DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 447 871 JC 010 038

TITLE State-Level Institutional Effectiveness Process for Texas

Community and Technical Colleges.

INSTITUTION Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board, Austin.

PUB DATE 2000-09-00

NOTE 28p.

PUB TYPE Reports - Evaluative (142) EDRS PRICE MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *Academic Standards; *Accountability; *Community Colleges;

Evaluation Criteria; Higher Education; Institutional

Evaluation; Institutional Mission; *Program Effectiveness;

*State Standards; Two Year Colleges

IDENTIFIERS *Texas

ABSTRACT

The document outlines and describes the Institutional Effectiveness measures and standards to be used by Texas' community and technical colleges in achieving their local and statutory missions. Performance expectations are outlined in the Texas Education Code, Criteria for Accreditation Southern Association of Colleges and Schools, Guidelines for Instructional Programs in Workforce Education, and Texas Academic Skills Program Policy Manual. The state-level Institutional Effectiveness process is based on seven critical success factors. Success factors 1 through 6 measure institutional characteristics, while success factor 7 is program specific. The success factors are as follows: Mission/strategic planning/institutional resources; Effective use of Perkins resources; Access/effectiveness of student services; Achievement; Continuing education/workforce development; Quality of academic areas; and Quality of workforce education programs. Each critical success factor is evaluated based on measures and standards. For example, the review of the institution's commitment to ensure quality planning and continuous improvement of programs as mandated by the Federal Perkins Act should measure the appropriateness of fund expenditure and the use of Perkins Basic Grant Funds for Workforce Education Program improvement efforts. Three components support Institutional Effectiveness efforts across the state: the Annual Data Profile, the Statewide Factbook, and the College Profiles; the On-Site Peer Review; and the Annual Institutional Self-Evaluation. (JA)



State-Level Institutional Effectiveness Process for Texas Community and Technical Colleges



PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

S. Calvert

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION

- CENTER (ERIC)

 This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board Community and Technical Colleges Division Austin, Texas

Effective Date: September 2000



The Institutional Effectiveness process is a comprehensive approach for verifying the effectiveness of Texas' community and technical colleges in achieving their local and statutory missions. It provides for the systematic use of evaluation results to continuously improve institutional performance and programs.

In Texas, expectations are placed on the Coordinating Board by the Texas Legislature, Governor, and the federal government, as outlined in the following documents:

- \$ Texas Education Code, Section 61.051;
- \$ Perkins Vocational and Technical Education Act of 1998 (U.S. Public Law 105-332)

Performance expectations for Texas' community and technical colleges are outlined in:

- \$ Texas Education Code, Sections 130.003 and 135.01;
- \$ Criteria for Accreditation Southern Association of Colleges and Schools (SACS);
- \$ Guidelines for Instructional Programs in Workforce Education;
- \$ Texas Academic Skills Program Policy Manual

The purpose of this state-level evaluation is:

- \$ Continuous improvement of Texas' community and technical colleges in response to state and federal goals and higher education mandates, including workforce education and training.
- \$ Accountability to the citizens of the state, Texas Legislature, Governor, and to the U.S. Department of Education for public expenditures.
- \$ Demonstration of the quality and responsiveness of Texas' community and technical college programs and services to developing a well-educated citizenry and highly trained workforce.

Three components support Institutional Effectiveness efforts across the state:

- \$ The <u>Annual Data Profile</u>, the <u>Statewide Factbook</u> and the <u>College Profiles</u> summarize and analyze data reported by <u>Texas public community and technical colleges</u> relating to state-level goals and federal reporting requirements.
- \$ The On-Site Peer Review provides for program review and analysis of trends toward state and institutional goals. It requires a college site visit by a review team of Coordinating Board staff and peers from other Texas community and technical colleges. These visits are conducted on a four-year cycle.
- \$ The Annual Institutional Self-Evaluation is a required component of the annual application for Federal Perkins Act funds. It requires community and technical colleges to provide data on statewide goals and program-level assessment.

If you have any questions about the material presented in the Institutional Effectiveness area, please contact <u>Irma Berry</u>, Assistant Director, Institutional Effectiveness, Telephone (512) 427-6232, e-mail *berryid@thecb.state.tx.us*.



3 1

INSTITUTIONAL EFFECTIVENESS MEASURES AND STANDARDS FOR TEXAS COMMUNITY AND TECHNICAL COLLEGES

The state-level Institutional Effectiveness process for Texas community and technical colleges is based on seven critical success factors. Each critical success factor is evaluated based on measures and standards. Success factors I through VI measure institutional characteristics, while success factor VII is program specific.

I. MISSION/STRATEGIC PLANNING/INSTITUTIONAL RESOURCES

Review of the institution-s commitment and planning efforts to fulfilling the statutory mandates for community and technical colleges and to meeting the unique needs of the colleges service area.

II. EFFECTIVE USE OF PERKINS RESOURCES

Review of the institution-s commitment to policies and procedures to ensure quality planning and continuous improvement of programs as mandated by the Federal Perkins Act.

III. ACCESS/EFFECTIVENESS OF STUDENT SERVICES

Review of the institutions commitment to provide access and services to students with diverse educational, social, and workforce development needs.

IV. ACHIEVEMENT

Review of the institution-s commitment to attaining high-quality performance of students, programs, and services.

V. CONTINUING EDUCATION/WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT

Review of the institution's commitment to providing quality continuing education and workforce development.

VI. QUALITY OF ACADEMIC AREAS

Review of the institution-s performance in meeting or exceeding standards of excellence in providing academic programs and services, including library resources, transfer facilitation, and developmental education.

VII. QUALITY OF WORKFORCE EDUCATION PROGRAMS

Review of the institution-s performance in meeting or exceeding standards of excellence in providing workforce education programs, including placement of program graduates, equipment and facilities, linkages to schools, business and industry, and advisory committee activities.



I. MISSION/STRATEGIC PLANNING/INSTITUTIONAL RESOURCES

1. Quality of College

A.		College Purpose, Mission and Role Published mission statement addresses all statutory requirements [Texas Education Code Section 61.0511, 130.003(e), 130.0011, 135.01]		
B.		SACS Accreditation Candidacy, accreditation, and/or reaffirmation of accreditation		
	Date of las	st SACS accreditation visit: / /		
	Car Rea Rea Rea	credited affirmed affirmed after review for substantive change affirmed with warning affirmed with probation as of accreditation		
C.		Graduate Guarantee Graduate Guarantee for all technical programs (A.A.S. degrees and certificates) in place and published		
		ing Board requirement to support Perkins Performance Measure VIII atisfaction)]		
D.		Institutional Effectiveness System in place to monitor and demonstrate continuing improvement that includes all of the following:		
	Pr Im Do	stitutional effectiveness plan/strategic plan with measurable outcomes ogram evaluation plan provement plan for administering Perkins annual application ocumented application of evaluation results to show improvement of		
	[Coordinating Board Rules 10.21(a)(1) and PL 105-332]			



E.	Measure: Faculty Qualifications Standard: Compliance with SACS criteria
	Total faculty by highest degree held: (Fall 1999)
	No degree Certificate only Associate:s Degree Bachelor:s Degree Master:s Degree Doctoral Degree Total
	Source: CBM008
F.	Measure: Official College Publications Standard: College publications are accurate and consistent in describing the institution
	Catalog or other publications must contain:
	Entrance requirements and procedures Policy for transfer admission Rules of student conduct Academic calendar Degree completion requirements Program requirements Full-time faculty and degrees held Cost and financial obligations Refund policies Advising procedures General TASP requirements Transfer-dispute resolution policy



G.		e: Instructional Effectiveness d: System in place to formally evaluate instructional effectiveness and apply results to improve outcomes
		Current, thorough, and clear syllabi (required to meet standard) Use of student assessments and supervisor/peer observations (required to meet standard)
		Curriculum review process (required to meet standard) Faculty development plans to stay current in field or address educational issues and trends
		Use of teaching portfolios Extracurricular faculty involvement with students (advise, counsel, club sponsorship) to improve learning climate
		Implementation and integration of current technology as defined by the college=s educational technology plan Other (describe in comments)
	Note:	Exceeds standard if five (5), including the required items, or more are present
H.		 e: Professional Development Activities d: Systematic method for identifying and meeting professional development needs of college employees in place that includes at least five (5) of the following:
		Improving career counseling/job placement assistance Addressing differences in learning styles of students Addressing special needs of special populations Staying current in academic or technical field, including participation in business and industry partnerships
		Integrating academic and technical curricula Overcoming cultural bias
		Improving teaching performance, including use of active learning strategies Use of technology instruction
		Complying with the policies and mission of the college Providing technical support for the development of courseware (e.g. instructional telecommunication defined in Coordinating Board Rules Chapter 5, Subchapter H) and technology-based instruction materials Other (list)
	Note:	Exceeds standard if six (6) or more are present.



	1.	Standard: 1	Distance Education 100% compliance with Coordinating Board Rules 5.153 (a)-(e) and (g) i)
		on-instructions on the continuation of the con	ality of distance learning instruction is comparable to quality of campus instruction credit courses and courses awarding Continuing Education Units for ance learning comply with SACS standards nission requirements to institution, program, and class/section of dents enrolled in distance learning are the same as for on-campus dents ulty providing distance learning instruction are selected and luated using the same standards used for on-campus faculty itution provides training and support to enhance the added skills uired of faculty teaching classes via instructional telecommunications ructor of record participates in the delivery of instruction and iluation of student progress ance learning instruction is administered and supervised by the ne office or person administering the corresponding on-campus ruction with comparable evaluation processes dents enrolled in distance learning instruction have access to demic support services (academic advising, counseling, library and er learning resources, tutoring services, financial aid) that are neparable to those available for on-campus students illities for distance learning instruction (other than student homes as ructional television reception sites) are adequate for the purpose of vering instruction which is comparable in quality to on-campus ruction
<u>2.</u>	Institut	ional Res <u>our</u>	<u>ces</u>
	A.		Fiscal Accountability College meets state audit requirements
			nual Audit filed (Date) commendations addressed
	В.		Financial Resources College meets SACS criteria



II. EFFECTIVE USE OF PERKINS RESOURCES

A.

B.

1. Effective Use of Perkins Resources to promote program quality improvement

Measure: Funds Expended Appropriately Standard: Current funds must be expended for allowable costs. Time and effort reports are well documented. Perkins and institutional funds are not commingled Measure: Use of Perkins Basic Grant Funds for Workforce Education Program Improvement Efforts Standard: Perkins funds are used to improve workforce education programs in one or more of the following required use of funds: Strengthen the academic and technical components of workforce education programs through the integration of academics with workforce education programs through a coherent sequence of courses Provide students with strong experience in and understanding of all aspects of an industry Develop, improve, or expand the use of technology in workforce education Provide professional development programs to teachers, counselors, and administrators Develop and implement evaluations of the workforce education programs, including an assessment of how the needs of special populations are being met Initiate, improve, expand, and modernize quality workforce education programs Provide services and activities that are of sufficient size, scope, and quality to be effective Link secondary vocational and technical education and postsecondary workforce education, including implementing Tech-Prep programs

[Ref: PL 105-332 Section 135]



III. ACCESS/EFFECTIVENESS OF STUDENT SERVICES

1. Access to College and Services

A. Measure: Access and Equity of Women and Minorities Standard: Proportion of women and minorities in all workforce education enrollment is comparable (+ 5%) to overall college enrollment or shows improvement compared to overall college enrollment College WF College WF Enroll Enroll Enroll Enroll 1997-98 1997-98 1998-99 1998-99 Total Male Female White African-American Hispanic Asian/Pacific Islander Native American International **Economically** Disadvantaged Source: CBM001, CBM00A Measure: Access to Student Support Services B. Standard: Available student support services are accessible to special population students _____ Career planning and counseling _____ Learning resources _____ Special services (transportation, child care, etc.) _____ Job placement services _____ Basic Skills assessment _____ Developmental classes/services Information for special populations C. Measure: Office of Civil Rights (OCR) Compliance Standard: Full OCR compliance _____ Admissions forms to college/programs/employment are free of discrimination ____ Public notice of nondiscrimination _____ Nondiscrimination statement on all publications _____ Grievance procedures for student/employees are available Counseling services and recruitment of students are free from discrimination _____ Employment resources of faculty/staff/students are free from discrimination ___ Facilities/program accessibility for students with disabilities

A. Measure: Assessment and Remediation of Students

Other (describe in comments)

2. Effectiveness of Student Services



rules (assessment instruments may include any of the Boards designated alternatives to the TASP test) Note: Exceeds standard if all students are assessed and remediation is supplied to students in TASP-waived programs. B. Measure: Career Development/Job Placement Services Standard: Documentation of student use is evident for both of the following: _____ Career information/career development services/resources _____ Job placement services C. Measure: Student Satisfaction with Student Services Standard: Periodic survey of student satisfaction is conducted and results are applied to improve all of the following: _____ Career exploration and job placement services _____ Academic advising _____ Student counseling services _ Financial aid services

Standard: All students are assessed and remediated as required by law and CB



D.	Measure: Identification of Exemplary Student Services (Optional) Standard: Student services meet the standard in II (Effectiveness of Student Services) Measures A. through C. and there is documented evidence that all of the criteria for Exemplary Career Guidance and Counseling Programs as identified by appropriate national professional organizations are present Option I - Criteria for exemplary programs identified by an appropriate national organization, i.e., the National Association of State Career Development/Guidance Supervisors (NASCD/GS) and the American Vocational Association (AVA) are met:				
	Assist students to increase self-knowledge and self-advocacy Assist students in educational and occupational exploration Assist students in career planning, preparation, and transition Faculty involvement in career guidance and counseling Collaboration with other agencies Collaboration with businesses or industry Administration has established policies to support student service programs Facilities where student services program is housed are adequate Program has plans for adequate financial support Guidance personnel are qualified (work experience, education, credentials) Professional development activities are utilized to keep staff current				
	or				
	Option II - Criteria for exemplary programs as identified by other national professional organizations are met (describe)				
	Name of professional organization				
	Criteria of professional organization met by college				



IV. ACHIEVEMENT

1. Persistence, Remediation and Graduation

A. Measure: Completion Rates for Full-time Students not Receiving Remediation Standard: 30% of full-time first-time-in-college students not receiving remediation receive a degree or certificate or transfer within three (3) years

Number	Percent	
		All full-time first-time-in-college students (Fall 1996) not receiving remediation
		Still enrolled at original institution (Fall 1999) Graduated from any Texas public 2-year institution
		(1996-97, 1997-98, 1998-99)
		Transfer to other Texas public 2-year institution
		Transfer to a Texas public 4-year institution
		Graduated from any Texas public 2-year institution or transferred to any Texas public institution or persisting at original institution
		Graduated from any Texas public 2-year institution or transferred to a Texas public 2-year or 4-year institution

NOTE: Categories are not mutually exclusive. The last category (bold) is used for determining if the institution meets the standard.

Source: CBM001, CBM002, CBM009



B. Measure: Completion Rates for Full-time Students Receiving Remediation Standard: 30% of full-time first-time-in-college students receiving remediation receive a degree or certificate or transfer within four (4) years

Number	Percent	
		All full-time first-time-in-college students (Fall 1995) receiving remediation
		Still enrolled at original institution (Fall 1999) Graduated from any Texas public 2-year institution
		(1995-96, 1996-97, 1997-98, 1998-99)
		Transfer to other Texas public 2-year institution
		Transfer to a Texas public 4-year institution
		Graduated from any Texas public 2-year institution or transferred to any Texas public institution or persisting at original institution
		Graduated from any Texas public 2-year institution or transferred to a Texas public 2-year or 4-year institution

NOTE: Categories are not mutually exclusive. The last category (bold) is used for determining if the institution meets the standard.

Source: CBM001, CBM002, CBM009

Measure: Completion Rates for Part-time Students not Receiving Remediation
 Standard: 15% of part-time first-time-in-college students not receiving
 remediation receive a degree or certificate or transfer within five years

Number	Percent	
		All part-time first-time-in-college students (Fall 1994) not receiving remediation
		Still enrolled at original institution (Fall 1999)
		Graduated from any Texas public 2-year institution (1994-95, 1995-96, 1996-97, 1997-98, 1998-99)
		Transfer to other Texas public 2-year institution
		Transfer to a Texas public 4-year institution Graduated from any Texas public 2-year institution or
		transferred to any Texas public institution or persisting at original institution
		Graduated from any Texas public 2-year institution or transferred to a Texas public 2-year or 4-year institution

NOTE: Categories are not mutually exclusive. The last category (bold) is used for determining if the institution meets the standard.

Source: CBM001, CBM002, CBM009

D. Measure: Completion Rates for Part-time Students Receiving Remediation Standard: 15% of part-time first-time-in-college students receiving remediation receive a degree or certificate or transfer within seven (7) years



Number	Percent	
		All part-time first-time-in-college students (Fall 1992) receiving remediation
		Still enrolled at original institution (Fall 1999)
		Graduated from any Texas public 2-year institution (1992-93, 1993-94, 1994-95, 1995-96, 1996-97, 1997-98, 1998-99)
		Transfer to other Texas public 2-year institution
		Transfer to a Texas public 4-year institution
		Graduated from any Texas public 2-year institution or transferred to any Texas public institution or persisting at original institution
		Graduated from any Texas public 2-year institution or transferred to a Texas public 2-year or 4-year institution

NOTE: Categories are not mutually exclusive. The last category (bold) is used for determining if the institution meets the standard.

Source: CBM001, CBM002, CBM009



E. Measure: Retention Rates from Fall to Spring of Full-time First-time-in-college

Students (taking ≥12 Semester Credit Hours [SCH])

Standard: Retention from Fall to Spring of students who did and did not receive

remediation is not more than five percentage points below the state

average

or

meets Perkins Standard of 70%

1) Full-time (≥12 SCH) first-time-in-college (FTIC) students (Fall 1998) who returned the following Spring

FallSpringPercentState19981999ReturningAverage

2) Full-time FTIC students (Fall 1998) who required remediation in the Fall and who returned the following Spring

FallSpringPercentState19981999ReturningAverage

3) Full-time FTIC students (Fall 1998) who did not require remediation in the Fall and who returned the following Spring

FallSpringPercentState19981999ReturningAverage

Source: CBM001, CBM002



F. Measure: Retention from Fall to Spring of Part-time First-time-in-college

Students (taking six to 11 Semester Credit Hours [SCH])

Standard: Retention from Fall to Spring of part-time students who did and did not

receive remediation is not more than five percentage points below the

state average

meets Perkins Standard of 50%

1) Part-time (6-11 SCH) first-time-in-college (FTIC) students (Fall 1998) who returned the following Spring

Fall	Spring	Percent	State
1998	1999	Returning	Average

2) Part-time (6-11 SCH) FTIC students (Fall 1998) who received remediation in the Fall and who returned the following Spring

Fall	Spring	Percent	State
1998	1999	Returning	Average

3) Part-time (6-11 SCH) FTIC students (Fall 1998) who did not receive remediation in the Fall and who returned the following Spring

Fall	Spring	Percent	State
1998	1999	Returning	Average

Source: CBM001, CBM002



2. Student Outcomes

B.

A. Measure: Course Completion
Standard: Percentage of contact hours completed is not more than five percentage points below the state average (Fall 1998)

Acad		Workforce Cont Ed
		Contact hours on 12th class day or official census date Contact hours at end of reporting period Percent of contact hours completed State average - Percent of contact hours completed
Source:	CBM00	04, CBM006, CBM00C
	d: 90% over	raduates Over Three Year Period of all active workforce education programs produce 15 graduates three years (except new programs which received CB approval or first offered within last three years)
	Number	tive programs of new programs producing less than 15 graduates ograms evaluated
		of programs meeting standard of programs meeting standard

Note: In future, documentation on students who do not graduate but who gain skills that lead to employment or advancement in positions related to their training can have a positive impact on the program status rating for those programs that do not meet this standard. When a state-wide system is developed with the participation of business and industry to formally and consistently recognize such Amarketable skills achievement, those positive program outcomes will be incorporated into the compliance requirements for this standard.

Source: CBM009



		licensure ex umber of pro	kam	licensure exams	·	me specific
E.		Standard) or the percent	dents tested or age of student	n a specific licen s who take licer e average for las	nsure exams a	and pass is not
			students who g d Student and	graduated. Adult Learner F	ollow-Up Sys	tem
		 -				State Average %
		ompleters/ eturners 8	Employed Only 1997-98	Additional Education Only 1997-98	Empl and Educ 1997-98	Outcomes Number %
	Standard:	pursuing ac		s/non-returners tion is not more		
D.	Measure:		SCH) Non-Cor dditional Educ	mpleters/Non-Re ation	eturners* Emp	oloyed or
	Source: A	utomated St	tudent and Adu	ult Learner Follo	w-Up System	and CB 116
		carcerated s easure.	students are no	ot counted in the	calculations	for this
			grams meeting grams meeting			
	Nu le		grams produci placement ra	ing no graduate: te	s and/or new	programs with
	Standard:	100% comp		vorkforce educa erage), except n		s producing



V. CONTINUING EDUCATION/WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT

A.		Workforce Development Efforts* College efforts to support the needs of the local industry are evidenced by:			
	Number served (duplicated):				
		Companies served through current contract or tuition agreements (to be provided by college) Technical course enrollments (academic year 1998-99) Enrollments in courses not funded by Coordinating Board (academic year 1998-99 to be provided by college) CEU course enrollments (academic year 1998-99)			
	2. Systen used	n to measure effectiveness of workforce development is in place and			
		all Workforce Education (SCH, CEU) and workforce training efforts BM004, CBM00C, College records			
B.		Adult Literacy College shows documented evidence of serving literacy needs in the college district (either through college efforts or collaboration with other entities) offering ABE, GED, ESL, Workforce Literary programs			
C		Quality of State-Funded Continuing Education Courses Quality of state funded CEU courses is documented based on the following SACS criteria (SACS Continuing Education Guidelines 5th ed., 1994):			
	Sup faci CEl	ntifiable office with designated professional staff in place oportive learning environment is provided through appropriate lities, materials, equipment, and support services J transcripts th course:			
	2. ha 3. en	based on educational need as clear written learning outcomes applying qualified personnel planning and conducting instruction as content and instructional methods consistent with learning outcomes			
	A :	system of monitoring course outcomes is in place and used			



VI. QUALITY OF ACADEMIC AREAS

A.		Library Resources Compliance with SACS criteria as evidenced by:
	Or Or Or	ccess to a broad range of learning resources rientation program for students to use learning resources opportunity for students to learn how to access information in different rmats aintain adequate hours earning resources cataloged and organized onvenient and effective access to all learning resources dequate physical facilities vidence of incorporating technology advances into learning resource perations dequate staffing by qualified professionals egular and systematic evaluation of learning resources, holdings, and ollicies
B.		Core Curriculum of Associate Degrees (A.S. and A.A. only)* The college has incorporated a core curriculum of at least 42 SCH into each academic degree plan, unless a smaller core curriculum component is specified in a statewide field of study curriculum
	*Not applic	cable for colleges in the TSTC system.
C.		Transfer Facilitation* The following items are in place to facilitate transfer (100% compliance):
	Th	ransfer dispute resolution policy published in catalog ne college's transfer policies are published and made available to udents
	* Not appli	cable for colleges in the TSTC system.
D.		Transfer Student Success* System to document success of transfer students at transfer institution is in place and monitored. Coordinating Board and University data is acceptable, e.g. Community College Transfer Rate Study



^{*} Not applicable for colleges in the TSTC system.

E. Measure: Developmental Programs

Standard: Basic skills programs in reading, writing, and math are present,

and the college has a system in place to track compliance with the

27 SCH limit

Note: Exceeds standard if college offers well-rounded developmental education

program (study skills, ESL, etc.) utilizing a variety of instructional methods

(e.g. lab support, tutoring, etc.).

F. Measure: Developmental Student Success

Standard: A system to track the success of developmental students is in place

and used to improve programs

Note: Exceeds standard if college has developed a comprehensive tracking

system that is well-documented and used to improve the programs.



VII. QUALITY OF WORKFORCE EDUCATION PROGRAMS (This section applies to each individual workforce education program.)

1. Quality of Programs - Part A

A. Measure: 15 Graduates Over Three Year Period Standard: 100% compliance (except new programs) Graduates for last three years:

Note 1: Program meets standard if "not applicable" is checked.

Note 2: In future, documentation on students who do not graduate but who gain skills that lead to employment or advancement in positions related to their training can have a positive impact on the program status rating for those programs that do not meet this standard. When a state-wide system is developed with the participation of business and industry to formally and consistently recognize such Amarketable skills achievement, those positive program outcomes will be incorporated into the compliance requirements for this standard.

Source: CBM009

B. Measure: Placement of Program Graduates Over Three Year Period Standard: 85% of program graduates are placed within one year of graduation

Graduates employed or pursuing additional education:

Year	CIP Code	Total Grads *	Successful Number	Outcomes %
1995-96 1996-97				
1996-97				
Total				

Not applicable - Program received CB approval or was first offered within last three years and placement rate is less than 85%

Note 1: Program meets standard if "not applicable" is checked.

Note 2: Exceeds standard if 3-year average placement rate is 95% or greater. Special provisions will be made for programs with less than 10 completers.

*Unduplicated, may not match CBM009 data and excludes incarcerated Source: Automated Student and Adult Learner Follow-Up Systems and CB116



C. Measure: Licensure Pass Rate

Standard: 90% of students tested on a specific licensure exam pass (Perkins

Standard)

or

the percentage of students who take licensure exams and pass is no more than 5% below state average for last three years for the specific

licensure exam

Note: Exceeds standard if pass rate is 95% or greater.

D. Measure: Professional Program Credentials

Standard: Program with professional credentialing requirements has

documentation that it meets the standards of the respective

credentialing agency

Program holds mandatory licensure
 Program holds professional accreditation, certification, or registration customary for programs in that discipline

Note: Program exceeds standard if it holds extra accreditation, certification, or registration above what is customary in that discipline.

2. Quality of Programs - Part B

A.	Measure:	Compliance with	THECB V	Vorkforce	Education	Guidelines
----	----------	-----------------	---------	-----------	-----------	------------

Standard: 100% compliance for AAS and Certificate Awards

____ Curriculum linked to business and industry

___ SCANS matrix

____ SCANS listed in course syllabi

____ Capstone External Learning experience

____ Program length

____ Converted to WECM standards according to guidelines

B. Measure: General Education Requirements

Standard: 100% of all associate degrees have at least 15 SCH of general

education, and meet current SACS criteria

Note: Meets standard if program consists of certificate only.

C. Measure: Faculty Support

Standard: Number of faculty is adequate to support the program (one full-time

instructor with primary responsibility in each program area)

D. Measure: Equipment and Facilities

Standard: Equipment and facilities meet business and industry standards and are

adequate and appropriate to support the program



	E.	Measure: Budget Adequacy Standard: Budget is adequate to support the program
	F.	Measure: Linkages and External Agreements with Schools and Universities Standard: Program has at least one (1) agreement in place and is pursuing others as appropriate
		AAS Cert 2+2(+2), 1+1 Tech-Prep Advanced placement University transfer Inverted degree plans Other (describe in comments): Note: Exceeds standard if four or more are present.
<u>3.</u>	Quality	of Programs - Part C
	A.	Measure: Business and Industry Partnerships Standard: Active involvement with business/industry and documented evidence of at least two (2) of the following affiliations:
		Agreements for sharing facilities, equipment, labs, etc. Internships/apprenticeships/co-op/practicum/clinical On-site training for faculty at business/industry worksites Contractual agreements with business/industry College representation on Local Workforce Development Board Other (describe under comments): Note: Exceeds standard if four (4) or more are present.
	В.	Measure: Integrating Academic/Technical Education Standard: Program must include writing and use of computers
		Program includes writing (required) Program includes use of computers (required) Academic courses in the curriculum Identification, teaching, and assessment of critical thinking, problem solving, and communication skills Technical applications included in academic courses Other (describe under comments):



Note: Exceeds standard if five (5) or more are present.

C.		Employer and Student Satisfaction College measures and documents employer and student satisfaction and uses results for program improvement
	At least tw	o (2) of following are available:
	St	ocumentation of Advisory Committee satisfaction with program udent survey nployee survey her
		nterview with students may be conducted for this measure. Please tudent observations in the comments/justification section
	Note: Exc	eeds standard if three (3) or more are present.
D.		Advisory Committee Membership Membership reflects diversity of occupational field (gender, ethnicity, large and small employers) and is chaired by business/industry member
E.		Advisory Committee Activities Advisory Committee meets at least once per academic year; maintains written minutes in format similar to that outlined in GIPWE reflecting industry involvement; advises on curriculum matters and encourages opportunities for increasing under-represented populations in the program
		eeds standard if committee meets at least twice per academic year, vities are well documented, and appropriate format is used.
F.		Determination of Ongoing Program Need Meets standard if need based on at least two (2) of the following:
	Lo Na Gi	dustry Advisory Committee ocal and/or regional labor market data ational and/or state labor market trend data raduate placement rate meets standard ther (describe under comments):
	Note: Exc	eeds standard if four (4) or more are present.



G. Measure: Identification of Exemplary Program
 Standard: Program meets all and exceeds at least five (5) standards on this form and one (1) of the following is evident

 Program has received local, state, or national recognition or other awards or commendations
 Program should be rated exemplary for reasons noted under comments (detailed comments required)

 Note: New programs are not eligible for EP status until graduate and placement

statistics are available.



The Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age, or disability in employment or the provision of services.





U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)

National Library of Education (NLE)

Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



NOTICE

Reproduction Basis

This document is covered by a signed "Reproduction Release (Blanket)" form (on file within the ERIC system), encompassing all or classes of documents from its source organization and, therefore does not require a "Specific Document" Release form.
This document is Federally-funded, or carries its own permission to reproduce, or is otherwise in the public domain and, therefore, may be reproduced by ERIC without a signed Reproduction Release form (either "Specific Document" or "Blanket").

EFF-089 (3/2000)

