#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 447 186 TM 032 073

AUTHOR Newman, Isadore; Waechter, Donna

TITLE Examination of the Factor Structure of the Cheating Scale.

PUB DATE 2000-10-00

NOTE 28p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the

Mid-Western Educational Research Association (Chicago, IL,

October 25-28, 2000).

PUB TYPE Numerical/Quantitative Data (110) -- Reports - Research

(143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS \*Cheating; Factor Analysis; \*Factor Structure; \*Graduate

Students; Graduate Study; Higher Education; Honesty;

Measures (Individuals); \*Student Attitudes

#### **ABSTRACT**

In an earlier study, I. Newman and D. Waechter (1999) investigated graduate education students' perceptions and attitudes toward cheating in scientific research. A questionnaire was developed that was based on reactions and concerns related to cheating behavior seen in a public television video about faking scientific data. This study examined the factor structure of the developed Cheating Scale, which was administered to 167 graduate education students. Analysis identified a three-factor solution that accounted for approximately 65% of the trace. The factors were identified as Ethical/Critical Evaluation, Academic Pressure, and Human Nature. Students who scored above the median on questions about their personal dishonesty had a more complex (four-factor) factor structure on the Cheating Scale. Males and females had significantly different perceptions of cheating, with females more accepting of these behaviors than males. (Contains 20 tables and 13 references.) (SLD)



# Examination of the Factor Structure of the Cheating Scale

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION

- CENTER (ERIC)
  This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Vewman

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Isadore Newman, Ph. D. University of Akron

Donna Waechter, Ph. D. White Hat Management

Presented at the Mid-Western Educational Research Association Annual Meeting, Chicago, Ill. October, 2000.



# Examination of the Factor Structure of the Cheating Scale

Isadore Newman, Ph.D. University of Akron

Donna Waechter, Ph.D. White Hat Management

#### **Abstract**

In an earlier study Newman and Waechter (1999) investigated graduate education students' perceptions and attitudes toward scientific cheating. A questionnaire was developed which was based on reactions/concerns related to cheating behavior. Students watched a PBS video that described several well-known scientific studies that have been publicly discredited for faking data. The students them completed a questionnaire that asked for reactions to what they had seen as well as information dealing with personal self-disclosure history and demographics. The present study will examine the factor structure of the Cheating Scale.

#### Introduction:

Research on cheating has estimated that college cheating has increased dramatically. (McCabe, 1993). In a study of medical school students, the majority of students admitted to cheating while in medical school. (Baird, 1980: Sierles, Hendrick, & Circle, 1980). In 1982, Singhal found that although 86% of students surveyed stated cheating is wrong, dishonest or unethical, over 56% admitted to at least one incident of cheating.

In a recent study by Newman, Newman, Gwinn and MacDonald (1999), it was found that honor students from all university disciplines studied had virtually no training in ethics. In their study open-ended responses were categorized into reaction/concerns about cheating behavior. Newman and Waechter (1999) developed a questionnaire based upon those categories, in addition to open-ended questions, in order to determine if graduate students in education have similar concepts. The questionnaire asked students for information on personal demographics as well as their reactions to the video they had just seen. Additionally, students were asked general questions about ethics and their own personal experience with cheating. The present study examines the factor structure of the Cheating Scale for all subjects. Additional factor analyses were run on selected subgroups in order to determine if the underlying constructs of the scale were viewed differently by different groups.



#### Method:

Subjects were 167 graduate education students who were enrolled in a research methodology class in a large mid-western university. Only subjects with complete data were included in the analysis.

Students were asked to view a PBS video on scientific cheating entitled "Scientific Research and Cheating." The video described several well known scientific studies from areas of medicine, psychology and anthropology which have been publicly discredited for faking data. After viewing the video students were asked to complete a questionnaire prior to engaging in a discussion of the ethical concerns/consequences of scientific fraud.

In order to ensure anonymity, before viewing the video, students were given two separate documents: a permission form and a questionnaire. It was explained that participation in the study was voluntary, that responses would be anonymous, and that students were under no obligation to take part. After viewing the video, those individuals wishing to take part signed the permission form and completed the questionnaire. Upon completion, one student volunteer collected the consent forms while another student volunteer collected the questionnaires.

### Objectives:

- Identify the underlying factor structure of the Cheating Scale.
- Identify similarities/differences in the underlying structure of the instrument based demographic data.
- Identify similarities/differences in the underlying structure of the instrument based on an individual's history.

#### <u>Results:</u>

Table 3 identifies a three-factor solution for the Cheating Scale that accounted for approximately 65% of the trace. The first factor, which was labeled Ethical/Critical Evaluation, accounted for approximately 24% of the variance. Factor 2, Academic Pressure, accounted for approximately 22% of the variance and Factor 3, Human Nature accounted for approximately 19% of the variance.

There are different factor structures when the sample is split into two groups based on whether respondent scores were above or below the median on the Personal Experience Scale (questions 7 - 11).



For subjects who scored below the median on the Personal Experience Scale, a two-factor solution was found on the Cheating Scale. Factor 1, was identified as Safeguards, which accounted for approximately 30% of the trace, and Factor 2, Surprised/Not Natural, accounted for approximately 33% of the variance.

For students who scored above the median on the Personal Experience Scale, a four-factor solution was found. Factor 1, More Stringent Controls, accounted for approximately 23% of the trace. Factor 2, Ethics, accounted for about 21%, Factor 3, Human Nature, accounted for approximately 18% and Factor 4, Academic Pressure, accounted for approximately 18%. (See Tables 4 & 5).

It appears that students who scored above the median on questions about their personal dishonesty (having engaged in more acts of dishonesty - questions 7 - 11) had a more complex (more factors) factor structure on the Cheating Scale. (Four factors versus a two-factor solution.)

Males were significantly more likely to be surprised by cheating (question 3) than females, when holding constant age, number of years in education, educational status, and questions 7 - 11. However, the more one admitted to stealing from a store, the more often they responded that cheating was natural, when holding constant age, years in education, gender and questions 7 - 11.

Males were also more likely than females to rate higher on the need to develop better methods of fraud prevention to insure accuracy in research. However, it was surprising that the more years they had in education, the less need they felt for being critical of scientific procedures.

### <u>Implications:</u>

Not surprisingly, people who have engaged in more dishonest behavior have a more complex structure (more factors) as related to cheating.

Males and females have significantly different perceptions of cheating, such that females are more accepting of these behaviors than males.

Since one would generally consider males and females to be members of the same society, it is surprising that they view cheating so differently. Obviously, this implies some sex stereotyping and different expectations for their behaviors related to cheating.



#### References

Achilles, C. (1996). Students achieve more in small classes. Educational Leadership, 53, 76-79.

Achilles, C. (1997). Small classes, big possibilities. <u>School</u> Administrator, <u>54</u>, 18-20.

Arbuckle, J. L. (1997). <u>Amos user's quide: Version 3.6</u>. Chicago, IL: SmallWaters Corporation.

Budge, D. (1997). Big doubts over small classes. <u>The Times Educational Supplement</u>, 4204, 13-14.

Fernandez, J., Mateo, M., & Muniz, J. (1998). Is there a relationship between class size, and student ratings of teacher quality? Educational and Psychological Measurement, 58, 596-604.

Galligan, R. & Newman, I. (1983). Confirmatory factor analysis: an alternative approach. Paper presented at the National Council of Family Relations Theory construction and Research Method Workshop, Minneapolis, MN.

Kaiser, H. F. (1960). <u>Relating factors between studies based</u> <u>upon different individuals</u>. Unpublished manuscript. University of Illinois, Chicago, IL.

Newman, I. (1971). A multivariate approach to the construction of an attitude battery. Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, southern Illinois University at Carbondale, Carbondale, IL.

Passmore, B. (1995). Small is best, but not for everyone. <u>The Times Educational Supplement</u>, 4142, 6-7.

Shea, C. (1998). Do smaller classes mean better schools? Economists aren't so sure. <u>The Chronicle of Higher Education</u>. 44, A17-A18.

SPSS Inc. (1999). SPSS (Base 9.0 Windows): User's guide. Chicago, IL: Author.

Veldman, D. (1967). Fortran programming for the social sciences. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.

Williams, E. (1998). Small is really better, isn't it? <u>The Times Educational Supplement</u>. 4277, 25-26.



Table 1a: Correlations for Factor 1

# Correlation Analysis

Pearson Correlation Coefficients / Prob > |R| under Ho: Rho=0 / Number of Observations

	FACTOR1	FACTOR2	FACTOR3	Q7
FACTOR1: Ethical/Critical Evaluation	1.00000 0.0 167	0.02251 0.7735 166	0.04536 0.5605 167	-0.05734 0.4672 163
FACTOR2: Academic Pressure	0.02251 0.7735 166	1.00000 0.0 168	-0.02557 0.7421 168	0.02727 0.7296 163
FACTOR3: Human Nature	0.04536 0.5605 167	-0.02557 0.7421 168	1.00000 0.0 169	-0.28563 0.0002 164
Q7 Question 7	-0.05734 0.4672 163	0.02727 0.7296 163	-0.28563 0.0002 164	1.00000 0.0 164
Q8 Question 8	0.06956 0.3776 163	-0.03468 0.6603 163	-0.28427 0.0002 164	0.44514 0.0001 164
Q9 Question 9	0.11034 0.1609 163	0.04643 0.5562 163	-0.18765 0.0161 164	0.16937 0.0301 164
Q10 Question 10	-0.06443 0.4139 163	-0.13612 0.0832 163	-0.07453 0.3429 164	0.14049 0.0728 164
Q11 Question 11	-0.06811 0.3891 162	0.16182 0.0397 162	0.09545 0.2255 163	0.22383 0.0041 163



Table 1b: Correlations for Factor

# Correlation Analysis

Pearson Correlation Coefficients / Prob > |R| under Ho: Rho=0 / Number of Observations

	Q8	Q9	Q10	Q11
FACTOR1: Ethical/Critical Evaluation	0.06956 0.3776 163	0.11034 0.1609 163	-0.06443 0.4139 163	-0.06811 0.3891 162
FACTOR2: Academic Pressure	-0.03468 0.6603 163	0.04643 0.5562 163	-0.13612 0.0832 163	0.16182 0.0397 162
FACTOR3: Human Nature	-0.28427 0.0002 164	-0.18765 0.0161 164	-0.07453 0.3429 164	0.09545 0.2255 163
Q7 Question 7	0.44514 0.0001 164	0.16937 0.0301 164	0.14049 0.0728 164	0.22383 0.0041 163
Q8 Question 8	1.00000 0.0 164	0.35345 0.0001 164	0.17142 0.0282 164	0.18114 0.0207 163
Q9 Question 9	0.35345 0.0001 164	1.00000 0.0 164	0.09131 0.2449 164	0.01850 0.8147 163
Q10 Question 10	0.17142 0.0282 164	0.09131 0.2449 164	1.00000 0.0 164	0.12238 0.1197 163
Q11 Question 11	0.18114 0.0207 163	0.01850 0.8147 163	0.12238 0.1197 163	1.00000 0.0 163



Table 2a: Correlations for Question 4 which loaded on all factors
. Correlation Analysis

	FACTOR1	FACTOR2	FACTOR3	Q4	Q7
FACTOR1: Ethical/Critica Evaluation	1.00000 al 0.0 167	0.02251 0.7735 166	0.04536 0.5605 167	0.28878 0.0002 167	-0.05734 0.4672 163
FACTOR2: Academic Pressure	0.02251 0.7735 166	1.00000 0.0 168	-0.02557 0.7421 168	0.22004 0.0042 168	0.02727 0.7296 163
FACTOR3 Human Nature	0.04536 0.5605 167	-0.02557 0.7421 168	1.00000 0.0 169	-0.13218 0.0867 169	-0.28563 0.0002 164
Q4 Question 4	0.28878 0.0002 167	0.22004 0.0042 168	-0.13218 0.0867 169	1.00000 0.0 169	-0.08658 0.2703 164
Q7 Question 7	-0.05734 0.4672 163	0.02727 0.7296 163	-0.28563 0.0002 164	-0.08658 0.2703 164	1.00000 0.0 164
Q8 Question 8	0.06956 0.3776 163	-0.03468 0.6603 163	-0.28427 0.0002 164	0.02859 0.7163 164	0.44514 0.0001 164
Q9 Question 9	0.11034 0.1609 163	0.04643 0.5562 163	-0.18765 0.0161 164	0.17208 0.0276 164	0.16937 0.0301 164
Q10 Question 10	-0.06443 0.4139 163	-0.13612 0.0832 163	-0.07453 0.3429 164	0.12791 0.1026 164	0.14049 0.0728 164
Q11 Question 11	-0.06811 0.3891 162	0.16182 0.0397 162	0.09545 0.2255 163	-0.02582 0.7436 163	0.22383 0.0041 163



Table 2b: Correlations for Question 4 which loaded on all factors

Correlation Analysis

Pearson Correlation Coefficients / Prob > |R| under Ho: Rho=0 / Number of Observations

	Q8	Q9	Q10	Q11
FACTOR1: Ethical/Critic Evaluation	0.06956 cal 0.3776 163	0.11034 0.1609 163	-0.06443 0.4139 163	-0.06811 0.3891 162
FACTOR2: Academic Pressure	-0.03468 0.6603 163	0.04643 0.5562 163	-0.13612 0.0832 163	0.16182 0.0397 162
FACTOR3: Human Nature	-0.28427 0.0002 164	-0.18765 0.0161 164	-0.07453 0.3429 164	0.09545 0.2255 163
Q4 Question 4	0.02859 0.7163 164	0.17208 0.0276 164	0.12791 0.1026 164	-0.02582 0.7436 163
Q7 Question 7	0.44514 0.0001 164	0.16937 0.0301 164	0.14049 0.0728 164	0.22383 0.0041 163
Q8 Question 8	1.00000 0.0 164	0.35345 0.0001 164	0.17142 0.0282 164	0.18114 0.0207 163
Q9 Question 9	0.35345 0.0001 164	1.00000 0.0 164	0.09131 0.2449 164	0.01850 0.8147 163
Q10 Question 10	0.17142 0.0282 164	0.09131 0.2449 164	1.00000 0.0 164	0.12238 0.1197 163
Q11 Question 11	0.18114 0.0207 163	0.01850 0.8147 163	0.12238 0.1197 163	1.00000 0.0 163



Table 3: Factors from Combined Data Questions 1-6

Rotation Method: Varimax.

Factor 1: Ethical Critical Evaluation

Factor 2: Academic Pressure

Facote 3: Human Nature

Orthog	gonal Trans:	formation	Matrix
	1	2	3
1	0.76293	0.56751	-0.30962
2	0.58776	-0.40949	0.69775
3	-0 26919	0.71432	0.64597

#### Rotated Factor Pattern

	FACTOR1	FACTOR2	FACTOR3	
Q1	-0.06043	0.87633	0.04884	Question 1
02	0.09816	0.04365	0.94081	Question 2
Õ3	0.40804	0.24822	-0.40287	Question 3
Q4	0.44337	0.54204	-0.26436	Question 4
Q5	0.77534	-0.24683	0.01610	Question 5
Õ6	0.69705	0.27683	0.05669	Question 6

Variance explained by each factor

FACTOR1 FACTOR2 FACTOR3 1.463387 1.262838 1.123180

Final Communality Estimates: Total = 3.849405.

Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q5 Q6 0.773993 0.896669 0.390413 0.560272 0.662334 0.565724

Question 1: Researchers faked their data due to pressure to produce.

Question 2: Cheating is natural; it is to be expected.

Question 3: There is a need to develop better methods to prevent fraud and assure accuracy in research.

Question 4: I was totally surprised by the cheating behavior shown on the video.

Question 5: Public schools should teach ethics as a required course.

Question 6: There is a need to be more critical of scientific procedures before accepting results.

agree disagree 1 2 113 4



Table 4: Factors from Median Split (Sum Questions 7-11 <= 7)

Rotation Method: Varimax

Factor 1: Safeguards

Factor 2: Surprise/Not Natural

Orthogonal Transformation Matrix

1

2

1 0.88754 0.46073 2 0.46073 -0.88754

Rotated Factor Pattern

	FACTOR1	FACTOR2	
Q1	0.39650	0.51389	Question 1
Q2	0.14548	-0.66398	Question 2
Q3	0.09838	0.59605	Question 3
Q4	0.70316	0.43348	Question 4
Q5	0.76374	-0.24513	Question 5
06	0.75308	0.10783	Ouestion 6

Variance explained by each factor

FACTOR1 FACTOR2 1.832924 1.319844

Final Communality Estimates: Total = 3.152768

Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q5 Q6 0.421301 0.462029 0.364957 0.682339 0.643380 0.578761

Question 7: Have you ever cheated on a test?

Question 8: Have you ever plagiarized?

Question 9: Have you ever faked data?

Question 10: Have you ever lied to save money?

Question 11: Have you ever stolen anything from a store?

never often 1 2 3 4



Table 5: Factors from Median Split (Sum Questions 7-11 > 7)

Rotation Method: Varimax,

Factor 1: More Stringent Controls

Factor 2: Ethics

Factor 3: Human Nature

Factor 4: Academic Pressure

### Orthogonal Transformation Matrix

	1	2	3	4
1	0.77566	0.58473		0.14746
2	0.25073	-0.57395	-0.15241	0.76452
3	0.43338	-0.26264	0.84499	-0.17085
4	-0.38427	0.50960	0.47756	0.60380

#### Rotated Factor Pattern

Variance explained by each factor

FACTOR1 FACTOR2 FACTOR3 FACTOR4
1.383260 1.252103 1.095749 1.090812

### Final Communality Estimates: Total = 4.821924

Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q5 Q6 0.924547 0.910298 0.737114 0.685390 0.825150 0.739425

Question 7: Have you ever cheated on a test?

Question 8: Have you ever plagiarized?

Question 9: Have you ever faked data?

Question 10: Have you ever lied to save money?

Question 11: Have you ever stolen anything from a store?

never often

ERIC

Full Text Provided by ERIC

Table 6: Question 1 = age male year Q7 -11: all data

Dependent Variable:

Question 1: Researchers faked their data due to pressure to produce.

agree

1 2 3 4

### Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum Squar		Mean Square	F Value	Prob>F
Model Error C Total	8 141 149	3.582 51.893 55.473	L23	0.44776	1.217	0.2935
Root MSE Dep Mean C.V.	1	. 60665 . 48667 . 80598		quare R-sq	0.0646 0.0115	

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	T for H0: Parameter=0	Prob >  T
INTERCEP AGE MALE YEAR Q7 Q8 Q9 Q10 Q11	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1.626377 -0.005123 0.122597 0.003086 -0.015854 -0.016651 -0.014667 -0.135029 0.211850	0.33446985 0.00769262 0.12319663 0.01201312 0.10441595 0.10458038 0.09960904 0.06667201 0.10124138	4.863 -0.666 0.995 0.257 -0.152 -0.159 -0.147 -2.025 2.093	0.0001 0.5065 0.3214 0.7976 0.8795 0.8737 0.8832 0.0447 0.0382

Variable	DF	Variable Label	
INTERCEP AGE	1 1	Intercept	:
MALE	1		
YEAR	1		
Q7	1	Question	7
Q8	1	Question	8
Q9	1	Question	9
Q10	1	Question	1.0



Table 7: Question 2 = age male year Q7 -11: all data

Dependent Variable:

Question 2: Cheating is natural; it is to be expected.

agree

1
2
3
4

### Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum Squar			Prob>F
Model Error C Total	8 142 150	14.855 86.574 101.430	67 0.6096		0.0034
Root MSE Dep Mean C.V.	3	.78082 .19205 .46139	R-square Adj R-sq	0.1465 0.0984	

#### Parameter Estimates

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	T for H0: Parameter=0	Prob >  T
INTERCEP	1	3.974780	0.43034013	9.236	0.0001
AGE	1	0.000933	0.00983695	0.095	0.9246
MALE	1	-0.015956	0.15851596	-0.101	0.9200
YEAR	1	-0.006164	0.01539702	-0.400	0.6895
Q7	1	-0.323027	0.13432499	-2.405	0.0175
Õ8	1	-0.243223	0.13391953	-1.816	0.0715
Õ9	1	-0.163738	0.12795432	-1.280	0.2028
Q10	1	-0.007656	0.08551589	-0.090	0.9288
Q11	1	0.274345	0.13001079	2.110	0.0366

Variable Variable DF Label INTERCEP Intercept 1 AGE 1 MALE 1 YEAR 1 Question 7 Q7 1 1 Question 8 Q8 Question 9 Q9 1 Question 10 Q10



Table 8: Question 3 = age male year Q7 -11: all data

Dependent Variable:

Question 3: There is a need to develop better methods to prevent fraud and assure accuracy in research.

agree disagree 1 2 3 4

### Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum Squar		Mean Square	F Value	Prob>F
Model Error C Total	8 142 150	15.818 111.333 127.152	86	1.97731 0.78404	2.522	0.0135
Root MSE Dep Mean C.V.	2	.88546 .56291 .54900	R-sq Adj		0.1244 0.0751	

#### Parameter Estimates

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	T for H0: Parameter=0	Prob >  T
INTERCEP AGE MALE YEAR Q7 Q8 Q9 Q10 Q11	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2.706761 -0.007971 0.363184 -0.028646 0.134445 0.027158 -0.011622 0.121630 -0.246534	0.48801150 0.01115523 0.17975924 0.01746043 0.15232635 0.15186656 0.14510192 0.09697617 0.14743399	5.547 -0.715 2.020 -1.641 0.883 0.179 -0.080 1.254 -1.672	0.0001 0.4761 0.0452 0.1031 0.3789 0.8583 0.9363 0.2118 0.0967
Variable	DF	Variable Label			
INTERCEP AGE MALE YEAR Q7	1 1 1 1	Intercept  Question 7	,		
~ ~	_				



Q8

Q9

1 Question 8

1 Question 9 1 Question 10

Table 9: Question 4 = age male year Q7 -11: all data

Dependent Variable:

Question 4: I was totally surprised by the cheating behavior shown on the video.

agree disagree 1 2 3 4

#### Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum Squar		Mean Square	F Value	Prob>F
Model Error C Total	8 142 150	4.894 34.522 39.413	266	0.61182 0.24312	2.517	0.0137
Root MSE Dep Mean C.V.	1	.49307 .23841 .81469		quare R-sq	0.1242 0.0748	

#### Parameter Estimates

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	T for H0: Parameter=0	Prob >  T
INTERCEP	1	1.497672	0.27174938	5.511	0.0001
AGE	1	-0.006537	0.00621179	-1.052	0.2944
MALE	1	0.254041	0.10009900	2.538	0.0122
YEAR	1	-0.004108	0.00972285	-0.423	0.6733
07	1	-0.171201	0.08482298	-2.018	0.0454
Q8	1	0.014543	0.08456695	0.172	0.8637
09	ī	0.067795	0.08080006	0.839	0.4029
010	1	0.087569	0.05400121	1.622	0.1071
011	ī	-0.051613	0.08209867	-0.629	0.5306

Variable Variable DF Label Intercept INTERCEP 1 AGE 1 MALE 1 YEAR 1 1 Question 7 Q7 1 Question 8 Q8 1 Question 9 Q9 1 Question 10 Q10



Table 10: Question 5 = age male year Q7 -11: all data

Dependent Variable:

Question 5: Public schools should teach ethics as a required course.

agree

1 2 3 4

### Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum Squa		Mean Square	F Value	Prob>F
Model Error C Total	8 142 150	8.954 93.734 102.688	139	1.11929 0.66010	1.696	0.1043
Root MSE Dep Mean C.V.	1	.81247 64238 .46871		quare R-sq	0.0872 0.0358	

#### Parameter Estimates

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	T for H0: Parameter=0	Prob >  T
INTERCEP AGE MALE YEAR Q7 Q8 Q9 Q10 Q11	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1.352793 0.015677 0.298193 -0.022381 -0.063938 0.036800 0.232252 -0.105073 -0.137145	0.44778125 0.01023563 0.16494041 0.01602104 0.13976901 0.13934712 0.13314014 0.08898173 0.13527996	3.021 1.532 1.808 -1.397 -0.457 0.264 1.744 -1.181 -1.014	0.0030 0.1278 0.0727 0.1646 0.6480 0.7921 0.0833 0.2396 0.3124
		Variable			

Variable DF Label INTERCEP Intercept 1 AGE 1 1 MALE YEAR 1 1 Question 7 Q7 1 Question 8 Q8 1 Question 9 Q9 1 Question 10 Q10



Table 11: Question 6 = age male year Q7 -11: all data

Dependent Variable:

Question 6: There is a need to be more critical of scientific procedures before accepting results.

	~~~~			
agree				disagree
1		2	3	4

### Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum Squa		Mean Square	F Value	Prob>F
Model Error C Total	8 141 149	4.07 30.16 34.24	301	0.50962 0.21392	2.382	0.0194
Root MSE Dep Mean C.V.	1.	.46252 .28000 .13415		quare R-sq	0.1191 0.0691	

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	T for H0: Parameter=0	Prob >  T
INTERCEP	1	1.240796	0.25530784	4.860	0.0001
AGE	1	0.011882	0.00583237	2.037	0.0435
MALE	1	0.291685	0.09398961	3.103	0.0023
YEAR	1	-0.027185	0.00915882	-2.968	0.0035
Q7	1	-0.076641	0.07957422	-0.963	0.3371
Q8	1	-0.008443	0.07989746	-0.106	0.9160
Q9	1	-0.125451	0.07591431	-1.653	0.1007
Q10	1	0.037493	0.05094052	0.736	0.4629
Q11	1	-0.006235	0.07716353	-0.081	0.9357

Variable	DF	Variable Label	
INTERCEP AGE	1	Intercept	Ξ.
MALE YEAR	1 1		
07	1	Question	7
Q8	1	Question	8
Q9	1	Question	9
010	1	Ouestion	10



Table 12: Factor 1 = age male year Q7-11 : all data

Dependent Variable: FACTOR1 - Ethical Critical Evaluation

### Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum Squar		Mean quare	F Value	Prob>F
Model Error C Total	8 141 149	17.533 132.659 150.193	84 0.9	19169 94085	2.329	0.0222
Root MSE Dep Mean C.V.	2	.96997 .92667 .14263	R-square Adj R-sq		0.1167 0.0666	

#### Parameter Estimates

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	T for H0: Parameter=0	Prob >  T
Variable	<i>D</i> 1	Docimace	BILOI	raramocor o	2202 121
INTERCEP	1	2.612199	0.53542243	4.879	0.0001
AGE	1	0.027229	0.01223144	2.226	0.0276
MALE	1	0.584410	0.19711163	2.965	0.0036
YEAR	1	-0.048470	0.01920754	-2.523	0.0127
Q7	1	-0.139183	0.16688020	-0.834	0.4057
Q8	1	0.040821	0.16755809	0.244	0.8079
Q9	1	0.101201	0.15920477	0.636	0.5260
Q10	1	-0.074622	0.10683063	-0.699	0.4860
Q11	1	-0.149710	0.16182458	-0.925	0.3565

Variable Variable DF Label INTERCEP 1 Intercept AGE 1 MALE 1 YEAR 1 Q7 1 Question 7 1 Question 8 Q8 1 Question 9 Q9 1 Question 10



Q10

Table 13: Factor 2 = age male year Q7-11: all data

Dependent Variable: FACTOR2 - Academic Pressure

### Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum o Square		F Value	Prob>F
Model Error C Total	8 141 149	3.5821 51.8912 55.4733	0.36802	1.217	0.2935
Root MSE Dep Mean C.V.	1.	60665 48667 80598	R-square Adj R-sq	0.0646 0.0115	

#### Parameter Estimates

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	T for H0: Parameter=0	Prob >  T
INTERCEP	1	1.626377	0.33446985	4.863	0.0001
AGE	1	-0.005123	0.00769262	-0.666	0.5065
MALE	1	0.122597	0.12319663	0.995	0.3214
YEAR	1	0.003086	0.01201312	0.257	0.7976
Q7	1	-0.015854	0.10441595	-0.152	0.8795
Q̃8	1	-0.016651	0.10458038	-0.159	0.8737
Q̃9	1	-0.014667	0.09960904	-0.147	0.8832
Õ10	1	-0.135029	0.06667201	-2.025	0.0447
Q11	1	0.211850	0.10124138	2.093	0.0382

Variable Variable DF Label INTERCEP 1 Intercept AGE 1 MALE 1 YEAR 1 Question 7 Q7 1 Question 8 Q8 1 Question 9 1 Question 10 Q9 Q10



Table 14: Factor 3 = age male year Q7-11: all data

Dependent Variable: FACTOR3 - Human Nature

### Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum ( Squar		ean ire F Value	e Prob>F
Model Error C Total	8 142 150	14.855 86.574 101.430	67 0.609		0.0034
Root MSE Dep Mean C.V.	3	.78082 .19205 .46139	R-square Adj R-sq	0.1465 0.0984	

#### Parameter Estimates

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	T for H0: Parameter=0	Prob >  T
INTERCEP	1	3.974780	0.43034013	9.236	0.0001
AGE	1	0.000933	0.00983695	0.095	0.9246
MALE	1	-0.015956	0.15851596	-0.101	0.9200
YEAR	1	-0.006164	0.01539702	-0.400	0.6895
Q7	1	-0.323027	0.13432499	-2.405	0.0175
Q8	1	-0.243223	0.13391953	-1.816	0.0715
Q9	1	-0.163738	0.12795432	-1.280	0.2028
Q10	1	-0.007656	0.08551589	-0.090	0.9288
Q11	1	0.274345	0.13001079	2.110	. 0.0366

Variable Variable DF Label INTERCEP Intercept 1 AGE 1 MALE 1 YEAR · 1 Q7 1 Question 7 Q8 1 Question 8 Question 9 Q9 1

Question 10



Q10

Table 15 Factor 1 = age male year Q7-11: Qsum7-11 <= 7

Model: MODEL1

Dependent Variable: FACTOR1 - Ethical/Critical Evaluation

### Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum Squar		Mean Square	F Value	Prob>F
Model Error C Total	8 66 74	13.010 57.869 70.880	928	1.62634 0.87681	1.855	0.0824
Root MSE Dep Mean C.V.	2.	93638 96000 63446	R-sqı Adj 1		0.1836 0.0846	

#### Parameter Estimates

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	T for H0: Parameter=0	Prob >  T
INTERCEP	1	2.884331	1.13167736	2.549 1.825	0.0131 0.0725
AGE MALE	1	0.025153 0.576006	0.01378056 0.34008079	1.694	0.0950
YEAR Q7	1	-0.046838 -0.264509	0.02301338 0.23581705	-2.035 -1.122	0.0458 0.2661
Q8	1	0.146254	0.36642540	0.399	0.6911
Q9 Q10	1 1	-0.532183 -0.043840	0.42985597 0.20841126	-1.238 -0.210	0.2201 0.8340
Q11	1	0.307832	0.35149169	0.876	0.3843

#### Variable Variable DF Label Intercept INTERCEP AGE 1 MALE 1 YEAR 1 1 Question 7 Q7 Question 8 Q8 1 Question 9 Q9 Question 10 Q10



Table 16: Factor 2 = age male year Q7-11: Qsum7-11 <= 7

Dependent Variable: FACTOR2 - Academic Pressure

### Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum Squar		ean are F\	/alue	Prob>F
Model Error C Total	8 66 74	1.921 26.078 28.000	73 0.39		0.608	0.7681
Root MSE Dep Mean C.V.	1.	62860 40000 89968	R-square Adj R-sq	0.0686 -0.0443		

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	T for H0: Parameter=0	Prob >  T
INTERCEP	1	1.955869	0.76318971	2.563	0.0127
AGE	1	-0.005252	0.00928531	-0.566	0.5736
MALE	1	0.107560	0.22783300	0.472	0.6384
YEAR	1	0.002137	0.01543224	0.138	0.8903
Q7	1	-0.084102	0.15859054	-0.530	0.5977
Q8	1	-0.076726	0.24554292	-0.312	0.7557
Q9	1	0.003256	0.28854431	0.011	0.9910
Q10	1	-0.220883	0.13958346	-1.582	0.1183
Q11	1	0.114459	0.23613528	0.485	0.6295

Variable	DF	Variable Label	
INTERCEP	1	Intercept	=
AGE	1		
MALE	1		
YEAR	1		
Q7	1	Question	7
Q8	1	Question	8
Q9	1	Question	9
Q10	1	Question	10



Table 17: Factor 3 = age male year Q7-11: Qsum7-11 <= 7</pre>

Dependent Variable: FACTOR3 - Human Nature

### Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum Squar		Mean Square	F Value	Prob>F
Model Error C Total	8 67 75	8.783 38.414 47.197	121	1.09789 0.57335	1.915	0.0720
Root MSE Dep Mean C.V.	3.	75720 27632 11122		quare R-sq	0.1861 0.0889	

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	T for H0: Parameter=0	Prob >  T
INTERCEP	1	3.781757	0.91334517	4.141	0.0001
AGE	1	0.017166	0.01111580	1.544	0.1272
MALE	1	0.171058	0.27334944	0.626	0.5336
YEAR	1	-0.017771	0.01847876	-0.962	0.3397
Q7	1	-0.256128	0.18983174	-1.349	0.1818
Q8	1	-0.834162	0.28347698	-2.943	0.0045
Q9	1	0.088977	0.34736498	0.256	0.7986
Q10	1	0.042835	0.16802118	0.255	0.7996
Q11	1	0.173281	0.28416283	0.610	0.5441

Variable	DF	Variable Label	
INTERCEP AGE MALE YEAR	1 1 1	Intercept	=
Q7	1	Question	7
Q8	1	Question	8
Q9	1	Question	9
Q10	1	Question	10



Table 18: Factor 1 = age male year Q7-11: Qsum7-11 > 7

Dependent Variable: FACTOR1 - Ethical Critical Evaluation

### Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum Squa		Mean Square	F Value	Prob>F
Model Error C Total	8 66 74	12.01 67.12 79.14	900	1.50221 1.01711	1.477	0.1826
Root MSE Dep Mean C.V.	2.	.00852 .89333 .85657		quare R-sq	0.1518 0.0490	

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	T for H0: Parameter=0	Prob >  T
INTERCEP	1	2.788027	0.99943203	2.790	0.0069
AGE	1	0.012931	0.02810673	0.460	0.6470
MALE	1	0.465601	0.26760759	1.740	0.0865
YEAR	1	-0.037335	0.03862929	-0.966	0.3373
Q <b>7</b>	1	0.142569	0.26704888	0.534	0.5952
Q8	1	-0.116485	0.23624071	-0.493	0.6236
Q9	1	0.252736	0.19599331	1.290	0.2017
Q10	1	-0.088125	0.16056873	-0.549	0.5850
Q11	1	-0.332319	0.22638541	-1.468	0.1469

Variable	DF	Variable Label	
INTERCEP	1	Intercept	_
AGE	1		
MALE	1		
YEAR	1		
Q7	1	Question	7
Q8	1	Question	8
Q9	1	Question	9
Q10	1	Ouestion	10



Table 19: Factor 2 = age male year Q7-11: Qsum7-11 > 7

Dependent Variable: FACTOR2 - Academic Pressure

# Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum Squar		Mean Square	F Val	.ue Prob>F
Model Error C Total	8 66 74	3.165 23.180 26.346	83	0.39573 0.35122	1.1	.27 0.3573
Root MSE Dep Mean C.V.	1.	.59264 .57333 .66794		quare R-sq	0.1202 0.0135	

Parameter Standard T for H0: Variable DF Estimate Error Parameter=0 Parameter=	Prob >  T
INTERCEP 1 2.226709 0.58730366 3.791  AGE 1 0.005178 0.01651656 0.313  MALE 1 0.168944 0.15725624 1.074  YEAR 1 -0.000948 0.02270002 -0.042  Q7 1 -0.015668 0.15692792 -0.100  Q8 1 -0.150354 0.13882388 -1.083  Q9 1 -0.097996 0.11517300 -0.851  Q10 1 -0.225898 0.09435619 -2.394  Q11 1 0.059880 0.13303254 0.450	0.0003 0.7549 0.2866 0.9668 0.9208 0.2827 0.3979 0.0195 0.6541

Variable	DF	Variable Label
INTERCEP AGE MALE YEAR	1 1 1	Intercept
Q7	1	Question 7
Q8	1	Question 8
Q9	1	Question 9
Q10	1	Question 10



Table 20: Factor 3 = age male year Q7-11: Qsum7-11 > 7

Model: MODEL1

Dependent Variable: FACTOR3 - Human Nature

### Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum Squa		Mean Square	F Value	Prob>F
Model Error C Total	8 66 74	12.745 40.401 53.146	L18	1.59319 0.61214	2.603	0.0155
Root MSE Dep Mean C.V.	3.	.78239 .10667 .18433		quare R-sq	0.2398 0.1477	

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	T for H0: Parameter=0	Prob >  T
INTERCEP AGE MALE YEAR Q7 Q8 Q9 Q10 Q11	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	5.349071 -0.039928 -0.135865 0.042043 -0.510516 0.014779 -0.312544 -0.061612 0.219025	0.77534538 0.02180480 0.20760623 0.02996807 0.20717279 0.18327224 0.15204887 0.12456697 0.17562663	6.899 -1.831 -0.654 1.403 -2.464 0.081 -2.056 -0.495 1.247	0.0001 0.0716 0.5151 0.1653 0.0163 0.9360 0.0438 0.6225 0.2168

Variable	DF	Variable Label	
INTERCEP	1	Intercept	t
AGE	1	_	
MALE	1		
YEAR	1		
Q7	1	Question	7
Q8	1	Question	8
Q9	1	Question	9
Q10	1	Ouestion	10





### U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



# REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

uthor(s): Is adore Newman	y Donna Waechter	
orporate Source:		Publication Date:
REPRODUCTION RELEASE	:	
onthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Re	le timely and significant materials of interest to the ed esources in Education (RIE), are usually made availa RIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit wing notices is affixed to the document.	able to users in microfiche, reproduced paper
If permission is granted to reproduce and disset the page.  The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	eminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE  The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	of the following three options and sign at the b  The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTEI
sample	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES	Sample
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)  2A	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
Level 1	Level 2A	Level 2B
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting roduction and dissemination in microfiche or other RIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche o
Docum If permission to re	ents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality aproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be pro	permits. cessed at Level 1,
as indicated ebove. Reproduction fro	ources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permisom the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by pers the copyright holder. Exception is mede for non-profit re tors in response to discrete inquiries.	sons other than ERIC employees end its sys
gn Signature:	Printed Name/P	Position Title:  ENEWMAN DISTINGUARD PA
ease Organization/Address: The William	g Aleron Talegrone 7	2-6955 FAX:330-836-0/65
ease   / a//	130-97	J-692)   330-836 <i>-01</i>