

## DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 445 005

TM 031 542

AUTHOR Haenn, Joseph F.  
TITLE Primary Grade Student Assessment Based on Tasks of the Observation Survey.  
PUB DATE 2000-04-00  
NOTE 17p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association (New Orleans, LA, April 24-28, 2000).  
PUB TYPE Numerical/Quantitative Data (110) -- Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)  
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.  
DESCRIPTORS \*Elementary School Students; \*Observation; \*Portfolio Assessment; Portfolios (Background Materials); Primary Education; Reading Achievement; \*Student Evaluation  
IDENTIFIERS \*Durham City School District NC

## ABSTRACT

This report summarizes the data obtained through the administration of the Observation Survey (M. Clay) as part of the K-2 Student Assessment Portfolio of the Durham Public Schools, North Carolina, during the second year of data collection. The database contained a total of 7,752 students in kindergarten through grade 2. The Observation Survey consists of tasks in letter identification, words, concepts about print, writing vocabulary, dictation, and text reading level. Findings indicate that kindergarten students, in general, are mastering their letters and concepts about print by the end of kindergarten, although as many as one quarter may not have mastered their letters. In first grade, about four in five students were able to meet the criterion of satisfactory progress in writing vocabulary, and there were increases in proficiency, in comparison with the previous year, for dictation and text reading level. Gains for second graders were even greater on the text reading level task. Overall, results indicate that significant teaching and learning is going on in the primary grades of the Durham Public Schools. (Contains 10 tables.) (SLD)

# Primary Grade Student Assessment Based on Tasks of the Observation Survey

Joseph F. Haenn

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement  
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION  
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

J.F. Haenn

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

TM031542

This paper is prepared for the:  
Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association in New Orleans  
April 2000

# Primary Grade Student Assessment Based on Tasks of the Observation Survey<sup>1</sup>

Joseph F. Haenn  
Durham (NC) Public Schools

## Introduction

As part of the effort by the Durham Public Schools' *K-3 Initiative*, a way was needed to obtain and maintain an ongoing running record of student performance during kindergarten and the primary grades. Due to state legislation prohibiting statewide standardized testing of students prior to grade 3, the North Carolina End of Grade Testing Program does not begin until grade 3. Therefore, Durham Public Schools had to design and implement its own assessment procedures in grades K-2. The result was the **DPS K-2 Student Assessment Portfolio**.

A major portion of the **DPS K-2 Student Assessment Portfolio** is the administration of portions of Marie Clay's *Observation Survey* at specified times during the primary school experience. The initial administration of the **DPS K-2 Student Assessment Portfolio** was completed during the 1997-98 school year. This report summarizes the data obtained through the administration of the *Observation Survey* during the second year of data collection.

## Background of DPS Administration of the *Observation Survey*

An implementation time line was developed which indicated when components of the **K-2 Student Assessment** were to be administered for each quarter of each grade level, K-2. In some instances, all students were to be tested using a given instrument or rating scale. In other instances, only low performing students (designated as Low Progress students) were to be tested, with low performance defined as a prior performance score. Copies of the January 1999 revision of these time lines are provided in the appendix.

A paper-and-pencil data collection form originally was developed to collect the information from each administration of a task from the *Observation Survey*. By mid-year of the first year of data collection, it was decided that these data would need to be collected and analyzed. Therefore, a system of data collection on diskettes using spreadsheets created in *ClarisWorks*<sup>2</sup> was developed. A data collection diskette and backup disk were distributed to each K-2 classroom teacher at the beginning of the school year to allow for electronic collection of data for each of the four school year quarters. These diskettes were collected at the middle and again at the end of the school year, aggregated onto a large spreadsheet, imported into Microsoft *Excel*, and analyzed using the *Statistical Package for the Social Sciences* (SPSS).

The remainder of this report presents the results of the specified administrations on selected components of the **DPS K-2 Students Assessment Portfolio** related to the *Observation Survey*.

---

<sup>1</sup> Paper presented at the Annual Meetings of the American Educational Research Association, April 2000, New Orleans, LA.

<sup>2</sup> *ClarisWorks* is the only systemwide spreadsheet deployed on the Macintosh computers that are located in all elementary schools and in many classrooms.

All reported data were aggregated and cleaned. Data exceeding allowable score parameters were treated as missing data.<sup>3</sup> As a result of this exercise during the prior year and an effort to provide much better data, test administration, data entry, and data collection procedures were modified for the 1998-99 school year. These included designation and training of an Observation Survey Contact Person in each school to check diskettes before submission. Although this did not eliminate all problems, it did reduce the amount of cleaning necessary before data analysis.

The resultant database consisted of 2,597 kindergarten students, 2,242 first grade students, and 2,513 second grade students, for a total of 7,752 K-2 students. Not all students took all of the tasks of the *Observation Survey*. This report will focus on change scores and only on those portions of the *Observation Survey* that were to be administered to all students at these times. Separate analyses of the Low Progress students, who were tested more frequently during the school year, were not conducted.

The remainder of this report presents an overview of the *Observation Survey* and the results of the end-of-year data analyses for the 1998-99 school year. Data are presented by task and grade level.

### Observation Survey Tasks

The *Observation Survey* consists of six different tasks: Letter Identification, Word Test, Concepts About Print, Writing Vocabulary, Dictation, and Text Reading Level. A brief discussion of each of these tasks follows, although results for all of these tasks will not be provided in this report.

#### Letter Identification

The Letter Identification task assesses which letters a child knows, and which letters a child can identify. Letters are presented as upper case, lower case, and the typescript "a" and "g". Scores range from 0 to 54.

#### Word Test

The Word Test task assesses the extent to which a child is accumulating a reading vocabulary of words frequently used during the first years of school. There are 20 words (with alternative lists for re-testing) that a child is asked to identify verbally. Scores range from 0 to 20.

#### Concepts About Print

The purpose of the Concepts About Print task is to assess what a child has learned about the way we print languages. Concepts include: that there are letters; that clusters of letters make words; that spacing between words and paragraphs has meaning; that a book goes from front to back; etc. Altogether there are 24 concepts, so the range of scores for students in grades 1 and 2 is 0 to 24. In kindergarten, only 15 of these concepts are tested, so kindergarten scores range from 0 to 15.

#### Writing Vocabulary

The fourth task--Writing Vocabulary--assesses what a child understands about print and messages in print as well as the features of print to which students are attending (e.g., left-to-right sequencing, letter formation). A child is allowed to write as many words as he can in 10 minutes. For the Portfolio assessment, a student can stop writing words after more than 60 words have been written. This is because only a few students at these grade levels can write that many words

---

<sup>3</sup> Allowable score ranges for each portion of the *Observation Survey* are: Letter Identification (0-54); Word Test (0-20); Concepts About Print (K=0-15; Grades 1&2=0-24); Writing Vocabulary (capped at 61); Dictation (0-37); Test Reading Level (A,B,1-34)

in 10 minutes, but for those that can, they often have a quite extensive written vocabulary. Thus, the range of allowable scores on this task is from 0 to 61.

#### Hearing and Recording Sounds in Words (Dictation)

This task is more commonly referred to as Dictation. It assesses a child's ability to analyze spoken words (either heard or said) and to find a way to record the letters of sounds in these words. A child is given 1 point for each sound (phoneme) identified correctly; scores range from 0 to 37.

#### Text Reading Level

This task is also known as the *Running Record of Text Reading*. It consists of an observation method for identifying and categorizing behaviors and strategies in reading books of increasing difficulty. Each book has an identified difficulty level. There are two pre-reading categories ("A" and "B") and 34 passage levels. Thus, acceptable scores are A, B, and 1 to 34.

### **Kindergarten Results**

During the fourth quarter of the 1998-99 school year, all kindergarten students were to be tested on two portions of the *Observation Survey*: Writing Vocabulary and Text Reading Level. In addition, all students were tested one or more times during the school year using the Letter Identification and Concepts About Print tasks.

#### Letter Identification

Letter Identification is a skill that needs to be mastered fairly early by students. Students need to know their alphabet and sounds before they can master words and reading. Therefore, a fairly stringent criterion was established that each student should be able to correctly identify at least 50 of the 54 items on this task by the end of Kindergarten. The results on this task by school building are presented below in Table I.<sup>4</sup>

Overall, almost three-quarters of the students met the criterion for Letter Identification by the end of the school year. However, results differ markedly across school buildings ranging from highs of over 90 percent at Y.E. Smith and Eno Valley to lows of less than 60 percent at W.G. Pearson, Eastway, Little River, and Parkwood. Only 12 of the elementary scores were below the district average.

---

<sup>4</sup> These results underestimate the actual results obtained for the school system. The score used to determine whether the criterion was met for a given student was the highest score that student achieved at any time during the school year. Thus, if a student was present for only a portion of the year (i.e., came and left) but was tested before leaving on this task, then that student was included in this analysis. Where possible, students who transferred within the school system were matched based on identifying information to produce a single set of scores for that student. However, many students could not be matched. Thus, these percentages are the percentage of all students in the school system at this grade level during the school year who were able to meet the criterion on this task.

**Table I**  
**Percent of Students At or Above Criterion on Letter Identification**  
**By the End of Kindergarten (By Building)**

<i>School</i>	<i>Number of Students</i>	<i>Percent At or Above Criterion (50)</i>
Bethesda	135	74.1%
Burton	68	69.1%
Club Boulevard	63	79.4%
Easley	112	82.1%
Eastway	126	57.1%
Eno Valley	85	90.6%
Fayetteville Street	72	86.1%
Forest View	175	81.1%
Glenn	117	73.5%
R.N. Harris	44	81.8%
Hillandale	133	78.2%
Holt	115	73.9%
Lakewood	45	80.0%
Little River	70	55.7%
Mangum	83	72.3%
Merrick-Moore	96	77.1%
Morehead	22	86.4%
Oak Grove	136	83.1%
Parkwood	138	58.7%
E.K. Powe	106	77.4%
W.G. Pearson	74	52.7%
Pearsontown	169	87.0%
Y.E. Smith	47	95.7%
Southwest	172	72.7%
C.C. Spaulding	65	73.8%
George Watts	97	61.9%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>2,565</b>	<b>74.9%</b>

Concepts About Print

Concepts About Print is another important pre-reading skill. A maximum score for kindergarten is 15, so a criterion level was set for a score of 12. The results on this task by school building are presented below in Table II.<sup>3</sup>

Overall, almost 85 percent of the students met the criterion for Concepts About Print by the end of the school year. Again, results differed markedly across school buildings ranging from highs of over 95 percent at Y.E. Smith and Pearsontown to lows of less than 75 percent at Parkwood, C.C. Spaulding, and Eastway. Only 11 of the elementary scores were below the district average.

**BEST COPY AVAILABLE**

**Table II**  
**Percent of Students At or Above Criterion on Concepts About Print**  
**By the End of Kindergarten (By Building)**

<i>School</i>	<i>Number of Students</i>	<i>Percent At or Above Criterion (12)</i>
Bethesda	135	83.7%
Burton	68	85.3%
Club Boulevard	63	88.9%
Easley	112	94.6%
Eastway	126	74.4%
Eno Valley	85	94.1%
Fayetteville Street	72	86.1%
Forest View	174	86.8%
Glenn	116	90.5%
R.N. Harris	43	79.1%
Hillandale	133	88.0%
Holt	115	76.7%
Lakewood	45	86.7%
Little River	70	81.4%
Mangum	83	81.9%
Merrick-Moore	96	81.2%
Morehead	22	86.4%
Oak Grove	136	87.5%
Parkwood	137	69.3%
E.K. Powe	106	86.8%
W.G. Pearson	74	79.7%
Pearsontown	169	95.3%
Y.E. Smith	47	95.7%
Southwest	171	81.3%
C.C. Spaulding	65	72.3%
George Watts	94	86.2%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>2,556</b>	<b>84.6%</b>

Writing Vocabulary

The Writing Vocabulary task was administered to all kindergarten students for the first time during the fourth quarter of the school year. Only that score is used in the following analyses.

The average score (out of a maximum capped score of 61) for each school building is provided below in Table III. Overall, an average student could write 16 words. Average scores ranges from highs of over 20 words in Parkwood, Eno Valley, and Oak Grove to lows of less than 10 words at Eastway, W.G. Pearson, and E.K. Powe. Only 10 of the elementary schools were above the district average.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

**Table III**  
**Mean Score of Students on Writing Vocabulary**  
**By the End of Kindergarten (By Building)**

<i>School</i>	<i>Number of Students</i>	<i>Mean Score</i>
Bethesda	127	13.7
Burton	61	19.3
Club Boulevard	62	15.8
Easley	106	15.4
Eastway	114	6.6
Eno Valley	81	24.4
Fayetteville Street	72	16.7
Forest View	164	16.0
Glenn	109	13.1
R.N. Harris	43	18.0
Hillendale	127	14.3
Holt	92	14.4
Lakewood	44	13.0
Little River	66	13.8
Mangum	59	16.0
Merrick-Moore	87	11.3
Morehead	22	16.2
Oak Grove	124	22.3
Parkwood	125	25.3
E.K. Powe	93	9.6
W.G. Pearson	70	8.7
Pearsontown	155	19.5
Y.E. Smith	47	18.9
Southwest	164	19.4
C.C. Spaulding	61	14.0
George Watts	85	14.9
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>2,360</b>	<b>16.0</b>

In addition to average scores, the distribution of scores within a school was also of interest. These distributions are presented in Table IV below. Over 40 percent of the students at W.G. Pearson and Eastway were able to write only 3 three words or fewer. In addition, only one-third of the students at Eastway were able to write more than 7 words. However, at Oak Grove and Parkwood almost one-quarter of the students and about 20 percent of the students at Burton and Eno Valley were able to write 35 or more words. At Parkwood, almost half of the students were able to write more than 22 words.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



**Table IV**  
**Percentage Distribution of Student Scores on Writing Vocabulary**  
**By the End of Kindergarten (By Building)**

<i>School</i>	<i>0-3</i>	<i>4-7</i>	<i>8-11</i>	<i>12-16</i>	<i>17-22</i>	<i>23-34</i>	<i>35+</i>
Bethesda	10.2%	13.4%	22.0%	22.0%	19.7%	10.2%	2.4%
Burton	11.5%	23.0%	4.9%	6.6%	19.7%	14.8%	19.7%
Club Boulevard	6.5%	24.2%	12.9%	16.1%	12.9%	19.4%	8.1%
Easley	10.4%	17.9%	17.9%	20.8%	11.3%	13.2%	8.5%
Eastway	42.1%	23.7%	15.8%	11.4%	4.4%	1.8%	0.9%
Eno Valley	6.2%	8.6%	4.9%	7.4%	22.2%	30.9%	19.8%
Fayetteville Street	18.1%	11.1%	16.7%	8.3%	16.7%	18.1%	11.1%
Forest View	13.4%	19.5%	14.6%	16.5%	9.8%	15.2%	11.0%
Glenn	16.5%	19.3%	11.9%	19.3%	16.5%	14.7%	1.8%
R.N. Harris	4.7%	16.3%	20.9%	11.6%	14.0%	20.9%	11.6%
Hilldale	15.0%	15.0%	18.9%	16.5%	13.4%	15.7%	5.5%
Holt	13.0%	15.2%	17.4%	22.8%	12.0%	15.2%	4.3%
Lakewood	18.2%	34.1%	13.6%	6.8%	6.8%	11.4%	9.1%
Little River	16.7%	24.2%	12.1%	4.5%	21.2%	18.2%	3.0%
Mangum	3.6%	16.9%	13.6%	11.9%	15.3%	20.3%	8.5%
Merrick-Moore	23.0%	10.3%	21.8%	20.7%	18.4%	3.4%	2.3%
Morehead	4.5%	31.8%	9.1%	13.6%	9.1%	22.7%	9.1%
Oak Grove	6.5%	10.5%	12.1%	18.5%	16.1%	11.3%	25.0%
Parkwood	3.2%	7.2%	13.6%	11.2%	16.0%	24.8%	24.0%
E.K. Powe	19.4%	24.7%	28.0%	15.1%	8.6%	2.2%	2.2%
W.G. Pearson	42.9%	14.3%	14.3%	7.1%	12.9%	5.7%	2.9%
Pearsontown	5.2%	12.3%	13.5%	18.7%	18.1%	18.1%	14.2%
Y.E. Smith	6.4%	8.5%	8.5%	27.7%	17.0%	21.3%	10.6%
Southwest	10.4%	8.5%	17.1%	12.8%	14.0%	21.3%	15.9%
C.C. Spaulding	24.6%	13.1%	13.1%	9.8%	14.8%	18.0%	6.6%
George Watts	14.1%	17.6%	23.5%	15.3%	7.1%	10.0%	11.8%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>15.8%</b>	<b>15.7%</b>	<b>15.1%</b>	<b>14.2%</b>	<b>15.0%</b>	<b>10.0%</b>

Text Reading Level

The Text Reading Level indicates the approximate level of reading proficiency for each student. This task was administered to all kindergarten students for the first time during the fourth quarter of the school year. Only that score is used in the following analyses.

The average Text Reading Level for each school building is provided below in Table V. The pre-reading text levels are A and B, followed by Text Reading Levels 1 through 34. Note that the analyses that follow may be skewed in some schools where the task was terminated as soon as a student reached an arbitrary level of proficiency. In other schools, students were tested until they reached their maximum level of proficiency.

As expected, the overall Text Reading Level is low (i.e., 3.3). However, there is still a considerable variation in Text Reading Levels across buildings. These average reading levels range from lows at pre-reading levels for students at Bethesda and Eastway to levels that are more

typical of the middle of the first grade for students at R.N. Harris, Oak Grove, Morehead, Parkwood. Sixteen schools were reading above the district average.

**Table V**  
**Mean Text Reading Level of Students**  
**By the End of Kindergarten (By Building)**

<i>School</i>	<i>Number of Students</i>	<i>Mean Score</i>
Bethesda	127	B.1
Burton	62	4.3
Club Boulevard	62	3.4
Easley	106	3.7
Eastway	115	B.4
Eno Valley	81	3.4
Fayetteville Street	72	4.8
Forest View	165	4.5
Glenn	110	1.6
R.N. Harris	44	6.8
Hillandale	128	2.2
Holt	97	2.7
Lakewood	44	4.1
Little River	67	2.5
Mangum	59	1.8
Merrick-Moore	87	2.8
Morehead	22	5.2
Oak Grove	124	5.3
Parkwood	124	7.1
E.K. Powe	94	1.1
W.G. Pearson	70	1.3
Pearsontown	156	4.1
Y.E. Smith	47	3.6
Southwest	166	4.5
C.C. Spaulding	62	4.5
George Watts	107	5.6
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>2,398</b>	<b>3.3</b>

In addition to average scores, the distribution of Text Reading Level scores within a school was of interest. These distributions are presented in Table VI below. Seven elementary schools had no pre-readers at the end of kindergarten, while pre-readers made up over half of the graduating kindergarten classes at Bethesda and more than 30 percent at E.K. Powe, George Watts, and Mangum. Less than 2 percent of the students at Eastway were reading above Text Reading Level 1, and no student was reading above Text Reading Level 3. At the other end of the continuum, more than 20 percent of these graduating kindergarten students at Morehead and R.N. Harris were reading at Level 15, a level that corresponds to the 60th percentile for students at the end of the first grade.

**Table VI**  
**Percentage Distribution of**  
**Student Text Reading Level Scores**  
**By the End of Kindergarten (By Building)**

<i>School</i>	<i>A or B</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>3 or 4</i>	<i>5-14</i>	<i>15+</i>
Bethesda	53.5%	4.7%	37.0%	2.4%	1.6%	0.8%
Burton	4.8%	37.1%	29.0%	8.1%	12.9%	8.1%
Club Boulevard	8.1%	54.8%	14.5%	1.6%	12.9%	8.1%
Easley	0.0%	32.1%	38.7%	8.5%	12.3%	8.5%
Eastway	22.6%	75.7%	0.9%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Eno Valley	0.0%	18.5%	42.0%	16.0%	23.5%	0.0%
Fayetteville Street	2.8%	26.4%	13.9%	23.6%	22.2%	11.1%
Forest View	6.7%	28.5%	26.1%	10.3%	20.0%	8.5%
Glenn	8.2%	53.6%	25.5%	7.3%	5.5%	0.0%
R.N. Harris	20.5%	15.9%	6.8%	22.7%	13.6%	20.5%
Hillandale	0.0%	71.1%	10.9%	7.8%	6.3%	3.9%
Holt	0.0%	66.0%	11.3%	12.4%	6.2%	4.1%
Lakewood	0.0%	29.5%	45.5%	9.1%	4.5%	11.4%
Little River	9.0%	61.2%	9.0%	9.0%	7.5%	4.5%
Mangum	30.5%	22.0%	25.4%	8.5%	8.5%	5.1%
Merrick-Moore	8.0%	36.8%	26.4%	16.1%	6.9%	5.7%
Morehead	0.0%	18.2%	36.4%	13.6%	9.1%	22.7%
Oak Grove	5.6%	22.6%	26.6%	12.1%	18.5%	14.5%
Parkwood	4.0%	16.9%	25.8%	16.1%	24.2%	12.9%
E.K. Powe	38.3%	27.7%	19.1%	7.4%	4.3%	3.2%
W.G. Pearson	22.9%	52.9%	12.9%	8.6%	0.0%	2.9%
Pearsontown	0.0%	27.6%	40.4%	11.5%	10.9%	9.6%
Y.E. Smith	4.3%	6.4%	31.9%	31.9%	23.4%	2.1%
Southwest	19.9%	15.1%	15.1%	13.3%	27.1%	9.6%
C.C. Spaulding	11.3%	35.5%	22.6%	17.7%	9.7%	3.2%
George Watts	32.7%	21.5%	15.0%	1.9%	11.2%	17.8%
TOTAL	12.7%	34.1%	23.2%	10.6%	12.2%	7.2%

### First Grade Results

During both the first and fourth quarters, all first grade students were to be tested using the Dictation and Text Reading Level tasks. In addition, during the fourth quarter all first graders also were tested with the Writing Vocabulary task. Results for each of these five administrations are presented below. Criteria are available for acceptable performance at each of these administrations because normative data exists for the first grade.

### Writing Vocabulary

The Writing Vocabulary task was to be administered to Low Progress students during each quarter, but to all students during the fourth quarter. The criterion for success in Writing Vocabulary at the end of the first grade is a score of 32 or higher. The results as to the percentage of students reaching this criterion in each school are presented below in Table VII.

**Table VII**  
**Percent of Students At or Above Criterion on Writing Vocabulary**  
**By the End of Grade 1 (By Building)**

<i>School</i>	<i>Number of Students</i>	<i>Percent At or Above Criterion (32)</i>
Bethesda	150	76.7%
Burton	70	81.4%
Club Boulevard	89	98.9%
Easley	91	81.3%
Eastway	119	72.3%
Eno Valley	121	92.6%
Fayetteville Street	54	88.9%
Forest View	181	73.5%
Glenn	116	72.4%
R.N. Harris	55	76.4%
Hillandale	127	89.0%
Holt	121	73.6%
Lakewood	39	74.4%
Little River	96	93.7%
Mangum	80	73.7%
Merrick-Moore	115	89.6%
Morehead	19	94.7%
Oak Grove	160	76.9%
Parkwood	157	91.1%
E.K. Powe	71	64.8%
W.G. Pearson	59	81.4%
Pearsontown	166	80.7%
Y.E. Smith	63	82.5%
Southwest	163	76.7%
C.C. Spaulding	44	81.8%
George Watts	89	85.4%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>2,615</b>	<b>81.2%</b>

Students at most of these schools were able to meet this criterion. Over 90 percent of these students met the criterion at Club Boulevard, Morehead, Little River, Eno Valley, and Parkwood. All but 1.1 percent of the students at Club Boulevard met the criterion. However, more than one-quarter of the students did not meet this criterion in Writing Vocabulary at E.K. Powe, Eastway, Glenn, Forest View, Holt, and Lakewood. In fact, more than 1 in 3 students at E.K. Powe did not reach the criterion score and 11 of the schools were below the district average.

### Dictation

The Dictation task was administered to a first grade students during both the first and fourth quarters. The criterion for not being identified as Low Performing during the first quarter was 22, while this criterion rose to 33 during the fourth quarter.

As shown below in Table VIII, the overall percentage of students reaching the criterion rose by over 50 percent from the first to the fourth quarter even though the criterion also increased by 50

percent. While only about half of these first grade students met the criterion at the beginning of the year, more than three-quarters met the criterion by the end of the year.

**Table VIII**  
**Percent of Students At or Above Criterion on Dictation**  
**At the Beginning and End of Grade 1 (By Building)**

<i>School</i>	<i>Beginning of Grade 1</i>		<i>End of Grade 1</i>	
	<i>Number of Students</i>	<i>Percent At or Above Criterion (22)</i>	<i>Number of Students</i>	<i>Percent At or Above Criterion (33)</i>
Bethesda	137	27.7%	150	73.3%
Burton	67	49.3%	69	63.8%
Club Boulevard	89	69.7%	89	91.0%
Easley	90	64.4%	92	89.1%
Eastway	104	19.2%	119	57.1%
Eno Valley	114	52.6%	121	86.8%
Fayetteville Street	50	72.0%	54	74.1%
Forest View	159	54.7%	189	67.7%
Glenn	102	36.3%	116	69.0%
R.N. Harris	54	25.9%	55	74.5%
Hillandale	122	62.3%	128	85.9%
Holt	107	42.1%	121	81.0%
Lakewood	33	27.3%	39	74.4%
Little River	95	52.6%	96	90.6%
Mangum	78	46.2%	80	57.5%
Merrick-Moore	100	66.0%	113	81.4%
Morehead	18	50.0%	19	84.2%
Oak Grove	152	56.6%	159	84.9%
Parkwood	144	72.9%	155	87.7%
E.K. Powe	65	20.0%	71	53.5%
W.G. Pearson	53	43.4%	59	72.9%
Pearsontown	161	66.5%	168	85.1%
Y.E. Smith	62	27.4%	63	82.5%
Southwest	139	68.3%	162	82.7%
C.C. Spaulding	42	54.8%	44	70.5%
George Watts	83	26.5%	89	78.7%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>2,420</b>	<b>50.7%</b>	<b>2,620</b>	<b>77.8%</b>

The percent of students reaching the criterion score during the first quarter varied widely among schools, from lows of only 19.2 percent at Eastway and 20.0 percent at E.K. Powe (with five other schools below 30 percent) to highs of 72.9 percent at Parkwood and 72.0 percent at Fayetteville Street. By the fourth quarter, the range was from lows of 53.5 percent at E.K. Powe, 57.1 percent at Eastway, and 57.5 percent at Mangum to highs of 91.0 percent at Club Boulevard and 90.6 percent at Little River.

The largest gains on the Dictation task during the year (in terms of percentage points) were demonstrated by first grade students at Y.E. Smith (55.1 points), George Watts (52.2 points),

R.N. Harris (48.6 points), Lakewood (47.1 points), and Bethesda (45.6 points). However, it must be emphasized that these gains are not based on matched pairs of students.

Text Reading Level

Text Reading Levels were gathered from all students in the first grade during both the first and fourth quarters. The Text Reading Level criterion for not being identified as Low Performing during the first quarter was 2, while this criterion rose to 9 during the fourth quarter.

As shown below in Table IX, the overall percentage of students reaching the criterion rose by almost 20 percent from the first to the fourth quarter even though the criterion more than quadrupled. While only about two-thirds of these first grade students met the criterion at the beginning of the year, more than 80 percent met the criterion by the end of the year.

**Table IX**  
**Percent of Students At or Above Criterion on Text Reading Level**  
**At the Beginning and End of Grade 1 (By Building)**

School	Beginning of Grade 1		End of Grade 1	
	Number of Students	Percent At or Above Criterion (2)	Number of Students	Percent At or Above Criterion (9)
Bethesda	136	59.6%	151	71.5%
Burton	55	49.1%	69	78.3%
Club Boulevard	89	86.5%	89	95.5%
Easley	90	84.4%	92	95.7%
Eastway	106	41.5%	119	63.0%
Eno Valley	114	74.6%	121	86.0%
Fayetteville Street	51	76.5%	54	90.7%
Forest View	166	68.1%	190	73.7%
Glenn	102	63.7%	116	66.4%
R.N. Harris	54	48.1%	55	76.4%
Hillandale	123	84.6%	128	84.4%
Holt	108	69.4%	121	76.9%
Lakewood	33	66.7%	39	71.8%
Little River	95	72.6%	97	90.7%
Mangum	58	63.8%	80	83.8%
Merrick-Moore	105	71.4%	118	85.6%
Morehead	20	55.0%	20	84.2%
Oak Grove	153	64.7%	160	79.4%
Parkwood	138	79.0%	159	89.9%
E.K. Powe	65	53.8%	71	56.3%
W.G. Pearson	53	37.7%	59	67.8%
Pearsontown	160	78.8%	168	89.9%
Y.E. Smith	62	87.1%	63	88.9%
Southwest	155	87.1%	163	90.8%
C.C. Spaulding	43	48.8%	44	70.5%
George Watts	84	41.7%	89	85.4%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>2,418</b>	<b>68.7%</b>	<b>2,635</b>	<b>81.1%</b>

The percentage of students reaching the criterion score during the first quarter varied from lows of less than 42 percent at W.G. Pearson, Eastway, and George Watts (with three other schools below 50 percent) to highs of over 85 percent at Y.E. Smith, Southwest, and Club Boulevard. By the fourth quarter, the lower end of the range of scores had increased to over 63 percent at every school except E.K. Powe. Meanwhile, the highs increased to over 95 percent at Easley and Club Boulevard and over 90 percent at Southwest, Fayetteville Street, and Little River.

The largest gains on Text Reading Level for first grade students during the year (in terms of percentage points) were demonstrated by students at George Watts (43.7 points), W.G. Pearson (30.1 points), and Burton and Morehead (each 29.2 points). However, it must be emphasized that these gains are not based on matched pairs of students.

## Second Grade Results

There were no normative data available for second grade students. However, all second graders were to be administered the Text Reading Level task during both the first and fourth quarters. Therefore, growth on this measure relative to criterion scores can be examined. The Text Reading Level criterion for not being identified as Low Performing during the first quarter was 13, while this criterion rose to 17 during the fourth quarter.

As shown below in Table X, the overall percentage of students reaching the criterion rose by about 23 percent from the first to the fourth quarter while the criterion was increased by about 30 percent. While over 70 percent of these second grade students met the criterion at the beginning of the year, almost 90 percent met the criterion by the end of the year.

The percentage of students reaching the criterion score during the first quarter varied from lows of less than 50 percent at C.C. Spaulding and George Watts (with five other schools below 55 percent) to highs of over 85 percent at Club Boulevard, Easley, and Mangum. By the fourth quarter, the lower end of the range of scores had increased to over 70 percent at every school except C.C. Spaulding. Meanwhile, the highs increased to over 95 percent at six schools, including almost unbelievable rates of 99.1 percent at Easley and 98.7 percent at Mangum.

The largest gains on Text Reading Level for second grade students during the year (in terms of percentage points) were demonstrated by students at Bethesda (36.3 points), R.N. Harris (34.4 point), Y.E. Smith (29.6 points), and Burton (28.1 points). However, it must be emphasized that these gains are not based on matched pairs of students.

## Conclusions

Kindergarten students, in general, are mastering their letters (Letter Identification) and Concepts About Print by the end of kindergarten. However, results indicate the possibility that as many as one-quarter of these students may not have mastered their letters and over 15 percent may not have mastered concepts about print by the end of kindergarten. This finding encouraged the evaluator to examine individual student data more closely. His finding was that about seven percent of the students that were analyzed on the Letter Identification task were not re-tested in the fourth quarter as called for in the guidelines. Some of these students might have left the classroom (e.g., moved, transferred), but others met the criterion for an earlier quarter and simply were not tested again during the fourth quarter. Also in kindergarten, average mastery of Writing Vocabulary and Text Reading Level fluctuates quite a bit among the elementary schools. For

Writing Vocabulary, three schools averaged having kindergarten students with writing vocabularies of less than 10 words, and three schools had averages of more than 22 words. Average Text Reading Level ranged from pre-readers (in two schools) to an average Text Reading Level of more than 6.8 (in two other schools).

**Table X**  
**Percent of Students At or Above Criterion on Text Reading Level**  
**At the Beginning and End of Grade 2 (By Building)**

<i>School</i>	<i>Beginning of Grade 2</i>		<i>End of Grade 2</i>	
	<i>Number of Students</i>	<i>Percent At or Above Criterion (13)</i>	<i>Number of Students</i>	<i>Percent At or Above Criterion (17)</i>
Bethesda	131	55.7%	138	92.0%
Burton	64	53.1%	69	81.2%
Club Boulevard	71	91.5%	72	94.4%
Easley	103	89.3%	106	99.1%
Eastway	74	52.7%	80	72.5%
Eno Valley	108	85.2%	113	96.5%
Fayetteville Street	60	78.3%	63	92.1%
Forest View	144	69.4%	156	85.9%
Glenn	83	54.2%	97	76.3%
R.N. Harris	61	54.1%	61	88.5%
Hillandale	121	77.7%	127	92.9%
Holt	108	83.3%	116	87.1%
Lakewood	31	61.3%	40	80.0%
Little River	96	79.2%	102	90.2%
Mangum	73	86.3%	79	98.7%
Merrick-Moore	91	73.6%	97	91.8%
Morehead	40	75.0%	40	90.0%
Oak Grove	134	78.4%	146	88.4%
Parkwood	133	82.0%	145	95.2%
E.K. Powe	86	54.7%	92	78.3%
W.G. Pearson	58	65.5%	60	73.3%
Pearsontown	173	82.1%	189	95.2%
Y.E. Smith	41	56.1%	42	85.7%
Southwest	145	79.3%	147	97.3%
C.C. Spaulding	37	37.8%	37	62.2%
George Watts	60	48.3%	63	71.4%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>2,326</b>	<b>72.3%</b>	<b>2,477</b>	<b>88.8%</b>

In grade 1, about 4 in 5 of the students were able to meet the criterion of satisfactory progress (i.e., not deemed as Low Progress) in Writing Vocabulary. However, these figures included almost all students in one school but less than 80 percent of the students in 11 other schools. There was a marked increase in proficiency (defined as percentage of student meeting a criterion) in both Dictation and Text Reading Level for first grade students. On the Dictation task, there was better than a 50 percent increase in proficiency, with two schools demonstrating over a 50 percentage point increase in proficiency. On the Text Reading Level task, there was almost a 20 percent increase in proficiency, with one school demonstrating over a 40 percentage point



increase in proficiency. However, schools were identified that are not getting nearly enough students to a level of proficiency in both of these areas.

For second graders, gains were even greater on the Text Reading Level task. Three schools demonstrated 30 percentage point increases in Text Reading Level. However, at a time when almost all students should be reading at a satisfactory level, these levels are still too low (i.e., less than 80 percent proficient) in six schools.

These results indicate that significant teaching and learning is occurring in the primary grades in Durham Public Schools. However, they also pinpoint areas of weakness that need to be addressed so that all student learn to read adequately by the third grade.



**U.S. Department of Education**  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)  
National Library of Education (NLE)  
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



**TM031542**

# REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

**I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:**

Title: Primary Grade Student Assessment Based on Tasks of the Observation Survey	
Author(s): Joseph F. Haenn	
Corporate Source: Durham (NC) Public Schools	Publication Date: April 2000

**II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:**

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

<p>The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; width: fit-content; margin: 0 auto;"> <p align="center">PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY</p> <p align="center">_____</p> <p align="center">Sample</p> <p align="center">_____</p> <p align="center">TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)</p> </div> <p align="center"><b>1</b></p> <p align="center">Level 1</p> <p align="center">↑</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 20px; height: 20px; margin: 0 auto; text-align: center;"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> </div> <p align="center">Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.</p>	<p>The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; width: fit-content; margin: 0 auto;"> <p align="center">PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY</p> <p align="center">_____</p> <p align="center">Sample</p> <p align="center">_____</p> <p align="center">TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)</p> </div> <p align="center"><b>2A</b></p> <p align="center">Level 2A</p> <p align="center">↑</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 20px; height: 20px; margin: 0 auto; text-align: center;"> <input type="checkbox"/> </div> <p align="center">Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only</p>	<p>The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; width: fit-content; margin: 0 auto;"> <p align="center">PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY</p> <p align="center">_____</p> <p align="center">Sample</p> <p align="center">_____</p> <p align="center">TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)</p> </div> <p align="center"><b>2B</b></p> <p align="center">Level 2B</p> <p align="center">↑</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 20px; height: 20px; margin: 0 auto; text-align: center;"> <input type="checkbox"/> </div> <p align="center">Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only</p>
--	--	---

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

*I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.*

Signature:	Printed Name/Position/Title: Joseph F. Haenn, Coord. for Program Eval.	
Organization/Address: Durham (NC) Public Schools, PO Box 30002 Durham, NC 27702	Telephone: (919) 560-2421	FAX: (919) 560-2067
	E-Mail Address: haennjf@dpsnc.net	Date: 6/14/00

**Sign here, →**  
**Release**

