20. Reynolds Adult Depression Scale (RADS)
- 21. Schedule for Affective Disorder and Schizophrenia (SADS)
- 22. Taylor-Johnson Temperament Analysis (TJTA)

D. Activities of Daily Living/Behavior

- 1. ABCs of Behavior (antecedents, behavior, consequence)
- 2. Attention Assessment
- 3. Barthel Index
- 4. Behavior Assessment Scale
- 5. Behavior Assessment System for Children (BASC)
- 6. Behavior Evaluation Scale-2 (BES)
- 7. Behavior Symptom Inventory (BSI)
- 8. Behavioral Checklist/Questionnaire
- 9. Behavioral Expectations Scale (BES)
- 10. Child and Adolescent Functional Assessment Scale (CAFAS)



- 11. Child Behavior Checklist (CBC, CBCL)
- 12. Connors Checklist of Behaviors
- 13. Daily Activities Questionnaire
- 14. Daily Assessment of Functioning (DAF)
- 15. Daily Living
- 16. Defensive Functioning Scale
- 17. Devereaux Behavior Rating Scales
- 18. Initial Line of Inquiry
- 19. Oppositional Defiant Disorder Screen (ODD)
- 20. Pennsylvania Functional Behavioral Assessment Form
- 21. Pittsburgh School District Functional Assessment Form
- 22. Unmet Needs Chart (A behavior assessment tool)
- 23. Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scale

E. Academic

- 1. Academic Interests
- 2. Achievement Tests
- 3. Educational History
- 4. General Equivalency Diploma
- 5. Instructional Support Team Observation (IST)
- 6. Kaufman (K-TEA) Achievement
- 7. Language Assessment
- 8. Picture Exchange Communication System (PECS)
- 9. Reading Comprehension
- 10. School Records/Reports
- 11. Standardized Testing
- 12. Stanford Achievement Test
- 13. Test of Adult Basic Education (TABE)
- 14. Wide Range Achievement Test (WRAT)
- 15. Williams Reading Test for Grades 4-9 (WMS)
- 16. Woodcock Johnson Achievement Test
- 17. Woodcock Reading Mastery Test Revised

F. Vocational

- 1. Aptitude Assessments
- 2. Career Assessment
- 3. Discover (Computer Guidance System)
- 4. Interest Assessments
- 5. Occupational Therapy and Physical Therapy (OTPT)
- 6. Self Directed Search (SDS)
- 7. Strong-Campbell Interest Inventory
- 8. Vocational Career Assessment Inventory
- 9. Vocational Preference Inventory John Holland
- 10. Work History

G. Leisure (None)



H. **Physical**

- 1. Abnormal Involuntary Movement Scale (AIMS)
- 2. Addiction Severity Index (ASI)
- 3. Adolescent American Society of Addiction Medicine Inventory (ASAM)
- 4. Adolescent Symptom Inventory (ASI)
- 5. Alcohol and Drugs
- 6. American Society of Addiction Medicine Inventory (ASAM)
- 7. Appearance Schemas Inventory (ASI)
- 8. Attention Deficit Disorder (ADD) Screens
- 9. Bender Gestalt
- 10. Bentur Visual Motor Retention
- 11. Biopsychosocial Interview
- 12. Blushing Propensity Scale (BPI)
- 13. Body Esteem Scale (BES)
- 14. CAGE Questionnaire
- 15. Developmental Test of Visual Motor Integration
- 16. Driving Under the Influence (DUI)
- 17. Drug and Alcohol Screening (D&A)
- 18. Eating Attitudes Test (EAT 26
- 19. Eating Disorder Assessment
- 20. Evaluative Summary (with ASAM categories)
- 21. Eye-Hand Coordination
- 22. HIV Biopsychosocial Inventory
- 23. Lemprolide Acetate
- 24. McGill Pain Questionnaire
- 25. Medical History/Questionnaire
- 26. Michigan Alcoholism Screening Test (MAST)
- 27. Pain Patient Profile (P 3)
- 28. Physical Self Maintenance Scale
- 29. Repetitive Psychometric Measures: Speed of Closure (SC 190)
- 30. SF 36 Health Survey
- 31. Sickness Impact Profile (SIP)
- 32. Substance Abuse Subtle Screening Inventory (SASSI)
- 33. Visual Motor

J. Other

- 1. ACT ASSET Program, Social Assets Inventory
- 2. Adaptive Style Inventory (AS)
- 3. Adolescent Problem Severity Index (APSI)
- 4. Auditory Perception
- 5. Basic Symptom Inventory (BSI)
- 6. Bem Sex Role Inventory
- 7. Blessed IMCT
- 8. Bulit-R (The)
- 9. California Psychological Inventory (CPI)
- 10. Client History
- 11. Compass Form Developed by Integra
- 12. Computer Adaptive Placement Assessment & Support System (COMPASS)
- 13. Comprehensive Infosystems.www.Authoring.html (CIWA-R)



- 14. Coopersmith
- 15. DVSA
- 16. Environmental Description Checklists
- 17. Exploration of Wants and Needs
- 18. Face Valid Surveys
- 19. Functional Activities Ouestions
- 20. Functional Clinical Evaluation
- 21. Genogram
- 22. Gesell Developmental Schedules
- **23. GLIS**
- 24. Goodman Screening Test for Obsessive Compulsive Disorder
- 25. Gordon Diagnostic System (GDS)
- 26. Health Questionnaire
- 27. Intake provided by site
- 28. Interview with clients, parents, teachers, other school personnel
- 29. Interagency Meetings
- 30. Inventory for Client and Agency Planning (ICAP)
- 31. Inventory of Concerns (50+ Category Listing)
- 32. ISS to assess SA severity
- **33. JOCI**
- 34. Lawton's IADC Scale
- 35. Lemproli
- 36. Life History
- 37. LOCI Experienced Control Scale
- 38. Luria Nebraski
- 39. MCMF
- 40. MAGS Comprehensive Gambling
- 41. Massachusetts General Trichotillamania Scale (TTM)
- 42. Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory (MMPI)
- 43. Neuropsychological
- 44. NDS
- **45. OAST**
- 46. Observations (teacher, parent, counselor)
- 47. Orientation Assessment
- 48. Orientation Questionnaire-Symptom Assessment-45 (OQ-45)
- 49. Penile Plethysomography
- 50. Pennsylvania Clients' Placement Criteria (PCPC)
- 51. Pennsylvania Referral Intervention Manual (PRIM)
- 52. Personality Assessment Inventory
- 53. Piers-Harris Children's Self Concept Scale
- 54. Polygraph
- 55. Post Traumatic Stress Disorder Questionnaire (Adolescent and Child)
- 56. Preschool and Child Functional Assessment Scale
- 57. Prior Treatment Evaluations
- 58. Projective
- 59. Psychiatric Intakes/Evaluations
- 60. Psychiatric Rehabilitation Tool developed by Delaware County
- 61. Psychological Adjustment
- 62. Psychosocial Assessment Tool/History Questionnaire
- 63. Risk Ratings
- 64. Rorschach



- 65. SCL-90°
- 66. Self Rating Forms
- 67. Sentence Completion Form (SCF)
- 68. Significant Units of Stress Scale
- 69. Sixteen Personality Factor Questionnaire (16PF)
- 70. Skill Scan Card Sort
- 71. Social Security Disability Inventory
- **72. SOFAS**
- 73. SOGS Comprehensive Gambling Tool
- 74. STAXI
- 75. Stonybrook
- 76. Strengths Assessment
- 77. Stress Assessment
- 78. Structured Interview 7 Factor
- 79. Suicide Probability Scale (SPS)
- 80. Suicide Risk Factor Inventory
- 81. Teacher Multicultural Attitude Survey (TMAS)
- 82. Team Discussions
- 83. Test of Variables of Attention (TOVA)
- 84. Trail Making Test (TMT)
- 85. Thematic Apperception Test (TAT)
- 86. Thinking-Feeling Activity (TFA)
- 87. Treatment Plan Review
- 88. Wrights
- 89. Yale Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale (YBOCS)
- 90. Zung Self Rating Scale for People with a Mental Handicap
- 91. 360° Evaluation







U.S. Department of EducationOffice of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI) National Library of Education (NLE) Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)

REPRODUCTION RELEASE

	(Specific Document)	-
I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION		
Title: COUNSELORS VSE A SURVEY OF PENN	OF FUNCTIONAL ASSISYLVANIA COUNSELORS	SESSMENT?
Author(s): LANE, Mildred	P. LANE	
Corporate Source:		Publication Date:
abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in	mely and significant materials of interest to the education <i>Education</i> (RIE), are usually made available to users in roduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source	microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic
granted, one of the following notices is affixed to e	eminate the identified documents, please CHECK ONE	
The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
Level 1	Level 2A	Level 2B
Check here for Level 1 ralease, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only
	ments will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality perr reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be process	
as indicated above. Reproduction from	urces Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permissi the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons oth t holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction onse to discrete inquiries.	er than ERIC employees and its system contractors

American Counseling Association New Orleans, LA March 22-26, 2002



Sign here, → please

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, *or*, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of these documents from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of these documents. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:	
rubiiอกอกของแมนเงา.	
Address:	
Price:	
/ REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPY	RIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:
THE ENTAL OF ENO TO COP II	MOITI/KEF KODOC HON KIGH 13 HOLDEK.
the right to grant this reproduction release is held by ddress:	someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and .
Name:	
Address:	
	•
V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:	·
Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:	ERIC Counseling & Student Services
-	University of North Carolina at Greensboro
	201 Ferguson Building PO Box 26171
	Greensboro, NC 27402-6171

