

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 449 509

CS 217 374

AUTHOR White, Sheida; Vanneman, Alan
TITLE NAEP Scoring of Fourth-Grade Narrative Writing.
INSTITUTION National Center for Education Statistics (ED), Washington, DC.
REPORT NO NCES-2000-495
PUB DATE 2000-11-00
NOTE 7p.; Previous issues also titled "NAEP Facts." For the Writing Assessment scoring guide overview for grades eight and twelve, see CS 217 375-376.
AVAILABLE FROM ED Pubs, P.O. Box 1398, Jessup MD 20794-1398. Tel: 877-433-7827 (Toll Free). For full text: <http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/writing>.
PUB TYPE Collected Works - Serials (022) -- Guides - Non-Classroom (055)
JOURNAL CIT NAEPfacts; v5 n1 Nov 2000
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS *Grade 4; Holistic Evaluation; Intermediate Grades; *National Competency Tests; Scoring; *Standardized Tests; *Student Writing Models; *Writing Achievement; *Writing (Composition)
IDENTIFIERS *National Assessment of Educational Progress

ABSTRACT

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) 1998 Writing Assessment measured student writing performance at the 4th, 8th, and 12th grades. Scoring guides for each grade allowed scorers to objectively evaluate students' work. This issue of NAEPfacts includes a 4th-grade narrative scoring guide, along with samples of student work at each of six levels of performance on the scoring guide. (Author/RS)



NAEP FACTS

Vol. 5 No. 1

November 2000

NAEP Scoring of Fourth-Grade Narrative Writing

Abstract: The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) 1998 Writing Assessment measured student writing performance at the 4th, 8th, and 12th grades. Scoring guides for each grade allowed scorers to objectively evaluate students' work. This issue of NAEPfacts includes a 4th-grade narrative scoring guide, along with samples of student work at each of six levels of performance on the scoring guide.

The NAEP Writing Framework,¹ developed by the National Assessment Governing Board, set six overarching goals for the NAEP 1998 Writing Assessment:

- Students should write for a variety of purposes: *narrative, informative, and persuasive.*
- Students should write on a variety of tasks and for many different audiences.
- Students should write from a variety of stimulus materials, and within various time constraints.
- Students should generate, draft, revise, and edit ideas and forms of expression in their writing.
- Students should display effective choices in the organization of their writing. They should include detail to illustrate and elaborate their ideas, and use appropriate conventions of written English.
- Students should value writing as a communicative activity.

Fourth-graders were given two writing topics, or "prompts," out of a possible 20 and were given 25 minutes to write on each. Each topic was classified according to purpose as either *narrative, informa-*

tive, or persuasive. Three 4th-grade topics have been released to the public.²

Scoring guides were developed for each writing purpose. The guides established six levels of student performance for each writing purpose, ranging from "Unsatisfactory" to "Excellent." This issue of *NAEPfacts* includes the complete text of the 4th-grade "narrative" scoring guide on page 2, the complete text of a narrative prompt asking students to write a story about a magic castle, examples of student writing at each of the six levels in response to the "magic castle" prompt, and a discussion of how the scoring guide applies to the six student writing samples.

Focused Holistic Scoring

The scorers of the NAEP 1998 writing assessment used a scoring method described as "focused holistic scoring." This approach combines holistic and "primary trait" scoring. A strict holistic approach to the scoring of writing treats a writing task as a "springboard" for writing. A particular writing task is given to students as a stimulus to engage them and inspire them to write, and students' responses are scored in terms of the overall writing quality. The "primary trait" method of scoring writing, on the other hand, is concerned with how well students respond to a specific topic. For example, if students are asked to write about whether they like adventure movies, students who do not address the topic of

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement

NCES 2000-495

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

• Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

Fourth-Grade Narrative Writing Scoring Guide

1. Unsatisfactory Response (may be characterized by one or more of the following)

- Attempts a response, but may only paraphrase the prompt or be extremely brief.
- Exhibits no control over organization.
- Exhibits no control over sentence formation; word choice is inaccurate across the response.
- Characterized by misspellings, missing words, incorrect word order; errors in grammar, spelling, and mechanics severely impede understanding across the response.

2. Insufficient Response (may be characterized by one or more of the following)

- Attempts a response, but is no more than a fragment or the beginning of a story OR is very repetitive.
- Is very disorganized OR too brief to detect organization.
- Exhibits little control over sentence boundaries and sentence formation; word choice is inaccurate in much of the response.
- Characterized by misspellings, missing words, incorrect word order; errors in grammar, spelling, and mechanics are severe enough to make understanding very difficult in much of the response.

3. Uneven Response (may be characterized by one or more of the following)

- Attempts to tell a story, but tells only part of a story, gives a plan for a story, or is list-like.
- Lacks a clear progression of events; elements may not fit together or be in sequence.
- Exhibits uneven control over sentence boundaries and may have some inaccurate word choices.
- Errors in grammar, spelling, and mechanics sometimes interfere with understanding.

4. Sufficient Response

- Tells a clear story with little development; has few details.
- Events are generally related; may contain brief digressions or inconsistencies.
- Generally has simple sentences and simple word choice; may exhibit uneven control over sentence boundaries.
- Has sentences that consist mostly of complete, clear, distinct thoughts; errors in grammar, spelling, and mechanics generally do not interfere with understanding.

5. Skillful Response

- Tells a clear story with some development, including some relevant descriptive details.
- Events are connected in much of the response; may lack some transitions.
- Exhibits some variety in sentence structure and exhibits some specific word choices.
- Generally exhibits control over sentence boundaries; errors in grammar, spelling, and mechanics do not interfere with understanding.

6. Excellent Response

- Tells a well-developed story with relevant descriptive details across the response.
- Events are well connected and tie the story together with transitions across the response.
- Sustains varied sentence structure and exhibits specific word choices.
- Exhibits control over sentence boundaries; errors in grammar, spelling, and mechanics do not interfere with understanding.

adventure movies will receive lower scores than those who do.

The “focused holistic scoring approach” used by NAEP, as with all holistic approaches, requires scorers to rate the overall quality of the writing, regardless of how students choose to respond to spe-

cific aspects of a given topic. In contrast to some holistic approaches to writing scoring that offer very general guidelines, NAEP scorers were given detailed scoring guides that focused their attention on specific characteristics of students’ writing (organization, development, syntax, and mechanics).

In this sense, the “traits” of writing now at issue for NAEP scoring of writing have shifted from a concern with topic-related traits of student responses to traits associated with overall quality of writing.

The basic assumptions of the NAEP focused holistic scoring approach are:

- Each of the factors involved in writing is related to all others and that no one factor can be separated from the others.
- A writer is entitled to make some mistakes, given the 25-minute time limit, the lack of recourse to a dictionary, and the lack of time for reviewing and editing.
- Scorers should read each response as a whole—without focusing on each mistake (but still being aware of them)—to judge the level of writing ability demonstrated by the student.
- After thorough training on the scoring of responses written on a given task, scorers should quickly read an entire response and assign a score based on the total impression conveyed by the response.
- Scorers should ignore their personal standards of what constitutes good writing and embrace the criteria of the scoring guide.
- Scorers should read supportively rather than critically.

Narrative Writing

Narrative writing involves the production of stories or personal essays. Practice with these forms helps writers to develop a facility for spontaneous and colloquial language. Also, informative and persuasive writing can benefit from many of the strategies used in narrative writing. For example, there must be an effective ordering of events when relating an incident as part of a report.

Sometimes narrative writing contributes to an awareness of the world as the writer creates, manipulates, and interprets reality. Such writing—whether fact or fiction, poem, play, or personal essay—requires close observation of people, objects, and places. Further, this type of writing fosters creativity, imagination, and speculation by allowing the

writer to express thoughts and then stand back, as a more detached observer might, and grasp more fully what is being felt and why. Thus, narrative writing offers a special opportunity to analyze and understand emotions and actions.

Fourth-grade students were given a number of narrative topics on the 1998 Writing Assessment. One of these topics asked students to write about a child encountering a castle that appears overnight as if by magic. The complete text of this topic is given below:

Castle

One morning a child looks out the window and discovers that a huge castle has appeared overnight. The child rushes outside to the castle and hears strange sounds coming from it. Someone is living in the castle!

The castle door creaks open. The child goes in.

Write a story about whom the child meets and what happens inside the castle.

In the imaginative stories written for this topic, “Castle,” characters sometimes appear and disappear rather suddenly. Students who received ratings in the upper half of the six levels on the scoring guide (“Sufficient” or better) were able to weave coherent stories, making effective use of suspense and surprise.

1. Sample “Unsatisfactory” Response

Student response: The child meet a castle and go in the castle.

The “Unsatisfactory” rating was given to 2 percent of the responses to this topic. As the scoring guide on page 2 indicates, responses at this level tended either to be so brief that they did not develop a story at all, or to be hard to understand throughout. In the response shown, the student only paraphrases the topic.

2. Sample “Insufficient” Response

Student response: One morning a child looks out a window and sees that a castle appears overnight. She runs to the castle and inside she meet a giant. The giant let her in. He asked her her name. He was married to another giant.

The “Insufficient” rating was given to 12 percent of

the responses to this topic. In "Insufficient" responses, students produced only the beginning of a story, wrote very disorganized stories, or wrote responses that were understandable only in part. In the response shown, the student begins to tell a story, introducing a new character, the "giant," but does not progress beyond that point.

3. Sample "Uneven" Response

Student response: He saw died fish when he walked in the door. Than he herd something a bat fly and turn in to a vampire. He ran out of the door yelling for help while running out the door. Then the vampire turned in to a bat again. And the boy never went there again. Then a year later a girl went there and opened the door and seen died fish at the door and seen a bat flying and than therd in to a vampire and yelled out the door yelling for help. And than the vampire turned in to a bat again. And it gos on on on on on on and on on on again.

The "Uneven" rating was given to 31 percent of the responses to this topic. In such responses, students attempted to tell an entire story, but the attempt was incomplete or disorganized. In the "Uneven" response shown, there is some dramatic action ("than he herd something a bat fly and turn in to a vampire"). That action, however, is repetitive, as the events are not connected to form a coherent story: "And then the vampire turned in to a bat again. And it gos on on on on on on and on on on again."

4. Sample "Sufficient" Response

Student response: One day a 13 year old boy woke up and found beautiful castle with a purple, pink, red, blue, orange and yellow rainbow. He decided to get up. Then he got dressed and went to see what was in the castle. He walked to the door and knocked nobody answered so he knocked again still no answer.

Then the boy went in. It was pretty dark inside not like the outside of the color: He looked around and saw that there must be someone living

here. It was very clean he could see that it was clean in the dark. He went up stairs to a room and opened it and there he saw the ugly monster, and behind him was a pretty princess. He was in love. The princess was tide up.

The "Sufficient" rating was given to 38 percent of the responses to this topic. In such responses, students told complete stories that were organized and clear, but lacking in detail. In the "Sufficient" response, the student provides a clear but bare plot. He or she includes the vivid detail of the colors of the rainbow in the first sentence, but uses detail sparingly beyond that. Though the story does not conclude, enough action occurs that most of the development is clear. The simple, but essentially clear and correct, sentence structure and vocabulary are typical of responses at this level.

5. Sample "Skillful" Response

Student response: First He sees a dark room filled with object some big and some small some short some tall. As he is reaching for the light switch something grabs his hand and turns it on for him. As it turns on the boy sees a woman so beautiful she captures his eyes. They look so much alike. They walk through the castle telling each other stories about each other showing each other pictures from there life. The girl says she had a long lost brother from long ago. She says he looks like this and showed him the picture. The boy says he has a picture just like that of himself Then the girl realizes the boy in the picture is her long Lost Brother.

The "Skillful" rating was given to 14 percent of the responses to this topic. In such responses, students used details to develop their stories in parts of the response. They provided a good structure to their stories, though with occasional lack of transitions. In the sample "Skillful" response, the plot occasionally shifts abruptly, as when the boy "sees a woman" who looks like him and they suddenly start to "walk through the castle." Though the ending is

concise, the student ties up the story with the revelation "Then the girl realizes the boy in the picture is her long Lost Brother."

6. Sample "Excellent" Response

Student response: "Wow a castle!" said John. He had know clue of how it got here or where it came from? He walked inside and found that it was rather damp. He wandered around until finally he saw someone. This person didn't look normal. He was dressed in royalty with a purple cape and a crown of jewels. Then the person spoke out. "There you are you're supposed to be training right now." John had know clue what he was talking about. Suddenly he thought of something, was this the King of the castle? He finally got the nerve to ask a question. He asked "Who are you." He answered "I'm the King." John was shocked. Then the King told him to get on his armor. John thought and thought. Then he knew what he was talking about. He thought he was a knight. John thought again. If he was to be a knight then he would never see his family again. Then he thought of his older sister, Jennifer. He decided to be a knight. After about 2 months he- finally was knighted. He fought many dragons and man. He finally died but is still a legend today.

The End

By: Unknown

The "Excellent" rating was given to 3 percent of the responses to this topic. Such responses may have excelled through good development of plot, characters, or dialogue. In the response shown, the student uses dialogue effectively, develops characters, and provides a coherent plot. The student shows good control of language for a 4th-grader and includes vivid details about appearance—"He was dressed in royalty with a purple cape and a crown of jewels."

Conclusion

The scoring guides used in the NAEP 1998 Writing Assessment set six possible levels of writing performance for students, from "Unsatisfactory" to "Excellent." Among 4th-graders who wrote on the

"Castle" narrative writing topic, 2 percent were rated "Unsatisfactory," 12 percent were rated "Insufficient," 31 percent were rated "Uneven," 38 percent were rated "Sufficient," 14 percent were rated "Skillful," and 3 percent were rated "Excellent."

Scoring guides, or "rubrics," are a widely used means of ensuring objective scoring of student work that requires a judgement of quality. Teachers using scoring guides in the classroom can use the guides not only to evaluate student work but also to explain to students where their work needs improvement.³

Endnotes

¹ pp. 5–11, National Assessment Governing Board. *Writing framework and specifications for the 1998 National Assessment of Educational Progress*. Washington, DC: Author. Web address: <http://www.nagb.org/pubs/writing.pdf>

² The three topics are available in *The NAEP 1998 Writing Report Card*. Additional information is available from the "Sample Questions" section of the NAEP Web Site: <http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/ITMRLS/intro.shtml>

³ For more information on the use of scoring guides or rubrics, see Moskal, Barbara M. *Scoring Rubrics: What, When and How? Practical Assessment, Research & Evaluation*, 7(3).

<http://ericae.net/pare/getvn.asp?v=7&n=3>

The ERIC Clearinghouse has a discussion of rubrics, a bibliography, and additional links at

http://ericae.net/faqs/rubrics/scoring_rubrics.htm

For Further Information

The NAEP 1998 Writing Report Card, NCES 1999–486, is the complete report. Single copies are available free from ED Pubs, P.O. Box 1398, Jessup, MD 20794–1398. Copies may also be obtained over the World Wide Web: <http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/writing/>

Two additional *NAEPfacts*, *Scoring of Eighth-Grade Informative Writing* (NCES 2000–506), and *Scoring of Twelfth-Grade Persuasive Writing* (NCES 2000–488), are also available. Single copies are available free from ED Pubs, P.O. Box 1398, Jessup, MD 20794–1398. Copies may also be obtained over the World Wide Web: <http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/writing/>

NAEPfacts briefly summarize findings from the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP). The series is a product of the National Center for Education Statistics, Gary Phillips, Acting Commissioner, and Peggy Carr, Associate Commissioner for Education Assessment. This issue of *NAEPfacts* was prepared by Sheida White of NCES and Alan Vanneman of the Education Statistics Services Institute, based on previously published material.

To order NAEP publications, call toll free 1-877-4ED-Pubs (1-877-433-7827), TTY/TDD 1-877-576-7734;
E-mail: edpubs@inet.ed.gov
Internet: <http://www.ed.gov/pubs/edpubs.html>

The NCES World Wide Web Home Page is:
<http://nces.ed.gov/>

The NAEP World Wide Web Home Page is:
<http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/>

000000000000

46

United States
Department of Education
ED Pubs
8242-B Sandy Court
Jessup, MD 20794-1398



Postage and Fees Paid
U.S. Department of Education
Permit No. G-17

First Class

Official Business
Penalty for Private Use, \$300

40555
C/O LIBRARY HARIHARAN
JOYA
NCSTL
1929 KENNY RD
COLUMBUS OH 43210



43210-2015 01

7





U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



NOTICE

REPRODUCTION BASIS



This document is covered by a signed "Reproduction Release (Blanket) form (on file within the ERIC system), encompassing all or classes of documents from its source organization and, therefore, does not require a "Specific Document" Release form.



This document is Federally-funded, or carries its own permission to reproduce, or is otherwise in the public domain and, therefore, may be reproduced by ERIC without a signed Reproduction Release form (either "Specific Document" or "Blanket").