DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 457 413   CE 082 502

AUTHOR   Herod, L.
TITLE   Interpersonal Presence in Computer-Mediated Conferencing
                  Courses.
PUB DATE   2000-04-00
NOTE   5p.; Paper presented at the International Online Conference
                  on Teaching Online in Higher Education, "Online Teaching and
                  Learning Experiences" (Indianapolis University-Purdue
                  University, November 8-9, 1999) and at the Teaching in the
                  Community Colleges Online Conference," A Virtual Odyssey"
                  (5th, Kapiolani Community College, April 12-14, 2000).
AVAILABLE FROM   For full text:
                  http://as1.ipsw.edu/99tohe/presentations/herod.htm.
PUB TYPE   Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)
EDRS PRICE   MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS   Adjustment (to Environment); Communication Research;
                  *Computer Mediated Communication; Computer Uses in
                  Education; Definitions; *Distance Education; Educational
                  Environment; Graduate Study; *Group Dynamics; Higher
                  Education; Interaction; Intergroup Relations; Interpersonal
                  Communication; *Interpersonal Relationship; *Online Courses;
                  Peer Acceptance; *Teleconferencing

ABSTRACT
                  Interpersonal presence refers to the cues individuals use to
form impressions of one another and form/maintain relationships. The physical
cues used to convey interpersonal presence in face-to-face learning
environments are absent in text-based computer-mediated conferencing (CMC)
courses. Learners' perceptions of interpersonal presence in CMC courses were
examined in a study during which eight graduate students completed an online
questionnaire about their impressions of interpersonal presence in CMC
courses. Participants' notions of what constitutes interpersonal presence in
CMC courses broke down into personal style (how people "come across" as a
person) and collegial style (how people "come across" in terms of being a
fellow student). Participants identified the following methods of conveying
interpersonal presence in CMC courses: personal identifiers, socializing
efforts, and communication style. According to the participants, two types of
interwoven relationships develop in CMC courses. They are social (based on
mutual personal interests) and collegial (based on mutual academic interests
and purpose). Some participants valued social relationships more highly than
others did; however, all participants valued collegial relationships quite
highly. Group tasks, group size, and the course instructor also influenced
development of collegial relationships. It was concluded that online courses
must contain opportunities for conveyance of social and collegial cues.
(Contains 13 references.) (MN)

INTERPERSONAL PRESENCE IN COMPUTER-MEDIATED CONFERENCING COURSES

L. Herod, M.Ed., B.A., September 1999

Introduction

Interpersonal presence may be thought of as the cues we use to form impressions of one another (e.g., personality, attitudes, likes/dislikes, etc), and by which relationships of varying depth, duration and purpose are. In face-to-face (F2F) learning environments interpersonal presence is conveyed to a large extent by physical cues, both verbal (e.g., tone, nuance, etc) and non-verbal (e.g., facial expressions, gestures, body language). In text-based, computer-mediated conferencing (CMC) courses, however, these physical cues are absent. In light of this many consider online learning to be a poor substitute for classroom based learning. Others believe, however, that online learning provides the opportunity for equally satisfying, albeit different, ways of relating. This presentation will explore the findings of a recent study by this author that investigated learners' perceptions of interpersonal presence in (CMC) courses.

Interpersonal Presence Study

Eight graduate students completed an online questionnaire about their impressions of interpersonal presence in CMC courses. All of the participants were female and had completed a minimum of two graduate level CMC courses with the Ontario Institute for Studies in Education (Toronto, Canada) using Web Knowledge Forum, an asynchronous threaded discussion forum. Clarification and further elaboration of responses were obtained via e-mail as required.

Participants' notions of **what** constitutes interpersonal presence in CMC courses broke down into two broad categories:

- **Personal Style** - this refers to the way in which people "come across" or their "presence" as a person (e.g., sarcastic, witty, poetic, supportive of others, matter of fact, sarcastic, conciliatory, etc.)

- **Collegial Style** - this refers how people "come across" or their "presence" in terms of being a fellow student (e.g., how well the person works in groups, how often and how well they contribute, how open they are to others' ideas, their writing style, etc).

In terms of **how** interpersonal presence is conveyed, participants identified three general methods:

- ➤ **Personal Identifiers**

  - Biographical information

  - Posting of pictures

  - Links to personal web sites

1

> **Socializing Efforts**

- Use of e-mail "outside of class" for personal conversations

- Sharing of personal information/ experiences

- Supportiveness, helpfulness, openness, friendliness, etc., between one another

> **Communication style**

- expressions of emotion (e.g., emoticons, jokes, etc)

- tone of writing (e.g., sarcastic, conciliatory, apologetic, etc),

- style of writing (formal/informal, clarity, ability to articulate, organization, etc)

- quality and quantity of participation (e.g., how often peers contribute, when they contribute, how "in tune" they are with the discussions, etc)

Relational Aspects of Interpersonal Presence

According to participants, two types of interwoven relationships develop in CMC courses:

> **Social** - based on mutual personal interests such as family, recreation, etc.

> **Collegial** - based on mutual academic interests and purpose.

The value of **social relationships** was more important to some than to others. Most participants said they found social relationships to be somewhat lacking in **depth** in comparison to F2F, and primarily because of the lack of physical presence. This was considered a negative aspect of CMC courses. That said, however, with regard to **duration and purpose**, most of the participants said that they don't keep up with social relationships after a course ends either in F2F or CMC. It appears that once the catalyst for interacting is no longer there (i.e., the course and a shared purpose), the impetus for carrying on a social relationship seems to die.

The value of **collegial relationships,** however, was quite high for all of the participants and *very* important to their learning, both in terms of satisfaction and outcomes. In addition to interpersonal presence, three other factors appear to encourage or diminish the development of collegial relationships:

> **Group Tasks** - Almost all of the participants made reference to the importance of group work in getting to know their colleagues better. At the same time, most also said that group projects were much harder to accomplish in CMC than F2F due to the lack of physical presence.

> **Group Size** - The respondents were unanimous that too large a group inhibits the ability to form relationships. For most, difficulties tend to be experienced in CMC courses larger than fifteen students.

> **Course Instructor** - The majority of participants suggested that the instructor can help/hinder the process of forming interpersonal relationships (e.g., setting a tone that invites openness, risk-taking, and social interaction).

Discussion

This study was limited and as such, caution is recommended regarding any generalization of the data. For example, these results do not address many other factors such as gender differences, software, different types of learners, and so on. Future research will need to investigate whether or not these preliminary findings hold true in other contexts.

The study does suggest that interpersonal presence is conveyed in CMC courses, although in the judgement of participants in a somewhat diminished fashion compared to F2F courses. However, this is balanced by the convenience of online learning (i.e., "anywhere, anytime learning"). The importance of interpersonal presence lies mainly in the development of short duration, purposeful learner-learner (collegial) relationships versus ongoing personal/social relationships. Although social and collegial styles are interwoven into and important to conveying interpersonal presence, it is the latter that seems of greatest value to forging the type of relationship learners benefit most from in CMC courses.

Conclusion

The ever-increasing popularity of online learning can be attributed to a variety of factors, but in particular to the increased freedom from time and place that it affords learners. Collegial relationships are important to learning outcomes and satisfaction in CMC courses, thus course facilitators need to build into their courses the opportunity for the conveyance of "social" and "collegial" cues. Future research should focus on factors that will enhance both collegial and social relationships in CMC courses such as group size, the inclusion of F2F elements such as desktop video-conferencing/computer whiteboards to make group work easier to accomplish, and so on.

Further Reading

Biocca, F. (September, 1997). The cyborg's dilemma: Progressive embodiment in virtual environments. In <u>Computer-mediated Communication Journal, 3(2)</u>. Retrieved February 8, 1999 from the World Wide Web: http://www.ascusc.org/jcmc/vol3/issue2/

Chenault, B. (May, 1998). Developing personal and emotional relationships via computer-mediated communication. In <u>CMC Magazine</u>. Retrieved January 13, 1999 from the World Wide Web: http://december.com/cmc/mag/1998/may/chenault.html

Dewar, T. (1996). <u>Adult learning online</u>. Retrieved January 22, 1999 from the World Wide Web: http://www.cybercorp.net/~tammy/lo/oned2.html

Garton, L., Haythornthwaite, C. & Wellman, B. (June, 1997). Studying online social networks. In <u>Journal of Computer-mediated Communication 3(1)</u>. Retrieved February 5, 1999 from the World Wide Web: http://jcmc.huji.ac.il/vol3/issue1/garton.html

Hiltz, S. R., Johnson, K. and Turoff, M. (1986). Experiments in group decision making: Communication process and outcome in face-to-face versus computerized conferences. In Human Communication Research, 13, 225-252.

Lombard, M. & Ditton, T. (September, 1997). At the heart of it all: The concept of presence. In Journal of Computer-mediated Communication 3(2). Retrieved February 3, 1999 from the World Wide Web: http://www.ascusc.org/jcmc/vol3/issue2/lombard.html

Olmstead, P. M. (1997). To level the playing field. Paper presented at the VII International Conference on Technology and Distance Education, San Jose, Costa Rica. Retrieved July 20, 1999 from the World Wide Web: http://www.fcae.nova.edu/disted/spring97/articles.html

Parks, M. R. & Floyd, K. (1996). Making friends in cyberspace. In Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication, 1(4). Retrieved January 30, 1999 from   the World Wide Web: http://jcmc.huji.ac.il/vol1/issue4/vol1no4.html

Rafaeli, S. & Sudweeks, F. (March, 1997). Networked interactivity. In Journal of Computer-mediated Communication 2(4). Retrieved February 2, 1999 from the World Wide Web: http://www.ascusc.org/jcmc/vol2/issue4/index.html

Rheingold, H. (1993). The virtual community. Retrieved 21 September, 1998 from the World Wide Web: http://www.well.com/user/hlr/vcbook/vcbook1.html

Rice, R. E. (1993) Media appropriateness: Using social presence theory to compare traditional and new organizational media. In Human Communication Research 19(4), 451-484.

Short, J., Williams, E. & Christie, B. (1976). The social psychology of telecommunications. London: Wiley.

Streibel, M. J. (1997). Information technology, community, place, and presence. ERIC No. ED409873.

CE 082502

U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)

REPRODUCTION RELEASE
(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: *Interpersonal Presence in Computer-mediated Conferencing Courses*

Author(s): *Lori-Kyle Herod*

Corporate Source: *Paper presented at TCC 2000: A Virtual Odyssey, Kapi'olani Community College, Univ of Hawaii April 12-14, 2000 (2) ISTE 99, Indiana Univ-Purdue Univ, Nov 8-9, 1999*

Publication Date: *(1) April 2000 (2) Nov 1999*

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY Sample TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) 1	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY Sample TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) 2A	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY Sample TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) 2B
Level 1 ↑ [✓]	Level 2A ↑ [ ]	Level 2B ↑ [ ]
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits.
If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Sign here,→ please

Signature: *Herod*

Organization/Address: *Ontario Institute for Studies in Education, University of Toronto, Ed.D Program*

Printed Name/Position/Title: *L. Herod, MEd, BA*

Telephone: *(204) 726-5002*   FAX:

E-Mail Address: *herod@westman.wave.ca*   Date: *Oct 19/01*

(over)