

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 451 217

TM 032 445

AUTHOR Ediger, Marlow
TITLE Assessment: A Teacher's Guide.
PUB DATE 2001-00-00
NOTE 6p.
PUB TYPE Guides - Non-Classroom (055)
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS Educational Assessment; Elementary Secondary Education;
*Evaluation Methods; Performance Based Assessment;
*Portfolio Assessment; Portfolios (Background Materials);
*Public Schools; Standardized Tests; *Student Evaluation

ABSTRACT

There is currently much interest in the assessment of student achievement in public schools. This guide to forms of assessment discusses some of the most common tests and their uses. Standardized tests are described, and some of their limitations are outlined. State-mandated tests are common, and, because the creators of such tests are removed from the classroom situation, these tests may have little relation to what is actually taught. The use of portfolios provides a way that is aligned with the constructivist approach to learning to measure student achievement on a day-to-day basis. Rubrics may be developed to assess each product in the portfolio, making the interpretation of portfolio contents easier. Portfolio results cannot be reduced to a number, however, nor can they be machine scored, making this form of assessment more difficult to use in communicating student achievement results. (SLD)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made
from the original document.

Assessment: A Teacher's Guide

Marlow Ediger

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS
BEEN GRANTED BY

M. Ediger

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as
received from the person or organization
originating it.

Minor changes have been made to
improve reproduction quality.

Points of view or opinions stated in this
document do not necessarily represent
official OERI position or policy.

ASSESSMENT – A TEACHER’S GUIDE

Much is being written about assessment of student achievement in public schools. The purpose given here, pertains to conveying information of student achievement to the lay public. Testing has been a salient way stressed to know how well students are doing in academic achievement. There are several kinds of tests which will be discussed to assist teachers to differentiate among these tests.

Standardized Testing

Standardized tests have the following characteristics:

1. They are published by commercial companies who have moneys for doing pilot studies.
2. They are based on norms from pilot studies. These norms then are used to make comparison with students who have presently taken the test.
3. The norms are built upon the guideline that a test item is positive if it was answered correctly by those high on the total test. Conversely, a test item is negative if a person low on the total test answers the individual test items correctly.
4. A spread of test scores from the pilot study is a result from the 99th down to the first percentile. This is a built-in device to spread students out from high to low. Standardized tests have a philosophy of their tests are developed to show this spread. This appears to separate “the sheep from the goats,” a Biblical statement.
5. Multiple choice test items are inherent in standardized tests. Students then respond to these test items, not show creative responses.
6. These tests are not valid in terms of content/skills taught in a local classroom. The test was developed by those external and removed from the individual student’s classroom.
7. Specific academic disciplines may not have content to be measured in a standardized test. Thus, a minimal number of test items may pertain to science/social studies in these tests.
8. Standardized tests are weak in student creations such as writing and speaking. Rather, the test writers determine which test items in multiple choice form for students to answer.

9. Each multiple choice item is to be unrelated to the others. Why? Clues are not to be given to test takers when answering sequential test items.
10. A numeral provides data on student achievement for the total test results, such as a percentile. Subtest results may also be given in a percentile.

State Mandated Tests

As the name indicates, these tests are developed on the state level. The writers also are removed in time and place from the local classroom. State mandated tests emphasize the following:

1. They generally have accompanying objectives for the classroom teacher to use in teaching students.

Thus, validity of the tests can be high if aligned with the objectives.

2. The quality of multiple choice items on the test may be lower than standardized tests due to not trying these out in pilot studies.

3. Both validity and reliability data are lacking due, largely, to a lack of pilot studies.

4. These tests may also be known as high stakes testing if the results from students are used to determine who graduate from high school or who is promoted from one grade level to the next.

5. They are also a part of the standards setting movement in a state. High standards, along with high expectations for learners to achieve are in emphasis.

6. Test results can be machine scored in mass numbers, as is true of standardized tests.

7. Report cards from student test results may be averaged to compare one school district with the next.

These comparisons are then made public. Standardized test results, if given on the state level, may also be contrasted, district by district, in report card form.

8. Poorly performing schools, from test results, may eventually be taken over by the state in governance and curriculum supervision. This may be true regardless of the socio-economic levels of participating schools or school districts.

9. The charter school movement, within a public school district, offers unique solutions for low achieving schools. They, if approved by the state, would not be tied to the red tape which the public schools are required to embrace. How satisfactory charter schools are has not been determined, other than through opinions.

10. State mandated standards and tests are arbitrarily determined as to the complexity level of inherent

standards for student achievement.

Portfolios and Constructionism

Portfolios have come into the educational literature to emphasize what teachers and students do on a day to day basis in the classroom. External writers of tests such as standardized and state mandated are minimized in their importance. Thus, the philosophy of constructivism has stressed the importance of the following every day classroom experiences to become a part of the individual student's portfolio:

1. Written work such as poems, stories, reports, outlines, summaries, and journal writing of the involved learners developing the portfolio.
2. Art products including murals, bulletin board displays, pencil sketching, water color work, as each relates to objectives of instruction.
3. Construction items such as making models and dioramas. Snapshots of each large item may be placed into a portfolio.
4. Cassette recordings of oral work including book reports, committee work, and peer instructions.
5. A video tape of seminars and cooperative learning involving the student preparing the portfolio.

Rubrics may be developed to assess each product in the portfolio. A five point Likert scale may be developed by raters of the students' portfolios. Each of the five levels needs to be clearly written so that the rubrics can be used to rate the diverse portfolios. Interscorer reliability is important. The following are additional considerations in rating each portfolio or sub part of it:

1. The numerical rating given may be quite subjective due to a lack of agreement by raters doing the rating.
2. Numerical ratings are not the major objective in portfolio assessment. The major objective is to view student processes and products. Here, one can notice achievement of the every day progress of the student, first hand.
3. Parents may observe what their offspring is achieving in school.
4. Portfolio development provides ample opportunities for the student to reflect and think about what has been learned and what is left to achieve.
5. Ownership of the portfolio resides within the student. Internal personnel, not external test writers, are involved in portfolio development. Assessment is ongoing and continuous.

Assessment has always been a major goal in teaching and learning. Presently, the testing and measurement movement is strong among state governors and legislators. However, there is not general agreement on how students should be assessed to notice achievement. Portfolio development has strong merit, but their results are difficult to communicate. These results cannot be reduced to a numeral, nor can they be machine scored.



U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



TM032445

REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: <i>Assessment - A Teacher's Guide</i>	
Author(s): <i>Dr. Marlow Ediger</i>	
Corporate Source:	Publication Date: <i>2-28-01</i>

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

Level 1



Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2A

Level 2A



Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2B

Level 2B



Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits.
If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Sign here, → please

Signature: <i>Marlow Ediger</i>	Printed Name/Position/Title: <i>Marlow Ediger, Prof.</i>
Organization/Address: <i>Dr. Marlow Ediger Truman State University Rt. 2 Box 38 Kirksville, MO 63501</i>	Telephone: <i>660-665-2342</i> FAX:
	E-Mail Address: Date: <i>2-28-01</i>



(over)