

## DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 442 485

JC 000 407

AUTHOR Windham, Patricia  
TITLE A Comparison of Native Award Rate and Total Award Rate by Program Area. Data Trend 10.  
INSTITUTION Florida State Board of Community Colleges, Tallahassee.  
PUB DATE 1998-04-06  
NOTE 20p.; Some tables are missing text.  
PUB TYPE Numerical/Quantitative Data (110) -- Reports - Research (143)  
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.  
DESCRIPTORS \*Community Colleges; Degrees (Academic); \*Educational Attainment; Outcomes of Education; State Colleges; Student Characteristics; \*Student Educational Objectives; Two Year Colleges  
IDENTIFIERS \*Florida Community College System

## ABSTRACT

This report provides information on the relationship between the number of students who complete a program of study at their original institution and the number who complete their program at any Florida Community College System institution. The report looks at two types of completion rates: the rate derived by considering only completions at the entering institution is labeled the Native Award Rate; while the rate based upon all completions is labeled the Total Award Rate. An additional aim of this report was to provide information by initial programmatic intent. The cohort used in this study includes first-time-in-college and dual enrollment students who were award-seeking in fall 1993. The Native Award Rate for full-time students with an initial intent of an Associate in Arts degree was 23.3%. This rate increased to 25.3% for the Total Award Rate. The part-time results were 8.2% for Native Rate and 9.5% for Total Rate. Students seeking an Associate in Science degree had a Native Award Rate of 16.8% for full-time and 7.9% for part-time. The Total Award Rates were 17.7% and 8.9%, respectively. All of the selected characteristics appear to impact completion rates. Students with an initial intent of a certificate were completing at almost twice the rate of those students whose initial intent was a degree. The difference between Native and Total rates was fairly small at the system level, but, as the attached charts indicate, the difference for some colleges was significant. (VWC)

# FLORIDA STATE BOARD OF COMMUNITY COLLEGES

## A COMPARISON OF NATIVE AWARD RATE AND TOTAL AWARD RATE BY PROGRAM AREA

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement  
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION  
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND  
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS  
BEEN GRANTED BY

P. Windham

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES  
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

IL000457

Data Trend 10

April 6, 1998

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

# A COMPARISON OF NATIVE AWARD RATE AND TOTAL AWARD RATE BY PROGRAM AREA

## Purpose

The purpose of this Data Trend is to provide information on the relationship between the number of students who complete a program of study at their original institution and the number who complete at any Florida Community College System institution.

## Introduction

A major new federal reporting requirement for higher education is the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System Graduation Rate Survey (IPEDS GRS). This report tracks an incoming fall first-time-in-college cohort for 150% of the time normally required for a degree and reports the number of students who graduated, transferred, or were no longer enrolled. The tracking period for community colleges has been established as three years while four-year colleges and universities will track for six. This will be the first time this type of comparable information has been available from a wide variety of institutions and geographic locations.

The definitions used in that survey limit the reporting of completers to those students who finished at the institution they first attended. This is a reasonable requirement when considering an institutional level report. However, when considering a system level report, should that report be merely the sum of the individual institutions within that system, or should the system itself be treated as an entity and the report include all completions that occurred within that system no matter whether or not they occurred at the entering institution.

This report looks at both types of completion rates. The rate derived by considering only completions at the entering institution is labeled the Native Award Rate, while the rate based upon all completions is labeled the Total Award Rate.

## Cohort

The cohort used in this study was from Fall 1993 and attempts to mirror the cohort definitions used in the IPEDS GRS. It includes FTIC and dual enrollment students who were award seeking. It excludes students who were only auditing courses, taking only non-credit courses such as Supplemental Vocational or Adult, and students who were attending courses exclusively on foreign campuses. The IPEDS GRS displays the information collected by full-time or part-time status. This status is based upon a student's enrollment status their first term. (For complete details on the IPEDS GRS selection criteria, please contact the Bureau of Information Services and Research, Division of Community Colleges.)

An additional aim of this report was to provide the information by initial programmatic intent. In order to do this, students classified as undecided were excluded. This had a substantial impact on the cohort size for Indian River Community College, Miami-Dade Community College and Polk Community College (part-time only).

The major difference between the IPEDS GRS data and this study was the time allowed for follow-up. Since colleges will be provided their GRS data, it was decided to extend the tracking time for this study to four years rather than the three used in the IPEDS report. This would provide individual colleges an estimate of the effect of tracking for an additional year.

## Results

Table 1 shows the system level results of this study. The Native Award Rate for full-time students with an initial intent of an AA degree was 23.3%. This rate increased to 25.3% for the Total Award Rate. The part-time results were 8.2% for Native Rate and 9.5% for Total Rate.

When examining or comparing these rates, it must be remembered that *no controls have been built into the study for entering placement results*. While this is in keeping with the

requirements of the IPEDS report, it means that a student needing several remedial courses is tracked the same as one needing no remedial work.

Table 1  
A Comparison of Native Award Rates and Total Award Rates  
By Programmatic Area

Area	Full-time		Part-time	
	Native Rate	Total Rate	Native Rate	Total Rate
AA	23.3	25.3	8.2	9.5
AS	16.8	17.7	7.9	8.9
Degree (AA&AS)	22.2	24.0	8.2	9.3
Certificate	41.8	42.5	25.4	26.0
Total	23.5	25.2	10.0	11.2
Total (FT&PT Combined)	16.2	17.6		

Students seeking an AS degree had a Native Award Rate of 16.8% for full-time and 7.9% for part-time. The Total Award Rates were 17.7% and 8.9% respectively.

An interesting side note for degree seeking students was a consideration of the type of award earned. Eighty nine point five percent of the awards earned by students initially declaring the AA were AA degrees. An additional 3.5% were AS degrees. This means that only 7% of the awards earned by students initially declaring an AA intent were less than degree level.

The picture is different for students initially declaring an AS degree. For them, 42.5% of their awards were AS degrees. An additional 34.1% were AA's, so the total percentage for degrees was 76.6%. The remaining 23.4% were certificates. About one-third of these

certificates, or 8.1% of the total awards earned, were college credit certificates which tend to be components of an AS program. Therefore, for the students initially seeking an AS degree, approximately eighty-five percent had either an AS, AA or a certificate that leads to an AS.

Due to the small number of students initially seeking the college credit certificate, they were combined with the vocational credit certificate category to form one certificate group. The Native Award Rate for full-time students in this combined group was 41.8%. The Total Award Rate was 42.5%. For part-time students, the Native Award Rate was 25.4% and the Total Award Rate was 26.0%. Very few students (2.5%) initially seeking a certificate completed a degree.

### Conclusions

Based upon the results of this study, all of the selected characteristics appear to impact completion rates. For any higher educational institution, whether a student begins as a full-time or part-time student has a tremendous impact on their ability to graduate within 150% of normal time. Due to the large percentage of part-time students in a typical community college, this effect is magnified.

The award intent also has a significant impact. Students with an initial intent of certificate were completing at almost twice the rate of those students whose initial intent was a degree.

Lastly, the definition of the rate has an impact. The difference between the Native Rate and Total Rate was fairly small at the system level. However, as the attached charts indicate, the difference for some colleges was significant.

Produced by the Office of Educational Effectiveness and Research  
State Board of Community Colleges  
For additional information, contact Dr. Patricia Windham  
Document ID - c:\document\dt10

# A Comparison of Native Award Rate and Total Award Rate

Fall 1993 FTIC AA Seeking Students

	Full-Time										Part-Time											
	Native Awards					Award					Native Awards					Award						
	Cohort	AA	Other	Rate	% Increase in Awards	AA	Other	Rate	Change in Rate	Cohort	AA	Other	Rate	% Increase in Awards	AA	Other	Rate	Change in Rate	AA	Other	Rate	% Increase in Awards
Brevard	621	169	17	30.0%	3.2%	174	18	30.9%	1.0%	1014	106	17	12.1%	3.2%	106	17	12.1%	0.0%	106	17	12.1%	0.0%
Broward	1088	187	7	17.8%	8.8%	198	13	19.4%	1.6%	1379	55	8	4.4%	8.8%	61	8	5.0%	15.0%	61	8	5.0%	15.0%
Central Florida	264	71	13	31.8%	6.0%	76	13	33.7%	1.9%	227	29	13	18.5%	6.0%	30	16	20.3%	9.5%	30	16	20.3%	9.5%
Chipola	187	36	23	31.6%	8.5%	37	27	34.2%	2.7%	170	49	7	32.4%	8.5%	49	7	32.9%	1.8%	49	7	32.9%	1.8%
Daytona Beach	527	140	11	28.7%	3.3%	143	13	29.6%	0.9%	238	11	10	8.8%	3.3%	13	11	10.1%	14.3%	13	11	10.1%	14.3%
Edison	709	136	3	19.6%	3.6%	141	3	20.3%	0.7%	511	25	0	4.9%	3.6%	28	0	5.5%	12.0%	28	0	5.5%	12.0%
Florida CC @ Jack	346	91	13	30.1%	13.5%	98	20	34.1%	4.0%	793	65	22	11.0%	13.5%	79	30	13.7%	25.3%	79	30	13.7%	25.3%
Florida Keys	26	6	0	23.1%	16.7%	7	0	26.9%	3.8%	48	0	0	0.0%	16.7%	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Gulf Coast	264	38	11	18.6%	2.0%	38	12	18.9%	0.4%	184	6	7	7.1%	2.0%	6	8	7.6%	7.7%	6	8	7.6%	7.7%
Hillsborough	429	107	3	25.6%	15.5%	120	7	29.6%	4.0%	1307	72	18	6.9%	15.5%	75	20	7.3%	5.6%	75	20	7.3%	5.6%
Indian River	32	11	1	37.5%	75.0%	16	5	65.6%	28.1%	8	0	0	0.0%	75.0%	0	6	75.0%	0.0%	0	6	75.0%	0.0%
Lake City	148	24	9	22.3%	15.2%	26	12	25.7%	3.4%	42	7	3	23.8%	15.2%	8	11	45.2%	90.0%	8	11	45.2%	90.0%
Lake-Sumter	46	17	0	37.0%	11.8%	19	0	41.3%	4.3%	133	4	0	3.0%	11.8%	5	0	3.8%	25.0%	5	0	3.8%	25.0%
Manatee	651	122	6	19.7%	3.9%	126	7	20.4%	0.8%	245	10	2	4.9%	3.9%	20	2	9.0%	83.3%	20	2	9.0%	83.3%
Miami-Dade	3180	612	25	20.0%	1.6%	619	28	20.3%	0.3%	2006	134	21	7.7%	1.6%	141	24	8.2%	6.5%	141	24	8.2%	6.5%
North Florida	1	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	1	0	100.0%	100.0%	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Okaloosa-Walton	221	70	1	32.1%	2.8%	72	1	33.0%	0.9%	418	17	3	4.8%	2.8%	18	3	5.0%	5.0%	18	3	5.0%	5.0%
Palm Beach	899	160	14	19.4%	7.5%	172	15	20.8%	1.4%	1575	61	22	5.3%	7.5%	63	24	5.5%	4.8%	63	24	5.5%	4.8%
Pasco-Hernando	157	24	9	21.0%	9.1%	26	10	22.9%	1.9%	119	5	1	5.0%	9.1%	6	3	7.6%	50.0%	6	3	7.6%	50.0%
Pensacola	513	72	19	17.7%	4.4%	75	20	18.5%	0.8%	1159	81	6	7.5%	4.4%	82	11	8.0%	6.9%	82	11	8.0%	6.9%
Polk	221	72	3	33.9%	0.0%	72	3	33.9%	0.0%	83	9	1	12.0%	0.0%	19	2	13.3%	10.0%	19	2	13.3%	10.0%
St. Johns	116	34	1	30.2%	0.0%	34	1	30.2%	0.0%	725	57	0	7.9%	0.0%	63	0	8.7%	10.5%	63	0	8.7%	10.5%
St. Petersburg	672	169	4	25.7%	4.0%	174	6	26.8%	1.0%	354	25	0	7.1%	4.0%	28	0	7.9%	12.0%	28	0	7.9%	12.0%
Santa Fe	417	154	5	38.1%	35.2%	208	7	51.6%	13.4%	568	62	13	13.2%	35.2%	92	17	19.2%	45.3%	92	17	19.2%	45.3%
Seminole	314	87	6	29.6%	15.1%	95	12	34.1%	4.5%	187	12	4	8.6%	15.1%	15	9	12.8%	50.0%	15	9	12.8%	50.0%
South Florida	88	16	1	19.3%	17.6%	16	4	22.7%	3.4%	202	31	5	17.8%	17.6%	32	7	19.3%	8.3%	32	7	19.3%	8.3%
Tallahassee	921	183	1	20.0%	19.0%	218	1	23.8%	3.8%	334	20	0	6.0%	19.0%	39	0	11.7%	95.0%	39	0	11.7%	95.0%
Valencia	1063	269	13	26.5%	10.3%	295	16	29.3%	2.7%	860	84	7	10.6%	10.3%	97	9	12.3%	16.5%	97	9	12.3%	16.5%
System	14121	3077	219	23.3%	8.3%	3296	274	25.3%	1.9%	14889	1037	186	8.2%	8.3%	1165	245	9.5%	15.3%	1165	245	9.5%	15.3%

Native awards are those awards earned at the original institution.

Total awards are those awards earned at any CCS institution.

\* Cohort does not include initially undecided students who eventually became AA program enrollees.

## A Comparison of Native Award Rate and Total Award Rate

Fall 1993 FTIC AS Seeking Students

	Full-Time										Part-Time									
	Native Awards					Award					Native Awards					Award				
	Cohort	AS	Other	Award Rate	Any Award AS	Award Rate	% Increase in Awards	Change in Rate	Cohort	AS	Other	Award Rate	Any Award AS	Other	Award Rate	% Increase in Awards	Change in Rate			
Brevard	141	10	18	19.9%	10	18	19.9%	0.0%	146	1	14	10.3%	1	15	11.0%	6.7%	0.7			
Broward	241	10	15	10.4%	10	15	10.4%	0.0%	556	20	14	6.1%	21	14	6.3%	2.9%	0.2			
Central Florida	124	17	13	24.2%	17	14	25.0%	3.3%	115	12	7	16.5%	13	8	18.3%	10.5%	1.7			
Chipola	26	1	8	34.6%	1	8	34.6%	0.0%	13	2	0	15.4%	2	1	23.1%	50.0%	7.7			
Daytona Beach	211	26	21	22.3%	26	21	22.3%	0.0%	139	7	11	12.9%	9	11	14.4%	11.1%	1.4			
Edison	122	3	10	10.7%	3	10	10.7%	0.0%	143	8	2	7.0%	8	2	7.0%	0.0%	0.0			
Florida CC: @ Jack	90	6	14	22.2%	6	14	22.2%	0.0%	435	29	25	12.4%	29	27	12.9%	3.7%	0.5			
Florida Keys	8	0	2	25.0%	0	2	25.0%	0.0%	25	2	0	8.0%	2	0	8.0%	0.0%	0.0			
Gulf Coast	150	3	9	24.0%	3	9	24.0%	0.0%	44	1	0	2.3%	2	1	6.8%	200.0%	4.5			
Hillsborough	116	12	14	22.4%	13	18	26.7%	19.2%	666	23	30	8.0%	23	32	8.3%	3.8%	0.3			
Indian River	5	0	2	40.0%	0	5	100.0%	150.0%	10	0	5	50.0%	0	7	70.0%	0.0%	20.0			
Lake City	100	15	8	23.0%	15	8	23.0%	0.0%	31	1	2	9.7%	1	8	29.0%	200.0%	19.4			
Lake-Sumter	10	1	1	20.0%	1	1	20.0%	0.0%	85	3	1	4.7%	3	1	4.7%	0.0%	0.0			
Manatee	107	8	5	12.1%	8	5	12.1%	0.0%	73	1	0	1.4%	2	0	2.7%	100.0%	1.4			
Miami-Dade *	547	26	32	10.6%	26	33	10.8%	1.7%	419	8	9	4.1%	11	10	5.0%	23.5%	1.0			
North Florida	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0			
Okaloosa-Walton	20	1	2	15.0%	1	4	25.0%	66.7%	108	2	2	3.7%	2	2	3.7%	0.0%	0.0			
Palm Beach	58	8	6	24.1%	8	6	24.1%	0.0%	94	2	2	4.3%	2	2	4.3%	0.0%	0.0			
Pasco-Hernando	35	3	4	20.0%	3	5	22.9%	14.3%	33	0	2	6.1%	0	4	12.1%	100.0%	6.1			
Pensacola	135	17	13	22.2%	17	13	22.2%	0.0%	96	5	4	9.4%	7	4	11.5%	22.2%	2.1			
Polk *	43	3	3	26.7%	3	13	26.7%	0.0%	35	1	1	5.7%	1	2	8.6%	50.0%	2.9			
St. Johns	60	8	3	25.6%	8	3	25.6%	0.0%	52	2	0	3.8%	2	0	3.8%	0.0%	0.0			
St. Petersburg	164	4	12	9.8%	4	12	9.8%	0.0%	258	7	6	5.0%	8	6	5.4%	7.7%	0.4			
Santa Fe	73	6	13	26.0%	6	17	31.5%	21.1%	206	8	18	12.6%	9	19	13.6%	7.7%	1.0			
Seminole	91	6	11	18.7%	6	13	20.9%	11.8%	122	4	8	9.8%	4	8	9.8%	0.0%	0.0			
South Florida	23	5	1	26.1%	5	2	30.4%	16.7%	29	1	1	6.9%	1	1	6.9%	0.0%	0.0			
Tallahassee	82	0	7	8.5%	0	11	13.4%	57.1%	67	1	3	6.0%	1	5	9.0%	50.0%	3.0			
Valencia	220	7	25	14.5%	7	26	15.0%	3.1%	184	4	10	7.6%	7	12	10.3%	35.7%	2.7			
System	2902	206	282	16.8%	207	306	17.7%	5.1%	4184	155	177	7.9%	171	202	8.9%	12.3%	1.0			

Native awards are those awards earned at the original institution.

Total awards are those awards earned at any CCS institution.

\* Cohort does not include initially undecided students who eventually became AS program enrollees.



### A Comparison of Native Award Rate and Total Award Rate

Fall-1993 FTIC Degree (AA or AS) Seeking Students

	Full-Time										Part-Time									
	Cohort	Native Awards AA/AS	Award Rate	Any Award AA/AS	Award Rate	% Increase in Awards	Change in Rate	Cohort	Native Awards AA/AS	Other	Award Rate	Any Award AA/AS	Other	Award Rate	% Increase in Awards	Change in Rate				
Brevard	762	197	25.7%	202	26.5%	1.8%	0.7%	1160	118	20	11.9%	119	20	12.0%	0.1%	0.1%				
Broward	1329	213	15.9%	224	16.8%	0.9%	0.7%	1935	86	8	4.9%	94	10	5.4%	0.5%	0.5%				
Central Florida	388	102	26.3%	107	27.6%	1.3%	0.7%	342	45	16	17.8%	47	20	19.6%	1.8%	1.8%				
Chipola	213	40	18.8%	41	19.3%	0.5%	0.3%	183	52	5	31.1%	53	6	32.2%	1.1%	1.1%				
Daytona Beach	738	187	25.3%	191	25.9%	0.6%	0.4%	377	21	18	10.3%	26	18	11.7%	1.4%	1.4%				
Edison	831	152	18.3%	157	18.9%	0.6%	0.4%	654	35	0	5.4%	38	0	5.8%	0.4%	0.4%				
Florida CC @ Jack	436	112	25.7%	119	27.1%	1.4%	0.9%	1228	107	34	11.5%	121	44	13.4%	1.9%	1.9%				
Florida Keys	34	6	17.6%	7	20.6%	2.9%	1.2%	73	2	0	2.7%	2	0	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%				
Gulf Coast	314	50	15.9%	50	15.9%	0.0%	0.0%	228	10	4	6.1%	11	6	7.5%	1.4%	1.4%				
Hillsborough	545	131	23.9%	149	27.3%	3.4%	1.4%	1973	118	25	7.2%	122	28	7.6%	0.4%	0.4%				
Indian River	37	12	32.4%	18	48.6%	16.2%	3.4%	18	0	5	27.8%	2	11	72.2%	44.4%	44.4%				
Lake City	248	41	16.5%	43	17.3%	0.8%	0.4%	73	10	3	17.8%	13	15	38.4%	20.5%	20.5%				
Lake-Sumter	56	19	33.9%	21	37.5%	3.6%	0.3%	218	8	0	3.7%	9	0	4.1%	0.4%	0.4%				
Manatee	758	141	18.6%	146	19.3%	0.7%	0.3%	318	13	0	4.1%	24	0	7.5%	3.5%	3.5%				
Miami-Dade	3727	681	18.3%	689	18.5%	0.2%	0.1%	2425	151	21	7.1%	162	24	7.7%	0.6%	0.6%				
North Florida	1	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%				
Okaloosa-Walton	241	73	30.7%	76	31.5%	0.8%	0.4%	526	21	3	4.6%	22	3	4.8%	0.2%	0.2%				
Palm Beach	957	183	19.1%	196	20.5%	1.4%	0.7%	1669	75	12	5.2%	79	12	5.5%	0.3%	0.3%				
Pasco-Hernando	192	32	16.7%	34	17.7%	1.0%	0.4%	152	5	3	5.3%	7	6	8.6%	3.3%	3.3%				
Pensacola	648	101	15.6%	105	16.2%	0.6%	0.3%	1255	92	4	7.6%	98	6	8.3%	0.7%	0.7%				
Polk	1281	90	7.0%	90	7.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1118	12	0	10.2%	12	2	11.9%	1.7%	1.7%				
St. Johns	159	46	28.9%	46	28.9%	0.0%	0.0%	777	59	0	7.6%	65	0	8.4%	0.8%	0.8%				
St. Petersburg	836	189	22.6%	196	23.4%	0.8%	0.3%	612	37	1	6.2%	41	1	6.9%	0.7%	0.7%				
Santa Fe	490	172	35.1%	231	47.1%	12.0%	5.0%	774	78	23	13.0%	111	26	17.7%	35.6%	4.7%				
Seminole	405	98	24.2%	106	26.2%	2.0%	0.8%	309	19	9	9.1%	23	13	11.7%	28.6%	2.6%				
South Florida	111	22	19.8%	22	19.8%	0.0%	0.0%	231	32	6	16.5%	33	8	17.7%	7.9%	1.3%				
Tallahassee	1003	191	19.0%	230	22.9%	3.9%	2.0%	401	24	0	6.0%	45	0	11.2%	87.5%	5.2%				
Valencia	1283	300	23.4%	328	25.6%	2.2%	0.9%	1044	96	9	10.1%	114	11	12.0%	19.0%	1.9%				
System	17023	3581	20.9%	3825	22.5%	1.6%	0.7%	19073	1326	229	8.2%	1493	290	9.3%	14.7%	1.2%				

Native awards are those awards earned at the original institution.

Total awards are those awards earned at any CCS institution.

\* Cohort does not include initially undecided students who eventually became degree (AA or AS) program enrollees.

## A Comparison of Native Award Rate and Total Award Rate

Fall 1993 FTIC Certificate Seeking Students

	Full-Time				Part-Time				% Increase in Awards	Award Rate	% Increase in Awards			
	Cohort	Native Awards	Award Rate	Any Award	Native Awards	Award Rate	Any Award	Award Rate						
Brevard	99	23	3	23	305	6	26	6	10.5%	26	6	10.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Broward	13	8	1	8	44	22	44	22	0.0%	50.0%	22	0	50.0%	0.0%
Central Florida	77	31	1	31	40	17	40	17	0.0%	41.6%	18	0	42.5%	5.9%
Chipola	77	38	1	38	214	71	214	71	0.0%	50.6%	71	1	33.6%	0.0%
Daytona Beach	4	1	0	1	9	2	9	2	100.0%	50.0%	2	1	22.2%	50.0%
Edison	3	0	0	0	4	0	4	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Florida CC @ Jack	181	59	0	59	649	190	649	190	0.0%	32.6%	190	1	29.4%	0.0%
Florida Keys	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Gulf Coast	44	28	0	28	12	2	12	2	0.0%	0.0%	3	0	16.7%	50.0%
Hillsborough	7	3	0	3	0	7	0	7	0.0%	42.9%	10	0	21.2%	42.9%
Indian River	10	8	0	8	72	39	72	39	0.0%	80.0%	40	0	54.2%	0.0%
Lake City	133	19	0	19	46	2	46	2	15.8%	16.5%	4	0	4.3%	100.0%
Lake-Sumter	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Manatee	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Miami-Dade	2	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
North Florida	37	28	0	28	63	39	63	39	0.0%	75.7%	39	1	63.5%	0.0%
Okaloosa-Walton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Palm Beach	32	6	0	6	56	0	56	0	0.0%	18.8%	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Pasco-Hernando	44	33	1	33	73	32	73	32	0.0%	77.3%	32	1	45.2%	0.0%
Pensacola	25	5	1	5	15	1	15	1	0.0%	24.0%	1	0	6.7%	0.0%
Polk	4	0	1	0	8	0	8	0	0.0%	25.0%	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
St. Johns	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
St. Petersburg	11	0	2	0	20	0	20	0	0.0%	27.3%	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Santa Fe	51	22	1	24	86	5	86	5	8.7%	49.0%	6	0	5.8%	20.0%
Seminole	231	122	1	122	213	69	213	69	0.0%	53.2%	69	0	32.4%	0.0%
South Florida	119	59	0	60	339	51	339	51	1.7%	50.4%	54	1	15.3%	5.8%
Tallahassee	8	1	0	1	3	2	3	2	0.0%	12.5%	2	0	66.7%	0.0%
Valencia	2	0	0	0	10	1	10	1	0.0%	50.0%	2	0	10.0%	0.0%
System	1214	494	13	500	2319	578	2319	578	1.8%	42.5%	591	12	25.4%	2.4%

Native awards are those awards earned at the original institution.

Total awards are those awards earned at any CCS institution.

\* Cohort does not include initially undecided students who eventually became certificate program enrollees.

## A Comparison of Native Award Rate and Total Award Rate

Fall 1993 FTIC Students

	Full-Time						Part-Time						
	Cohort	Native Awards	Award Rate	Any Award	Award Rate	% Increase in Awards	Change in Rate	Cohort	Native Awards	Award Rate	Any Awards	Award Rate	% Increase Change in Awards
Brevard	861	240	27.9%	246	28.6%	2.5%	0.7%	1465	170	11.6%	171	11.7%	0.6%
Broward	1342	228	17.0%	245	18.3%	7.5%	1.3%	1979	116	5.9%	126	6.4%	8.6%
Central Florida	465	146	31.4%	152	32.7%	4.1%	1.3%	382	78	20.4%	85	22.3%	9.0%
Chipola	290	107	36.9%	112	38.6%	4.7%	1.7%	397	129	32.5%	131	33.0%	1.6%
Daytona Beach	742	199	26.8%	205	27.6%	3.0%	0.8%	386	41	10.6%	47	12.2%	14.6%
Edison	834	152	18.2%	157	18.8%	3.3%	0.6%	658	35	5.3%	38	5.8%	8.6%
Florida CC @ Jack	617	183	29.7%	197	31.9%	7.7%	2.3%	1877	332	17.7%	356	19.0%	7.2%
Florida Keys	34	8	23.5%	9	26.5%	12.5%	2.9%	75	2	2.7%	2	2.7%	0.0%
Gulf Coast	358	89	24.9%	90	25.1%	1.1%	0.3%	240	16	6.7%	20	8.3%	25.0%
Hillsborough	552	139	25.2%	161	29.2%	15.8%	4.0%	2006	150	7.5%	160	8.0%	6.7%
Indian River	47	22	46.8%	34	72.3%	54.5%	25.5%	90	44	48.9%	53	58.9%	10.0%
Lake City	381	75	19.7%	83	21.8%	10.7%	2.1%	119	15	12.6%	32	26.9%	113.3%
Lake-Sumter	56	19	33.9%	21	37.5%	10.5%	3.6%	219	8	3.7%	9	4.1%	12.5%
Manatee	758	141	18.6%	146	19.3%	3.5%	0.7%	318	13	4.1%	24	7.5%	84.6%
Miami-Dade*	3729	695	18.6%	706	18.9%	1.6%	0.3%	2427	172	7.1%	186	7.7%	8.1%
North Florida	38	28	73.7%	29	76.3%	0.0%	2.6%	63	40	0.0%	40	0.0%	0.0%
Okaloosa-Walton	241	74	30.7%	78	32.4%	5.4%	1.7%	526	24	4.6%	25	4.8%	4.2%
Palm Beach	989	194	19.6%	207	20.9%	6.7%	1.3%	1725	87	5.0%	91	5.3%	4.6%
Pasco-Hernando	236	74	31.4%	78	33.1%	5.4%	1.7%	225	41	18.2%	46	20.4%	12.2%
Pensacola	673	127	18.9%	131	19.5%	3.1%	0.6%	1270	97	7.6%	105	8.3%	8.2%
Polk*	285	92	32.3%	92	32.3%	0.0%	0.0%	126	12	9.5%	14	11.1%	16.7%
St. Johns	159	46	28.9%	46	28.9%	0.0%	0.0%	777	59	7.6%	65	8.4%	10.2%
St. Petersburg	847	191	22.6%	199	23.5%	4.2%	0.9%	632	38	6.0%	42	6.6%	10.5%
Santa Fe	541	201	37.2%	263	48.6%	30.8%	11.5%	860	106	12.3%	143	16.6%	34.9%
Seminole	636	233	36.6%	249	39.2%	6.9%	2.5%	522	97	18.6%	105	20.1%	8.2%
South Florida	230	82	35.7%	87	37.8%	6.1%	2.2%	570	90	15.8%	96	16.8%	6.7%
Tallahassee	1011	192	19.0%	231	22.8%	20.3%	3.9%	404	26	6.4%	47	11.6%	80.8%
Valencia	1285	314	24.4%	345	26.8%	9.9%	2.4%	1054	106	10.1%	127	12.0%	19.8%
System	18237	4291	23.5%	4599	25.2%	7.2%	1.7%	21392	2144	10.0%	2386	11.2%	11.3%

Native awards are those awards earned at the original institution.  
Total awards are those awards earned at any CCS institution.  
\* Cohort does not include initially undecided students who eventually became program enrollees.

### A Comparison of Native Award Rate and Total Award Rate

Fall 1993 FTIC Students

Cohort	Native Awards	Award Rate	Any Award	Award Rate	% Increase In Awards	Change In Rate
Brevard	2326	410	17.6%	417	17.9%	1.7%
Broward	3321	344	10.4%	371	11.2%	7.8%
Central Florida	847	224	26.4%	237	28.0%	5.8%
Chipola	607	236	34.4%	243	35.4%	3.0%
Daytona Beach	1128	240	21.3%	252	22.3%	5.0%
Edison	1492	187	12.5%	195	13.1%	4.3%
Florida CC @ Jack	2494	515	20.6%	553	22.2%	7.4%
Florida Keys	109	10	9.2%	11	10.1%	10.0%
Gulf Coast	598	105	17.6%	110	18.4%	4.8%
Hillsborough	2558	289	11.3%	321	12.5%	11.1%
Indian River *	137	66	48.2%	87	63.5%	31.8%
Lake City	500	90	18.0%	115	23.0%	27.8%
Lake-Sumter	275	27	9.8%	30	10.9%	11.1%
Manatee	1076	154	14.3%	170	15.8%	10.4%
Miami-Dade *	6156	867	14.1%	892	14.5%	2.9%
North Florida	101	68	67.3%	69	68.3%	0.0%
Okaloosa-Walton	767	98	12.8%	103	13.4%	5.1%
Palm Beach	2714	281	10.4%	298	11.0%	6.0%
Pasco-Hernando	461	115	24.9%	124	26.9%	7.8%
Pensacola	1943	224	11.5%	236	12.1%	5.4%
Polk *	411	104	25.3%	106	25.8%	1.9%
St. Johns	936	105	11.2%	111	11.9%	5.7%
St. Petersburg	1479	229	15.5%	241	16.3%	5.2%
Santa Fe	1401	307	21.9%	406	29.0%	32.2%
Seminole	1158	330	28.5%	354	30.6%	7.3%
South Florida	800	172	21.5%	183	22.9%	6.4%
Tallahassee	1415	218	15.4%	278	19.6%	27.5%
Valencia	2339	420	18.0%	472	20.2%	12.4%
System	39629	6435	16.2%	6985	17.6%	8.5%
Total						

Native awards are those awards earned at the original institution.  
Total awards are those awards earned at any CCS institution.

\* Cohort does not include initially undecided students who eventually became program enrollees.

## A Comparison of Native Award Rate and Total Award Rate

Fall 1993 FTIC Students

	Total				
Cohort	Native Awards	Award Rate	Any Award	Award Rate	% Increase in Awards in Rate
Brevard	2326	410	17.6%	417	17.9%
Broward	3321	344	10.4%	371	11.2%
Central Florida	847	224	26.4%	237	28.0%
Chipola	687	236	34.4%	243	35.4%
Daytona Beach	1128	240	21.3%	252	22.3%
Edison	1492	187	12.5%	195	13.1%
Florida CC @ Jack	2494	515	20.6%	553	22.2%
Florida Keys	109	10	9.2%	11	10.1%
Gulf Coast	598	105	17.6%	110	18.4%
Hillsborough	2558	289	11.3%	321	12.5%
Indian River *	137	66	48.2%	87	63.5%
Lake City	500	90	18.0%	115	23.0%
Lake Sumter	275	27	9.8%	30	11.1%
Manatee	1076	154	14.3%	170	15.8%
Miami-Dade *	6156	867	14.1%	892	14.5%
North Florida	101	68	67.3%	69	68.3%
Okaloosa-Walton	767	98	12.8%	103	13.4%
Palm Beach	2714	281	10.4%	298	11.0%
Pasco-Hernando	461	115	24.9%	124	26.9%
Pensacola	1943	224	11.5%	236	12.1%
Polk *	411	104	25.3%	106	25.8%
St. Johns	936	105	11.2%	111	11.9%
St. Petersburg	1479	229	15.5%	241	16.3%
Santa Fe	1401	307	21.9%	406	29.0%
Seminole	1158	330	28.5%	354	30.6%
South Florida	800	172	21.5%	183	22.9%
Tallahassee	1415	218	15.4%	278	19.6%
Valencia	2339	420	18.0%	472	20.2%
System	39629	6435	16.2%	6985	17.6%

Native awards are those awards earned at the original institution.

Total awards are those awards earned at any CCS institution.

\* Cohort does not include initially undecided students who eventually became program enrollees.



# REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

## I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: A Comparison of Native Award Rate and Total Award Rate by Program Area	
Author(s): Patricia Windham, Phd	
Corporate Source: Florida Community College System State Board of Community Colleges	Publication Date: April 6, 1998

## II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following two options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2 documents



Check here  
For Level 1 Release:  
Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical) and paper copy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

\_\_\_\_\_ Sample \_\_\_\_\_

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 1



Check here  
For Level 2 Release:  
Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical), but not in paper copy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

\_\_\_\_\_ Sample \_\_\_\_\_

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 2

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

Sign here → please

Signature: Patricia W. Windham	Printed Name/Position/Title: Director of Educational Effectiveness Patricia Windham, Phd
Organization/Address: 325 West Gaines Street, 1344 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0400	Telephone: (850) 488-0555 FAX: (850) 922-5383 E-Mail Address: pat@sbcc.firn.edu Date: April 26, 2000

### III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

### IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

### V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:	Jonathan Kelly ERIC Clearinghouse for Community Colleges 3051 Moore Hall Box 951521 Los Angeles, CA 90095-1521
---	---

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Contributors  
June, 1997