ED 455 114   SE 065 078

AUTHOR   Quellmalz, Edys
TITLE   The Role of Technology in Advancing Performance Standards in
                Science and Mathematics Learning.
PUB DATE   1999-00-00
NOTE   10p.
PUB TYPE   Reports - Descriptive (141)
EDRS PRICE   MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS   *Academic Standards; *Computer Uses in Education;
                *Educational Technology; Elementary Secondary Education;
                Mathematics Achievement; Mathematics Education; Science
                Achievement; Science Education

ABSTRACT
        Technology permeates the lives of most Americans: voice
mail, personal computers, and the ever-blinking VCR clock have become
commonplace. In schools, it is creating educational opportunities at a
dizzying pace and, within and beyond the classroom, it is providing
unprecedented access to a universe of ideas and resources. As a next step,
the education community can harness the same power technology has to enrich
what and how people learn to support the development and dissemination of
expectations for students' learning in science and mathematics. By
capitalizing on the burgeoning opportunities for students to explore the
stimulating environments afforded by innovative technologies, the processes
by which students acquire, apply, and extend their scientific and
mathematical powers can be more fully examined. This paper describes some of
the ways in which technology can: (1) support the ongoing dialogue to decide
what performance standards should and can be established; (2) support
understanding of established standards; (3) provide models and guidelines for
developing and using standards; and (4) offer an ever-expanding repertoire of
capabilities that will enlarge the conception of challenging performance
standards for science and mathematics. Supporting dialogue about performance
standards is discussed by focusing on computer networks and virtual
environments. Supporting an understanding of performance standards, providing
models and guidelines for developing and using performance standards, and
enlarging the conception of challenging performance standards are also
discussed. (Contains 19 references.) (ASK)

The Role of Technology in Advancing Performance Standards in Science and Mathematics Learning

by
Edys Quellmalz

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

The Role of Technology in Advancing Performance Standards

in Science and Mathematics Learning

Edys Quellmalz

Technology permeates the lives of most Americans: voice mail, personal computers, and the ever-blinking VCR clock have become commonplace. In schools, it is creating educational opportunities at a dizzying pace and, within and beyond the classroom, it is providing unprecedented access to a universe of ideas and resources. As a next step, the education community can harness the same power technology has to enrich what and how people learn to support the development and dissemination of expectations for students' learning in science and mathematics. By capitalizing on the burgeoning opportunities for students to explore the stimulating environments afforded by innovative technologies, we can examine much more fully the processes by which students acquire, apply, and extend their scientific and mathematical powers.

In this chapter, I describe some of the ways in which technology can (1) support the ongoing dialogue to decide what performance standards should and can be established, (2) support understanding of established standards, (3) provide models and guidelines for developing and using standards, and (4) offer an ever-expanding repertoire of capabilities that will enlarge our conception of challenging performance standards for science and mathematics. My suggestions about the role that technology can play in the development of performance standards reflect my view that standards are malleable over time and that efforts to establish and achieve them are dynamic, ongoing, and recursive.

Supporting Dialogue About Performance Standards

An immediate contribution that technology can make to standards-setting efforts is to support dialogue about standards as they are being proposed and employed. Communication technologies like e-mail, teleconferencing, bulletin boards, and chat rooms can host electronic meetings, deliberations, and debates about what student performance levels should be in science and mathematics. Technology enables dynamic interactions among stakeholders during the process of considering and setting performance standards, as well as in sessions arranged to judge how performance standards are exemplified in student responses. It can extend standards-setting conversations in terms of numbers of participants, the interests and expertise they represent, and their locations. Educators from varying levels of the educational system, from elementary to higher education, can participate. Mathematicians, scientists, researchers, and developers can log on and "chime in." Business and other local community members can also enter the debate.

Computer Networks

Computer networks can involve stakeholders from geographically distributed and remote sites. In an analysis of the impacts of the National Science Foundations' (http://www.nsf.gov) Networking Infrastructure for Education (NIE) program, Kozma and Quellmalz (1996) found that the development of partnerships and infrastructures to support the development of such outreach is well underway. NIE has provided support for national testbeds such as the CoVis Project (http://www.covis.nwu.edu) and the National School Network Testbed (http://nsn.bbn.com). Statewide efforts linking schools, communities, businesses, science centers, laboratories, museums, and libraries to achieve science and mathematics education reform include projects such as Network Montana (http://nmp.umt.edu/), the Vermont Technology Alliance (http://www.ra.terc.edu/alliance/TEMPLATE/state_connections/VT/vt_state.cfm), Alaska's Coming into the Country Project (http://red.www.nsf.gov/EHR/RED/NIE/awards/a9454193.htm), Missouri Supporting Teachers (MOST), and the New Jersey Networking Infrastructure for Education Testbed. Local electronic educational communities are also being nurtured by computer networks in projects such as Union City On-Line (http://www.union-city.k12.nj.us), Pittsburgh Common Knowledge (http://info.pps.pgh.pa.us), the Blacksburg Electronic Village (http://www.bev.org), and the Native American Mathematics and Science Technology project (http://red.www.nsf.gov/EHR/RED/NIE/awards/a9554472.htm). Moreover, projects such as the Math Forum (http://forum.swarthmore.edu/) and Geometry Forum (http://www.geom.umn.edu/docs/forum/) have been developed to promote reform goals by enhancing Web-based communication and collaboration among teachers, researchers, and students and by making rich, interesting mathematics resources available. The various forms of participation and communication afforded by these networks could be used as avenues for structuring formal and informal interactions about science and mathematics performance levels.

Virtual Environments

Multi-user virtual environments (i.e., electronic communities) offer another promising venue for on-line, real-time dialogue about performance standards. Researchers at SRI International, for example, have created a virtual community, the Teacher Professional Development Institute (TAPPED IN) (http://www.tappedin.sri.com/info/partners.html/), to help educators understand the benefits afforded by emerging Internet technologies (Schlager & Schank, 1997). TAPPED IN creates a shared virtual place, based on the model of a ference center, where teachers can meet to learn from one another, be exposed to a variety of education reform

concepts and approaches, find high-quality resources, and contribute some that they have found useful. Discussions about performance standards for mathematics and science learning could be readily incorporated into the professional development activities hosted within TAPPED IN by reform project members from the Math Forum, the Geological Society of America's Earth (http://www.tappedin.sri.com/info/partners.html), and the Lawrence Hall of Science's Great Explorations in Math and Science (GEMS) (http://www.lhs.berkeley.edu/GEMS/GEMS.html/). Within TAPPED IN, groups and individuals could propose and debate performance levels grounded in shared displays of students' responses. Multimedia files, including digitized movies, can provide access to modes of student performance previously accessible only through classroom observation. Electronic bulletin boards and permanent white boards can archive comments and suggestions. These technologies can permit conversations about documents such as student benchmarks or data summarizing how different groups of students fare on assessment tasks.

In classrooms where teachers must integrate performance standards into instructional activities, Internet tools could allow them to transfer and share with their remote colleagues files of students' science or mathematics investigations. Electronic communication systems can connect teachers as collaborators not only with other educators, but with scientists and mathematicians who can contribute their perspectives to deliberations about the quality of students' work and how well that work meets performance standards.

Students, too, can participate in conversations about performance standards by taking hold of the judgment process and learning to monitor their own progress. For example, in the Computer As Learning Partner Project, students integrate evidence that they gather for a science investigation and formulate arguments in support of rival theories (Linn, 1991). The introduction of an agreement bar at the bottom of the electronic laboratory notebook allows individuals to indicate their concurrence with the conclusion recorded by the group. Linn reports that these agreement bars have led to interesting discussions, challenges, and explanations of alternative conclusions. Tools such as the agreement bar could also be used as students monitor the extent to which their responses meet established criteria and performance standards. More recently, Linn (1997) has developed a Web-based discussion tool called SpeakEasy that supplies a networked database of science information and tools for organizing evidence and constructing arguments. This project component could also host discussions about performance quality.

The educational technology projects created by the Cognition and Technology Group at Vanderbilt (CTGV) (1997) offer other models of how technology can support conversations about performance standards in mathematics and science. In the *Adventures of Jasper Woodbury* series and the *Stones River Mystery* (two series developed by CTGV) the program displays representative responses of students to embedded assessment challenges. Students are then asked to decide how, for example, their choice of a data collection instrument or pollution clean-up plan relates to the choices made by a representative sample of students. This strategy for stimulating students to compare their work to the responses of other students could also be used to engage them in appraising how their work meets performance standards.

Supporting an Understanding of Performance Standards

Information technologies can present varied types of information about performance standards to a range of users and audiences. Dissemination methods may be as straightforward as on-line reports that describe and explain standards. And, although technology can store and transmit information about standards, on-line libraries and resource repositories can provide even more support for stakeholders who are seeking to understand, use, adapt, extend, or challenge performance standards.

Information explaining performance standards must integrate agreed upon goals for science and mathematics learning with curriculum standards at particular grade levels, appropriate methods for demonstrating achievement, and judgments about how well students' work meets the standards. One valuable role technology can play is to disseminate the standards set by national, state, or local agencies. Electronic and CD-ROM-based versions of science and mathematics standards can provide alternative vehicles for conveying the consensus about standards for mathematics and science learning reached by various groups.

The capacity of computers to store and organize vast amounts of information permits purposeful, efficient searches. Relational databases can be employed that render voluminous, detailed standards collections easily searchable through point and click browsing options. Thus, a fifth-grade teacher could access an on-line collection of mathematics or science standards and easily navigate the collection to locate standards relevant for her students.

Additional explanatory material to promote understanding of performance standards for mathematics and science learning has oftentimes been published by national or statewide assessment programs. Testing programs have distributed "samplers" consisting of illustrative test items and performance tasks, scoring rubrics, and examples of students' work representing performance levels. On-line or CD-ROM versions of such samplers can be developed for a range of audiences and used to define, explain, and exemplify the performance standards set for mathematics and science tests.

As a case in point, a project developed at SRI International to support assessment and professional development

programs has a number of features that can support standards-setting efforts. The project, <u>Performance Assessment Links in Science</u> (PALS) (http://www.tappedin.sri.com/pals/), is a prototype of an on-line resource bank of standards-based performance assessment resources in science (Quellmalz & Schank, 1997). Supported by a planning grant from the National Science Foundation, the prototype links a set of science performance assessment tasks developed by the Council of Chief State School Officers State Collaborative for Assessment of Student Standards (SCASS) and the California Systemic Initiative Assessment Consortium (CSIAC) to the *National Science Education Standards* (NSES) and the SCASS Science Framework. The on-line version of each science task includes its administration procedures, student booklet, scoring rubric, sample student work, and technical quality data from field tests of the tasks. Only tasks with field test data meeting technical quality review standards are included in the resource bank.

In the PALS electronic library, the samples of students' work serve as benchmarks for performance levels and standards. Furthermore, the technical quality data displaying the distribution of scores received by the field test population provide evidence about the potential implications of performance standards set at varying levels. Such resource libraries can provide user-friendly access to organized collections of curriculum standards, content standards, performance standards, assessment tasks, scoring rubrics, and samples of students' work representing the range of performance levels.

Providing Models and Guidelines for Developing and Using Performance Standards

Another contribution that technology can make to standards' setting efforts is to provide examples and procedures developed by standards-setting groups that other stakeholders in the educational community can use or build upon. It can present models and guidelines for applying performance levels. Guidelines and examples may be developed for use as highly structured rater training sessions, demonstrations to educational audiences, or informational scenarios for broader audiences. Computer programs can automatically analyzeandmonitor scoring data and certify applications of performance standards during on-line or CD-ROM-based scoring sessions to provide feedback on the accuracy and reliability of scoring and/or classifications of learners' responses at levels such as proficient or advanced.

In addition, technology can demonstrate the process of determining if student work meets performance standards. Systems can guide users through the process as would an expert in a demonstration. For general audiences in the educational, business, and lay communities, technology can also simulate the process of applying performance standards by presenting brief scenarios and examples.

Alignment of Standards with Assessment

The PALS electronic library design and resources provide models and guidelines that can be used for setting and applying performance standards. The PALS system can support professional development aimed at aligning standards with investigations designed by groups of curriculum and assessment specialists to allow students to show what they know and can do with regard to standards. The PALS design permits users to link their state or local standards to national science and mathematics standards. In PALS, a coding scheme was developed for the NSES and for the SCASS framework. State and local education agencies can create coding schemes for their science and mathematics standards and cross index them to the NSES or National Council of Teachers of Mathematics standards.

In addition to providing examples and guidelines for the alignment of state and local standards to national science education standards, the PALS prototype created a set of criteria and procedures for judging the extent to which assessment tasks designed to test the national science standards require the science knowledge and inquiry processes presented in the standards. Examples of such alignment criteria and procedures can also be used to involve educators and other stakeholders in the process of determining the appropriateness of various assessments. Given the pervasive mismatch of many currently available tests of science and mathematics learning with reform-based frameworks and standards, alignment sessions and technology to support them are sorely needed.

PALS further supports understanding of the relationship among components of standards-based assessment and standards. PALS offers a search and select function to support assessment planning. When the user selects science standards she or he wants to test, a list of science performance tasks in the prototype bank that are designed to test the selected standards is generated by the system. The PALS technology database design allows users to initiate their search by selecting local or national standards.

The user can then browse the model tasks designed to test the selected standards, the scoring rubrics, examples of students' work, and technical quality data. Assessment personnel and teachers might decide to use the model performance tasks in the resource bank as they are provided, adapt the tasks and/or rubrics, or reference the tasks as models or ideas for other investigations. These features of PALS shepherd users through the process of moving from broad curriculum standards to activities that test them. Moreover, the scoring rubrics and benchmark papers in the resource bank provide real examples of what student performance looks like for each standards-based task and how the scoring rubric is used to judge students' work at different quality levels. Score distributions from the field test

can provide some empirical data for estimating how other students might meet these performance standards.

Upon selection of a final task or set of tasks, an assessment planning chart is generated by the PALS system that displays the extent to which the tasks cover those science standards. Therefore, educators can "see" the coverage of standards afforded by a set of assessment items and tasks. These features of the PALS on-line system architecture are designed to support educators by providing models and guidelines for aligning standards with assessment tasks, scoring rubrics, and student benchmarks and score distributions.

Training on the Use of Performance Standards

Another major function the PALS system offers is on-line rater training. Raters from geographically distributed locations participate in on-line training and calibration to learn to use scoring rubrics reliably. The training system presents scoring rubrics, benchmark models, practice sets, and calibration rounds (Quellmalz, 1984). A trainee's scores are automatically saved by the system and compared to benchmark ratings, so that agreement levels can be calculated and raters can be referred to additional practice or certified to proceed to independent scoring. Such rater training packets can be used as professional development experiences in how to judge student work using explicit criteria representing established performance standards. Furthermore, such technology-based rater training procedures could be used by standards-setting bodies as they analyze student work, apply rating criteria, and establish the performance standards.

Enlarging Our Conception of Challenging Performance Standards

It is widely held that the use of multiple-choice tests has severely narrowed the lens through which we can view science and mathematics learning. Views of what we can and should expect from schooling have been inextricably intertwined with the limited aspects of science and mathematics learning we could examine within traditional test formats. For many years, the medium became the message—tests of facts and terminology drove the curriculum. Although economics and logistics have most often been blamed for the predominance of multiple-choice testing, until recently there were few alternative assessment methods that met technical quality standards for reliability, validity, generalizability, and fairness. Now, however, technologies offer more tools we can employ to elicit, collect, document, analyze, appraise, and display kinds of student performances that have not been readily accessible through traditional testing methods. They are offering ways to breach the gap between standards, instruction, and assessment, and to achieve the seamless integration of assessment with instruction. Technology allows the education community to appraise performance by employing a greater variety of innovative methods.

Expanding Measurable Outcomes

The capacities of media, computers, and networks can permit mathematics and science educators to broaden and deepen expectations for student learning. Evidence of science and mathematics learning need no longer be limited to questions of factual knowledge and standard problems presented in timed, paper-and-pencil tests. Technology now allows educators to set standards not only for subject matter knowledge and inquiry, but for communication, metacognition, and collaboration (Quellmalz & Hoskyn, 1997). These cognitive and social skills go beyond the ones typically measured by traditional testing methods. Performance standards can be set for breadth, depth, and appropriateness of mathematics and science concepts as well as for knowledge and integration. Technology can also allow more comprehensive performance standards to be set for *inquiry skills* related to problem analysis, planning, strategy implementation and revision (including the use of tools), solutions, alternative interpretations, and transfer to novel situations. *Metacognitive skills* related to planning, monitoring, and self evaluation can be nurtured and documented and appraised in terms of performance standards. Technology also allows appraisals of the effectiveness of mathematical and scientific *communications* and presentations that vary in aim and form. These can be inspected for standards related to clarity, focus, coherence, use of acceptable mathematical and scientific evidence, reasoning, terminology, and integration of media and representation forms. Group *collaboration*, heretofore accessible primarily through classroom observations, can be encouraged and documented by various media and supported by technological storage and analysis capabilities.

Expanding Available Methods for Designing Assessments

A significant contribution of technology to standards-setting is the potential for the design of complex, authentic tasks that require the use of conceptual understanding, reasoning, communication, and collaboration called for in reform standards. Technologies enable the design of student assessments that test the range of cognitive, metacognitive, and social strategies elaborated in national standards documents for science and mathematics learning. Technologies can expand expectations of what students can do by providing rich environments and tools for investigation. With new technologies, challenging assessments can be administered; many types of student responses collected; and scoring and data analysis guided or, for some types of responses, accomplished fully. Moreover, they can support pilot modeling studies of the implications of standards for different populations of students.

Enriched task environments. To the extent that assessment tasks permit challenging investigations, or fail to do so,

performance standards and levels are tied to the nature of the tasks. As Glaser and Baxter (1997) have pointed out, performance assessments vary considerably in the extent to which they call for rich knowledge structures and deep cognitive processing. Questions asking for identification of the parts of a butterfly or the solution to a two-step mathematics problem set quite different boundaries from tasks asking for analyses and explanations of the interdependencies and effects of a change in conditions on the ecology of a watershed, or the best estimates of clean-up costs for a toxic waste spill.

In our analyses of the Networking Infrastructure for Education program described earlier, there are many leading edge network-based programs that provide models for the ways that technology can expand the horizons of mathematics and science learning, assessment, and performance standards. We also share examples from NSF's Advanced Applications of Technology program to illustrate how next generation technology will transform the field's expectations for learning of science and mathematics.

Complex, authentic tasks allow students to show what they know and can do in interesting and challenging investigations and open the possibilities for students to engage in investigations that are real, complex, and beyond typical classroom resources. Students have access to a wide range of physical phenomena through Internet technologies, microworlds, simulations, and microcomputer-based laboratories. Digital encyclopedias and libraries allow students to access vast resources as they plan and execute projects. In addition, students can seek input from experts and receive guidance from telementors.

Technologies make available to students the investigative tools used by scientists and educators. These tools provide access to processes that are not directly observable, are too expensive or dangerous, or that take place too quickly or too slowly or on a scale that is too small or too large (Horwitz, 1996; Forbus, 1996). Scaffolding in varying forms helps students to navigate resources, take advantage of rich environments, and use investigative and analytical tools.

For example, the Science Learning Network (http://www.fi.edu/sln/ connects kindergarten-through-eighth-grade schools with the vast resources of museums and industry through UniVERSE (http://www.fi.edu/sln), an on-line electronic librarian. In WhaleNet (http://whale.wheelock.edu), students are connected to scientists/naturalists and research data about the marine ecosystem so that they can engage in real-time data collection about issues such as marine mammal migration patterns and pollution. A computer-based exploratory tool called GenScope allows middle school, high school, and college students to explore visual, interactive, causal connections and interactions among biological processes at levels involving molecules, chromosomes, cells, organisms, pedigrees, and populations (Horwitz, 1996). In the HyperBio Project, a hypermedia authoring system uses the full range of digital, audio, and video technologies available in multimedia personal computers to illustrate powerful biological principles such as homeostasis and natural selection across a wide range of phenomena ranging from the molecular to the ecosystem levels (Jacobson, 1996). In the Science Theater/Teátro de Ciencia Project a modeling tool is being used to give elementary school students a medium for expressing and exploring ideas about how things work, for example, how tumors form, what makes rainbows, or how predators hunt their prey (Lewis, 1996).

A number of projects are transforming scientific visualization techniques and tools used by scientists to support students' robust understanding of geoscience topics such as weather, climate, and atmospheric green house effects. These projects enable students to examine data sets used by the scientific community, create their own data, and draw upon models. In the CoVis Project, high school students conduct project-based scientific inquiry in atmospheric science such as daily weather and long-term climate (Pea & Gomez, 1996); teleconferencing, desktop video, and visualizations technologies support students as they record, share, and critique their inquiries in a Collaboratory Notebook built from a hypermedia database. In a related project, Visualizing Earth, weather and plate tectonics are studied by students using the power of remote sensing and Geographical Information Systems (Barstow, 1996). The Global Learning Observations to Benefit the Environment (GLOBE) program (http://globe.fsl.noaa.gov/fsl/html/aboutglobe.cgi?intro&/) combines local field study with global investigations and technology tools by teaching kindergarten-through-twelfth-grade students to collect environmentally significant measurements around their school site (e.g., weather, hydrology, soils, land cover), send their measurements over the Internet to databases in research laboratories, and use visualization tools and worldwide databases to make comparisons and investigate patterns. The Science Learning in Context project focuses on the applications of portable, networked, hand-held computers in student field projects (Tinker, 1996). The project brings technologies outside the lab to support explorations in rich natural settings.

The SimCalc Project (http://www.simcalc.umassd.edu/simcalc/) challenges deeply institutionalized expectations about how mathematics can be learned, by whom, and when (Kaput & Roschelle, 1996). The project employs visualization tools and real-time data acquisition. Students in grades three to thirteen apply basic principles of calculus related to rate, approximation, and accumulation of variable quantities in simulations such as observing ducks in a pond, watching marchers in a band, or driving a vehicle.

These innovative computer-based environments can serve as resources for the design of assessment tasks that can provide rich evidence about student learning in mathematics and science. The environments allow deep and extended examination of students' progress in mathematics and science subject matter understanding, inquiry strategies,

metacognition, communication, and collaboration.

Alternative modalities of administration, representation, and response. Technologies offer opportunities for administering assessments in standard, but varied modalities, to include accommodations for students with disabilities. Students with different learning styles can conduct investigations in which they access resources through multiple media, use scaffolded investigation tools, display their findings in graphic and visual forms, and create presentations and reports in a variety of media (e.g., text, graphics, audio, video). Similarly, these media will offer more opportunities for students from diverse linguistic backgrounds to engage in and respond to assessment tasks that are not text-bound. Projects employing innovative computer-based environments report promising impacts on students who perform poorly on traditional text-based assessments. The Cognition and Technology Group at Vanderbilt University has found that their video and interactive technologies with dynamic, visual, and spatial representations of events allows students to more easily form rich mental models of problems. Teachers using the series report that their students who had difficulty reading were able to contribute to solutions in the *Jasper Woodbury* mysteries and gain new respect from their peers (CTGV, 1997). Therefore, technology can increasingly improve opportunities for all students to show their best efforts.

Documentation and data collection. Because students' investigations within the challenging environments described above are mediated by technology, many have built-in means for documenting what students are doing as they do it. Many of these projects can develop and capture electronic portfolios of students' investigations as they plan, conduct, analyze, revise, interpret, and publish their investigations. Collaborations with peers or telementor/experts supported by the technology can also be documented by it. These rich records of students' inquiry processes in action, knowledge acquisition and use, communication, and collaboration skills can form a far more extensive and valid database for sampling and analyses of students' mathematics and science learning.

Analyses of students' performance. Analyses of students' performance can be accomplished automatically with computer-generated statistical summaries and tests of quantitative data such as what resources students access, how often, and in which ways they access them. A number of projects using CD-ROMs have built-in programs for accumulating students' responses to questions and problems and periodically presenting feedback in the form of charts and graphs to students. The designs embedded in these software programs can provide analyses of students' performance on embedded assessments. Other projects, such as one designed to test domain knowledge, offer computer-based scoring of concept map entries (O'Neill, 1997).

Of course, technology can bring to bear its computational power to analyze large sets of students' data and generate summaries and reports about achievement of performance standards. It can also support statistical studies of the effects of types of assessment tasks and alternative versions and accommodations of them on the performance of student populations. During pilot tests of assessments, technology can assist in modeling studies of the implications of multiple methods for the aggregate and subgroups.

Technology can support analyses of student achievement by sampling from electronic logs of students' interactions with other students and/or experts and also by organizing students' work in electronic laboratory notebooks and portfolios for qualitative analyses. The electronic artifacts can, in turn, be examined by groups of students, educators, and the public for conversations about quality and performance standards.

Enriched criteria. Despite the wide dissemination of national documents for mathematics and science standards, practitioners still struggle with specification of criteria for judging the quality of students' performances. Subject matter understanding and problemsolving are the most prevalent components of rubrics that are developed to assign scores to students' responses to performance assessment tasks. However, a content analysis of the features referenced in such rubrics would reveal quite a range. Few and far between are rubrics aimed at rating the quality of student metacognitive strategies. Nor are there many examples of rubrics for assessing collaboration. Some suggestions follow (Quellmalz, 1991):

- Innovative technologies, such as the PALS electronic resource library, could support the search for rating criteria by those charged to develop rubrics for scoring formal assessments.
- Electronic resource libraries could collect examples of criteria used to judge students' performances in mathematics and science along with samples of student work and scores or commentary.
- Pools of criteria developed by classroom teachers could be placed in electronic file cabinets.
- Resource libraries could offer guidelines that have been used to develop rating criteria.

Conclusion

I have argued that technology will continue to make significant contributions to our understanding of science and mathematics learning and how our educational systems are preparing students to meet world-class performance standards. It can support dialogue about performance standards for mathematics and science learning among all constituencies, further understanding of performance standards, provide models and guidelines for developing and ꞏꞏꞏ ng performance standards, enlarge conceptions about the range of measurable outcomes, and expand available

methods for designing assessments to test achievement of standards.

Widely available technologies offer greater support for the performance standards enterprise than is currently being used. Models for innovative technology use to advance education reform in mathematics and science exist and are under development. We should be continually alert to the ever-expanding potential of technology to support and enrich mathematics and science learning.

References

Barstow, D. 1996. *Visualizing earth*. In project abstracts of the Applications of Advanced Technology Program Principal Investigator Meeting, Washington, DC, June.

Cognition and Technology Group at Vanderbilt. 1997. *The Jasper Project.Lessons in curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.

Forbus, A. K. 1996. *Virtual laboratories for science and engineering education*. In project abstracts of the Applications of Advanced Technology Program Principal Investigator Meeting, Washington, DC, June.

Glaser, R., and G. P. Baxter. 1997. *Improving the theory and practice of achievement testing*. Proceedings of the National Research Council, Board on Testing and Assessment Conference, Washington, DC, February.

Horwitz, P. 1996. *Turning information into knowledge: Hypermodels for science education*. In project abstracts of the Applications of Advanced Technology Program Principal Investigator Meeting, Washington, DC, June.

Jacobson, M. 1996. *HyperBio Project*. In project abstracts of the Applications of Advanced Technology Program Principal Investigator Meeting, Washington, DC, June.

Kaput, J., and J. Roschelle. 1996. *The SimCalc Project*. In project abstracts of the Applications of Advanced Technology Program Principal Investigator Meeting, Washington, DC, June.

Kozma, R. B., and E. S. Quellmalz. 1996. *Analysis of impacts of the NSF NIE program on education reform*. Menlo Park, CA: SRI International.

Lewis, C. 1996. *Science Theater/*Teátro de Ciencia. In project abstracts of the Applications of Advanced Technology Program Principal Investigator Meeting, Washington, DC, June.

Linn, M. C. 1991. The computer as learning partner: Can computer tools teach science? In *This year in school science 1991: Technology for teaching and learning*, edited by K. Sheingold, L. G. Roberts, and S. M. Malcom, 31-69. Washington, DC: American Association for the Advancement of Science.

Linn, M. C. 1997. *Scaffolded knowledge integration and assessment*. Proceedings of the National Research Council, Board on Testing and Assessment Conference, Washington, DC, February.

O'Neill, H. 1997. *Collaborative concept maps*. Proceedings of the National Research Council, Board on Testing and Assessment Conference, Washington, DC, February.

Pea, R., and L. Gomez. 1996. *Learning through collaborative visualization*. In project abstracts of the Applications of Advanced Technology Program Principal Investigator Meeting, Washington, DC, June.

Quellmalz, E. S. 1984. Designing writing assessments: Balancing fairness, utility and cost. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis* 6: 63-72.

Quellmalz, E. S. 1991. Developing criteria for performance assessments: The missing link. *Applied Measurement in Education* 4 (4): 319-331.

Quellmalz, E. S., and P. Schank. 1997. *Performance assessment links in science (PALS): An on-line resource bank. Final report*. Menlo Park, CA: SRI International.

Quellmalz, E. S., and J. Hoskyn. 1997. Classroom assessment of reasoning strategies. In *Handbook of classroom assessment*, edited by G. D. Phye, 103-130. San Diego, CA: Academic Press.

Schalger, M., and P. Schank. 1997. *TAPPED IN: A new on-line community concept for the next generation of* Internet technology. In Proceedings of Computer Support for Collaborative Work (CSCL) '97. Hillsdale, NJ:

Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Tinker, R. 1996. *Science learning in context*. In Project Abstracts of the Applications of Advanced Technology Program Principal Investigator Meeting, Washington, DC, June.

Table of Contents

10

SEd878

ERIC

U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)

REPRODUCTION RELEASE
(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: *The Role of Technology in Advancing Performance Standards in Science and Mathematics Learning*

Author(s): *Edys S. Quellmalz*

Corporate Source: *SRI International*

Publication Date: *1999*

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY ___ TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) **1**	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY ___ TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) **2A**	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY ___ TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) **2B**
Level 1 **X**	Level 2A **X**	Level 2B **X**
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits.
If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

> I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Sign here, please

Signature: *Edys S. Quellmalz*

Organization/Address: *SRI International BN 322 - 333 Ravenswood Avenue Menlo Park, CA 94025*

Printed Name/Position/Title: *Edys S. Quellmalz, Associate Director, Center for Technology in Learning*

Telephone: *(650) 859-4826*   FAX: *(650) 859-4605*

E-Mail Address: *edys.quellmalz@sri.com*   Date: *8/21/01*