

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 442 204

EA 030 491

AUTHOR Hsieh, Chia-lin; Shen, Jianping
TITLE The Effects of Parental Characteristics on School Choice.
PUB DATE 2000-04-00
NOTE 8p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association (New Orleans, Louisiana, April 24-28, 2000).
PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS Elementary Secondary Education; *Family Characteristics; Family Income; *Institutional Characteristics; National Surveys; *Parent Background; Parent Education; Private Schools; Public Schools; Racial Factors; *School Choice; Tables (Data)

ABSTRACT

Based on a national data set, a study investigated the factors that influence parents' decision to choose schools for their children. The data for the study were extracted from the public-release data file from the School Safety and Discipline (SS&D) component of the 1993 National Household Education Survey. Parents with children in 3rd through 12th grades from the 50 States and the District of Columbia were interviewed. The total number of completed SS&D interviews was 12,680. From this data, parents' choices were grouped into the following categories: (1) assigned school (public school district assigns the neighborhood school to the residents); (2) chosen school (there are two situations in chosen school: first, parents choose the public school that is not located in their neighborhood; second, parents like the specific public school district so they live in this area in order for their children to attend this neighborhood school); (3) private school (parents choose to send their children to a private school where the parents have to pay tuition for their children.) Among 12,680 parents, 10,017 chose assigned school, 1,382 parents chose chosen school, and 1,281 parents chose private school. Parents' education level and family income do affect parents' position on school choice. White parents with higher education and income levels are more likely to choose private school, while black parents with higher education level and lower family income are more likely to exercise their choice within the public school system. Parents who look for quality indicators such as small school size, homogeneous ethnicity, and safer schools have the propensity to choose private schools. Parents who look for quality factors such as proximity, median school size, and safe schools have the tendency to choose assigned schools. (Contains 19 references.) (MLF)

Title: The Effects of Parental Characteristics on School Choice
Author: Chia-lin Hsieh & Jianping Shen
Institution: Western Michigan Univ., College of Education, Kalamazoo, MI 49008

ED 442 204

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS
BEEN GRANTED BY

C.L. Hsieh

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

2

EA 030491



Full Text Provided by ERIC

Title: The Effects of Parental Characteristics on School Choice**Author: Chia-lin Hsieh & Jianping Shen****Institution: Western Michigan Univ., College of Education, Kalamazoo, MI 49008**

School choice has been a hot political issue for more than a decade. In 1986, the National Governors' Association endorsed the goal of providing choice among public schools. In the nation's first "Education Summit" in October 1989, President Bush and the 50 governors agreed on choice as a major facet of the nation's education policy agenda. Thirteen states now have statewide choice plans on the books and dozens of other states have taken formal legislative action to increase the educational choices available to parents (Cookson, 1994; Lee, 1994). The purpose of this study is to inquire into the characteristics of the parents who (a) select private schools, (b) make choices within the public schools, and (c) do not make choice within the public schools.

Literature Review

In the literature, the following are identified as the major factors related to school choice.

Family Characteristics

Parents education and employment. There are more conclusive evidences indicated that better educated parents are more likely to choose their children's schools. For example, Cookson (1994) reported that educated parents more frequently exercise choice than do the uneducated. Martinez, Godwin, and Kemerer (1996) also found that choosing parents are more than twice as likely as nonchoosing parents to have attended college.

Income. Findings from research on the link between income and school choice are suggestive, but mixed. Evidence indicated an inverse relationship between income and choice. Plank, Schiller, Schneider, and Coleman (1993) concluded that low-income and minority families would take advantage of expanded choice if available. Darling-Hammond and Kirby (1985, 1988) and Strate and Wilson (1991) also found that low-income parents were more likely to consider alternatives to their local public schools, but upper-income parents had less reason to consider alternatives because of their access to better schools. Lee, Croninger, and Smith (1996) also confirmed what other studies of choice have found: Poor and minority families in school districts with a weak resource base favor school choice as an educational policy.

Race. Several studies demonstrated the tendency that minority favors school choice (Lee, 1996; Schneider, Schiller, & Coleman, 1996). For example, Cookson (1994) and Witte, Bailey, and Thorn (1993) reported that minority parents are more likely to exercise the choice option than are white parents. Findings also suggested that white parents may have different options about school choice (Lee, 1996; Plank, 1993; Strate, 1993). For example, Lee (1996) reported that parents living in predominantly white suburbs surrounding downtown Detroit do not share this negative view of their local schools; they feel much less urgency over school choice.

Gender. Several studies do provide evidence regarding the relationship between choice and gender and indicated that gender plays an important role in school choice. West (1995) and David (1997) reported that more parents of girls than boys opted for single-sex schools. Co-educational schools tended to be preferred for sons than daughters. Similarly, Martinez, Godwin, and Kemerer (1996) and Witte (1996) concluded that there are more female children in the choice program than male children.

Perceived School Characteristics

Many studies revealed that school location and school size affect parents' support of school choice. West (1995) reported that more white than black or Asian parents mentioned the school's proximity to home as an important factor. The finding was consistent with those in the U.S. Department of Education survey (1991), which indicated that 50% of public school parents reported having considered the quality of public schools when making their residential location decisions and that 18% reported public school quality as being the most important factor in their residential location decisions. However, Lankford (1992) found that the importance of location decisions has not been fully taken into account in research on school choice because they cannot afford housing in areas with high-quality public schools. Some evidences indicated that most of the parents chose schools because of proximity and the racial and social composition of the school, rather than on the basis of instructional program (Bridge and Black, 1978). For example, Lee (1996) and Wells (1996) reported that when poor and minority families choose schools, they may consider not only a schools' overall

effectiveness, but also its effectiveness with children who come from backgrounds like their own. In addition, they relied on anecdotal information and the perceived social status of the school. West (1995) stated that more parents of girls than boys liked the school they were applying to because they were small.

Research Purpose and Questions

Purpose of the Study and Research Questions

Based on a national data set, the main purpose of the study is to investigate the factors that influence parents' decision to choose schools for their children. The findings and conclusions will provide the knowledge for understanding parents' perspectives of choosing schools for their children. The research questions are: Does parents' position on school choice change depending on family characteristics such as parents' education level, income, race, and gender? Does parents' position on school choice change depending on school characteristics such as location, size, race composition, and safety? Do families who choose schools consider different qualities from families who do not choose?

Methods

Sample and Weighting

The data for this study were extracted from the public release data file from the School Safety and Discipline (SS&D) component of the 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93). Parents with children in 3rd through 12th grades from the 50 States and the District of Columbia were interviewed. The total number of completed SS&D interview conducted with parents of 3rd through 12th grades was 12,680.

Because the sample design of NHES:93 involved stratification, disproportionate sampling of certain strata, and clustered probability sampling, the resultant NHES:93 sample was not a representative sample. A relative sample weight, which was based on NHES:93 parents' final weight, was used not only to approximate the population, but also to adjust it down to the actual sample size of the study. Thus, the findings of the study are generalizable to the national scene.

Three Types of School Choice

In this study, these kinds of choice were distinguished. *Assigned school*: Public school district assigns the neighborhood school to the residents. *Chosen school*: There are two situations in Chosen school. First, parents choose the public school that is not located in their neighborhood. Second, parents like the specific public school district so they live in this area in order for their children to attend this neighborhood school. *Private school*: Parents choose to send their children to a private school where parents have to pay tuition for their children.

Results

The relationship between school choice and family characteristics

Among 12,680 parents, 10,017 parents chose assigned school for their children, 1,382 parents chose chosen school for their children, and 1,281 parents chose private school for their children. *Father's education level & Mother's education level*. The data indicate that those parents with a bachelor's degree or a graduate degree are more likely to choose private schools ($\chi^2(8)=206.31, p<.001$) ($\chi^2(8)=327.11, p<.001$) (Table 1 and 2). *Family income level*. Parents with annual income greater than \$60,000 are more likely to choose private schools ($\chi^2(6)=344.92, p<.001$) (Table 3). *Child's race*. There is a higher percentage of white children in Private schools and a higher percentage of black children in Chosen schools ($\chi^2(8)=274.75, p<.001$) (Table 4). *Child's gender*. There is a higher percentage of female children in Private schools and a higher percentage of male children in Assigned schools. However, the relationship between gender and school choice is not statistically significant ($\chi^2(2)=5.45, p=.07$) (Table 5).

The relationship between school choice and school characteristics

Home location. A higher percentage of parents who chose Assigned schools said the choice of home location is influenced by the school and a higher percentage of parents who chose Private schools said home location is not influenced by school ($\chi^2(2)=80.60, p<.001$) (Table 6). *School location*. A higher percentage of parents who chose Assigned schools said schools are located in the neighborhood and a higher percentage of parents who chose Chosen and Private schools said no ($\chi^2(2)=677.13, p<.001$) (Table 7). *School size*. A higher percentage of parents who chose Private schools reported smaller schools and a higher percentage of parents who chose Chosen schools reported larger schools ($\chi^2(6)=776.10, p<.001$) (Table 8). *School race composition*. A higher

percentage of parents who chose Private schools reported homogenous race composition and a higher percentage of parents who chose Chosen schools reported heterogeneous composition ($\chi^2(4)=134.34$, $p<.001$) (Table 9). *School safety*. A higher percentage of parents who chose Private schools said school is safer than neighborhood ($\chi^2(4)=512.20$, $p<.001$) (Table 10).

Discussions

Parents' education level and family income do affect parent's position on school choice. Parents with higher education level have the propensity to choose private school while parents with lower education level have the tendency to choose assigned or chosen schools. The data also point that higher income families have the tendency to choose private schools while lower income families are more likely to choose chosen schools. Race also affects parent's position on school choice. Caucasian children have the propensity to study in private schools while African American children tend to study in chosen schools. More girls attended private school than boys. In summary, the relationship between parents' position of school choice changes depending on family characteristics. White parents with higher education and income levels are more likely to choose private school for their children.

The relationship between parents' position of school choice changes depending on school characteristics. Parents who look for quality such as small school size, homogeneous ethnicity, and safer school have the propensity to choose private schools for their children. Parents who look for quality such as proximity, median school size, and safe school have the tendency to choose assigned schools for their children. Parents who choose chosen schools seems to see these qualities are important, but not as critical as private school and assigned school parents felt. However, they feel that school's proximity to home is not an important factor for school choice.

One of the strengths of this study is the use of national data set. The findings of the study are generalizable to the national scene. From this study, we found that parents' education, income, and race do play an important role in school choice. This is much in line with other studies (Cookson, 1994; Martinez, 1996; Lee, 1996). White, working parents with higher education level and higher family income are more likely to exercise their choice beyond public school system while black parents with higher education level and lower family income are more likely to exercise their choice within the public school system.

References

- Bridge, R. G., & Blackman J. (1978). A study of alternatives in American education, Vol. IV: Family choice in schooling. Santa Monica, CA: The Rand Corporation.
- Cookson, P. (1994). School choice: The struggle for the soul of American education. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Darling-Hammond, L., & Kirby, S. N. (1985). Tuition tax deductions and parent school choice: A case study of Minnesota. Santa Monica, CA: Rand Corporation.
- Darling-Hammond, L., & Kirby, S. N. (1988). Public policy and private choice: The case of Minnesota. In T. James & H. M. Levin (Eds.), Comparing public and private schools: Vol. 1. Institutions and organizations. New York: Falmer.
- David, M. (1997). Diversity, choice, and gender. Oxford Review of Education, 23(1), 77-87.
- Fossey, R. (1994). Open enrollment in Massachusetts: Why families choose. Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis, 16, 320-334.
- Lankford, H., & Wyckoff, J. (1992). Primary and secondary school choice among public and religious alternatives. Economics of Education Review, 11(4), 317-337.
- Lee, V. E., Croninger, R. G., & Smith, J. B. (1994). Parental choice of schools and social stratification in education. Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis, 16, 434-457.
- Lee, V. E., Croninger, R. G., & Smith, J. E. (1996). Equity and choice in Detroit. In B. Fuller, R. F. Elmore (Eds.), Who chooses? Who loses? Culture, institutions, and the unequal effects of school choice. New York: Teachers College.
- Martinez, V., Godwin, K., Kemerer, F. R. (1996). Public school choice in San Antonio: Who chooses and with what effects? In B. Fuller, R. F. Elmore (Eds.), Who chooses? Who loses? Culture, institutions, and the unequal effects of school choice. New York: Teachers College.
- Plank, S., Schiller, K. S., Schneider, B., & Coleman, J. S. (1993). Effects of choice in education. In E. Rasell & R. Rothstein (Eds.), School choice: Examining the evidence. Washington DC: Economic Policy Institute.
- Schneider, B. (1996). Public school choice: Some evidence from the National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988. Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis, 18(1), 19-29.
- Schneider, B., Schiller, K. S., & Coleman, J. S. (1996). Public school choice: Some evidence from the National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988. Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis, 18(1), 19-29.
- Strate, J. M., & Wilson, C. A. (1991). Schools of choice in the Detroit metropolitan area. Detroit, MI: Center for Urban Studies/College of Urban, Labor and Metropolitan Affairs, Wayne State University.
- U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics (1991). Digest of Education Statistics. Washington, DC: NCES.
- Wells, A. S. (1996). African-American students' view of school choice. In B. Fuller, R. F. Elmore (Eds.), Who chooses? Who loses? Culture, institutions, and the unequal effects of school choice. New York: Teachers College.
- West, A. (1995). Parents and the process of choosing secondary schools: Implications for schools. Educational Management & Administration, 23(1), 28-38.
- Witte, J. F. (1996). Who benefits from the Milwaukee choice program? In B. Fuller, R. F. Elmore (Eds.), Who chooses? Who loses? Culture, institutions, and the unequal effects of school choice. New York: Teachers College.
- Witte, J. F., Bailey, A. B., & Thorn, C. A. (1993). Third year report: Milwaukee parental choice program. Madison: University of Wisconsin, Department of Political Science.

Table 1
Distribution of School Choice in Father's Education Level

	School Choice			x ²	df	Sig.	Eta squared
	Assigned	Chosen	Private				
				206.31	8	***	2%
11 th grade	15.4%	13.2%	5.9%				
High school diploma	33.1%	33.3%	21.6%				
Associate degree	26.9%	28.4%	29.8%				
Bachelor's degree	11.9%	10.4%	18.2%				
Graduate school	12.7%	14.7%	24.6%				
Total	100%	100%	100%				

* <.05; **<.01; ***<.001

Table 2
Distribution of School Choice in Mother's Education Level

	School Choice			x ²	df	Sig.	Eta squared
	Assigned	Chosen	Private				
				327.11	8	***	2%
11 th grade	16.8%	18.9%	3.9%				
High school diploma	37.4%	35.9%	27.1%				
Associate degree	29.8%	29.9%	35.4%				
Bachelor's degree	8.8%	8.0%	18.3%				
Graduate school	7.1%	7.4%	15.2%				
Total	100%	100%	100%				

* <.05; **<.01; ***<.001

Table 3
Distribution of School Choice in Family Income Level

	School Choice			x ²	df	Sig.	Eta squared
	Assigned	Chosen	Private				
				344.92	6	***	1%
< \$15,000	22.7%	28.1%	7.9%				
\$15,001-\$35,000	34.1%	35.1%	25.8%				
\$35,001-\$60,000	19.3%	17.1%	21.7%				
>\$60,000	23.9%	19.7%	44.5%				
Total	100%	100%	100%				

* <.05; **<.01; ***<.001

Table 4
Distribution of School Choice in Child's Race

	School Choice			x ²	df	Sig.	Eta squared
	Assigned	Chosen	Private				
				274.75	8	***	0.09%
White	75.3%	59.8%	84.6%				
African American	15.7%	28.0%	7.0%				
American Indian	0.9%	2.2%	0.4%				
Asian	1.9%	2.7%	2.9%				
Other	6.2%	7.2%	5.2%				
Total	100%	100%	100%				

* <.05; **<.01; ***<.001

Table 5
Distribution of School Choice in Child's Gender

	School Choice			x ²	df	Sig.
	Assigned	Chosen	Private			
				5.45	2	.07
Female	48.6%	50.2%	52%			
Male	51.4%	49.8%	48%			
Total	100%	100%	100%			

* <.05; **<.01; ***<.001

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Table 6
Distribution of School Choice in Home Location

	School Choice			χ^2	df	Sig.	Eta squared
	Assigned	Chosen	Private				
				80.60	2	***	1%
Yes	48.8%	44.1%	35.1%				
No	51.2%	55.9%	64.9%				
Total	100%	100%	100%				

* <.05; **<.01; ***<.001

Table 7
Distribution of School Choice in School Location

	School Choice			χ^2	df	Sig.	Eta squared
	Assigned	Chosen	Private				
				677.13	2	***	5%
Yes	72.4%	43.2%	47.8%				
No	27.6%	56.8%	52.2%				
Total	100%	100%	100%				

* <.05; **<.01; ***<.001

Table 8
Distribution of School Choice in School Size

	School Choice			χ^2	df	Sig.	Eta squared
	Assigned	Chosen	Private				
				776.10	6	***	5%
Under 300 students	11.7%	14.0%	41.5%				
300-599 students	38.9%	36.1%	36.1%				
600-999 students	24.4%	23.6%	14.0%				
1000 or more students	25.0%	26.4%	8.3%				
Total	100%	100%	100%				

* <.05; **<.01; ***<.001

Table 9
Distribution of School Choice in School Race Composition

	School Choice			χ^2	df	Sig.	Eta squared
	Assigned	Chosen	Private				
				134.34	4	***	0.8%
<25% of child's race	11.1%	16.8%	13.0%				
25 to 75% of child's race	40.2%	46.8%	30.2%				
>75% of child's race	48.7%	36.4%	56.7%				
Total	100%	100%	100%				

* <.05; **<.01; ***<.001

Table 10
Distribution of School Choice in School Safety

	School Choice			χ^2	df	Sig.	Eta squared
	Assigned	Chosen	Private				
				512.20	4	***	4%
School is safer than neighborhood	12.8%	21.7%	37.3%				
School safety = neighborhood safety	70.5%	64.0%	55.7%				
School is not as safe as neighborhood	16.8%	14.3%	7.1%				
Total	100%	100%	100%				

* <.05; **<.01; ***<.001



U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: <u>The effects of parental characteristics on school choice</u>	
Author(s): <u>Chia-Lin Hsieh & Jianping Shen</u>	
Corporate Source:	Publication Date:

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

_____ Sample _____

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

_____ Sample _____

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2A

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

_____ Sample _____

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2B

Level 1

Level 2A

Level 2B

Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.

Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only

Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Sign here, → please

Signature: <u>[Handwritten Signature]</u>	Printed Name/Position/Title: <u>Chia-Lin Hsieh Research Associate</u>	
Organization/Address: <u>Western Michigan University</u>	Telephone: <u>616-3230004</u>	FAX: <u>616387-3770</u>
	E-Mail Address: <u>chia-lin.hsieh@wmich.edu</u>	Date: <u>2000</u>



III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse: ERIC CLEARINGHOUSE ON ASSESSMENT AND EVALUATION UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND 1129 SHRIVER LAB COLLEGE PARK, MD 20772 ATTN: ACQUISITIONS

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility

4483-A Forbes Boulevard
Lanham, Maryland 20706

Telephone: 301-552-4200

Toll Free: 800-799-3742

FAX: 301-552-4700

e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov

WWW: <http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com>