DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 438 892   PS 027 719

AUTHOR   Guberman, Steven R.
TITLE   Cultural Aspects of Young Children's Mathematics Knowledge.
PUB DATE   1999-00-00
NOTE   16p.
PUB TYPE   Information Analyses (070)
EDRS PRICE   MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS   Cultural Context; *Cultural Differences; *Cultural
                Influences; *Culturally Relevant Education; Elementary
                Secondary Education; Ethnic Groups; Foreign Countries;
                Literature Reviews; Mathematical Aptitude; *Mathematics;
                Mathematics Achievement; Mathematics Activities; Mathematics
                Education; *Mathematics Skills; Racial Differences; Social
                Differences
IDENTIFIERS   Mathematical Ability

ABSTRACT
                This literature review asserts that although findings
indicate that children from a wide array of cultures and circumstances
acquire similar mathematical understandings with little formal instruction,
there is also substantial evidence that mathematical knowledge varies across
social classes and cultural groups. The paper explores the literature devoted
to explaining cultural differences in children's mathematics knowledge,
including: (1) cultural variations in young children's mathematical
activities (for example, Brazilian street children's competence with currency
but not written numbers); and (2) linguistic variations in number systems.
Educational implications are also considered. The paper concludes by noting
that from the perspective of culturally-relevant instruction, racial and
ethnic group differences in young children's mathematics knowledge reflect
variation in the opportunities children have to engage in mathematical
activities, rather than reflecting children's inherent ability. Teachers will
need to move beyond a view of mathematics as a decontextualized and sequenced
set of skills, and toward asking questions about, and giving value to how
children use mathematics in their everyday lives. (Contains 47 references.)
(EV)

Cultural Aspects of Young Children's Mathematics Knowledge

Steven R. Guberman
University of Colorado at Boulder

"There are those who suggest that mathematics is culture-free and that it does not matter who is doing mathematics; the tasks remain the same. But these are people who do not understand the nature of culture and its profound impact on cognition." (Ladson-Billings, 1997, p. 700)

As the chapters in this volume document, there is abundant evidence that very young children perhaps even infants have some understanding of enumeration and arithmetic. Before they receive formal instruction in mathematics, most children are capable of counting and comparing small sets of objects, and most demonstrate some understanding of basic arithmetical operations, such as addition and subtraction. The procedures children use often differ from those taught in school, although they may share underlying mathematical principles that form the basis of school instruction (Carraher, Schliemann, & Carraher, 1988; Klein & Starkey, 1988; Nunes, 1995; Resnick, 1986). Findings that children from a wide array of cultures and circumstances acquire similar mathematical understandings with little formal instruction, have led some researchers to highlight universals in children's mathematical thinking and to suggest that "number is a natural domain of human knowledge" (Klein & Starkey, 1988, p. 6).

Perhaps it should be no surprise that children growing up in remarkably different settings develop similar mathematical knowledge. As Ginsburg and Baron (1993, p. 5) ask, "In what culture, however impoverished, does the child lack things to count? In what culture cannot one add to what one had before? Mathematical events and phenomena appear to be universal in the physical world." Support for universals in children's developing mathematical thought comes from research documenting substantial

and similar mathematical understanding in American subgroups that vary by race and social class (Ginsburg & Baron, 1993; Ginsburg & Russell, 1981; Saxe, Guberman, & Gearhart, 1987), and across groups of children from diverse cultures (Ginsburg, Posner, & Russell, 1981; Guberman, 1996; Petitto & Ginsburg, 1982; Saxe, 1991; Song & Ginsburg, 1987).

At the same time, there is substantial evidence that mathematical knowledge varies across social classes and cultural groups. National and social class differences in children's mathematics achievement in school, which have received considerable attention from the public and from researchers (Secada, 1992; Stevenson & Stigler, 1992; Tate, 1997), are mirrored to some extent by differences in children's performance before they begin school. Before receiving any formal instruction in mathematics, Asian children tend to perform on many mathematical tasks at higher levels than do American children (Geary, Bow-Thomas, Fan, & Siegler, 1993; Miura, 1987; Song & Ginsburg, 1987). In the U.S., Ginsburg & Russell (1981) found that social class was related to how children performed on several assessment tasks, although they emphasized the strength of preschool children's mathematical thought regardless of social class and race. Similarly, Saxe et al. (1987) reported that the preschoolers they studied, children from white middle- and working-class families, showed considerable mathematical knowledge, although middle-class children performed at more advanced levels than working-class children on tasks involving cardinality, numerical reproduction, and arithmetic. After reviewing research on social class disparities in preschool children's mathematics, Secada (1992, p. 633) concluded that "there is evidence to suggest that many poor children enter school at an academic disadvantage to their middle class peers."

Researchers have offered a variety of explanations for differences in the school achievement of children from different nations and backgrounds. Common sources of group variation in the mathematics achievement of school-aged children include the rigor and structure of the mathematics curriculum; the expectations and attributions for success that children, parents, and teachers possess; discontinuities between home and school; and cultural

values about mathematics and schooling (Geary et al., 1993;
Gutstein, Lipman, Hernandez, & de los Reyes, 1997; Ladson-
Billings, 1997; Pellegrini & Stanic, 1993; Stevenson & Stigler,
1992). We know much less about the reasons for group differences
in the mathematical achievements of children prior to beginning
their formal education.

Explaining Cultural Differences in Children's Mathematics Knowledge

The most common explanation for group differences in young
children's mathematics knowledge proposes that differences are
largely superficial. For instance, Klein and Starkey (1988) point
out that although Oksapmin children of Papua New Guinea count by
using a number system that refers to 27-body parts and has no base
structure (Saxe, 1981), Oksapmin and Western children's counting
are alike in that both adhere to core principles, such as
one-to-one correspondence between number words and objects.
Similarly, Nunes(1995) notes that when the street mathematics used
by child vendors in Brazil and the mathematics taught in school
were compared "in terms of the mathematical properties they
implicitly used, the properties turned out to be the same" (p.
94). And, although Song and Ginsburg (1987) made animportant
distinction between children's formal and informal mathematics
knowledge, they assessed Korean children's informal mathematics
using tasks they had designed for American children, thereby
revealing an unstated assumption that even children's informal
mathematics knowledge may vary in rate but not in kind. From this
perspective, the surface properties of children's mathematics and
the rate of its development may vary across groups, but the
acquisition of mathematical principles proceeds in a sequence that
is both invariant and universal (Klein & Starkey, 1988). Similar
explanations have been offered from a Piagetian perspective to
account for cultural differences in cognitive development (Dasen,
1980; Piaget, 1972).

An alternative approach to understanding the development of
children's mathematics knowledge, derived from the work of
Vygotsky (1978) and his followers (Forman, Minick, & Stone, 1993;
Wertsch, 1985), is especially well-suited for examining social and

cultural aspects of children's mathematical thinking. Terezinha Carraher (1989, p. 320) described this position well: "I think mathematical knowledge is not the result of the unfolding of cognitive development but a cultural practice in which people become more proficient as they learn and understand particular ways or representing numbers and quantity and operating on them." From this "cultural practices" perspective (Goodnow, Miller, & Kessel, 1995; Scribner & Cole, 1981), children's developing mathematics knowledge reflects the activities in which they participate and the cultural tools (e.g., number systems, algorithms) used in them (Saxe, 1991). Two bodies of researchone on childrens mathematical practices outside of school and one on linguistic aspects of number systems illustrate this approach.

Cultural Variation in Young Children's Mathematical Activities

An obvious but little researched source of cultural differences in young children's mathematics knowledge is that communities vary in the opportunities they provide for children to engage in mathematical activities. For instance, Song and Ginsburg (1987) suggest that Korean preschool children displayed less competence than U.S. children on tasks designed to tap their understanding of informal mathematics, because there are few opportunities for Korean children to engage in mathematical activities before entering school. Cultural values that discourage many Korean parents from instructing their children in counting and money, and thereby, influence children's mathematical activities and achievements.

Children's everyday activities, and the mathematics that children learn by participating in them, are shaped by a multitude of factors. For many poor children, engaging in commercial transactions is an economic necessity (Nunes, Schliemann, & Carraher, 1993; Oloko, 1994; Saxe, 1991). In order to do so, they develop mathematical systems that are well suited to their purposes. Studies of young children engaged in candy selling in Brazil(Saxe, 1991) and street trading in Nigeria (Oloko, 1993) show that children with little formal instruction in mathematics develop particular mathematical skills in their everyday commercial transactions, including the ability to compute sales

quickly and give correct change without recording numbers on paper. Only rarely do children use written numbers and calculation used in street mathematics (Nunes et al., 1993). Indeed, Brazilian candy sellers who were quite competent using currency had considerable difficulty identifying written numbers (Saxe, 1991). Similar to other forms of everyday cognition (Guberman & Greenfield, 1991; Scribner,1984), the mathematics knowledge that children acquire in everyday activities can be used flexibly to solve a wide range of problems that arise in their practice, although it may be of limited utility in other settings(Lave, 1988; Schliemann, Araujo, Cassundi, Macedo, & Nicias, 1998). For instance, Oloko (1993) reported that Nigerian children who work in streettrading performed much worse than nonworking children on a timed assessment of arithmetic skills, perhaps because the informal procedures used in street trading take more time than do conventional algorithms.

Children's mathematical activities also vary across American ethnic groups. In a study comparing Latino and Korean American children in first, second, and third grades, cultural values about teaching children to use money and parents' expectations for their children's school achievement were associated with differences in childrens out-of-school uses of mathematics (Guberman, 1994). Although both Latino and Korean American children frequently engaged in mathematical activities, Korean American children more often engaged in activities intended to support the mathematics they were learning in school, such as being quizzed by parents on multiplication facts; in contrast, Latino children more often engage in activities that employed informal mathematics to accomplish a nonmathematical goal, such as adding coins to accomplish a commercial transaction. Differences in children's activities were associated with distinct strengths demonstrated on an assessment of children's formal and informal mathematics knowledge.

Only a few studies have examined the mathematical practices of children before they enter school, although it appears that most American preschool children engage frequently in a wide array of informal mathematical activities. Interviews with the mothers of American preschoolers indicated that children from both middle-

and working-class families engaged in many types of counting and calculation activities (Saxe et al., 1987). Some of the activities cited by mothers included nursery rhymes with number words in them, counting fingers and toes, reading number books together, board games that employ dice to determine how far to move ones token, card games that require comparing written values, and adding small sets of coins. Additionally, almost all mothers reported that their children regularly watched educational TV (Sesame Street) that included segments on counting and basic calculation.

Studying how children use mathematics in their everyday activities outside of school is important for educational practice. In order to build on the informal understandings and attitudes about mathematics that children bring with them to school, teachers need to understand and value children's everyday mathematical activities and the informal mathematics knowledge acquired in them (Fuson, Zecker, Lo Cicero, & Pilar, 1995; Sleeter, 1997; Tate, 1997). Everyday activities may serve as models for classroom-basedinstruction that builds on childrens natural motivation and helps students to see the real-world application of the mathematics taught in school (Fuson et al., 1995).

Cultural Tools: Linguistic Variations in Number Systems

Participating in cultural practices typically entails the use of cultural artifacts or tools developed over the course of social history. The mathematical knowledge acquired through participation in cultural practices is interwoven with the mathematical tools used in them. Number systems, one example of a cultural tool, are human inventions that vary across time and location (Ifrah, 1985). Miura and her colleagues (Miura, 1987; Miura, Okamoto, Kim, Chang, Steere, & Fayol, 1994; see also Fuson & Kwon, 1991; 1992) conducted a series of studies indicating that properties of number systems may facilitate or impede the development of children's mathematical understanding. Miura (1987) notes that "Asian languages that have their roots in ancient Chinese (among them, Chinese, Japanese, and Korean) are organized so that numerical names are congruent with the traditional Base 10 numeration

system" (p. 79). In these Asian languages, spoken numbers correspond exactly to their written form: 14 is spoken as "ten four" and 57 as "five ten seven." In contrast, most European systems of number words are considerably irregular through 100.

Linguistic variations in numeration systems impose distinct demands on children learning to count. Children who speak Chinese, Japanese, or Korean need to memorize the first nine number words, the words for powers of ten (ten, hundred, thousand), and the order in which words are said (from the largest value to the smallest) (Fuson & Kwon, 1991). English-speaking children must memorize, in addition, the number words from 11 through 19, and the decade names (twenty, thirty, etc.) through one hundred. Apparently as a consequence of the differences, Chinese children make many fewer errors in saying number words to 19 than do English-speaking children in the U.S.(Miller & Stigler, 1987), and Korean children demonstrate mastery of counting much earlier than do American children (Song & Ginsburg, 1987).

Linguistic aspects of numeration systems have an impact on children's developing mathematics that extends beyond the rate at which they master the number sequence. By making apparent the values of each power of ten, and their strict correspondence between spoken and written numbers, Asian numeration systems facilitate childrens understanding of base structure, place value, and associated arithmetical computations (Fuson & Kwon, 1991, 1992; Miura, 1987; Miura et al., 1994). Asian children demonstrated understanding the base-10 structure of two- and three-digit written numbers earlier than American first graders and before being introduced to tens and ones in school. When asked to represent two-digit numbers using base-10 blocks, Chinese, Japanese, and Korean children were more likely than children in France, Sweden, and the U.S. to create canonical representations that employ ten-unit blocks (e.g., four tens and two units for 42); in contrast, the U.S. and European children were more likely to use only single-unit blocks (42 units) in their constructions (Miura et al., 1994). Similarly, when asked the value of "carry" marks placed above the tens column in written addition problems, Korean children in second and third grades were more likely than American children to correctly identify it as a

value of ten, an indication of understanding place value (Fuson & Kwon,1992).

Miura and Fuson suggest that differences in Asian and American children's mathematics performance reflect distinct cognitive representations of number: "for speakers of Asian languages, numbers are organized as structures of tens and ones; place value seems to be an integral part of the cognitive representation" (Miura, 1987, p. 82). English-speaking children are slower to construct these "ten-structured conceptions of number" (Fuson et al., 1997), are more likely to have conceptions based on single units, and are less likely to understand the meaning of individual digits in written numbers.

Other aspects of the languages used for numeration systems influence young children's mathematical competence. Stigler, Lee, and Stevenson (1986) found that the speed with which number words can be pronounced varies across languages and is associated with national differences in children's memory span for numbers. In Chinese, for instance, number words can be said more quickly than in English, and Chinese kindergarten children have a numerical span that exceeds that of English-speaking children by 2.6 digits (Geary et al., 1993). The ability to keep more Chinese than English number words in short term memory appears to influence early mathematical skills that require counting, such as simple addition problems, which young children typically solve by counting. Chinese kindergarten children solved three times as many addition problems (with addends less than five) than did American children, and Chinese children were more likely than American children to use verbal counting in their solutions (Geary et al., 1993).

The finding that linguistic characteristics of numeration systems are associated with young children's mastery of counting, understanding of place value and base-10 structure, and calculation indicates that language may be an important source of national differences in young childrens mathematics. Although the long-term impact of these early differences is unknown, comparing children's mathematics across languages serves to highlight some of the difficulties American children have in mastering the

elementary school mathematics curriculum, much of which is concerned with helping children master the concepts that are the focus of the comparative studies. Fuson and Kwon (1991) suggest that teachers should provide supports to children to compensate for irregularities in English number words.

Educational Implications: Toward a Culturally-Relevant Pedagogy

The knowledge that children bring with them to school has a powerful influence on how they interpret and learn the mathematics taught in school. Evidence indicates that programs such as Cognitively Guided Instruction (See Warfield, this volume; Fennema, Carpenter, & Lamon, 1991) that assist teachers to build on children's informal knowledge, help "children use their intellect well, make meaning out of mathematical situations, learn mathematics with understanding, and connect their informal knowledge to school mathematics" (Gutstein et al., 1997, p. 711). Valuing and building on children's informal mathematics knowledge is stressed in recent calls for the reform of mathematics instruction (National Council of Teachers of Mathematics, 1991). Often neglected, though, are cultural aspects of children's everyday experiences with and attitudes toward mathematics. What is needed is a "culturally-relevant" mathematics instruction (Gutstein et al., 1997), a pedagogy that is embedded in children's everyday contexts and connects with student's cultural ways of knowing. Ladson-Billings (1997) notes that mathematics is taught in schools in ways that may give an advantage to children from middle-class backgrounds. She writes that "middle-class culture demands efficiency, consensus, abstraction, and rationality" (p.699), whereas "features of African American cultural expression include rhythm, orality, communalism, spirituality, expressive individualism, social time perspective, verve, and movement" (p. 700). The curriculum, assessment,and pedagogy of school mathematics, she argues, are more congruent with the cultural experiences of middle-class children than they are for children from other backgrounds.

From the perspective of culturally-relevant instruction, racial and ethnic group differences in young children's mathematics knowledge reflect variation in the opportunities

children have to engage in mathematical activities. Group differences do not reflect children's inherent ability to learn mathematics (Secada, 1992). Nor is the fact that some children begin school with informal knowledge that does little to prepare them for school learning an indication of a deficiency in their home culture. Rather, similar to the Brazilian candy sellers (Saxe, 1991) described above, all children acquire mathematical understandings that are adapted to their circumstances (Pellegrini & Stanic, 1993), understandings that may be continuous or discontinuous with school mathematics. As Sleeter (1997, pp. 683-684) points out, "school mathematics is a very narrow subset of the range of mathematical thinking in which people have engaged."

Culturally-relevant mathematics instruction presents new challenges for teachers and teacher educators. Building on children's informal mathematics knowledge will require going beyond a view of mathematics as a decontextualized and sequenced set of skills that students need to memorize, and toward asking questions about and valuing how children use mathematics in their everyday lives (Nunes & Bryant, 1996; Sleeter, 1997). It will necessitate, as Ladson-Billings (1997) suggests, that teachers study their students and their backgrounds, becoming students of their students.

References

Carraher, T. N. (1989). The cross-fertilization of research paradigms. Cognition and Instruction, 6, 319-323.

Carraher, T. N., Schliemann, A. D., & Carraher, D. W. (1988). Mathematical concepts in everyday life. In G. B. Saxe & M. Gearhart (Eds.), Childrens Mathematics. New Directions for Child Development (no. 41) (pp. 71-87). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Dasen, P. R. (1972). Cross-cultural Piagetian research: A summary. Journal of Cross-cultural Psychology, 3, 23-39.

Fennema, E., Carpenter, T. P., & Lamon, S. J. (Eds.) (1991). Integrating research on teaching and learning mathematics. Albany, NY: SUNY Press.

Forman, E. A., Minick, N., & Stone, C. A. (Eds.) (1993). Contexts for learning: Sociocultural dynamics in childrens development. New York: Oxford University Press.

Fuson, K. C., & Kwon, Y. (1991). Learning addition and subtraction: Effects of number words and other cultural tools. In J. Bideaud, C. Meljac, & J. P. Fischer (Eds.), Pathways to number (pp. 283-302). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

Fuson, K. C., & Kwon, Y. (1992). Korean childrens understanding of multidigit addition and subtraction. Child Development, 63, 491-506.

Fuson, K. C., Zecker, L. B., Lo Cicero, A. M., & Ron, P. (1995, April). El mercado in Latino primary classrooms: A fruitful narrative theme for the development of childrens conceptual mathematics. Paper presented at the annual meetings of the American Educational Research Association, San Francisco.

Geary, D. C., Bow-Thomas, C. C., Fan, L., & Siegler, R. S. (1993). Even before formal instruction, Chinese children outperform American children in mental addition. Cognitive Development, 8, 517-529.

Ginsburg, H. P., & Baron, J. (1993). Cognition: Young childrens construction of mathematics. In R. J. Jensen (Ed.), Research ideas for the classroom: Early childhood mathematics (pp. 3-21). New York: Macmillan.

Ginsburg, H. P., Posner, J. K., & Russell, R. L. (1981). The development of mental addition as a function of schooling and culture. Journal of Cross-cultural Psychology, 12, 163-178.

Ginsburg, H. P., & Russell, R. L. (1981). Social class and racial influences on early mathematical thinking. Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development, 46(6, Serial No.

193).

Goodnow, J. J., Miller, P. J., & Kessel F. (Eds.) (1995). Cultural practices as contexts for development. New Directions for Child Development (No. 67).San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Guberman, S. R. (1994, April). Mathematical activities of Latino and Korean American children outside school. Paper presented at the annual meetings of the American Educational Research Association, New Orleans, LA.

Guberman, S. R. (1996). The development of everyday mathematics in Brazilian children with limited formal education. Child Development, 67, 1609-1623.

Guberman, S. R., & Greenfield, P. M. (1991). Learning and transfer in everyday cognition. Cognitive Development, 6, 233-260.

Gutstein, E., Lipman, P., Hernandez, P., & de los Reyes, R. (1997). Culturally relevant mathematics teaching in a Mexican American context. Journal for Research in Mathematics Education, 28, 709-737.

Ifrah, G. (1985). From one to zero: A universal history of numbers (translated by L. Blair). New York: Penguin Books.

Klein, A., & Starkey, P. (1988). Universals in the development of early arithmetic cognition. In G. B. Saxe & M. Gearhart (Eds.), Childrens mathematics, New Directions for Child Development (no. 41) (pp. 5-26). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Ladson-Billings, G. (1997). It doesnt add up: African American students mathematics achievement. Journal for Research in Mathematics Education, 28, 697-708.

Lave, J. (1988). Cognition in practice: Mind, mathematics, and culture in everyday life. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Miller, K. F., & Stigler, J. W. (1987). Counting in Chinese:

Cultural variation in a basic cognitive skill. Cognitive Development, 2, 279-305.

Miura, I. T. (1987). Mathematics achievement as a function of language. Journal of Educational Psychology, 79, 79-82.

Miura, I. T., Okamoto, Y., Kim, C. C., Chang, C-M., Steere, M., & Fayol, M.(1994). Comparisons of childrens cognitive representation of number: China,France, Japan, Korea, Sweden, and the United States. International Journal of Behavioral Development, 17, 401-411.

National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (1991). Professional standards for teaching mathematics. Reston, VA: Author.

Nunes, T. (1995). Cultural practices and the conception of individual differences: Theoretical and empirical considerations. In J. J. Goodnow, P.J. Miller, & F. Kessel (Eds.), Cultural practices as contexts for development, New Directions for Child Development (No. 67) (pp. 91-103). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Nunes, T., & Bryant, P. (1996). Children doing mathematics. Cambridge, MA:Blackwell.

Nunes, T., Schliemann, A. D., & Carraher, D. W. (1993). Street mathematics and school mathematics. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Oloko, B. A. (1994). Childrens street work in urban Nigeria: Dilemma of modernizing tradition. In P. M. Greenfield & R. R. Cocking (Eds.),Cross-cultural roots of minority child development (pp. 197-224). Hillsdale,NJ: Erlbaum.

Pellegrini, A. D., & Stanic, G. M. A. (1993). Locating childrens mathematical competence" Application of the developmental niche. Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology, 14, 501-520.

Petitto, A., & Ginsburg, H. P. (1982). Mental arithmetic in Africa

and America: Strategies, principles, and explanations. _International Journal of Psychology_, 17, 81-102.

Piaget, J. (1972). Development and learning. In C. S. Lavatelli & F.Stendler (Eds.), _Readings in child behavior and development_ (3rd ed., pp.38-46). New York: Harcourt, Brace, Jovanovich. (Reprinted from R. Ripple & V. Rockcastle [1964] (Eds.), Piaget rediscovered [pp. 7-19]. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.)

Resnick, L. B. (1986). The development of mathematical intuition. In M.Perlmutter (Ed.), _Minnesota Symposium on Child Psychology_ (Vol. 19, pp159-194). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

Saxe, G. B. (1981). Body parts as numerals: A developmental analysis of numeration among the Oksapmin in Papua New Guinea. _Journal of EducationalPsychology_, 77, 503-513.

Saxe, G. B. (1991). _Culture and cognitive development: Studies in mathematical understanding_. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

Saxe, G. B., Guberman, S. R., & Gearhart, M. (1987). Social processes in early number development. _Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development_, 52(2, Serial No. 216).

Schliemann, A. D., Araujo, C., Cassundi, M. A., Macedo, S., & Nicias, L.(1998). Use of multiplicative commutativity by school children and street sellers. _Journal of Research in Mathematics Education_, 29, 422-435.

Scribner, S. (1984). Studying working intelligence. In B. Rogoff & J. Lave(Eds.), _Everyday cognition: Its development in social context_ (pp. 9-40).Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Scribner, S., & Cole, M. (1981) _The psychology of literacy_. Cambridge, MA:Harvard University Press.

Secada, W. G. (1992). Race, ethnicity, social class, language, and achievement in mathematics. In D. A. Grouws (Ed.), _Handbook_

of research on mathematics teaching and learning (pp. 623-660). New York: Macmillan.

Sleeter, C. E. (1997). Mathematics, multicultural education, and professional development. Journal for Research in Mathematics Education, 28, 680-696.

Song, M-J., & Ginsburg, H. P. (1987). The development of informal and formal mathematical thinking in Korean and U.S. children. Child Development, 58,1286-1296.

Stevenson, H. W., & Stigler, J. W. (1992). The learning gap. New York: Summit Books.

Stigler, J. W., Lee, S. Y., & Stevenson, H. W. (1986). Digit memory in Chinese and English: Evidence for a temporally limited store. Cognition, 23,1-20.

Tate, W. F. (1997). Race-ethnicity, SES, gender, and language proficiency trends in mathematics achievement: An update. Journal for Research in Mathematics Education, 28, 652-679.

Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in society. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Wertsch, J. V. (Ed.) (1985). Culture, communication, and cognition:Vygotskian perspectives. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

# # # # # # # # # # # # # #
Steven R. Guberman
Assistant Professor
School of Education
University of Colorado at Boulder
Campus Box 249
Boulder, CO 80309-0249

Tel: 303-492-8391
Fax: 303-492-7090

16

ERIC®

REPRODUCTION RELEASE
(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title:

Cultural Aspects of Young Children's Mathematics Knowledge

Author(s): Steven R. Guberman

Corporate Source:	Publication Date: 1999

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY Sample TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) 1	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY Sample TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) 2A	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY Sample TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) 2B
Level 1 ↑ ✓	Level 2A ↑ ☐	Level 2B ↑ ☐
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) *and* paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits.
If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

> *I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.*

Sign here,→ please

Signature: *Steven R. Guberman*	Printed Name/Position/Title: Steven R. Guberman
Organization/Address: CB249 Boulder CO 80309	Telephone: (303) 492-8391 FAX: (303) 492-7090 E-Mail Address: Date: 2/29/00

ED 441 622

(over)

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:	Karen E. Smith, Assistant Director ERIC/EECE Children's Research Center University of Illinois 51 Gerty Dr. Champaign, Illinois, U.S.A. 61820-7469

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility
1100 West Street, 2nd Floor
Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598

Telephone: 301-497-4080
Toll Free: 800-799-3742
FAX: 301-953-0263
e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov
WWW: http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com