

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 453 037

RC 022 984

AUTHOR Menlove, Ronda; Lignugaris-Kraft, Benjamin
TITLE University and School District Partners Go the Distance To "Grow" Special Education Teachers in Rural Communities.
PUB DATE 2001-03-00
NOTE 7p.; In: Growing Partnerships for Rural Special Education. Conference Proceedings (San Diego, CA, March 29-31, 2001); see RC 022 965.
PUB TYPE Reports - Descriptive (141) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS *College School Cooperation; Cooperating Teachers; *Distance Education; Elementary Secondary Education; Higher Education; Interactive Television; Partnerships in Education; Practicums; *Preservice Teacher Education; *Rural Education; *Special Education Teachers; Student Recruitment; *Student Teacher Supervisors; Student Teachers; Teacher Shortage; Teleconferencing
IDENTIFIERS *Utah State University

ABSTRACT

To combat the shortage and high turnover rate of rural special education teachers, Utah State University (USU) special education faculty members developed university-school district partnerships. The partnerships recruit and train local community members as certified mild/moderate special education teachers and supervise student participation in practica and student teaching experiences in remote rural sites. Distance education technology delivers training to preservice special education teachers in their local communities. Community adjunct university supervisors and cooperating teachers are trained by university faculty to supervise field-based practica courses. Supervisors are often district-level special educators who completed or are familiar with the USU program. Cooperating teachers, who are often graduates of the USU on-campus or distance education program, assist with supervision in partnership with the adjunct supervisors. Training is conducted on site, on campus, and via distance education technology. University and district supervisors and cooperating teachers also supervise students together using remote two-way audio/video teleconferencing technology. This allows on-campus and on-site supervisors to hear and see the remote site classroom at the same time. The amount and quality of feedback and training that students receive in remote sites is increased and mirrors that received by on-campus students. (Contains 25 references.) (TD)

ED 453 037

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Judy Weyrauch

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

Ronda Menlove
Utah State University
2865 Old Main Hill
Logan, Utah 84322-2865
rmenlove@cc.usu.edu

Benjamin Lignugaris-Kraft
Utah State University
2865 Old Main Hill
Logan, Utah 84322-2865
lig@cc.usu.edu

UNIVERSITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT PARTNERS GO THE DISTANCE TO "GROW" SPECIAL EDUCATION TEACHERS IN RURAL COMMUNITIES

Critical Shortages of Special Education Teachers

The United States is reportedly facing the largest teacher shortages in history (Pipho, 1998). The shortages are most pronounced for teachers of students with disabilities (Boe, Cook, Bobbit, & Terhanian, 1998; Simpson, Whalen & Zabel, 1993). Critical shortages of special education teachers are not new and not limited to a specific geographic region or type of community (Billingsley, 1993; Boe, Cook, Bobbitt & Terhanian, 1998; Brownell & Smith, 1992). The shortages are pervasive and impact rural and urban districts alike (Lauritzen & Friedman, 1993).

The Department of Education reports that 28,000 new teachers are needed annually and that higher education institutions only supply approximately 18,000 per year (20th Annual Report to Congress, 1998). From 1987 to 1996 there was a chronic yearly shortage of 27,000 special educators. During the 1995-1996 school year alone, there was a nationwide shortage of approximately 33,000 fully certified special education teachers. About 1.1% of these positions were never filled, while 7.9% were filled by teachers who were not fully certified (20th Annual Report to Congress, 1998).

Shortages of certified special education teachers are most critical in rural areas of the country (Koury, Ludlow, & Weinke, 1991; Robins, 1994). Although the total number of special education teachers needed in rural areas is not as large as the number in urban areas, filling these open teaching positions may be more problematic (Thurston & Sebastian, 1996). Rural special education teachers may be difficult to recruit and may not stay as long in their positions thus creating higher levels of attrition and greater continual demand for teachers in rural areas. In a survey of 158 rural special education teachers, Westling and Whitten (1996) found that only 57% of the special education teachers surveyed reported that they were likely to be in their current position in 5 years.

Utah is experiencing critical special education teacher shortages in all areas of the state. In response to a long history of chronic shortages, the Utah State Office of Education (USOE) created a critical personnel shortages committee to study the problem. The committee is comprised of district special education directors, university special education faculty, and USOE staff. In a 1997-2000 study commissioned by the committee, researchers found that approximately 10% of the special education teachers working in Utah schools left the classroom each year (Anderson, Menlove, & Salzberg, in press; Adams, Menlove, & Salzberg, 2001). Many of these vacated special education teaching positions were filled with non-licensed "teachers." In some cases these "teachers" were long-term substitutes without college degrees or special education training.

Training Teachers in Their Local Communities

One strategy to address special education teacher shortages is to bring university special education teacher preparation programs to rural communities via distance education (Menlove, Hansford, & Lignugaris-Kraft, 2000). This approach allows local community members to become trained to take special education teaching positions within the schools. By assisting communities to "grow their own" teachers, many barriers associated with attracting and retaining professionals from outside the community are reduced. Community members, who are trained and

022986
ERIC
Full Text Provided by ERIC

certified to teach, are more likely to remain in the community because of such things as cultural ties, community pride, geographical constraints, family relationships, and a desire to improve their community (Recruiting New Teachers, 2000).

The Utah State University Departments of Special Education and Rehabilitation and Extension, in partnership with local school districts, offer bachelor's degrees and teacher certification for mild/moderate special education teachers in rural Utah areas. The Utah teachers, who are trained in the rural communities where they live, are more likely to stay and work in their rural communities (Binner, 1998). The teachers are community members with strong commitments to local students. They have already made a commitment to live in the rural community.

The preservice special education teachers receive training delivered to their local communities via a variety of distance education technologies. On campus and local adjunct faculty deliver the same courses to distance students as they deliver to on campus students. A significant portion of the training for special education teachers, however, takes place in field-based practica experiences in local school classrooms (Koury et al., 1991; McDevitt, 1996). Because the local schools are located from two to four hours from the university campus, the question of who will supervise the field-based experiences is a problem. The most effective model for supervision is a traditional triadic model including a cooperating teacher, university supervisor, and pre-service teacher (Giebelhaus, 1995). Both a cooperating teacher and university supervisor are needed. The university supervisor teaches general principles and assists the preservice teachers to incorporate both theoretical and practical experiences into teaching (Kauffman, 1992). The university supervisor may suggest newly developed teaching strategies or instructional programs. The triadic model of supervision, however, is only effective when there are frequent visits involving all three members (Giebelhaus, 1995). If the field-based experience occurs in a remote rural location, frequent visits may not be feasible.

One solution to this problem is to train local community cooperating teachers to conduct some of the visits, which may be cost effective and practical (Keller & Grossman, 1994). Unfortunately, Koskela and Ganser (1995) report that cooperating teachers rarely have time available to observe and provide feedback to their field-based students. Cooperating teachers may also be unsure about how to deal with students who are not performing satisfactorily, how to evaluate the students, how to direct them, and how to deal with differences in teaching styles (Koskela & Ganser, 1995).

Incorporating distance education technology may also increase interaction between students, cooperating teachers, and university supervisors. Internet-based two-way audio video conferencing has reduced the cost of distance technology interaction and made remote supervision feasible (Binner, 1998; Falconer & Lignugaris-Kraft, in press; Fodor-Davis, 1996; Menlove, Hansford, & Lignugaris-Kraft, 2000). With a two-way audio video conferencing system, university supervisors can observe remote field-based teaching experiences from a site on campus. This type of technology may not only reduce feelings of isolation among distance education students, but also provides opportunities for collaboration between cooperating teachers and campus instructors (McDevitt, 1996).

In a move to incorporate on-site supervision and remote technology supervision, USU special education faculty developed a model for supervising students participating in practica and student teaching experiences in remote rural sites. This model combines training local community adjunct university supervisors and cooperating teachers with remote site supervision using two-way audio video teleconferencing technology (Binner, 1998; Falconer & Lignugaris-Kraft, in press; Menlove, Hansford, & Lignugaris-Kraft; Pemberton & Harris, 1997). On campus faculty train local district special educators to use the skills necessary to be effective university supervisors and cooperating teachers. Faculty, supervisors, and cooperating teachers then conduct supervision together using the two-way audio video teleconferencing technology. This allows both on campus and on site supervisors to hear and see what is happening in the remote site classroom. The amount and quality of feedback and training that students receive in remote sites is the same as that received by on campus students.

University and District Partnerships

In the USU Mild/Moderate Special Education Distance Education Program, university faculty members and rural school special educators truly become partners in an effort to train local community members to become certified special education teachers. The partnerships serve multiple purposes including recruiting students to enter

the program, teaching didactic courses via distance education, and providing supervision in field-based practica and student teaching.

Recruiting students. Local school district special education administrators and special education teachers identify community members who may be interested in teacher careers. They distribute USU program information to the potential teachers and arrange for meetings with university advisors. When asked to identify reasons why rural special education teachers would leave, some teachers reported that the quality of the community was a problem (Westling & Whitten, 1996). Potential teachers who already know the community variables and are committed to living in there, may not leave due to community reasons.

The population most often identified by districts partners as potential teachers are paraeducators working in special education classrooms. Paraeducators who work in communities experiencing teacher shortages are a rich source of candidates who could be prepared, certificated, and employed as special educators. In the 21st Annual Report to Congress, the Office of Special Education reported that in 1996-97 there were 237,206 paraeducators serving students with disabilities. This large pool of people is already committed to working with students with disabilities. Many have veteran status within schools, knowledge of school operations, experience working with the students in their community, reduced language barriers, knowledge and appreciation for the cultural diversity, and an increased likelihood that they will remain in the community long term once their training is completed. The National Resource Center for Paraprofessionals in Education reports that the number of paraeducators working in public schools is expected to continue to grow (Genzuk, Lavendez & Krashen, 1994; Recruiting New Teachers, 2000).

In an attempt to increase resources and support for students in remote rural communities, USU has hired local part-time advisors in each of the locations served by the distance education degree/certification program. The part-time advisors are either local district special education teachers or parents who have successfully completed the distance education program and obtained a bachelor's degree and teacher certification in special education. The advisors collaborate with the community USU extension programs, the main campus department, and the local school districts. They recruit potential students and provide support for students progressing through the program.

Not only are the advisors providing a valuable service for the university and the students, they are participating in an intensive professional development activity. Their careers are enhanced as they learn university requirements and protocol and as they become resident "experts" in their local districts.

Teaching didactic courses. Due to the tremendous demand for special education teachers, university faculty resources are often stretched to meet that demand. University faculty members teach special education distance education courses in addition to their regular on campus instructional load. At times, faculty members are unable to teach an additional distance education section. When that happens, local school district special educators are hired and trained to teach the courses. The district personnel become adjunct instructors for the university and partners in the university community. The local district educators are most often district level special education administrators or high-skilled, experienced teachers who are understand the USU program.

Local special education teachers, state level special educators, and parents of students with disabilities are invited to be guest lecturers in the didactic training courses. Practicing special educators and parents bring a unique perspective to class instruction. They provide a practical, day-to-day perspective that university faculty sometimes lack. They are able to share real life, up-to-date experiences with students with disabilities. They provide the applied practical reality that compliments the theory presented in many didactic courses. Students are able to see how the knowledge that they are learning can be applied in actual classroom settings.

Supervising field-based practica and student teaching. USU special education faculty members have developed a university-district partnership model for supervising students participating in practica and student teaching experiences in remote rural sites. Community adjunct university supervisors and cooperating teachers are trained by university faculty to supervise field-based practica courses. Supervisors are often district level special educators who completed or are familiar with the USU program. Cooperating teachers are often graduates of the USU on campus or distance education program. They assist with supervision in partnership with the adjunct supervisors. On campus faculty train the adjunct supervisors and cooperating teachers to use the skills necessary to be effective university supervisors and cooperating teachers. Training is conducted on site, on campus, and via

distance education technology. Training is updated each time a practicum is taught and also prior to and during student teaching. To maintain consistency of on and off campus observations, university faculty conduct reliability observations with adjunct supervisors.

University and district supervisors and cooperating teachers also supervise students together using remote two-way audio video teleconferencing technology (Binner, 1998; Falconer & Lignugaris-Kraft, in press; Menlove, Hansford, & Lignugaris-Kraft; Pemberton & Harris, 1997). This allows both on campus and on site supervisors to hear and see what is happening in the remote site classroom at the same time. The amount and quality of feedback and training that students receive in remote sites is increased and mirrors that received by on campus students.

Conclusions

Training special education teachers in a university-district partnership provides growth opportunities for university faculty, district special education administrators, local special education teachers, and community members who become students and then much needed teachers. Students are recruited from rural communities and have the opportunity to grow and achieve teacher status as they complete bachelor's degrees and receive teacher certification. A number of district personnel become advisors, instructors, supervisors, and some also receive master's degrees via distance education courses delivered in their communities. Their professional growth impacts the quality of the teaching cadre, which positively impacts student learning in rural schools.

Just over six years ago the first cohort of nine students started taking classes in the first USU special education distance education mild/moderate program in a rural eastern Utah community. High rates of attrition and technology challenges plagued this initial cohort. About 55% or 5 of the students earned bachelor's degrees and became certified special education teachers. In the following two years the program was expanded to a starting group of 24 students in two rural Utah areas. At the completion of the program, only 50% or 12 students graduated. The current cohort group was expanded to 41 students in seven rural and urban sites. Approximately 73% or 30 of the students will complete degrees and become fully certified. Of the 30 students, 4 will also complete master's degrees. Five of the current students are moving from regular education to special education teacher positions.

Increased program completion rates support the fact that university faculty have become better distance educators, that more effective student support systems have been constructed, that distance education delivery methods and technologies have improved, and that local districts have become stronger partners in recruiting and training quality candidates for the program.

References

- Adams, E., Menlove, R., & Salzberg, C. L. (2001). Utah State Office of Education, special education personnel attrition study. Unpublished raw data.
- Anderson, D., Menlove, R. & Salzberg, C. L. (in press). Special education teacher attrition: How many are leaving? Where are they going? The Researcher.
- Billingsley, B. S. (1993). Teacher retention and attrition in special and general education: A critical review of the literature. The Journal of Special Education, 27(2), 137-174.
- Binner, K. (1998). The perceived benefits and limitations of using two-way conferencing technology to supervise preservice teachers in a remote teacher training program. Unpublished master's thesis, Utah State University, Logan, Utah.
- Boe, E. E., Cook, L. H., Bobbitt, S. A., & Terhanian, G. (1998). The shortage of fully certified teachers in special and general education. Teacher Education and Special Education, 21(1), 1-21.
- Brownell, M. T., & Smith, S. W. (1992). Attrition/retention of special education teachers: Critique of current research and recommendations for retention efforts. Teacher Education and Special Education, 15(4), 229-248.

- Giebelhaus, C. R. (1995, February). Revisiting a step-child: Supervision in teacher education. Paper presented at the Annual Conference of the Association of Teacher Educators, Detroit, MI.
- Falconer, K. B., & Lignugaris-Kraft, B. (in press). A qualitative analysis of the benefits and limitations of using two-way conferencing technology to supervise preservice teachers in remote locations. Teacher Education and Special Education.
- Fodor-Davis, J. (1996). Desktop video conferencing: K-12 school and university uses and implications. Technology and Teacher Education Annual. Paper presented at the Society for Technology and Teacher Education Conference, Phoenix, AR.
- Genzuk, M., Lavadenz, M., and Krashen, S. (Winter 1994). "Paraeducators: A source for remedying the shortage of teachers for limited English-proficient students." The Journal of Education Issuers of Language Minority Students, 14, 211-222.
- Kauffmann, D. (1992). Supervision of student teachers (Report No. EDO-SP-91-7). Washington, DC: Office of Educational Research and Improvements. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 344 873)
- Keller, D. L., & Grossman, J. A. (1994, October). A model for improving the pre-service teacher/cooperating teacher diad. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Mid-Western Educational Research Association, Chicago, IL.
- Koskela, R., & Ganser, T. (1995, February). Exploring the role of cooperating teachers in relationship to personal career development. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Association of Teacher Educators, Detroit, MI.
- Koury, K. A., Ludlow, B. L., & Weinke, C. (1991, March). A collaborative approach to on-the-job practicum supervision of rural teachers. Paper presented at the Rural Education Symposium, Nashville, TN.
- Lauritzen, P., & Friedman, S. J. (1993). Meeting the supply/demand requirements of the individuals with disabilities education act. Teacher Education and Special Education, 16(3), 221-229.
- McDevitt, M. A. (1996). A virtual view: Classroom observations at a distance. Journal of Teacher Education, 47(3), 191-195.
- Menlove, R., Hansford, D., & Lignugaris-Kraft. (2000). Creating a community of distance learners: putting technology to work. Proceedings of the American Council on Rural Special Education (ACRES) Annual Conference, 247-253. Washington, D. C.
- Pemberton, J. B., & Harris, R. W. (1997). Remote supervision of rural teachers via interactive audio video technology (Uintah School District). Unpublished grant application.
- Pipho, C. (1998). A 'real' teacher shortage. Phi Delta Kappan, 80(3), 181-2.
- Recruiting New Teachers. (2000). A guide to developing paraeducator-to-teacher programs. Belmont, MA: Author.
- Simpson, R. L., Whelan, R. J., & Zabel, R. H. (1993). Special education personnel preparation in the 21st century: Issues and strategies. Remedial and Special Education, 14(2), 7-22.
- Thurston, L. P., & Sebastian, J. (1996). Technology and rural special education models and methods for preparing teachers (Report No. RC 020 567). Washington, DC: Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services. (Eric Document Reproduction Service No. ED 394 768)

U.S. Department of Education. Office of Special Education Programs. (1998). Twentieth annual report to Congress on the implementation of the Education of the Handicapped Act. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office.

U.S. Department of Education. Office of Special Education Programs. (1999). Twentieth-first annual report to Congress on the implementation of the Education of the Handicapped Act. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office.

Westling, D. L., & Whitten, T. M. (1996). Rural special education teachers' plans to continue or leave their teaching positions. Exceptional Children, 62(4), 319-35.



U.S. Department of Education
 Office of Educational Research and Improvement
 (OERI)
 National Library of Education (NLE)
 Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



Reproduction Release

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: American Council on Rural Special Education 2001 Conference Proceedings Growing Partnerships for Rural Special Education	
Author(s): multiple	
Corporate Source:	Publication Date: 3-23-01

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign in the indicated space following.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to Level 2B documents
<p>PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY</p> <p>_____</p> <p>_____</p> <p>TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)</p>	<p>PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY</p> <p>_____</p> <p>_____</p> <p>TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)</p>	<p>PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY</p> <p>_____</p> <p>_____</p> <p>TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)</p>
Level 1	Level 2A	Level 2B
<p>↑</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 40px; margin: 0 auto; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center;"> X </div>	<p>↑</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 40px; margin: 0 auto;"></div>	<p>↑</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 40px; margin: 0 auto;"></div>
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g. electronic) and paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only
<p>Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.</p>		

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche, or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Signature: <i>Judy Weyrauch</i>	Printed Name/Position/Title: <i>Judy Weyrauch / Headquarters Manager</i>	
Organization/Address: <i>American Council on Rural Special Education 2323 Anderson Ave Ste 226 Manhattan KS 66502-2912</i>	Telephone: <i>785-532-2737</i>	Fax: <i>785-532-7732</i>
	E-mail Address: <i>acres@ksu.edu</i>	Date: <i>4-25-01</i>

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

Acquisitions
ERIC/CRESS at AEL
1031 Quarrier St.
Charleston, WV 25301
Toll Free: 800-624-9120
FAX: 304-347-0467
e-mail: ericrc@ael.org
WWW: <http://www.ael.org/eric/>