DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 458 472 CG 031 338

AUTHOR Owens, Shane Gregory; Roth, David; Schmelkin, Liora P.

TITLE Alcohol Use among University Students: Incidence, Reasons,

and Effects.

PUB DATE 2001-08-26

NOTE 10p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American

Psychological Association (109th, San Francisco, CA, August

24-28, 2001).

PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Affective Behavior; *Alcohol Abuse; Anxiety; College

Students; *Drinking; Higher Education; *Peer Relationship;

Socialization; Student Behavior; Student Surveys

IDENTIFIERS *Binge Drinking

ABSTRACT

In the recent past, research on binge drinking has focused on the incidence and reasons for drinking among college students. Students at a mid-sized suburban university answered questions regarding their alcohol consumption, their reasons for drinking, and the negative experiences that they had as a result of drinking. The results of this survey indicate that the surveyed students demonstrate the same pattern of binge drinking found in similar studies. Binge drinkers cite reasons for drinking related to socialization and anxiety more often than do non-binge drinkers. Binge drinkers also experience negative personal, physiological, and social effects of consuming alcohol more frequently than drinkers who do not binge. (Author)



Alcohol Use Among University Students: Incidence, Reasons, and Effects

Shane Gregory Owens David Roth Liora P. Schmelkin

Hofstra University Hempstead, NY

Presented at the 109th APA Convention San Francisco, CA August 26, 2001

Any correspondence regarding this presentation, including requests for copies of the survey instrument that was used, can be sent via conventional mail to: Shane Owens, Department of Psychology, Hofstra University, Hauser Hall, Hempstead, NY, 11549. Electronic mail can be sent to psysgo@hofstra.edu.

> U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

- ☐ This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- ☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

BEST COPY AVAILABL

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

ABSTRACT

In the recent past, research on binge drinking has focused on the incidence and reasons for drinking among college students. Students at a mid-sized suburban university answered questions regarding their alcohol consumption, their reasons for drinking and the negative experiences that they had as a result of drinking. The results of this survey indicate that the surveyed students demonstrate the same pattern of binge drinking found in similar studies. Binge drinkers cite reasons for drinking related to socialization and anxiety more often than do non-binge drinkers. Binge drinkers also experience negative personal, physiological, and social effects of consuming alcohol more frequently than drinkers who do not binge.



INTRODUCTION

In the 1990s, binge drinking on college campuses became a focus for research and changes in university and public policy. Among American college students, binge drinking may be the most pervasive and most preventable source of morbidity and mortality (Wechsler, Dowdall, Davenport, & Castillo, 1995). Drinkers can be classified as binge drinkers and frequent binge drinkers based on the rate and frequency of their drinking. A binge drinker is defined as a male who has five or more drinks in a row or a woman who has four or more drinks in a row. Frequent binge drinkers are those who reach these criteria three or more times during a two-week period. Among college drinkers, it has been estimated that 20 to 44% are binge drinkers and 19% of these are frequent binge drinkers (Wechsler et al., 1995). The purposes of this study were to compare national statistics to a smaller, more homogenous sample and to attempt to discover reasons contributing to alcohol use and abuse as well as the most frequent negative effects of drinking experienced by college students in order to identify possible avenues of effective intervention for drinking and binge drinking by college students.

METHOD

Participants and Procedures

Surveys were mailed to 600 randomly selected students from a mid-sized university in the eastern US. Samples of 150 students were taken from each class year. Of the original sample, 56% of the selected students were female and the remaining 44% were male, which corresponds roughly to the reported 54 to 46% female-male student ratio at the university. Students were assured that their answers would be completely anonymous. This was achieved by asking the students to refrain from reporting any identifying information on the survey. In order to determine which students had returned the survey, the participants were asked to return a separate postcard on which was printed an identification number that allowed the experimenters to remove the names and addresses from the list of selected students. There were two mailings. The second mailing took place one month after the first and included only those students whose names had not been removed from the list based on the receipt of their identification postcards. Following the two mailings, 182 responses were received, representing 30.3% of the total sample.

Materials

The survey asked students questions about drinking behavior, opinions of drinking, results of drinking experiences and demographic variables. For the purposes of the study, a drink was defined as one 12 oz. can, bottle or glass of beer, one 4 oz. glass of wine, one 12 oz. bottle, glass or can of wine cooler or one 1.25 oz. shot of hard liquor straight or in a mixed drink.

The first question assessed the recency of the student's last drink. Two questions were adapted from Cronin (1997) to determine reasons for drinking



and the rates of negative consequences of drinking experienced by students. One question measured 27 reasons for drinking, while the other inquired about 43 negative effects of drinking. Two questions were used to classify each participant as a non-drinker, a drinker, a binge drinker, or a frequent binge drinker. These definitions were taken from Wechsler et al. (1994). The last eight items were used to gather demographic information including gender, age, residential status, class standing and participation in various activities.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

With regard to gender, 128 (70.3%) of the respondents were female, while 54 (29.7%) were male. The mean age of the sample was 21.4 years (range = 17 to 47). Of the respondents, 45 (25.1%) were freshmen, 27 (15.1%) were sophomores, 48 (26.8%) were juniors and 59 (33.0%) were seniors. In regard to residential status, 50 (27.5%) of the respondents were campus residents, while 132 (72.5%) were commuters.

The frequencies for type of drinker as defined by Wechsler et al. (1994) can be found in Table 1. Alpha was set at .05 for all tests of significance. Chisquare analyses were used to examine the effects of gender, class year and commuter status on type of drinker, none of which were significant. A correlation between age and type of drinker was insignificant ($\underline{r} = -.10$, p > .05).

When examining only those subjects who are younger than the legal limit for alcohol purchase or consumption, 10 (10.4%) of the underage people were non-drinkers, 50 (52.1%) were drinkers who did not binge, 24 (25%) were binge drinkers and 12 (12.5%) were frequent binge drinkers.

Factor analyses of reasons for and effects of drinking items resulted in 3 reasons for drinking factors and 4 effects of drinking factors. Means, standard deviations, and reliabilities for each of the factors are reported in Table 2.

A factorial MANOVA was performed for the three reasons factors and four effects factors with type of drinker and gender as factors. Means and standard deviations for these variables are reported in Tables 3. A significant interaction was found (Λ = .84, $\underline{F}(14, 308)$ = 2.00, \underline{p} < .05, η^2 = .10). Multivariate tests also revealed a significant main effect for gender (Λ = .91, $\underline{F}(7, 154)$ = 2.16, \underline{p} < .05, η^2 = .09), and a significant main effect for type of drinker (Λ = .68, $\underline{F}(14, 308)$ = 5.30, \underline{p} < .05, η^2 = .19). Because of the differences in the percentage of variance accounted for, only the effect of type of drinker was considered meaningful.

Tests of between-subjects effects indicated significant effects for Social-Emotional Reasons for Drinking (S), Anxiety-Related Reasons for drinking (A), Romantic Reasons for Drinking (R), Behavioral and Physiological Effects of drinking (B), Signals of Problem Drinking (PD) and Social Consequences of Drinking (C), but not for Paranoid Effects of Drinking (P).

In considering differences between types of drinkers in relation to the reasons for and effects of drinking, there are no differences between binge drinkers and frequent binge drinkers. However, drinkers and binge drinkers



differ in their ratings of social-emotional, anxiety-related and romantic reasons and in the frequency with which they have experienced negative behavioral and physiological effects of drinking. In addition, drinkers and frequent binge drinkers differ in their ratings of social-emotional and anxiety-related reasons, but not in their ratings of romantic reasons. In addition, they differ in the frequency with which they have experienced signals of problem drinking and social consequences.



6

Table 1
Frequencies for Type of Drinker by
Gender

	M	Male Female		Total		
Drinker Type	<u>N</u>	%	<u>N</u>	%	<u>N</u>	%
Non- Drinker	3	5.6	11	8.6	14	7.7
Drinker	29	53.7	74	57.8	103	56.6
Binge Drinker	16	29.6	30	23.4	46	25.3
Frequent Binge Drinker	6	11.1	13	10.2	19	10.4



Table 2

<u>Overall Means for Reasons and Effect</u>

<u>Scales</u>

M	SD	<u>a</u>
2.54	.85	.89
1.80	.84	.78
2.09	.96	.83
1.69	.64	.85
1.39	.51	.81
1.08	.29	.71
1.24	.57	.73
	2.54 1.80 2.09 1.69 1.39 1.08	2.54 .85 1.80 .84 2.09 .96 1.69 .64 1.39 .51 1.08 .29 1.24 .57

Note: \underline{N} for each cell = 167 or 168.



Table 3 <u>Means and Standard Deviations for</u> <u>Reasons and Effects Factors by Type</u> of Drinker

	Type of Drinker			
	Drinker	Binge	Freq. Binge	
		Drinker	Drinker	
Reasons				
Social-Emotional	2.21	3.09	2.95	
	(.76)	(.72)	(.80)	
Anxiety-Related	1.63	2.04	2.13	
	(.71)	(1.01)	(.77)	
Romantic	1.87	2.51	2.28	
	(.87)	(.98)	(.10)	
Effects				
Behavioral/	1.47	1.87	2.41	
Physiological	(.48)	(.61)	(.77)	
Problem Drinking	1.31	1.48	1.65	
	(.46)	(.44)	(.78)	
Social	1.04	1.10	1.21	
Consequences	(.17)	(.31)	(.57)	
Paranoid	1.24	1.20	1.39	
·	(.62)	(.47)	(.52)	

Note: Numbers in parentheses are standard deviations. Note: Numbers in parenthese are standard deviations. Note: Numb



REFERENCES

Cronin, C. (1997). Reasons for drinking versus outcome expectancies in the prediction of college student drinking. <u>Substance Use & Misuse, 32(10), 1287-1311</u>.

Wechsler, H., Dowdall, G., Davenport, A., & Castillo, S. (1995). Correlates of college student binge drinking. <u>American Journal of Public Health</u>, 85(7), 921-926.





U.S. Department of Education



11/27/01

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)

REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

1	DOCL	JMFNT	IDENTIE	ICATION:
١.		JIVILIV 1		IUMIIUIT.

Title: ALCOHOL USE IN COLLEGE STUDENTS: INCIDENCE, REASONS, AND EFFECTS					
Author(s): SHANE GREGORY C	WEND, DAVID ROTH,	ANO LIORA P.	Schmerkin		
Corporate Source:		Р	ublication Date:		
HOFSTRA UNIVERSITY			AUGUST ZOOI		
II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:	_				
In order to disseminate as widely as possible tin abstract journal of the ERIC system, <i>Resources in E</i> media, and sold through the ERIC Document Represented, one of the following notices is affixed to early permission is granted to reproduce and dissert of the page.	Education (RIE), are usually made availa oduction Service (EDRS). Credit is give ach document.	ble to users in microfiche, r en to the source of each doo	eproduced paper copy, and electronic cument, and, if reproduction release is		
The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below wi affixed to all Level 2A documents		he sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents		
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIA MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONI FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBE HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	L IN PE C MEDIA D	RMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND ISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN FICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY		
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ÉRIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOU INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)		THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES NFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)		
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival	Level 2A Check here for Level 2A release, permitting and dissemination in microfiche and in electrons.	reproduction Check her	Level 2B e for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only		
media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy. ERIC archival collection subscribers only Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.					
I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate these documents as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.					
Sign here, → Signature:	3	Printed Name/Position/Title:	DWENS, MA) INSTRUCTOR		
Please Organization/Address: HOPSTRA UNIVERSITY D	ЕРТ. ОР РЕЧСНОСОВЧ	Telephone: (516) 463-5624	FAX:(516) 256-7018		



10 A PA

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of these documents from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of these documents. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/	Publisher/Distributor:					
Address:			* <u>'</u> '. * & +	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	· 21	
Price:			••••••	if it is the operation of the contract of th		Parkage a
:	· · .	, ,				

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:	·
Address:	
	√

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse: ERIC Counseling & Student Services

ERIC Counseling & Student Services
University of North Carolina at Greensboro

201 Ferguson Building

PO Box 26171

Greensboro, NC 27402-6171

