

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 456 609

EC 308 594

AUTHOR Pankaskie, Sara; Webb, Kris
TITLE A Comparison of Graduation Requirements.
PUB DATE 1999-10-21
NOTE 6p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Council for Exceptional Children (Charleston, SC, October 21-23, 1999).
PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS *Academic Accommodations (Disabilities); *Academic Standards; Credits; Degree Requirements; *Disabilities; Graduation; *Graduation Requirements; High Schools; Individualized Education Programs; State Programs; *State Regulation
IDENTIFIERS Florida

ABSTRACT

This paper discusses the national movement toward increasing high school graduation requirements and the development of alternatives to the standard high school diploma for students with disabilities. Findings from a review of state policies indicate: (1) 38 states and territories apply some graduation requirements to students with disabilities; (2) 9 states require all students regardless of their disability to meet all requirements for a standard diploma; (3) 9 states reported that students with mild disabilities must meet all requirements for a diploma; (4) 11 states reported that graduation requirements for all students with disabilities are determined locally, guided by the students' individualized education programs (IEPs); and (5) six states permit requirements for students with severe disabilities to be determined or waived by the students' IEPs. A chart illustrates graduation diploma options for students with disabilities in Florida. (Contains 16 references.) (CR)

A Comparison of Graduation Requirements



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS
BEEN GRANTED BY

Pankaskie

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

Sara Pankaskie, Ph.D.
University of Central Florida
Kris Webb, Ph.D.
University of North Florida

**Division on Career Development
and Transition**
October 21, 1999
Charleston, South Carolina

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

A Comparison of Graduation Requirements

Summary

Over the past few years, many states have increased the requirements for attaining a standard diploma. Changes include increases in the number of credits required for graduation, higher academic standards, and the addition of exit exams. This is not a new phenomenon, but rather part of a recurring cycle in educational policies/politics. A similar emphasis on more rigorous graduation requirements occurred in the 1980s when nearly half of the states (47.9%) reported new policies on required number of units for graduation; and more states began prescribing the number and types of courses students must take and pass in order to graduate from high school (Bodner, Clark, & Mellard, 1987).

The pace and direction of the changes in graduation requirements appears to be somewhat different since 1995. For example, the number of states requiring an exit examination for graduation has increased from 15 in 1989 to 17 in 1996. It increased from 17 to 22 by 1998. The average number of Carnegie units required for graduation has gone up 2.5 points just since 1996. Half of all states (25) show increases with only one showing a decrease.

We do not know what effect these changes will have on students with disabilities, but we would be foolish to believe that there will be no impact. Some states are developing options for students with disabilities to provide them with more alternatives to successful transition to adult life. Florida is an example of a state who has developed two alternatives to the standard diploma: (1) a credit-based diploma and (2) an employment and community skills competency-based diploma. Prior to the most recent increase in graduation requirements, most Florida school districts report limited use of option 2. There will be a need to scrutinize the effects of diploma options on graduation rates and other measures of transition as the full impact of the more stringent graduation requirements begin to be felt across the nation.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Units Required for Graduation

25 states raised the number of Carnegie units required for graduation since 1996. The average increase was 2.8 units with a range of 1 to 6 units. The median increase was 2.5 units.

Year	1996	1998
range	1-22	10-24
median	18.5	20

1996

Credits Required	Number of States	Percent of States
21+	6	13%
16-20	30	63%
11-15	7	14%
1-10	5	10%

1998

21+	18	40%
16-20	19	42%
11-15	4	9%
1-10	3	7%

Note: Five states leave this decision to local school board discretion (Colorado, Iowa, Massachusetts, Michigan, Nebraska)

Some states prescribe core requirements and leave the remainder to the local school boards (e.g., Illinois, California, New York, Vermont, and Wisconsin)

One was under revision in 1998 (Pennsylvania)

States requiring exit exams

Year	1987	1998	In development
Number	15	22	7

Types of course requirements

Number of States specifying core credits for graduation

Number	Type	1996 states	1998 states
4 credits	English	42	40
3 credits	English	7	8
2.5 - 4 credits	math	20	24
2 credits	math	26	23
2.5 - 4 credits	science	12	16
2 credits	science	31	28
3-4 credits of social studies	studies	31	33

Status of State Level Graduation Policies on Students with Disabilities

38 states and territories apply some graduation requirements to students with disabilities

9 states require all students regardless of their disability to meet all requirements for a standard diploma

9 states reported that students with mild disabilities must meet all requirements for a diploma

11 states reported that graduation requirements for all students with disabilities are determined locally, guided by the students' IEP.

6 states permit requirements for students with severe disabilities to be determined or waived by the students' IEPs.

From "Students with Disabilities and High School Graduation Policies", National Association of State Boards of Education Policy Update, 1997.

Diploma Options for Students with Disabilities in Florida

Standard Diploma	Special Option 1	Special Option 2
Required credits in subject areas, including Algebra or other higher level math course	Required credits in subject areas	Successful completion of the goals and objectives on the student's IEP
Successful passage of High School Competency Test	Mastery of Student Performance Standards for the student's exceptionality	Mastery of employment and community competencies as specified on the student's Graduation Plan
2.0 GPA	2.0 GPA	Successful employment for at least one semester
		16 years of age or older

References

Bodner, J.R., Clark, G.M., and Mellard, D.F. (1987). *State graduation policies and program practices related to high school special education programs: A national study*. Lawrence, KS: University of Kansas Department of Special Education.

Clune, W.H., White, P., & Patterson, J. (1989). *The implementation and effects of high school graduation requirements: First steps toward curricular reform*. New Brunswick, NJ: Center for Policy Research in Education.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Firestone, W.A., Fuhrman, S.H., & Kirst, M.W. (1989). *The progress of reform: An appraisal of state education initiatives*. New Brunswick, NJ: Center for Policy Research in Education.

Frith, G.H. and Clark, R. (1984). Differential diplomas or competency based transcripts? Let's not fail to communicate. *NASSP Bulletin*, May.

Keefe, J.W. (1980). Differentiated diplomas: To do or not to do? *The Practitioner*, VI, 4.

CCSOO (October, 1998). *Key state education policies on K-12 education: A 50-state report*. Washington, DC: Council of Chief State School Officers.

CCSOO (October, 1996). *Key state education policies on K-12 education: A 50-state report*. Washington, DC: Council of Chief State School Officers.

Kortering, L. Jules, R., and Edgar, E. (1990) An instructive review of the law pertaining to the graduation of special education students. *Remedial and Special Education*, 11,(4),99-100.

Montgomery County Public Schools, (1989). *Answers to frequently asked questions about graduation and transition from school for special education students*. 22-24.

NASDE (1979). *Competency testing, special education, and the awarding of diplomas*. February. Washington: author.

Pankaskie, S. (1993). *Let's Try Another Way: Implementing Special Diploma Option 2*. Tallahassee, FL: Department of Education.

Ross, J.W. and Weintraub, F.J. (1980). Policy approaches regarding the impact of graduation requirements on handicapped students. *Exceptional Children*, 47, 200-205.

Smith, G.J. and Edelen-Smith, P.J. (1990). A commencement-based model of secondary education and training in mild mental retardation. *Education and Training of the Mentally Retarded*, 25, 15-24.

NASBE (1997). *Students with disabilities and high school graduation policies. Policy Update 5 (6)*. Alexandria, VA: National Association of State Boards of Education.

Thurlow, M., Ysseldyke, R., and Anderson, C. (1995). *High school graduation requirements: What's happening for students with disabilities*. Minneapolis, MN: National Center on Educational Outcomes.

Zeller, R. (1984). *Analysis of policy issues: Graduation and the diploma for handicapped students in public schools*. Eugene, OR: Western Regional Resource Center.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



**U.S. Department of
Education**
Office of Educational
Research and
Improvement (OERI)
National Library of
Education (NLE)
Educational Resources
Information Center
(ERIC)
Reproduction Release
(Specific Document)



I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: <i>A Comparison of Graduation Requirements</i>	
Author(s): <i>SARA PANICKIE + Kristine Lebb</i>	
Corporate Source: <i>N/A</i>	Publication Date:

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign in the indicated space following.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY <hr/> <hr/> TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY <hr/> <hr/> TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY <hr/> <hr/> TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
Level 1	Level 2A	Level 2B
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only
Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.		

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche, or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Signature: <i>Sara Pankaskie</i>	Printed Name/Position/Title: <i>* Primary Author SARA PANKASKIE, ASSISTANT PROFESSOR</i>	
Organization/Address: <i>Univ. of Central Florida 4000 Central Blvd. COE 214 Orlando, FL 32816-1250</i>	Telephone: <i>(407) 823-3865</i>	Fax: <i>(407)</i>
	E-mail Address: <i>spankaskie@mail.ucf.edu</i>	Date: <i>7/10/01</i>

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only
Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.		

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche, or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Signature: <i>Kristine W. Webb</i>	Printed Name/Position/Title: Assistant Professor Kristine W. Webb, Ph.D.	
Organization/Address: University of North FL 4567 St. Johns Bluff, South Jacksonville, FL 32224	Telephone: 904-620-2930	Fax: 904-620-2982
	E-mail Address: Kwebb@unf.edu	Date: July 3, 2001

2nd author

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address: