DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 443 574 PS 028 737

AUTHOR Caruso, David A.; Horm-Wingerd, Diane M.; Golas, Julianna C.

TITLE CHILD, Inc. Head Start & the University of Rhode Island

Partnership: The Early Head Start Continuous Improvement &

Evaluation Plan.

PUB DATE 2000-06-00

NOTE 12p.; Paper presented at the Head Start National Research

Conference (5th, Washington, DC, June 28-July 1, 2000).

PUB TYPE Reports - Evaluative (142) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Early Childhood Education; *Early Intervention; *Evaluation

Methods; Partnerships in Education; Preschool Children; Preschool Education; *Preschool Evaluation; Program

Descriptions; Program Evaluation

IDENTIFIERS *Early Head Start; Project Head Start

ABSTRACT

One of the first joint efforts of the collaborative partnership of the University of Rhode Island and the CHILD, Inc. Head Start program has been the design of the Early Head Start Continuous Improvement and Evaluation Project (EHS Plan), research designed to assess and continuously strengthen the quality of services offered to children and families enrolled in Early Head Start. Based on the view that forming an equal partnership between the evaluators and Head Start staff leads to collaboration in the conception, design, and implementation of an evaluation study, this collaboration enables the joint formulation of meaningful questions and determination of appropriate methods. This paper highlights the EHS Plan. The project used a multi-variable, multi-system, and contextual research design; data collection strategies included records review, questionnaires for staff and families, observation, and interviews. Three major areas comprising the project are noted: (1) assessment of progress toward program objectives; (2) collection of qualitative information on families; and (3) documentation on program management. An appended table delineates the goals for program evaluation and training, and technical assistance in the areas of child development, family development, community building, and staff development. The report concludes by asserting that the EHS evaluation plan and partnership comprise a model design that can meet the growing needs of Head Start. (KB)



CHILD, Inc. Head Start & the University of Rhode Island Partnership: The Early Head Start Continuous Improvement & Evaluation Plan

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

 Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy. David A. Caruso

University of Hartford

Diane M. Horm-Wingerd & Julianna C. Golas

University of Rhode Island

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

<u>Julianna C.</u> Golas

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

The Early Head Start Continuous Improvement and Evaluation Project (EHS Plan) is a research study designed to assess and continuously strengthen the quality of services offered to children and families enrolled in Early Head Start. Federal recommendations suggest that each Early Head Start initiative be accompanied by an evaluation project to determine the effectiveness of the program and increase understanding about which services work best for families with infants and toddlers. This recommendation strengthened the partnership of CHILD, Inc. Head Start and the University of Rhode Island.

The relationship between CHILD, Inc. and the University of Rhode Island dates back to the early 1990's with the evaluation of the New England Head Start Teaching Center. Since that time the two institutions have collaborated on numerous projects including using the Head Start facility as a teaching center for university undergraduate students, having Head Start staff lecture at university classes, and joint grant writing efforts to improve Head Start services. The creation of the CHILD, Inc./University of Rhode Island Partnership was a natural evolution. One of the first efforts of the Partnership was the design of the EHS Plan. From the beginning, the design of the EHS Plan followed a community collaborative, co-learning approach (Ostrom, Lerner & Freel, 1995). A basic tenet of this approach is the necessity of forming an equal partnership between the evaluators and Head Start staff that leads to true collaboration in the conception, design and implementation of an evaluation study. The collaboration enables the joint formulation of meaningful questions and determination of appropriate methods—both parties contribute their expertise and unique strengths to the design and implementation of the study (Denner, Cooper, Lopez, & Dunbar, 1999). Ostrom et al.



(1995) report that such an approach enhances cooperation and interest of the "evaluated" and greatly increases the likelihood that the results will be meaningful and used.

Following the collaborative, co-learning model, the initial activity of the partnership was to collaborate in refining program goals, developing and choosing evaluation questions that were important, identifying outcome measures that were meaningful and valid, and developing support for the evaluation project among the varied constituent groups (staff, parents, Policy Council, etc). An initial product was the development of a matrix that listed program goals and objectives for each cornerstone and program area (see Figure 1). Measures and methods to assess these goals and objectives were co-determined and a timeline for implementation was agreed upon.

The EHS plan closely parallels the National Early Head Start Evaluation currently being commissioned by the Administration on Children, Youth and Families. To create consistency and in an effort to generalize the results many of the instruments used in the National Study were adopted in the EHS Plan. The EHS Plan uses a multi-variable, multi-system and contextual research design. Data collection strategies include records review, questionnaires for staff and families, observation, and interviews. This approach to evaluation ensures that the outcomes adequately reflect the priorities and needs of the Head Start agency and that outcomes inform and modify future service delivery (McKillip, 1987). The EHS Plan focuses on three major areas: (1) assessment of progress towards program objectives; (2) collection of qualitative information on families; and (3) documentation on program management.

As Head Start continues to evolve, so do the challenges that accompany assessment and evaluation of the varying programs and models. Evaluation plans that can accurately assess all the multiple components of Head Start will be needed as Head Start transitions into the next century. The EHS Evaluation Plan and Partnership is a model design that can meet the growing needs of Head Start.



Bibliography

- Denner, J., Cooper, C. R., Lopez, E. M., & Dunbar, N. (1999) Beyond "giving science away": How university-community partnerships inform youth programs, research, and policy. Society for Research in Child Development Social Policy Report, 13(1), 1-18.
- McKillip, J. (1987). <u>Needs analysis: Tools for the human services and education</u>. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage Publications.
- Ostrom, C.W., Lerner, R. M., & Freel, M. A. (1995). Building the capacity of youth and families through university-community collaborations: The Development-in-Context Evaluation (DICE) Model. <u>Journal of Adolescent Research</u>, 10, 427-448.

Direct all correspondence to: Julianna C. Golas, HDF-Transition Center, 10 Lower College Road, University of Rhode Island, Kingston, RI 02881-0818.



Early Head Start Evaluation Project

Program Go Evaluation cor mu Indi	Goal 1. To provide	maniforação de como de	Community Building	Staff Development
		God I. I o support parent as	Goal 1. Increased collaboration	Goal 1. Increase staff
7		educator and ensure the home	with other agencies	
indi C	multidisciplinary services	as the primary learning	Indicators	competence unough a variety
ed	Indicators:	environment	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	of ways
	a. program includes park childbood ladicaters.		a. Joint rainings	Indicators:
•	development and hooth consistent	ייים כמנטיב:	b. provide venues to share	a. use case review as a continuous
4		a. sate home environment	information	improvement tool
<u>o</u>	b. provides comprehensive &	(psychological)		
	multidisciplinary child & family	b. parents engage with program	Goal 2 Promoting everyone	b. seasoned staff serve as mentors
<u>. </u>	assessment	c. effective perent/child interaction		to new staff
-			ol cilical issues and public	c. staff recieves higher than average
<u>g</u>	Goal 2. To ensure optimal	Appropriate Occupantions	responsibility for the prenatal	wages and benefits on an agency
Chil	child development		through the first 3 years of life	wide basis
ibul.	Indicators	e. cniid appropriate home	Indicators:	d. conference and other training
, c	Avortime children and	environment	 a. disseminate info to citizens and 	e. collegial support
j .	a. over une, cimaren win perform at		decision makers in a variety of	
-	developmental norms on	Goal 2. To enhance family	formats (nounletter A)	:
T	developmental assessment instr.	empowerment/self advocacy	Temple work of Aeer	Goal 2. Provide resources for
. E	in all developmental domains	Indicators		discipline based specialized
-				knowledge
, a	Maximise the contraction of	a. Iarriily takes advantage of social	to build capacity and commitment	Indicators
200		supports and networks		a. coordinators provide enecialized
	m services	 b. family takes primary responsibility 	of life (task force summer institute	
Indic		for FPA	Annual cominer	knowleage
C.	cts	c. increased self efficacy	Chick Customer enterferment	
-	of program including program	`		
		Goal 3. To facilitate progress	activities and situation	
- -	<u></u>	towards self sufficiency		
- -		Indicators:		
p. o	effective partnerships with	a. volunteering	_	
8 ±	plement	o. participating in community		
	mign quality services	service		
AD -		c. participating in job training		
Δ		d. gainfully employed		
	program that is age, individually			
anc	and culturally appropriate			



BEST COPY AVAILABLE

D

Early Head Start Evaluation Project

	Child Development	Family Development	Community Building	Staff Development
Progam	Goal 4. Fully integrate children	Goal 4. Family utilizes family		
Evaluation	with special needs into all	health services		
cont	program activities	Indicators:		
	Indicators:	a. evidence of primary care		
	a. at least 10% of children enrolled	physician		
	in program are children with	b. parents use appropriate health		
	disabilities	services		
	b. children with disabilities are			
	functionally engaged in all			
	program activities			
	Goal 5. Provide for positive			
	transition into Head Start and			
	other programs			
	Indicators:			,
	a. program has planned transition	1		
	process that includes appropriate			
	timeliness and procedures			
	b. evidence of high quality			
	delivery and implementation			

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



Early Head Start Evaluation Project

	Ji cuita Development	Family Development	Commission		
Training	Goel 1. Training is provided	1	Unional Canonia) Staff Development	
7	Deprisor S.	Lang I. I raining is provided	Goal 1. Training is provided	Coal 1 Training is any inter	
and	Which focuses on state of the	which focuses on state of the		and it is amining is provided	
Technical		שום משום מישום	writch locuses on state of the	that focuses on state of the	
	all kilowledge and skills	art knowledge and skills in the	art knowdedne and ekille in		
Assistance	in the following areas:			an knowledge and skills in	
		ionowing areas: a) develop-	the following areas:	definering etaff de la	
	a) development of infants and	ment and functioning of		מושווים מישורים מישורים וויים מישורים וויים וויי	
		7	at conduction for administr-	Indicators	
	locaties including mose with	families, including those with	tion tack forms and		
	Shorial poods: h) compared		TOTAL MASK TOPICE COLOR	a. Is the content of training	
	showing uses, by comprehensel-	multiple risks; b) multi-	Community neglapse.		
	Sive and multiplications		Community Presented 9.	appropriate?	
	ביים ביים יוימים שבים ביים ביים	disciplinary family assessment	b) understanding of coming nation.		
	B888Sament and c) implement		Kallad repose to fall the management (a	o. Are adequate numbers of	
	בייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייי	and c) implementation of a	in Rhode Island, and		
	Itation of a devoluementalis.		יייי ביייים ביייים ביייים ביייים ביייים	I training provided for each of the	
	Supmendoles on a line.	developmentally appropriate	c) strengthening community		
	Boorgoniate femily formed	to main a factor of a factor of	American Similaria	content areas above?	
	300000000000000000000000000000000000000	raminy rocused program.	wide capacity, marketing	of the transfer of the transfe	
_	program.	Indicatore	Simon of the second	Le la maine e sansiaction achieved?	
			and public relations		
	indicators:	a le the content of training			
	•	בי זפ מום כסוונפווו כו ונסווווול	Indicators:		
	a. Is the content of training	Sphronisto?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	
			 a. Is the content of training 		
	appropriate?	b. Are adequate numbers of			
	h Ave entertaint		appropriate r		
	o. The apequale numbers of	training provided for each of the	b Are adequate numbers of		
•	training provided for each part				
		content areas above?	training provided for each of the		
	content areas above?	C. Is trainee satisfaction achieved?			
	C to traingle certificaction action.		כחוופוויו מופמי ממתאפל		
	c is well a constant of the very		C. Is trainee sofisfaction achievado		
				•	

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

	Child Development	Family Development	Community Building	Staff Development
Program	Goal 1. Maintains records that	Goal 1. Maintains records that	Goal 1. Adequate records	Goal 1. Maintains adequate
Management	a comprehensive home-based	a comprehensive home based	are maintained about	records of comprehensive
	program is implemented	program is implemented.	collaborative activities	training for staff development
	Indicators:	Indicators:	Indicators:	Indicators:
	a. child recieves weekly home	a. family recieves weekly home	a. referals made to outside agencies a. records kept about # and kind	a. records kept about # and kind
	visits	visits	that service children and families	of training
	b. child attends family day at center	b. parent/guardian attends family	b. sewices provided by outside	b. records kept about content and
	c. Child Indiv. Dev. Plan is completed	day at center	agencies that service children	quality of trainings
	and describes implemented	c. parent and home visitor	and families	
	activities and child's progress	collaborate on activities to be	c. case notes are completed and	Goal 2. Implements a
		implemented during home visit	describe implemented activities	systematic approach to the use
	Goal 2. Maintains records that	d. Home Visit Plan is completed and	and child's progress	of program records for
	a comprehensive center based	describes implemented activities		management decision making.
	program is implemented	and family's progress	Goal 2. Adequate records are	Indicators:
	Indicators:		maintained about public	a. occurs with adequate requiarity
	a. child recieves center based	Goal 2. Maintains records of	awareness activities.	and frequency
	child care	parent participation	Indicators:	
	b. child recieves home visits	Indicator:	a. # of newsletters, annual reports or	
	Child Inc.: Once every 2 weeks	a. participation in the process of	other written material	
	Cranston: 2 times per year	making decisions about the	b. # of task force, summer institutes	
	c. Child Indiv. Dev. Plan is completed	nature and operation of the	and other face-to-face interactions	
	and describes implemented	program		
	activities and child's progress	b. participation in the classroom as a Goal 3. Implements a	Goal 3. Implements a	
		paid employee, volunteer or	systematic approach to the use	
	Goal 3. Implements a	observer	of program records for	
	systematic approach to the use	c. activities for the parents which	management decision making.	
	of program records for	they have helped to develop	 a. occurs with adequate regularity 	
	management decision making.	d. working with their children in	and frequency	
	a. occurs with adequate regularity and frequency	cooperation with the staff		
		dodi 3. implements tr		
		systematic approach to the use	,	
		of program records for		
		management decision making.		
		a. occurs with adequate regularity		
	_	and frequency		



Early Head Start Evaluation Project



Sign

please

U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI) National Library of Education (NLE) Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATIO	ON:	
Title: Child, Inc. Head Start Head Start Continuous	& the University of Rhode Island Improvement & Evaluation Plan	Partnership: The Early
Author(s): David A. Caruso, Diane	e M. Horm-Wingerd & Julianna C-Gola	z S
Corporate Source: University of	Rhode Island	Publication Date:
)		2000
II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE	 E:	1
monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, F and electronic media, and sold through the El reproduction release is granted, one of the folk	ble timely and significant materials of interest to the educ Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made available RIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is owing notices is affixed to the document.	le to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, s given to the source of each document, and, if
of the page. The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY
sample	sample	sample
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
1	2A	2B
Level 1	Level 2A	Level 2B
\approx		
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only
	uments will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality per processor or produce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processor	
as indicated above. Reproduction to contractors requires permission from	esources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permiss from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by perso n the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit rep cators in response to discrete inquiries.	ns other than ERIC employees and its system

HUMAN DEV. & FAMILY STUDIES, TRANSITION CTR. KINGSTON, RI 02881 E-Mail Address: TGO3103U DPOSTOFFICE. Start's Fifth National Research Conf. (Washington, DC, June 28-July 1, 2000).

Organization/Address: UNIVERSITY OF RHODE ISLAND, DEPT. OF

Printed Name/Position/Title:

Telephone: 874-2403 401 8889-

JULIANNA C. GOLAS/

(over)

07/31/00

RESEARCHER

FAX: 401 874 - 4020

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:			
Address:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Price:			
IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPY	RIGHT/REPROD	UCTION RIGHTS	HOLDER:
If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by address:	y someone other than the	addressee, please provide	the appropriate name and
Name:			
Address:			-

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

Karen E. Smith, Assistant Director

ERIC/EECE

Children's Research Center

University of Illinois

51 Gerty Dr.

Champaign, IL 61820-7469

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility
4483-A Forbes Boulevard
Lanham, Maryland 20706

Telephone: 301-552-4200
Toll Free: 800-799-3742
FAX: 301-552-4700

e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov WWW: http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com

