ED461958 2001-12-00 Students with Disabilities in Correctional Facilities. ERIC Digest.

ERIC Development Team

www.eric.ed.gov

Table of Contents

If you're viewing this document online, you can click any of the topics below to link directly to that section.

Students with Disabilities in Correctional Facilities. ERIC Digest	1
PREVALENCE	2
EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS AND RELATED SERVICES	3
REFERENCES	4



ERIC Identifier: ED461958
Publication Date: 2001-12-00

Author: Quinn, Mary M. - Rutherford, Robert B., Jr. - Leone, Peter E.

Source: ERIC Clearinghouse on Disabilities and Gifted Education Arlington VA.

Students with Disabilities in Correctional Facilities. ERIC Digest.

THIS DIGEST WAS CREATED BY ERIC, THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER. FOR MORE INFORMATION ABOUT ERIC, CONTACT ACCESS ERIC 1-800-LET-ERIC

Youth with disabling conditions are over represented in juvenile correctional facilities (Burrell & Warboys, 2000). Many special educators, parents, and advocates are interested in ensuring that these youth receive the education and related services to which they are entitled under federal and state statutes. Until recently, however, the nature and extent of over representation, the educational services provided, and the

credentials of teachers in juvenile corrections have not been adequately examined.

The Center for Effective Collaboration and Practice, in collaboration with the National Center on Education, Disability and Juvenile Justice (CECP/EDJJ), recently completed a national survey of the prevalence of youth with disabilities in juvenile detention, and in juvenile and adult correctional facilities in the United States. Preliminary analysis of findings from the survey of public and private facilities and state agencies sheds light on the status of education services to youth with disabilities in juvenile detention, and in juvenile and adult correctional facilities (Quinn, Rutherford, Wolford, Leone, & Nelson, 2001). This digest presents the survey's major findings on the prevalence of students with disabilities in correctional facilities and the educational and related services offered to them.

PREVALENCE

In the mid 1980s, Rutherford, Nelson, and Wolford (1985) conducted a national survey of state special education and correctional education agencies to determine the need for, and provision of, special education services to incarcerated youth with disabilities. Rutherford and his colleagues found that youth with disabilities were substantially over represented in the juvenile justice system. Casey and Keilitz (1990) conducted a meta-analysis of studies of the prevalence of youth with learning disabilities and mental retardation in juvenile corrections and found that students with learning disabilities and mental retardation were over represented (average weighted prevalence estimates were 35.6 % and 12.6%, respectively). More recent studies have also found disproportionate representation in juvenile corrections (e.g., Bullock & McArthur, 1994). While the mechanisms associated with over representation are not well understood, some evidence suggests that police officers, attorneys, judges, corrections staff, and probation officers are typically unaware of characteristics associated with youths' disabilities (Keilitz & Dunivant, 1986). That is, youth may be more vulnerable to involvement in the juvenile or criminal justice system when poorly developed reasoning ability, inappropriate affect, and inattention are misinterpreted by professionals as hostility, lack of cooperation, and other inappropriate responses.

A conservative, preliminary estimate of the prevalence of youth with disabling conditions in juvenile corrections is 32%. This finding is notably higher than the prevalence of disabilities among school-age children in the United States, which is about 9% (U.S. Department of Education, 2000).

The results of this survey suggest that youth with a specific learning disability or an emotional disturbance are more vulnerable to placement in juvenile or adult corrections than youth not identified as disabled. The survey found that 46% of youth with a disability in corrections had a primary diagnosis of specific learning disability and 45% were identified with an emotional disturbance.

ERIC Resource Center www.eric.ed.gov

This phenomenon has several implications. First, local schools and communities must recognize that youth placed at risk for involvement in the juvenile justice system, including students with disabilities, must receive support and preventative services to minimize their vulnerability. Early identification of youth placed at risk can lower the odds of incarceration and assist youth, their families, and their communities in developing more productive relationships and experiences. In addition to prevention, community-based services in lieu of incarceration can provide appropriate sanctions for youth while avoiding the negative outcomes associated with imprisonment. With daily costs as high as \$200 to \$500 per day for youth placed in juvenile corrections, financial incentives to develop alternatives should exist (South Dakota Department of Corrections, 2001).

EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS AND RELATED SERVICES

In their 1985 survey, Rutherford, Nelson, and Wolford found that although services offered in most states varied widely, not all youth with disabilities in juvenile corrections were receiving the special education services to which they were entitled. Findings from the current CECP/EDJJ survey indicate that most juveniles who are detained or incarcerated are enrolled in an educational program, with the type of facility affecting the availability of education. Respondents reported that 84% of youth in short-term detention facilities, 48% of youth in long-term correctional facilities, and 29% of youth in adult corrections facilities were enrolled in education programs.

The finding that only 29% of juveniles in adult corrections facilities were enrolled in education programs is disturbing, particularly as states have increased the number and percentage of youth transferred from juvenile to criminal courts and from juvenile to adult correctional facilities (Juszkiewicz, 2000). This finding may also confirm the difficulty that adult correctional facilities have had in providing educational services, especially to youth with disabilities.

Most facilities reported that they had procedures in place to determine whether incarcerated youth were eligible for special education and related services. While 89% of juvenile and adult correctional facilities reported procedures for identification and placement, only 73% of the local detention facilities had procedures in place. Within the 27% of local detention facilities lacking these procedures are approximately 3,400 youth.

The CECP/EDJJ survey found variability in the credentials of teachers serving special education students in juvenile and adult correctional facilities, as well as in the related services students received. Facilities reported that only 17% of their teachers were fully certified to teach special education. Of the related services offered to detained or incarcerated youth, counseling and speech and language services were the most prevalent. For all types of facilities, about 60% offered related services for counseling;

34% for speech and language services; 21% for occupational therapy; and 39% for other types of services.

These findings imply that correctional facilities need to develop programs and services that meet statutory requirements. The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) entitles eligible youth to special education services in juvenile and adult corrections, with some limitations. Similarly, Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requires that public entities, including detention facilities and prisons, provide accommodations in programs and services for individuals with disabilities. However, the record of class action litigation during the past 20 years in this area suggests that in many jurisdictions, correctional facilities have provided inadequate services until they were sued (Leone & Meisel, 1997). Providing adequate services to youth in corrections involves communicating with the home school, developing effective screening and assessment procedures, and providing quality special education and related services.

Clearly, more research is needed to understand the impact of disability on delinquent behavior. Currently, a number of hypothesized relationships exist but empirical evidence is scarce. Further, information about the adequacy of education services for youth with disabilities in correctional settings is limited to a description of compliance with statutory requirements and not a review of the implementation of empirically based instructional practices and outcomes for youth.

The over representation of youth with disabilities in corrections raises questions for policymakers and the public. Alternatives to incarceration and more widely available prevention services can reduce the number of youth with disabilities in juvenile and adult correctional institutions.

REFERENCES

Bullock, L. M., & McArthur, P. (1994). Correctional special education: Disability prevalence estimates and teacher preparation programs. Education and Treatment of Children, 17, 347-355.

Burell, S., & Warboys, L. M. (2000). Special education and the juvenile justice system. Washington, DC: US Department of Justice, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention. (ED 450 515)

Casey, P., & Keilitz, I. (1990). Estimating the prevalence of learning disabled and mentally retarded juvenile offenders: A meta-analysis. In P. E. Leone (Ed.), Understanding troubled and troubling youth (pp. 82-101). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

Juszkiewicz, J. (2000). Youth crime/adult time: Is justice served? Washington, DC: Building Blocks for Youth, Youth Law Center. [http://buildingblocksforyouth.org/ycat/]

Keilitz, I., & Dunivant, N. (1986). The relationship between learning disability and

ERIC Resource Center www.eric.ed.gov

juvenile delinquency: Current state of knowledge. Journal of Special Education, 7, 18-26.

Leone, P. E., & Meisel, S. (1997). Improving education services for students in detention and confinement facilities. Children's Legal Rights Journal, 17(1), 2-12.

Quinn, M. M., Rutherford, R. B., Wolford, B. I., Leone, P. E., & Nelson, C. M. (2001). The prevalence of youth with disabilities in juvenile and adult corrections: Analysis of a national survey. Washington, DC: American Institutes for Research, National Center on Education, Disability, and Juvenile Justice.

Rutherford, R. B., Nelson, C. M., & Wolford, B. I. (1985). Special education in the most restrictive environment: Correctional/special education. Journal of Special Education, 19, 59-71.

South Dakota Department of Corrections (2001). State Training School Phase-Out FAQs. Retrieved from http://www.state.sd.us/corrections/STSPhaseOutFAQ.htm

US Department of Education (2000). Twenty-second annual report to Congress on the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act. Washington, DC: Author. (ED 444 333)

References with an ED number are available for a fee through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) at http://edrs.com/ or 800-443-3742.

Internet resources cited in this document were current at the time of publication. Please note that Web addresses are subject to change.

ERIC Digests are in the public domain and may be freely reproduced and disseminated, but please acknowledge your source. This digest was prepared with funding from the Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI), US Department of Education, under Contract No. ED-99-C0-0026. The opinions expressed in this publication do not necessarily reflect the positions of OERI or the Department of Education.

Title: Students with Disabilities in Correctional Facilities. ERIC Digest.

Note: Digest number E621.

Document Type: Information Analyses---ERIC Information Analysis Products (IAPs)

(071); Information Analyses---ERIC Digests (Selected) in Full Text (073);

Available From: ERIC Clearinghouse on Disabilities and Gifted Education, Council for

Exceptional Children, 1110 North Glebe Rd., Arlington, VA 22201-5709. Tel:

800-328-0272 (Toll Free); Fax: 703-620-2521; e-mail: ericec@cec.sped.org. For full

text: http://www.ericec.org.

Descriptors: Access to Education, Adolescents, Correctional Education, Correctional Institutions, Delinquency, Delinquent Rehabilitation, Disabilities, Emotional Disturbances, Incidence, Institutionalized Persons, Juvenile Justice, Learning Disabilities, National Surveys, Prisoners, Special Education **Identifiers:** Related Services ####



[Return to ERIC Digest Search Page]