#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 446 321 CG 030 449

AUTHOR Nacev, Vladimir; Brubach, April

TITLE Teasing among School-Age Children.

PUB DATE 2000-08-00

NOTE 6p.; Paper presented at the Annual Conference of the

American Psychological Association (108th, Washington, DC,

August 4-8, 2000).

PUB TYPE Information Analyses (070) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Adolescents; Children; Educational Innovation; Elementary

Secondary Education; \*Fatherless Family; Parent Child Relationship; Peer Acceptance; \*Peer Relationship; Program Development; \*Victims of Crime; Violence; Youth Problems

IDENTIFIERS \*Teasing

#### ABSTRACT

Teasing is an attempt to look better at the expense of someone else. This abuse may be as mild as verbal bantering or as severe as group violence against others. The chronically oppressed student may conclude that school is a frightening and unfriendly place. Teasing has recently become the focus of psychological research and this paper provides a theoretical framework to help understand the developmental process of those who bully. Research on family dynamics reports that the absence of a father is a factor for the children involved in bullying. Data also suggests that aggressive youth have experienced more punitive, hostile, and abusive family treatment than their peers. The paper highlights research that suggests that a school-based intervention program produced promising results in reducing bullying and victim problems. (Contains 23 references.) (JDM)



- originating it.

  Minor changes have been made to
- improve reproduction quality.

## **Teasing Among School-age Children**

Vladimir Nacev, Ph.D., ABPP, Strategies for Change April Brubach, University of Maryland University College

"PERN	MISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS RIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY
	NACEV

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

ED 446 32

Teasing is a form of interpersonal expression. It may be expressed in verbal, non-verbal, and psychological behaviors and it is often traumatic to the victim. Teasing is a common means of showing emotion, control, power, and manipulation among all age groups and genders. It is an attempt to look better at the expense of someone else. This abuse may be as mild as teasing or as severe as group violence against individual students (Floyd, 1985; Greenbaum, 1987; Olweus, 1979). No matter the source or form of such abuse, the chronically oppressed student may conclude that the school is a frightening and unfriendly place to be. This presentation will focus on the theoretical understanding of the topic, discuss the characteristics of the family dynamics of bullies and victims, and address solutions for both parents and teachers.

Teasing has recently become the focus of psychological research (Olweus, 1991, 1993; Pepler & Craig, 1995; Stephenson & Smith, 1989; Tattum, 1989; Ziegler & Pepler, 1993). Studies indicate that a large number of children are involved in bullying at school (Besag, 1989; Olweus, 1991, 1993; Perry, Kusel, & Perry, 1988; Tattum, 1989; Ziegler &

Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

Pepler). Between 7% and 34% of school-aged children are involved in bullying occasionally during the school year (Besag, 1989; Olweus, 1987; Perry et al.; Roland, 1989b; Stephenson & Smith; Yates & Smith, 1989; Ziegler & Pepler). The results of survey data indicate that bullying is pervasive in the school milieu (Olweus, 1991; Perry et al.; Stephenson & Smith; Ziegler & Pepler).

In his study on family cohesion and power, Berdondini, et al (1996), concluded that there was increased father absence in children involved in bullying. Similarly, he found that these children also showed lower cohesion with and between parents. Schwartz, et al (1997) examined the early family experiences of boys who later emerged as both aggressive and bullied during their middle school years. Their data suggested that the aggressive victim group had experienced more punitive, hostile, and abusive family treatment than the other groups. Craig (1998) studied the relationship among bullying, victimization, depression, anxiety, and aggression in elementary school children. He noted that male bullies reported more physical aggression than did comparison groups. He also noted that male bullies in the younger grades reported more physical and verbal aggression while male bullies in the older grades reported more verbal aggression. For females, however, group differences in aggression did not emerge until the older grades. A study by Kumpulainen, et al. (1998) examined bullying and psychological symptoms among elementary



2

school-aged children. His results showed that more boys than girls were found to be involved in bullying. His data further showed that bullyingvictims scored highest in externalizing behavior and hyperactivity while also reporting feelings of ineffectiveness and interpersonal problems. Among the victims group, they scored highest in the internalizing behavior and psychosomatic symptoms. The also concluded that children involved in bullying were psychologically disturbed, as evident by more bullying children being referred for psychiatric consultation. Smith and Myron-Wilson (1998) pointed out that bullying behavior has its roots in parenting and school environment. They cite a number of studies that have shown a relationship between violent behavior and harsh discipline in parents with bullying behavior in children, and over protectiveness in parents with victimization. Hoover and Hazler (1991) pointed out that bullies often lacked leadership qualities and that victims were often unpopular and lacked self-esteem. Oliver, Oaks, and Hoover (1994) identified some of the characteristics of the families of bullying children. They described those family environments as being emotionally cool, socially isolated, lacking structure or rules, having active parental conflicts, aggression was positively reinforced, non-aggression was punished, and the parents were rigid. Lowenstein (1977) reported similar findings.

In observing American schools, Okabayaashi (1996), found that a school intervention was most effective and it needed to address the



### Teasing

remedial, prevention, and developmental issues. Olweus (1997) suggested that a school-based intervention program produced promising results in reducing bully/victim problems.

Children teasing each other are a complex issue to understand, prevent, or treat. However, it has seriously infected our adolescent culture, schools, and families. The purpose of this presentation is several fold: (1) gain greater understanding about the nature of bullying; (2) explore the impact it has on the developmental process of those who are bullies and those who are victims; and (3) learn about some remedies for curbing its destruction. The ultimate beneficiaries will be both the bullies and their victims.



5

4

#### References

Berdondini et al (1996). Cohesion and power in the families of children involved in bully/victim problems at school: An Italian replication. Journal of Family Therapy 18(1), 99-102.

Besag, V. E. (1989). Bullying and victimization in schools. Milton Keynes, PA: Open University Press.

Craig, W. (1998) The relationship among bullying, victimization, depression, anxiety, and aggression in elementary school children. Personality and Individual Differences, 24(1), 123-130.

Floyd, N. M. (1985). "Pick on someone your own size!": Controlling victimization. Pointer, 29(2), 9-17.

Greenbaum, S. (1987). What can we do about schoolyard bullying? Principal, 67(2), 21-24.

Hoover, J. H., & Hazler, R. J. (1991). Bullies and victims. Elementary School Guidance and Counseling, 25, 212-219.

Kumpulainen et al (1998). Bullying and psychiatric symptoms among elementary school-age children. Child Abuse and Neglect, 22(7), 705-717.

Lowenstein, L. (1977). Perception and accuracy of perception by bullying children of potential victims. Education Today, 45(2), 28-31.

Okabaayashi, H. (1996). Intervention for school bullying: Observing American schools. Psychologia: An International Journal of Psychology in the Orient, 39(3), 163-178.

Oliver et al (1994). Family issues and interventions in bully and victim relationships. School Counselor, 41(3), 199-202.

Olweus, D. (1979). Stability of aggressive reaction patterns in males: A review. Psychological Bulletin, 86(4), 852-875.

Olweus, D. (1987). Schoolyard bullying-grounds for intervention. School Safety, 6, 4-11.

Olweus, D. (1991). Bully/victim problems among school children: Some basic facts and effects of a school based intervention program. In D. Pepler & K. Rubin (Eds.), The development and treatment of childhood aggression (pp. 411-448). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

Olweus, D. (1993). Bullies on the playground: The role of victimization. In C. Hart (Ed.), Children on the playground: Research perspectives and applications (pp. 85-128). New York: Suny Press.

Pepler, D. J., & Craig, W. M. (1995). A peek behind the fence: Naturalistic observations of aggressive children with remote audiovisual recording. Developmental Psychology, 31, 548-553.

Perry, D., Kusel, S., & Perry, L. (1988). Victims of peer aggression. Developmental Psychology, 24, 807-814.

Roland, E. (1989). Bullying: The Scandinavian research tradition. In D. Tattum & D. Lane (Eds.), Bullying in schools (pp. 21-32). Stoke-on-Trent: Trentham Books.

Schwartz et al (1997). The early socialization of aggressive victims of bullying. Child Development, 68(4), 665-675.

Smith, P. and Myron-Wilson, R. (1998). Parenting and school bullying. Clinical Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 3(3), 405-417.

Stephenson, P., & Smith, D. (1989). Bullying in the junior school. In D. Tattum & D. Lane (Eds.), Bullying in schools (pp. 45-58). Stoke-on-Trent: Trentham Books.

Tattum, D. (1989). Violence and aggression in schools. In D. Tattum & D. Lane (Eds.), Bullying in schools (pp. 7-20). Stoke-on-Trent: Trentham Books.

Yates, C., & Smith, P. (1989). Bullying in two English comprehensive schools. In E. Roland & E. Munthe (Eds.), Bullying: An international perspective (pp. 22-34). London: David Fulton.

Ziegler, S., & Pepler, D. J. (1993). Bullying at school: Pervasive and persistent. Orbit, 24, 29-31.



6



### U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



# REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

Author(s): VLADIMIR NACEV, Ph. T	S., ABPP & ATRIL BRUBACH.		
Corporate Source:		Put	olication Date:
monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Re and electronic media, and sold through the ER reproduction release is granted, one of the follow	e timely and significant materials of interest to the eduction (RIE), are usually made available Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit ving notices is affixed to the document.	ele to users in mais given to the	nicrofiche, reproduced paper of source of each document, ar
of the page.  The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents		ample sticker shown below will be exed to all Level 28 documents
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY. HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERM DISS	SISSION TO REPRODUCE AND SEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN HE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED
sample	sample	_	sample
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	INF	E EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES ORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
Level 1	2A	2B	Level 2B
1	Level 2A		1
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only		ere for Level 2B release, permitting in and dissemination in microfiche on
	ents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality p produce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be proc		

APA 2000

to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

STRATEGY FOR CHANGE
506 BARNSIDE PL. ROCKVILLE

Sign here,→ viease

(over)

# III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:			
Address:	 		
Price:	 		
•		DUCTION RIGHTS the addressee, please provide	
Name:	 		
Address:			

### V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

University of North Carolina at Greensboro

**ERIC/CASS** 

201 Ferguson Building

PO Box 26171

Greensboro, NC 27402-6171

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility
4483-A Forbes Boulevard
Lanham, Maryland 20706

Telephone: 301-552-4200
Toll Free: 800-799-3742
FAX: 301-552-4700
e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov

WWW: http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com

