

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 442 434

HE 033 032

AUTHOR Wolverton, Mimi; Poch, Susan
TITLE The Nexus between Academic Deans and Corporate CEOs: An Opportunity in the Making.
PUB DATE 2000-00-00
NOTE 19p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association (New Orleans, LA, April 24-28, 2000).
PUB TYPE Information Analyses (070) -- Opinion Papers (120) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS College Presidents; *Deans; Higher Education; Individual Characteristics; Individual Development; *Leadership; *Leadership Qualities; Leadership Training; Management Development; Mentors; Middle Management; Professional Development; Professional Training
IDENTIFIERS Chief Executive Officers; Washington State University

ABSTRACT

This study points out the similarities between the backgrounds of corporate chief executive officers (CEOs) and academic deans. It notes that most CEOs are fairly well educated white males who rose through the ranks of middle management to reach their current positions; the typical dean has a doctoral degree, has often been a department chair or associate dean, and is white, male, and middle-aged. This study explores the influences--parental expectations and participation in school and college leadership activities--that relate to adults as leaders, examining these findings in relation to a study of academic deanship conducted by the Center for Academic Leadership at Washington State University. Almost 70 percent of respondents in the latter study characterized their parents as stressing high standards of excellence during their formative years. Most deans also participated in one or more youth leadership activities, with the majority choosing community-oriented activities. This paper finds that the formative experiences of academic deans and corporate CEOs are similar; parental expectations for both groups are high; both groups have comparable academic backgrounds, and both groups hone their leadership skills in high school and college. Therefore, the paper suggests, reciprocal networking and mentoring between corporations and universities could benefit all those involved. (Contains 27 references.) (CH)

The Nexus Between Academic Deans and Corporate CEOs: An Opportunity in the Making

By

Mimi Wolverton
Susan Poch

Contact: Mimi Wolverton
Washington State University
509-335-4071
mimi-wolverton@wsu.edu
fax: 509-335-7977

Susan Poch
Washington State University
509-335-6037
poch@wsu.edu
fax: 509-335-7633

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS
BEEN GRANTED BY

S. POCH

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

The Nexus between Academic Deans and Corporate CEOs: An Opportunity in the Making

There is a belief in the academy that colleges are not businesses and academic deans are not corporate CEOs (chief executive officers). This may be true. But, when we examine the current profiles of deans and CEOs, we see some similarities. In corporate America, CEOs are somewhere between 40 and 55 years old, male, and white (Robbins, Ehinger & Welliver, 1994). The following statistic illustrates the point. In 1998, only 3% of the top six positions in Fortune 500 companies were held by women (Catalyst, 1998). Most CEOs are fairly well educated, with some sort of postsecondary education—usually an undergraduate degree and perhaps an MBA (Forbes & Piercy, 1991). And, they rose through the ranks of mid-level management within corporate America to reach their current positions.

Almost without exception, academic deans hold doctoral degrees, have records of scholarly endeavors, are tenured faculty, and quite often have been department chairs or associate deans. Twenty years ago, most deans were middle-aged, married, male, white, and protestant. They came from non-professional, small town, native-born families (Cyphert & Zimpher, 1976). Nothing has changed significantly. Indeed, more recent studies (Anderson & King, 1987; Robbins, Ehinger, & Welliver, 1994) suggest that while the number of minorities and women in the position increases steadily with time, the typical dean is still white, male, protestant, and middle-aged (Robbins & Schmitt, 1994).

Within the last ten years, researchers have begun to look into the backgrounds of the (CEOs) of corporate America (Boone, Kurtz & Fleenor, 1988; Forbes and Piercy,

1991). As far as deans are concerned, much research conducted over the past two decades focuses on leadership styles, career paths, and issues related to the deanship (Martin, 1993; Moore, 1982; Townsend & Bassoppo-Moyo, 1996; Tucker & Bryan, 1988). These studies examine deans as mature adults. Few, if any, delve into aspects of childhood, adolescence, or early adulthood of deans.

This article examines two potential influences—parental expectations and participation in school and college leadership activities—that typically manifest themselves early in one’s life. We first provide a synopsis of research literature that explores parental expectations, academic achievement, and youth leadership activities as they relate to adults as leaders. We then offer a brief description of a recent, national study of academic deans (the results of which serve as the basis for this piece) followed by a general profile of study participants. Next, we discuss findings from the study that are pertinent to the topics of parental expectations and youth leadership activities. And, finally, we consider the relevance of such findings for colleges and universities and corporate America. In essence, we begin to address the question: just what is this opportunity in the making?

Parental Expectations and Academic Achievement

Parental participation in children’s education is not a new idea. Parents have been involved on many different levels since the 1800s (Henry, 1996). Only more recently, however, has the notion of student achievement been tied directly to parent involvement (Epstein, 1990). Several studies suggest that student outcomes are

positively correlated with increased parent involvement (Epstein, 1990; Fullan, 1991; Henderson, 1987; Moore, 1997; Winters, 1993). Indeed, parental involvement affects children's "achievements, attitudes, and aspirations, even after student ability and family socioeconomic status are taken into account" (Epstein, 1987, p.120).

Parental expectations are also associated with students' achievement in schools (Smith, 1991). Parents who believe that success in school depends on effort, and not solely ability, are much more likely to encourage their children to work hard and to participate in activities related to academic achievement (Mau, 1997). Some research suggests that high-achieving students come from families who hold high educational and occupational expectations for their children (Okagake & Sternberg, 1993). Powell and Peet (1996) found a positive association between a mother's beliefs about her child's future and the child's academic achievement.

However, there seems to be a point at which parental participation can do more harm than good. Mau (1997) found that children did less well academically when parents were more helping and controlling with their schoolwork. Further, studies indicate that parental support and participation was negatively related to the age of the student (Chen & Buxton, 1989). Younger children seem to benefit more from parental support than do high school age students.

Youth Leadership Activities

In a recent study, Kouzes and Posner (1995) found that many students actually had more leadership experience than researchers originally suspected. But, where is this

leadership experience gained and how does it impact academic success? This section examines research literature that explores two arenas of youth leadership—high school and college. We also touch briefly on the connection between this type of involvement and academic success.

High School

Kleon and Rinehart (1998) found that participation in social organizations and government helps students develop their own leadership abilities—for example, initiative, assertiveness, and objectivity. Similarly, other studies suggest that participation in sports in high school is related to higher levels of self-esteem and higher academic achievement (Willet, 1997; Weiler, 1998). Although, leadership development is a process of self-development, family support in high school (regardless of the socioeconomic status of the individual and his/her family) again appears to be important, even for students who participate in sports, social organizations and government activities (Kouzes & Posner, 1991; 1995).

In fact, in a survey conducted by the William Randolph Hearst Foundation (1998), student leaders reported that parental support was the biggest factor in their success at high school. Fifty-three percent cited backing from their parents when asked to explain their success. The students surveyed were part of the United States Senate Youth Program who were selected as delegates by their state departments of education. The students were asked to describe their parents' role in their education and 79% responded "always positive." Parental support was the most common answer to "Why do some students excel while others fail?"

College

The college years for top ranking executives in this country are strikingly similar. As parental support declined throughout high school, CEOs and others made their own paths and displayed high levels of independence and achievement. The majority of CEOs either received a scholarship or paid most of their college expenses themselves. (Nearly 80% of CEOs also worked while attending high school.) In fact, nearly two-thirds of the CEOs did not rely on parental funding for their college education even though most came from affluent backgrounds (Boone et al, 1988).

Successful CEOs set high standards of achievement in early adulthood, perhaps as an extension of parental support and expectations felt in early childhood (Forbes & Piercy, 1991). More than 90% of them achieved grade point averages of A or B in college. They also participated in activities other than scholarship. A much higher percentage of CEOs (37%) than all college students (2%) participated in at least one intercollegiate sport. Moreover, most CEOs (nearly 75%) held at least one office in a fraternity, club, or other collegiate campus organization during their college years (Boone et al, 1988).

In sum, high parental and self-expectations play a significant role in the development of many top executives. In addition, most seemed to practice at leadership in high school and college. The combination appears to provide them with the bedrock upon which future leadership endeavors are based.

The Study

The study, from which this paper derives, examines the academic deanship as a multifaceted phenomenon. Its overall goal is to establish a baseline of information about deans in the United States. It was conducted by the Center for Academic Leadership at Washington State University.¹ The resulting database includes deans' personal and institutional demographic specifics, their perceptions of role conflict and ambiguity, views of the responsibilities associated with the position, perceptions of job-related stress and the factors associated with it, and understanding of leadership.

The following criteria were used to construct the sample of academic deans. Potential sample institutions came from one of the following three groupings of Carnegie classifications—Research I & II and Doctoral I & II; Masters I & II; or Baccalaureate I & II. In order to make comparisons of institutions across Carnegie classifications, researchers attempted to control for some of the differentiation that exists across categories. To do this, researchers limited the potential institutional population to those universities that had four colleges in common. From this initial group of colleges and universities, 60 public and 60 private institutions were randomly selected from each Carnegie category resulting in a sample of 360 institutions. At each of the sample institutions, the deans of the colleges of education, business, liberal arts, and allied health professions were then asked to complete the *National Survey of Academic Deans in Higher Education* (Gmelch, Wolverton, Wolverton & Hermanson,

¹ The Center is sponsored and partially funded by the University Council on Educational Administration (UCEA).

1996).² The overall sample size consisted of 1,370 deans, with a response rate of 60%.

A Profile of Deans in the Study

The responses received generated a relatively balanced sample both in terms of gender and institution type. Forty-one percent of the responding deans were women. Roughly 12% of the entire sample hold minority status. Of the respondents, 58% work in public institutions, 42% in private universities. One-third are deans in research universities; 46% are at comprehensive universities; the remaining 21% are located at baccalaureate institutions. More than 40% work at universities located in urban area; about 30% are in rural institutions; the remainder classified their universities as suburban. Of the total responses, 29% of the deans work in colleges or schools of education, 29% in liberal arts, 23% in nursing or public health, and 18% in business.

Deans, on average, are 54 years old and have served in their current positions for 5.6 years. Eighty-two percent of them are married; the majority (52%) still have children living at home. Overwhelmingly, most (more than 90%) believed that universities are good places to work. Fifty-five percent had mentors. The majority of responding deans (59%) viewed themselves as both being administrators and faculty. A smaller percentage (34%) categorized themselves as administrators; relatively few (7%) classified themselves solely as faculty. (See Table 1.)

²Based on experiences gained in survey research done on department chairs where 10% of the sample were women, researchers made the assumption that a similar pattern would reveal itself in deans if a completely random sample were collected. As a consequence, in a purposeful attempt to increase the number of female respondents, researchers included colleges of nursing.

Study Findings as They Relate to Parental Expectations and Youth Leadership Activities

Almost 70% of survey respondents characterized parents (guardians) as stressing high standards of excellence during their formative years. Women and minorities were significantly more likely to classify their parents in this manner. Men were more apt to classify their parents as interested in their achievement but satisfied with average performance. However, as a whole minority status deans were less likely to suggest that their parents were satisfied with mediocre performance. Only 3% of the sample suggested that parents showed no interest in or obstructed their achievement. Indeed, it appears that early parental commitment and expressed high standards of excellence that parents hold for their children greatly impacted the ultimate success of the deans in the study. (See Tables 2 and 3.)

We have no study data that directly assess academic success in high school or college. But the assumption can be made that deans performed as well academically as corporate CEOs or they would not be where they are today. In addition, because post-baccalaureate education can be relatively expensive, chances are that their education, at least in part, was self-funded through loans, work, and scholarships.

As to youth leadership activities, most deans took advantage of one or more opportunities. However, of the six possibilities that the study explored—athletics, literary organizations, student government, clubs, service organizations, and fraternities/sororities—in which deans might have participated, no one area proved common to the majority of respondents. More deans do appear to have engaged in

leadership roles in community-oriented activities, such as student government (45%), service organizations (50%), and club activities (50%). Such pursuits do not require individual prowess or wherewithal to the degree that other options might. For instance, literary/newspaper efforts may require writing and editing skills (29%), athletics demand coordination and ability (30%), and fraternities/sororities membership may depend on popularity or access to money (<20%). Overall, 73% of those deans responding to this question took a leadership role in at least one service, social, or literary organization or club.

Male deans were more active in athletics than were women. This may have been the case with many of our female survey respondents (who tended to be 50 years or older) because, at the time they were in high school and college, opportunities for women to participate in athletics were not as readily available as they are today. Women may have been more active in newspapers, service organizations, and clubs because these were the leadership avenues open to them. Minority deans seemed to have taken on significantly more leadership roles than their white counterparts, especially in fraternities/sororities, newspapers, service organizations, and clubs. (See Tables 4 and 5.)

Discussion

This study makes it readily apparent that academic deans and CEOs of American businesses come from very similar stock. Parental expectations for members of both groups were, for the most part, high. Deans and CEOs appear to hold similar academic

records suggesting that they have comparable scholastic ability. Both deans and CEOs practiced and honed their leadership skills in the relatively risk-free environments of high school and college.

Such findings suggest that a common ground exists upon which partnerships, collaborations, and leadership exchanges can be built. Colleges and businesses increasingly engage in partnerships and collaborative efforts. Few, if any, however, champion leadership exchanges that place deans in the shoes of CEOs and CEOs in those of academic deans. Such exchanges might be six month or year- long endeavors that expose deans and CEOs to the rigors and complexities of leadership in their respective organizations. The success of each, in its own way, depends on dealing with comparable issues—diversification within employees and those served, funding, the need to build external and internal support networks for the organization, and the need to offer services and products that someone wants to buy.

CEOs can learn about working in and guiding collaborative organizations whose memberships encompass vastly diverse populations (not only in terms of race/ethnicity and gender, but also in terms of discipline, which governs their approaches to problem solving, learning, innovation, and creativity). College deans can learn from corporate CEOs about planning in the midst of uncertainty, raising and managing funding, and making the most of political contacts.

In this era of continual change, businesses try to become "universities." And, universities struggle to preserve the very essence of what makes them true universities—an appreciation and desire for learning for learning's sake. Leader

exchange programs have the potential to heighten in CEOs and deans a healthy respect for the value each of their organizations affords society and the ways in which two seemingly unique institutions complement each other. At the same time, such exchanges offer these leaders the chance to hone their leadership and managerial skills in different arenas.

Universities have the opportunity to remind corporate executives about what it is that makes our universities great. At the same time, universities can expose deans to and educate them about aspects of leading an organization to which they may have not been privy. Corporations have the same opportunities. The networking and mentoring that could result from such exchanges carries immense benefits for all those involved.

Conclusion

The nexus between deans and CEOs lies in their common experiences in high school and college. In both cases, parental expectations and youth leadership activities seem to play a role in preparing them for future leadership positions. Kouzes and Posner (1995) suggest that the road to leadership is paved with many occasions to discover. Can universities and corporations afford to squander the opportunity to build on such deeply embedded common experiences—to provide their leaders with new pathways to discovery? We believe the answer is no.

References

- Anderson, D. G. & King, J. P. (1987). The dean of education: A demographic analysis. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 38, 9-12.
- Boone, L. E., Kurtz, D. L., & Fleenor, C. P. (1988). The road to the top. *American Demographics*, 34-37.
- Chen, C. & Stevenson, H. W. (1989). Homework: A cross-cultural examination. *Child Development*, 60, 551-561.
- Cyphert, F. R. & Zimpher, N. L. (1976). *The education deanship: Who is the dean?* San Francisco, CA: American Educational Research Association. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 126 020).
- Epstein, J. (1990). School and family connections: Theory, research, and implications for integrating sociologies of education and family. *Marriage and Family Review*, 15, 99-126.
- Epstein, J. (1987). Parent Involvement: What research says to administrators. *Education and Urban Society*, 19 (2), 119-136.
- Forbes, J. B., & Piercy, J. E. (1991). *Corporate mobility and paths to the top*. New York: Quorum Books.
- Fullan, M. (1991). *The new meaning of educational change*. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Gmelch, W. H., Wolverton, M., Wolverton, M. L. & Hermanson, M. (1996). *The 1996 national survey of academic deans in higher education*. Pullman, WA: Washington State University, The Center for Academic Leadership.
- Henry, M. E. (1996). *Parent-school collaboration: Feminist organizational structures and school leadership*. Albany: SUNY Press.
- Kleon, S. & Rinehart, S. (1998). Leadership skill development of teen leaders. *Journal of Extension*, 36 (3), 157-164.
- Kouzes, J. M., & Posner, B. Z. (1995). *The leadership challenge: How to keep getting extraordinary things done in organizations*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Mau, W. (1997). Parental influences on the high school students' academic achievement: A comparison of Asian immigrants, Asian Americans, and white Americans. *Psychology in the Schools*, 34 (3), 267-277.

Martin, J. L. (1993). *Academic deans: An analysis of effective academic leadership at research universities*. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Atlanta, GA.

Moore, D. (1992). The case for parent and community involvement. In G. A. Hess (Ed.) *Empowering teachers and parents: School restructuring through the eyes of anthropologists.* Westport, CT: Bergin & Garvey, 131-156.

Moore, K. M. (1982). *Women and minorities. Leaders in transition: A national study of higher education administrators*. New York: EXXON Education Foundation/Ford Foundation.

Okagaki, L. & Sternberg, R. J. (1993). Parental beliefs and children's school performance. *Child Development*, 64, 36-56.

Powell, D. R. & Peet, S. H. (1996, April). *Educational/Occupational expectations and aspirations: Mothers' views of their children's futures*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, New York, NY.

Robbins, J. H., Ehinger, M. S., & Welliver, M. (1994). *Who is leading us toward quality professional development?* Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Association of Colleges of Teacher Education, Chicago, IL.

Smith, T. E. (1991). Agreement of adolescent educational expectations with perceived maternal and paternal educational goals. *Youth and Society*, 23, 155-174.

Student leaders say parents vital to success. (1999, March). *The Spokesman Review*, p. A8.

----- (1998). *The Catalyst census of women corporate officers and top earners of Fortune 500s*. (New York: Catalyst).

Townsend, B. K. & Bassoppo-Moyo, S. (1996). *If I'd only known: Administrative preparation that could have made a difference*. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association, New York.

Tucker, A. & Bryan, R. A. (1988). *The academic dean: Dove, dragon, and diplomat*. New York: ACE and Macmillan.

Weiler, J. (1998). Athletic Experiences of Ethnically Diverse Girls. *ERIC/CUE Digest*, 131, (ERIC Document Reproduction Services No. ED 416 268).

Willett, C. B. (1996). *How different types of relationships within athletics influence the self-evaluations of early adolescent women*. Unpublished master's thesis, Washington State University, Pullman, Washington.

Winters, W. (1993). *African American mothers and urban schools: The power of participation*. New York: Lexington Books.

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | N | Mean | Standard Deviation | Minimum | Maximum |
|-----------------------------|-----|-------|-----------------------|---------|---------|
| <i>Personal</i> | | | | | |
| Gender = Male | 780 | 0.59 | 0.49 | | |
| Married | 778 | 0.82 | 0.39 | | |
| Age | 770 | 53.9 | 6.2 | 31 | 76 |
| No. Children at Home | 776 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0 | 6 |
| <i>Ethnicity</i> | | | | | |
| White | 786 | 0.88 | 0.32 | | |
| African American | 786 | 0.06 | 0.24 | | |
| Hispanic | 786 | 0.03 | 0.17 | | |
| Asian American | 786 | 0.02 | 0.14 | | |
| Native American | 786 | 0.003 | 0.05 | | |
| Years as Dean | 786 | 5.6 | 4.5 | 0.16 | 27 |
| Had a Mentor | 767 | 0.55 | 0.50 | | |
| <i>Viewed Self as . . .</i> | | | | | |
| a Faculty | 771 | 0.07 | 0.26 | | |
| an Administrator | 771 | 0.34 | 0.47 | | |
| Both | 771 | 0.59 | 0.49 | | |
| <i>Institutional</i> | | | | | |
| Public Institution | 770 | 0.58 | 0.49 | | |
| Research/Doctoral | 764 | 0.33 | 0.47 | | |
| Comprehensive | 764 | 0.46 | 0.50 | | |
| Baccalaureate | 764 | 0.21 | 0.40 | | |
| <i>College . . .</i> | | | | | |
| Education | 769 | 0.29 | 0.45 | | |
| Liberal Arts | 769 | 0.29 | 0.45 | | |
| Nursing | 769 | 0.23 | 0.42 | | |
| Business | 769 | 0.18 | 0.38 | | |
| Good Place to Work | 771 | 4.23 | 0.81 | 1 | 5 |
| <i>Location</i> | | | | | |
| Urban | 762 | 0.44 | 0.50 | | |
| Suburban | 762 | 0.27 | 0.44 | | |
| Rural | 762 | 0.29 | 0.45 | | |

* All means not listed ranged from 0 (minimum) to 1(maximum).

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Table 2: Parental Expectations for Deans

| | |
|---------------------------------------|-----|
| High Expectations/High Performance | 69% |
| Interested/Adequate Performance | 28% |
| Disinterested in Academic Performance | 2% |
| Negative Impact on Performance | 1% |

Table 3: Significant Differences in Parental Expectations

| Variable | Mean | <i>t</i> -Statistic | <i>p</i> -value |
|-------------------|------|---------------------|-----------------|
| High Expectations | | | |
| Male | 0.65 | 2.96 | 0.003 |
| Female | 0.75 | | |
| Interested | | | |
| Male | 0.31 | 2.82 | 0.005 |
| Female | 0.22 | | |
| High Expectations | | | |
| Minority | 0.77 | 1.66 | 0.097 |
| Other | 0.68 | | |
| Interested | | | |
| Minority | 0.20 | 1.81 | 0.071 |
| Other | 0.29 | | |

t-Statistics are reported as absolute values

Table 4: Deans' Participation in High School & College Leadership Activities

| | |
|---|-----|
| Athletics | 30% |
| Literary Organizations | 29% |
| Student Government | 45% |
| Clubs | 50% |
| Service Organizations | 50% |
| Fraternity/Sorority | 20% |
| Participation in at least one of last 5 | 73% |

Table 5: Significant Differences in Participation in Selected Leadership Activities

| Variable | Mean | <i>t</i> -Statistic | <i>p</i> -value |
|-------------------------|------|---------------------|-----------------|
| Athletics | | | |
| Male | 2.74 | 6.67 | 0.000 |
| Female | 1.97 | | |
| Literary/Newspaper | | | |
| Male | 2.27 | 4.79 | 0.000 |
| Female | 2.80 | | |
| Service Organizations | | | |
| Male | 3.15 | 5.17 | 0.000 |
| Female | 3.65 | | |
| Clubs | | | |
| Male | 3.17 | 3.98 | 0.000 |
| Female | 3.56 | | |
| Fraternities/Sororities | | | |
| Minority | 2.54 | 3.75 | 0.000 |
| Other | 1.91 | | |
| Literary/Newspaper | | | |
| Minority | 2.83 | 2.17 | 0.033 |
| Service Organizations | | | |
| Minority | 3.94 | 4.33 | 0.000 |
| Other | 3.29 | | |
| Clubs | | | |
| Minority | 3.59 | 1.79 | 0.076 |
| Other | 3.31 | | |

t-Statistics are reported as absolute values

AERA



U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



031022

REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Form with fields for Title, Author(s), Corporate Source, and Publication Date. Handwritten entries include: Title: THE NEXUS BETWEEN ACADEMIC DEANS + CORPORATE CEOs: AN OPPORTUNITY IN THE MAKING; Author(s): MIMI WOLVERTON, SUSAN POCH; Corporate Source: WASHINGTON STATE UNIV.; Publication Date: APRIL 25, 2000

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

Level 1 release option box with text: PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY... TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 2A release option box with text: PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY... TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 2B release option box with text: PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY... TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 1 checkbox with an 'X' mark

Level 2A checkbox (empty)

Level 2B checkbox (empty)

Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.

Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only

Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Sign here, please

Signature and contact information fields. Handwritten entries include: Signature: Susan Poch; Organization/Address: WASHINGTON STATE UNIV. PULLMAN WA 99165; Printed Name/Position/Title: SUSAN POCH; Telephone: 509/334-6947; FAX: 509/335-7633; E-Mail Address: poch@wsu.edu; Date: 4/27/00

(over)

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

| |
|-------------------------------|
| Publisher/Distributor: |
| Address: |
| Price: |

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

| |
|-----------------|
| Name: |
| Address: |

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

**University of Maryland
ERIC Clearinghouse on Assessment and Evaluation
1129 Shriver Laboratory
College Park, MD 20742
Attn: Acquisitions**

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to: